REFINEMENT-UNBOUNDED INTERVAL FUNCTIONS AND ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY

WILLIAM D. L. APPLING

1. Introduction. In this paper we prove the following characterization theorem (Section 3):

THEOREM 1. If each of g and m is a real-valued non-decreasing function on the number interval [a, b], then the following two statements are equivalent:

(1) If R is a real-valued, refinement-unbounded (Section 3) function of subintervals of [a, b], then the integral (Section 2)

 $\int_{[a,b]} \min\{dg, R(I)dm\}$

exists and is equal to g(b) - g(a), and

(2) g is absolutely continuous with respect to m.

2. Preliminary theorems and definitions. Suppose [a, b] is a number interval.

Throughout this paper all integrals discussed are Hellinger (1) type limits (with respect to refinements) of the appropriate sums. Thus, if H is a real-valued function of subintervals of [a, b], then $\int_{[a,b]} H(I)$ exists if and only if for each subinterval I of [a, b], $\int_{I} H(J)$ exists, so that if for $a \leq c \leq b$, $\int_{[c,c]} H(I)$ denotes 0, then, for $a \leq p \leq q \leq r \leq b$,

$$\int_{[p,q]} H(I) + \int_{[q,r]} H(I) = \int_{[p,r]} H(I).$$

We see that if each of *x*, *y*, *z*, and *w* is a number, then

 $\min\{x, y\} + \min\{z, w\} \leqslant \min\{x + z, y + w\}.$

This implies that if each of u and v is a real-valued non-decreasing function on [a, b] and E is a refinement of the subdivision D of [a, b], then

 $0 \leq \sum_{E} \min\{\Delta u, \Delta v\} \leq \sum_{D} \min\{\Delta u, \Delta v\},$

so that

 $\int_{[a,b]} \min\{du, dv\}$

exists.

We state a lemma whose proof follows by conventional methods.

LEMMA A. If each of g and m is a real-valued non-decreasing function on [a, b], and for $a \leq x \leq b$,

Received February 11, 1964.

 $h(x) = \sup \int_{[a,x]} \min\{dg, Kdm\}$

for 0 < K, then

$$\int_{[a,b]} |dh - \int_I \min\{dg, Kdm\}| \to 0 \qquad as \ K \to \infty,$$

and h is absolutely continuous with respect to m.

3. The characterization theorem. Suppose that (a, b] is a number interval.

Definition. If R is a real-valued function of subintervals of [a, b], then the statement that R is *refinement-unbounded* means that if K is a positive number, then there is a subdivision D of [a, b] such that if I is an interval of a refinement of D, then K < R(I).

We now prove Theorem 1, as quoted in the Introduction. We first show that (2) implies (1).

Suppose (2) is true and R is a real-valued, refinement-unbounded function of subintervals of [a, b].

Suppose c is a positive number. There is a positive number k such that if E is a subset of a subdivision of [a, b] and $\sum_{E} \Delta m < k$, then $\sum_{E} \Delta g < c$.

There is a subdivision D of [a, b] such that if I is an interval of a refinement of D, then (g(b) - g(a) + 1)/k < R(I).

Suppose E is a refinement of D. Then

$$0 \leq g(b) - g(a) - \sum_{E} \min\{\Delta g, R(I)\Delta m\}$$

= $\sum_{E} [\Delta g - \min\{\Delta g, R(I)\Delta m\}] = \sum_{E^{*}} [\Delta g - R(I)\Delta m]$
 $\leq \sum_{E^{*}} \Delta g,$

where E^* is the set (if any) of all I in E such that $R(I)\Delta m \leq \Delta g$. We see that

$$[(g(b) - g(a) + 1)/k]\sum_{E^*} \Delta m \leqslant \sum_{E^*} R(I) \Delta m \leqslant \sum_{E^*} \Delta g \leqslant g(b) - g(a),$$

so that

$$\sum_{E^*} \Delta m \leq [k/(g(b) - g(a) + 1)][g(b) - g(a)] < k,$$

and therefore $\sum_{E^*} \Delta g < c$. Therefore

$$0 \leq g(b) - g(a) - \sum_{E} \min\{\Delta g, R(I) \Delta m\} < c.$$

Therefore $\int_{[a,b]} \min\{dg, R(I)dm\}$ exists and is equal to g(b) - g(a). Thus (2) implies (1).

We now show that (1) implies (2). Suppose (1) is true. For $a \leq x \leq b$, let

$$h(x) = \sup \int_{[a,x]} \min\{dg, Kdm\} \quad \text{for } 0 < K$$

By Lemma A,

$$\int_{[a,b]} |dh - \int_I \min\{dg, Kdm\}| \to 0 \qquad \text{as } K \to \infty$$

258

and h is absolutely continuous with respect to m. Furthermore, $\Delta h \leq \Delta g$ for each subinterval I of [a, b].

We see that if I is a subinterval of [a, b] and $\Delta m = 0$, then $\Delta g = 0$. We let $\Delta g/\Delta m = 0$ if $\Delta m = 0$, and have the usual meaning otherwise.

If I is a subinterval [p, q] of [a, b], then we let Δx denote q - p.

We now state and prove a lemma.

LEMMA B. If s is in [a, b] and c is a positive number, then there is a segment T containing s such that if I is a subinterval of each of T and [a, b], and s is an end number of I, then $\Delta g \leq \Delta h + c$.

Proof. We assume s to be a right end-number of the interval I. A similar argument holds in case s is a left end-number of I.

We first show that if $a < s \le b$ and m is continuous from the left at s, then so is g. Suppose that $m(s) - m(p) \to 0$ as $p \to s$ for $a \le p < s$, but that for some positive number k, $g(s) - g(p) \ge k$ for $a \le p < s$.

$$[g(s) - g(p)]/[m(s) - m(p)] \to \infty \text{ as } p \to s \text{ for } a \leq p < s.$$

There is a function R of subintervals of [a, b] such that

$$R(I) = \begin{cases} (1/2) (\Delta g / \Delta m) \text{ if } I \text{ is } [p, s] \text{ for } a \leq p < s, \\ 1 / \Delta x \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We see that R is refinement-unbounded.

If E is a subdivision of [a, b] such that [u, s] is in E, then

$$\sum_{E} \min\{\Delta g, R(I)\Delta m\} = [\sum_{\{E-[u,s]\}} \min\{\Delta g, R(I)\Delta m\}] + [g(s) - g(u)]/2$$

$$\leqslant [\sum_{\{E-[u,s]\}} \Delta g] + g(s) - g(u) - k/2 = g(b) - g(a) - k/2,$$

so that

$$g(b) - g(a) = \int_{[a,b]} \min\{dg, R(I)dm\} \leq g(b) - g(a) - k/2,$$

a contradiction. Therefore g is continuous from the left at s.

Next, suppose that $a < s \leq b$ and m is not continuous from the left at s. Suppose c is a positive number. There is a number t and a positive number K such that $a \leq t < s$ and such that if $t \leq u \leq v < s$, then g(v) - g(u) < c and $g(s) - g(v) \leq K[m(s) - m(v)]$.

Suppose that $t \leq r < s$ and D is a subdivision of [r, s] such that [u, s] is in D.

$$g(s) - g(r) = g(s) - g(u) + g(u) - g(r) \leq g(s) - g(u) + c \leq g(s) - g(u) + c + \sum_{\{D - [u, s]\}} \min\{\Delta g, K\Delta m\} = \min\{g(s) - g(u), K[m(s) - m(u)]\} + c + \sum_{\{D - [u, s]\}} \min\{\Delta g, K\Delta m\} = c + \sum_{D} \min\{\Delta g, K\Delta m\},$$

so that

$$g(s) - g(r) \leqslant \int_{[\tau,s]} \min\{dg, Kdm\} + c \leqslant h(s) - h(r) + c.$$

This proves Lemma B.

There is an increasing unbounded sequence $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers such that for each positive integer n,

$$\int_{[a,b]} |dh - \int_I \min\{dg, K_n dm\}| < 1/n.$$

There is a sequence $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of subdivisions of [a, b] such that for each positive integer n,

(1) every interval of D_{n+1} is a proper subset of an interval of D_n ,

(2) if I is in D_n , then $\Delta x < 1/n$, and

(3) $\sum_{D_n} [\min\{\Delta g, K_n \Delta m\} - \int_I \min\{dg, K_n dm\}] < 1/n.$

There is a real-valued function R of subintervals of [a, b] such that for each subinterval I of [a, b],

$$R(I) = \begin{cases} K_n \text{ if } I \text{ is in } D_n \text{ for some } n, \\ 1/\Delta x \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We see that R is refinement-unbounded.

Suppose j is a positive number. Suppose D is a subdivision of [a, b]. Let W denote the number of intervals in D. By Lemma B there is a positive integer V such that

(1) 2/V < j/2,

(2) if I is in D_V , then no interval of D is a subset of I, and

(3) if I is an interval of a refinement of D_v containing an end number of an interval of D, then $\Delta g \leq \Delta h + j/[8(W+1)]$.

There is a common refinement E of D and D_v such that every end number of an interval of E is an end number of an interval of D or D_v .

Letting E^* denote the set (if any) of all I in E and D_V , we see that

$$\sum_{E} \min \{ \Delta g, R(I) \Delta m \} = \sum_{E^*} \min \{ \Delta g, R(I) \Delta m \} + \sum_{\{E-E^*\}} \min \{ \Delta g, R(I) \Delta m \}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{E^*} \min \{ \Delta g, K_V \Delta m \} + \sum_{\{E-E^*\}} [\Delta h + j/[8(W+1)]]$$

$$\leqslant [\sum_{E^*} \Delta h] + 2/V + [\sum_{\{E-E^*\}} \Delta h] + j/4$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{E} \Delta h + j/2 + j/4 = h(b) - h(a) + 3j/4.$$

Therefore

$$g(b) - g(a) = \int_{[a,b]} \min\{dg, R(I)dm\} \leq h(b) - h(a) + 3j/4,$$

so that g(b) - g(a) = h(b) - h(a), which implies that $\Delta g = \Delta h$ for each subinterval *I* of [a, b]. Therefore *g* is absolutely continuous with respect to *m*. Hence (1) implies (2).

Thus (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Reference

1. E. Hellinger, Die Orthogonalinvarianten quadratischer Formen von unendlichvielen Variablen, Diss. (Göttingen, 1907).

North Texas State University, Denton, Texas