In this note, we prove a signature product formula for generalised Seifert fibrations. We also discuss how this result can be viewed using the theory of minimal models.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note, we deduce a signature product formula for generalised Seifert fibrations based on results of Haefliger [6] and Chern-Hirzebruch-Serre [1]. Then we note that Haefliger's result has a natural interpretation from the point of view of the theory of minimal models, and the signature formula obtained can be viewed as a generalisation of Chern-Hirzebruch-Serre. Further, we demonstrate that the well-known result: that a compact, connected, orientable Riemannian manifold with a non-singular Killing vector-field has signature zero, can be interpreted in the same vein.

2. GENERALISED SEIFERT FIBRATIONS

DEFINITION 2.1: A foliation \mathcal{F} is called a generalised Seifert fibration if (i) all its leaves are compact, and (ii) it is locally stable; equivalently, each leaf has a finite holonomy group, and \mathcal{F} is Riemannian and taut [8]. See for example [2].

REMARK 2.2: It follows that the leaf space M/\mathcal{F} of a generalised Seifert fibration on a manifold M is a Satake manifold, and its cohomology (as a Satake manifold) coincides with the cohomology of the complex of basic differential forms of the foliation, $H_B(\mathcal{F})$. Also, the leaves of \mathcal{F} have a comon holonomy covering, known as the universal leaf, which is a compact, connected manifold.

THEOREM 2.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a generalised Seifert fibration on a connected, compact, simply-connected manifold M. Let \mathcal{L} denote the universal leaf of \mathcal{F} . Then, there is a signature product formula as follows:

$$sign(M) = sign(\mathcal{L}) \cdot sign(H_B(\mathcal{F})).$$

PROOF: By [6], there is a locally trivial fibration

 $\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow B\Gamma$

Received 10 December 1991

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/92 \$A2.00+0.00.

where $B\Gamma$ is the classifying space of the transverse holonomy groupoid of \mathcal{F} . Furthermore, there is a map

$$B\Gamma \longrightarrow M/\mathcal{F}$$

which induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology. Thus, we have

$$H^{\cdot}(B\Gamma) \cong H^{\cdot}(M/\mathcal{F}) \cong H_B^{\cdot}(\mathcal{F}).$$

By the duality theorem of Kamber-Tondeur [8], $H_B(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies Poincaré duality, hence by [1], we have

$$\operatorname{sign} (M) = \operatorname{sign} (\mathcal{L}) \cdot \operatorname{sign} (B\Gamma)$$

= sign $(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \operatorname{sign} (H_B(\mathcal{F}))$.

0

[2]

COROLLARY 2.4. Let M be a connected, compact, simply-connected manifold with non-zero signature. Let \mathcal{F} be a Riemannian foliation on M. Then the codimension of \mathcal{F} is divisible by 4.

PROOF: By a theorem of Ghys [3], \mathcal{F} can be approximated by a generalised Seifert fibration of the same codimension. Thus the corollary follows from the above theorem.

3. MINIMAL MODELS

DEFINITION 3.1: Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a connected manifold M. Then, by the minimal model (Λ -minimal Λ -extension) of \mathcal{F} , we mean the minimal model (Λ -minimal Λ -extension) of the differential graded algebra map given by the inclusion of the basic differential forms into the de Rham algebra of M, $\Omega_B(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{DR}(M)$ [7, 9].

THEOREM 3.2. The Λ -minimal Λ -extension of a generalised Seifert fibration \mathcal{F} on a connected, compact, simply-connected manifold M coincides with that of the fibration $\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow B\Gamma$. In particular, the relative minimal model [9] is that of the universal leaf \mathcal{L} .

PROOF: This follows because we have

$$\Omega_B(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega(B\Gamma) \longrightarrow \Omega_{DR}(M)$$

where the map on the left induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology. The last assertion follows from a theorem of Grivel [5].

57

THEOREM 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Λ -minimal Λ -extension, where $H^1(\mathcal{B}) = 0$. Then, if \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} satisfy Poincaré duality, there is a signature product formula as follows:

$$\operatorname{sign}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathcal{M}). \operatorname{sign}(\mathcal{B}).$$

PROOF: As a graded algebra, \mathcal{A} is the tensor product of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{B} . We can put a filtration on \mathcal{A} by

$$F^r(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}^{\geqslant r} \otimes \mathcal{M}.$$

Then F is a descending filtration, and it is preserved by the differential. Thus, F is a canonically cobounded filtration which gives rise to a convergent third quadrant spectral sequence with

$$E_2 = H(\mathcal{B}) \otimes H(\mathcal{M}) \Longrightarrow H(\mathcal{A}).$$

The signature product formula is then obtained similarly to [1], with the above spectral sequence replacing the Leray-Serre.

4. TAUT RIEMANNIAN FLOWS

It is well-known that a taut Riemannian flow \mathcal{F} is given by a non-singular Killing vector-field \mathcal{X} , and if the ambient manifold M is connected and compact, there is a decomposition of the de Rham algebra of M, up to cohomology, as follows [4]:

$$\Omega_{DR}(M)\simeq \Omega_B(\mathcal{F})\otimes \Lambda X,$$

where ΛX denotes the exterior algebra with one generator of degree one with the trivial differential. This describes the minimal model of \mathcal{F} . Thus, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that the signature of M is zero.

References

- S.S. Chern, F. Hirzebruch and J.P. Serre, 'On the index of a fibered manifold', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 587-596.
- [2] D. Epstein, 'Foliations with all leaves compact', Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 26 (1976), 265-282.
- [3] E. Ghys, 'Feuilletages riemanniens sur les variétés simplement connexes', Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 34.4 (1984), 203–223.
- [4] W. Greub, S. Halperin and R. Vanstone, Connections, curvature and cohomology Vol 2 (Academic Press, New York, 1973).
- P. Grivel, 'Formes différentielles et suites spectrales', Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 29.3 (1979), 17-37.

P.Y. Pang

- [6] A. Haefliger, 'Groupoïdes d'holonomie et classifiants', Astérisque 116 (1984), 70-97.
- [7] S. Halperin, 'Lectures on minimal models', Publ. Internes de l'UER de Math Pures et Appl., Univ. de Lille I 111 (1977).
- [8] F. Kamber and P. Tondeur, 'Foliations and metrics', Proc. Special Year in Geometry, Maryland (1981-82), in Prog. in Math. 32 (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983), pp. 103-152.
- D. Lehmann, 'Modèle minimal relatif des feuilletages', in Lect. Notes in Math. 1183 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1986), pp. 250-258.

Department of Mathematics National University of Singapore Kent Ridge 0511 Republic of Singapore