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## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a field and $G$ a finite group with subgroup $H$. We say that $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair if whenever $M$ is a finitely generated $K G$-module whose restriction $M_{\mathrm{H}}$ is a free $K H$-module, then $M$ is a free $K G$-module. In this paper pairs of groups with this property will be investigated.

If $K$ has characteristic $p$ and $G$ is a cyclic $p$-group then $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair provided $H$ is a non-trivial subgroup of $G$. Several other examples of such pairs are given. One of the major results is that if $K$ has characteristic 2 and $G$ is the quaternion group of order 8 then $(G, H)$ is $K$-free for any non-trivial subgroup $H$ of $G$. Several conditions on the existence of such pairs are included in this paper.

Almost all of the results in this paper concern cases where the field $K$ has characteristic $p(\neq 0)$ and $G$ is a $p$-group. There exist examples of $K$-free pairs $(G, H)$ where $G$ is not a $p$-group. But the results are incomplete and are not included here.

Throughout this paper all modules will be assumed to be finitely generated. If $G$ is a group $1(G)$ will denote the identity $K G$-module. If $U$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $M$ is a $K U$-module let $M^{G}=K G \otimes_{K U} M$. If $L$ is a $K G$-module, $L_{U}$ denotes the restriction of $L$ to a $K U$-module. For $x, y \in G, x^{y}=y x y^{-1}$ and $U^{x}=x U x^{-1}$. The radical of $K G$ is indicated by $\operatorname{rad} K G$ and $\tilde{G}=\Sigma_{\eta \in G} g$.

## 2. Generalities

In this section $K$ is a field and $H$ is a subgroup of group $G$.
Proposition 2.1. Let $T$ be a subgroup of $G$ with $H \subseteq T \subseteq G$.
(i) If $(G, T)$ and $(T, H)$ are $K$-free pairs then $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair.
(ii) If $(G, H)$ is $K$-free then $(G, T)$ is a $K$-free pair.

Proof. (i) Let $M$ be a $K G$-module such that $M_{H}$ is a free module. Then $M_{T}$ is a free module since $\left(M_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\mathrm{H}}=M_{\mathrm{H}}$. So $M$ is a free module.
(ii) Let $M$ be a $K G$-module such that $M_{T}$ is free. Then $M_{H}$ is a free module.

We shall need the following several times.
Lemma 2.2. Let $K$ have characteristic $p>0$. Let $G$ be a p-group. Suppose $M$ is a $K G$-module. Then $K G$ is a direct summand of $M$ if and only if $\widetilde{G} M \neq(0)$.

Proof. It is well known that since $G$ is a $p$-group $K \tilde{G}$ is the unique minimal ideal in $K G$. If $\tilde{G} M \neq(0)$ then there exists some $m \in M$ with $\tilde{G} m \neq 0$. So the annihilator of $m$ in $K G$ is the zero ideal. Hence the mapping $K G \rightarrow M$ by $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha m$ for $\alpha \in K G$ is a monomorphism. Since $K G$ is an injective left $K G$-module [see Curtis and Reiner (1962; page 321)] this homomorphism must split.

Proposition 2.3. Let $K$ have characteristic $p>0$ and let $G$ be a p-group. Suppose $E$ is a finite extension of $K$. If $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair, it is an E-free pair.

Proof. Let $M$ be an $E G$-module such that $M_{H}$ is a free $E H$-module. By restriction $M$ is a finitely generated $K G$-module. Since $E H=E \otimes_{K} K H$, we have that $M_{\mathbf{H}}$ is free as a $K H$-module, hence it is free as a $K G$-module. So $\tilde{G} M \neq(0)$ and $E G$ is a direct summand of $M$. By induction on the dimension of $M$ we get that $M$ is a free $E G$-module.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair. Then there exists no subgroup $C$ of $G$ with $C \neq\{1\}$, and $C^{x} \cap H=\{1\}$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. Suppose there did exist such a subgroup. Then by the Mackey subgroup theorem [Curtis and Reiner (1962; page 324)]

$$
\left(1(C)^{G}\right)_{H}=\sum_{x} 1\left(C^{x} \cap H\right)^{H}
$$

where $x$ runs through a set of representaives of the $H-C$ deuble cosets. Since $C^{\boldsymbol{x}} \cap H=\{1\}$ and $1(\{1\})^{\text {II }}=K H,\left(1(C)^{G}\right)_{I I}$ is a free $K H$-module. But $1(C)^{G}$ is not a free $K G$-module.

## 3. Some Examples

Proposition 3.1. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $G$ be cyclic of order $p^{a}$. If $H$ is any non-trivial subgroup of $G$ then $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair.

Proof. Let $\left.S=<x^{p}\right\rangle$ where $x$ is a generator of $G$. If we show that $(G, S)$ is a $K$-free pair an easy induction proves the proposition.

Let $M$ be an indecomposible $K G$-module of $K$-dimension $n$. The Jordan canonical form of the matrix of $x$ on $M$ is


Relative to some basis for $M$ this is the matrix for $x$. So $x^{p}$ has matrix

where the non-zero entries occur along the diagonal and in the $(i, i+p)$ positions for $i=1, \cdots, n-p$. The $K$-dimension of $M /\left(1-x^{p}\right) M$ is $p$.

Suppose $M$ is a free $K S$-module. Then $M_{S}$ is isomorphic to the sum of $t$ copies of $K S$. Thus $n=p^{a-1} t$ and $t$ is the $K$-dimension of $M_{S} /\left(\operatorname{rad} K S \cdot M_{S}\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{rad} K S=\left(1-x^{p}\right) K S
$$

we must have $t=p$. Therefore $n=p^{a}$ and $M \cong K G$.
We can develop more examples using the following.
Theorem 3.2. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and $G$ a p-group.

Suppose $T, H$ are subgroups of $G$ with $T \Delta G$ and $T \subseteq H \subseteq G . I f(G / T, H / T)$ is a $K$-free pair so is $(G, H)$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a $K G$-module such that $M_{\mathrm{H}}$ is a free $K H$-module. Let $\tilde{T}=\Sigma_{g \in \tau} g$. The set $L=\tilde{T} M$ is a submodule of $M$ since $T \triangle G$. For all $g \in T$, $g \tilde{T}=\tilde{T}$. So we can regard $L$ as a $G / T$-module. We claim that $L_{(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T})}$ is a free module. This follows from the fact that $M_{\mathbf{H}}$ is a direct sum of copies of $K H$ and $\tilde{T}(K H) \cong 1(T)^{\mathrm{H}}$ while $1(T)^{\mathrm{H}} \cong K(H / T)$ as $K(H / T)$-modules.

Hence $L$ is a free $K(G / H)$-module. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be a compete set of coset representatives of $T$ in $G$. If $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{\text {: }}$, by Lemma 2.2 there exists an element $l \in L$ with $X l \neq 0$. But $l=\tilde{T} m$ for some $m \in M$. So $X l=X \tilde{T} m=\tilde{G} m \neq 0$. Lemma 2.2 says that $K G$ is a direct summand of $M$. An easy induction proves the theorem.

Corollary 3.3. Let $K$ have characteristic $p>0$ and let $G$ and $S$ be p-groups. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ with $(G, H)$ a $K$-free pair then $(G \times S, H \times S)$ is a K-free pair.

Proof. $G \times S / S \cong G$ so $((G \times S) / S,(H \times S) / S)$ is a $K$-free pair.
Corollary 3.4. Let $K$ have characteristic $p$ and let $G=A_{m}(p)=\left\langle x, y / x^{p m-1}\right.$ $\left.=y^{p}=1, x^{y}=x^{1+p^{m-2}}\right\rangle$ where $m$ is an integer $m \geqq 4$. Let $H=\left\langle x^{p^{m-2}}, y\right\rangle$. If $T$ is any subgroup of $G$ with $H \subseteq T \subseteq G$ then $(G, T)$ is a $K$-free pair.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 it is sufficient to show that $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair. Let $S=\left\langle x^{p^{m-3}}, y\right\rangle \cong\left\langle x^{p^{m-3}}\right\rangle \times\langle y\rangle$. By Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 3.1 $(S, H)$ is a $K$-free pair. Now $H \Delta G$ and $G / H$ is cyclic. So $(G / H, S / H)$ is a $K$-free pair. Hence ( $G, S$ ) is $K$-free. By Proposition 2.1, ( $G, H$ ) is a $K$-free pair.

Corollary 3.5. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $G=B_{m}(p)$ $=\left\langle x, y, z \mid x^{p m-2}=y^{p}=z^{p}=1, x y=y x, y z=z y, x^{z}=x y\right\rangle$ where $m \geqq 4$. Let $H=\left\langle x^{p m-2}, y, z\right\rangle$. Then if $T$ is any subgroup with $H \subseteq T \subseteq G,(G, T)$ is a $K$-free pair.

Proof. We need only note that $\langle y\rangle \triangle G$ and $(G /\langle y\rangle, H /\langle y\rangle)$ is a $K$-free pair.

## 4. The Quaternion Group

Theorem 4.1. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 2. Let $G$ be the quaternion group of order 8, i.e. $G=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{4}=y^{4}=1, x^{2}=y^{2}=(x y)^{2}\right\rangle$. If $H$ is any non-trivial subgroup of $G$ then $(G, H)$ is a $K$-free pair.

Proof. Let $T=\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$. Since all non-trivial subgroups of $G$ contain $T$, it will be sufficient to prove that ( $G, T$ ) is $K$-free.

Throughout this proof we suppose $M$ is a $K G$-module such that $M_{\mathrm{T}}$ is a free
$K T$-module, but $M$ is not free as a $K G$-module. It will be shown that this leads to a contradiction. Assume further that $M$ has minimal $K$-dimension among such modules.

Let $L=\left(1+y^{2}\right) M$. Then $L$ is a submodule of $M$. Let $N=M / L$. Since the elements of $T$ act trivially on $L$ and on $N$, these modules may be regarded as $K \bar{G}$-modules where $\bar{G}=G / T$. We can write $\bar{G}=\langle\bar{x}, \bar{y}\rangle$ where $\bar{x}=x T, \bar{y}=y T$. Since $M_{\langle x\rangle}, M_{\langle y\rangle}, M_{\langle x y\rangle}$ are free modules, $L_{\langle\bar{x}\rangle}, L_{\langle\bar{y}\rangle}, L_{\langle\bar{x} \bar{y}\rangle}, N_{\langle\bar{x}\rangle}, N_{(\bar{y}\rangle}$, and $N_{(\bar{x} \bar{y}\rangle}$ are free modules.

We shall need the following
Lemma 4.2. Let $S=\langle y\rangle$. Let $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{t} \in M$ such that $\left\{m_{i}+(\operatorname{rad} K S) M_{S}\right\}$ is a $K$-basis for $M_{S} /(\operatorname{rad} K S) M_{S}$. Then $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{\mathrm{t}}$ is a $K S$-basis for $M_{s}$.

Proof. Clearly the $K S$-dimension of $M_{S}$ is $t$ since $M_{S}$ is a free module. Let $M^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} K S m_{i}$. Then

$$
M_{S}=M^{\prime}+(\operatorname{rad} K S) M_{s}
$$

Nakayama's lemma [see Bass (1968; page 85)] says that $M_{s}=M^{\prime}$. A simple dimension argument proves the lemma.

Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{t}$ be a $K\langle\bar{y}\rangle$-basis for $N$. If $b_{t+i}=(1+y) b_{i}$ then $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{2 t}$ is a $K$-basis for $N$. Let $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{t}$ be a set of coset representatives of $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{t}$, respectively' in $M$. That is, for each $i, a_{i} \rightarrow b_{i}$ under the quotient map $M \rightarrow N=M / L$. Since this quotient map induces an isomorphism

$$
M_{S} /\left(\operatorname{rad} K S \cdot M_{S}\right) \cong N_{S} /\left(\operatorname{rad} K \bar{S} \cdot N_{S}\right)
$$

the elements $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{t}$ are a $K S$-basis for $M_{S}$. For each $i=1, \cdots, t$, let $a_{t+i}$ $=(1+y) a_{i}, \quad a_{2 t+i}=\left(1+y^{2}\right) a_{i}$ and $a_{3 t+i}=\left(1+y+y^{2}+y^{3}\right) a_{i}$. Then $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{4}$; is a $K$-basis for $M$.

Lemma 4.3. There exists no $K G$-free submodules of $N$.
Proof. Write $N=N_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{S}$ where each $N_{i}$ is indecomposable. Suppose one of these, say $N_{1}$, is a free $K \bar{G}$-module. We can assume without loss of generality that $b_{1}, b$, are a $K\langle\bar{y}\rangle$ basis for $N_{1}$. Since one of these must be a $K \bar{G}$-basis for $N_{1}$, we lose nothing by assuming that $N_{1}=K \bar{G} \cdot b_{1}$ and $b_{2}=x b_{1}$. But then

$$
\tilde{G} a_{1}=\left(1+y+y^{2}+y^{3}\right) a_{1}+\left(1+y+y^{2}+y^{3}\right) a_{2} \neq 0
$$

So $M$ has a $K G$-free direct summand, by Lemma 2.2. This contradicts the minimality of the $K$-dimension of $M$.

Write $N=N_{1} \oplus N_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{S}$ where each $N_{i}$ is indecomposable. Each $N_{i}$ is free as a $K\langle\bar{x}\rangle$-module and as a $K\langle\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}\rangle$-module but not as a $K \bar{G}$-module. Basev (1961) and Heller and Reiner (1961) [see Conlon (1964)] have given a complete list of representations of $\overline{\boldsymbol{G}}$. The above requirements on each $\boldsymbol{N}_{i}$ dictate that each
$N_{i}$ is a $C_{n}(\pi)$, in Conlon's notation. That is, there exists a basis for $N$ such that, relative to this basis, $x$ and $y$ have matrices

$$
y \leftrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
I & I
\end{array}\right], \quad x \leftrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & \\
A & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $I=I_{t}$ is the $t \times t$ identity matrix and $A$ is non-singular. In fact if the field $K$ is large enough we can assume that $A$ is triangular.

If these matrices are given relative to the basis $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{2 t}$ for $N\left(b_{t+i}\right.$ $\left.=(1+y) b_{i}\right)$, then as before we can construct a $K$-basis $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{41}$ for $\%$ With respect to this basis $x$ and $y$ have matrices


$$
x \leftrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{llll}
I & & & \\
A & I & & \\
B & C & I & \\
D & E & A & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $B, C, D, E$ are to be determined. Now $x^{2}=y^{2}$. This implies that $A C=I$ and $E=A B A^{-1}$. Furthermore $x y=y^{3} x$. By computing the matrices for this element it is easily seen that $I+A=C$ and $I+A+B=E$. Hence $I+A+A^{2}=O$, and the minimum polynomial for $A$ has at most two distinct roots.

Let $F$ be an extension of $K$ which contains the roots $p, p^{2}$ of the polynomial $1+x+x^{2}$. In $F, A$ is similar to the matrix

$$
A^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
p I_{r} & o \\
o & p^{2} I_{s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For convenience assume $A=A^{\prime}$. But then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I+A & =A^{2}=B+E=B+A B A^{-1} . \text { If } \\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
W & X \\
Y & Z
\end{array}\right], \\
A^{2} & =B+A B A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
O & p^{2} X \\
p Y & O
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible. This contradiction proves the theorem.
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