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#### Abstract

A complex analytic family of mappings $P \rightarrow M(a, P)$ from an abstract Riemann surface (analytic manifold) into itself is studied. The mapping $M(a, P)$ is assumed to satisfy in local coordinates the autonomous differential equation $\frac{d w}{d a}=L(w)$, and the condition $M(O, P)=P$. Under certain assumptions of regularity of the reciprocal differential $L$ in a domain $D \subset S$, we prove that for every fixed $a,|\alpha|<\alpha_{0}$, the mapping $M(a, P)$ is conformal and one to one in $D$. Moreover, it is shown that the family of mappings $M(\alpha, P)$ satisfies the iteration equation $M[a, M(b, p)]=M(a+b, P)$ and hence is an analytic group (analytic iteration).


1. Let $w(a, z)$ be analytic and regular in $a$ and $z$ for $|a|<a_{0}\left(a_{0}>0\right)$ and $z \in D$, where $D$ is a given domain in the complex plane. If $w(a, z)$ satisfies the iteration equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w[a, w(b, z)]=w(a+b, z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(0, z)=z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in D$ and $|a|,|b|,|a+b|<a_{0}$, then $w(a, z)$ is called an analytic
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iteration. Setting
(3)

$$
\left.\frac{\partial w(a, z)}{\partial a}\right|_{a=0}=L(z),
$$

it is well known [2], [3], that an analytic iteration $\omega(\alpha, z)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w(a, z)}{\partial a}=L[w(a, z)] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that it is $L(z)$, rather then $w(a, z)$, that is given, we propose to use the differential equation (4) with the initial condition (2), in order to generate analytic iterations. The case when $L(z)$ is a single-valued analytic function in the domain $D$ of the complex plane, has already been studied in an earlier paper and the following theorem was established [4, Th. 1].

PRELIMINARY THEOREM Let $L(z)$ be a regular single-valued function in the closure of the bounded domain $D$ of the complex plane. Then there exists a unique function $w(a, z)$ with the following properties.
(i) There exists a number $\alpha_{0}(D)>0$, such that for any fixed $z$ in $D, w(a, z)$ is regutar in a for $|a|<\alpha_{0}(D)$, and satisfies the differential equation (4) and the initial condition (2).
(ii) For any fixed $a,|a|<\alpha_{0}(D), w(a, z)$ maps $D$ conformally and univalently onto the domain $D_{a}$.
(iii) For any fixed $z \in D, w(a, z)$ satisfies the iteration equation (1), whenever both sides of (1) can be defined.

Note that the single-valuedness of $L(z)$ is essential, because if $L(z)$ is multiple-valued in the domain $D$ of the complex plane, so is the solution $w(a, z)$ of the system (4) and (2). In this case, it is not clear what significance has the equality sign in (1).

In this paper we study the case of multiple-valued $L(z)$. We apply a technique frequently used in the theory of functions, namely: we embed the domain $D$, and consequently the domains $D_{a}$, in a Riemann surface $S$. By doing so, we are led to a study of the differential system (4) and (2), and the iteration equation (1) on a Riemann surface $S$.

Though our original plan was to study the differential system (4) and (2) when the domain $D$ is embedded in the Riemann covering surface of $L$, it was pointed out to us by the referee that the proofs go through on a general Riemann surface. Thus, we consider now the more general problem of the existence and the properties of a solution of the differential system (4) and (2) when the domain $D$ is embedded in a general Riemann surface (complex analytic manifold). Throughout the paper we use the definitions and the terminology of [5]. We assume now that $S$ is a given Riemann surface, namely: $S$ is a connected topological Hausdorff space with a given analytic structure defined by the collection $\left\{\Omega_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ ( $I$ an index set), where $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of $S$, and $\Phi_{i}$ is a homeomorphism of $\Omega_{i}$ onto an open set in the complex plane [5, p. 59]. We state now
2. MAIN THEOREM Let $D$ be a domain included in a compact connected set $C$, where $C \subset S$, and $S$ is a given Riemann surface. Let $\Lambda$ be $a$ first order differential defined in a region $G, G \supset C \supset D$, which in any local coordinate $w=\Phi_{i}(P)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(P)=\Lambda\left[\Phi_{i}^{-1}(w)\right]=\frac{d w}{L_{i}(w)}, \quad P \in \Omega_{i} \cap G \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that for every $P_{0} \in C$, and any local coordinate $w=\Phi_{i}(P)$, the function $L_{i}(w)$ is regular at $w^{0}=\Phi_{i}\left(P_{0}\right)$. Then there exists a unique family of mappings $M(a, P)$ with the following properties.
(i) There exists a number $\alpha_{0}>0$, such that for any fixed $P \in D$, $M(a, P)$ is regular in a for $|a|<\alpha_{0}$, and satisfies the initial - condition

$$
M(O, P)=P,
$$

and the differential equation (4); i.e. when expressed in terms of a local coordinate, $w(a)=\Phi_{i}[M(a, P)]$ is regular in a for $|a|<\alpha_{0}$, and satisfies the differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w(a)}{d a}=L_{i}[w(a)], \quad w(0)=\Phi_{i}(P) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For any fixed $a, \quad|a|<\alpha_{0}, M(a, P)$ maps $D$ conformally and

$$
\text { univalently onto the domain } D_{a} \subset S \quad\left(D_{0}=D\right) .
$$

(iii) For any fixed $P \in D, M(a, P)$ satisfies the iteration equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M[a, M(b, P)]=M(a+b, P), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever both sides of (7) are defined.
We first make the following remark. Without loss of generality, we may assume [5, p. 60] that the analytic structure of $S$ is such that for every $P_{0} \in S$, there exists an open neighborhood $\Omega_{i}(i \in I)$ and a homeomorphism $\Phi_{i}$, such that $\Phi_{i}(P)=0$ and $\Omega_{i}=\left\{P: P=\Phi_{i}^{-1}(\omega),|\omega|<1\right\} \cdot \Omega_{i}$ is then a coordinate disk with center at $P_{0}$, and $\omega=\Phi_{i}(P)$ assigns a local coordinate to every $P \in \Omega_{i}$. Moreover, if $w_{1}=\Phi_{1}(P)$ and $w_{2}=\Phi_{2}(P)$ are local coordinates in $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ respectively, and if $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $\Phi_{2} \Phi_{1}^{-1}$ is a conformal one-to-one mapping of $\Phi_{1}\left(\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}\right)$ onto $\Phi_{2}\left(\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}=\Phi_{2} \Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right)=f\left(w_{1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a regular univalent function in $\Phi_{1}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \neq 0$.
In order that the system (6) will be satisfied by any local coordinate defined at $P$, it is necessary to take $L$ as a reciprocal differential rather than a function. Hence for $P \in \Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2} \cap G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(P)=\Lambda\left[\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right)\right]=\frac{d w_{1}}{L_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)}, \\
& \Lambda(P)=\Lambda\left[\Phi_{2}^{-1}\left(w_{2}\right)\right]=\frac{d w_{2}}{L_{2}\left(w_{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the relation between $L_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)$ and $L_{2}\left(w_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}\left(w_{2}\right)=L_{2}\left[f\left(w_{1}\right)\right]=L_{1}\left(w_{1}\right) \frac{d w_{2}}{d w_{1}}=L_{1}\left(w_{1}\right) f^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) and from the fact that $f\left(w_{1}\right)$ is regular and $f^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \neq 0$, it follows that regularity of $L_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ at $\omega_{1}^{0}$ implies regularity of $L_{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ at the corresponding point $\omega_{2}^{0}=f\left(\omega_{1}^{\circ}\right)$, and vice versa.

Let $P_{0} \in C$, and let $w=\Phi_{i}(P), \Phi_{i}\left(P_{0}\right)=0$, be the local coordinate
in the coordinate disk $\Omega_{i}$. If $\Lambda(P)$ is given by (5), then by our assumptions $L_{i}(w)$ is regular at $w=0$, and thus there exists a number $r_{i}>0$, such that $L_{i}(\omega)$ is regular for $|\omega|<r_{i}$. We associate now two open neighborhoods with every $P_{0} \in C$, namely;

$$
U_{i}=U\left(P_{0}\right)=\left\{P: P=\Phi_{i}^{-1}(\omega), \quad|w|<r_{i}\right\},
$$

and

$$
v_{i}=V\left(P_{0}\right)=\left\{P: P=\Phi_{i}^{-1}(w), \quad|\omega|<\frac{r_{i}}{2}\right\} .
$$

Since $P_{0}=\Phi_{i}^{-1}(0)$, evidently $P_{0} \in V\left(P_{0}\right) \subset U\left(P_{0}\right) \subset \Omega_{i}$.
The collection $\left\{V\left(P_{0}\right)\right\}_{P_{0}} \in C$ is an open covering of the compact set
$C$. Hence, there exists a finite number of points
$P_{1}=P_{01}, P_{2}=P_{02}, \ldots, P_{n}=P_{O n}, P_{j} \in C, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, such that
$\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} V\left(P_{j}\right) \supset C$. For $I \leqq j \leqq n$, let $V_{j}=V\left(P_{j}\right)$ and $U_{j}=U\left(P_{j}\right)$; then $P_{j} \in V_{j} \subset U_{j}$ and $w=\Phi_{j}(P)$ maps $P_{j}$ to the origin, $V_{j}$ onto $|\omega|<\frac{r_{j}}{2}$ and $U_{j}$ onto $|w|<r_{j}$. For $P \in U_{j}, 1 \leqq j \leqq n, \Lambda\left[\Phi_{j}^{-1}(w)\right]=L_{j}^{-1}(\omega) d w$, and $L_{j}(\omega)$ is regular for $|\omega|<r_{j}$.

## Consider now the differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d a}=L_{j}(w), \quad w(0)=z \Rightarrow \Phi_{j}(P), \quad P \in U_{j}, \quad 1 \leqq j \leqq n \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{j}(w)$ is regular for $|w|<r_{j}$, and $z \in \Phi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right)$, i.e. $|z|<r_{j}$. Given the initial value $z \in \Phi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right)$, there exists, by the existence and uniqueness theorem [1, p. 1-5], a unique solution $w_{j}(a, z)=w_{j}\left[a, \Phi_{j}(P)\right]$ of the system (10) which is regular in $a$ for $|a|<a_{0}(z)$, for some $a_{0}(z)>0$. Moreover, $\left|\omega_{j}\left[a_{3} \Phi_{j}(P)\right]\right|<r_{j}$, for $|\dot{a}|<a_{0}(z)=a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right]$. We define now a mapping $M_{j}(a, P)$ for every $P \in U_{j}$, in the following way.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j}(a, P)=\Phi_{j}^{-1}\left[w_{j}\left[a, \Phi_{j}(P)\right]\right], \quad|a|<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right], P \in U_{j}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{j}\left[a, \Phi_{j}(P)\right]$ is the solution of the system (10). Hence for any given $P \in U_{j}, M_{j}(a, P)$ is regular in $a$ for $|a|<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right]$, and $M_{j}(a, P) \in U_{j}$.

We set now:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, P)=M_{j}(a, P), \quad P \in U_{j}, \quad 1 \leqq j \leqq n, \quad|a|<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to show that (12) defines $M(a, P)$ uniquely for $|a|<a_{m}(P)$, where

$$
a_{m}(P)=\max a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right]
$$

and the maximum is taken over all indices $j$, such that $P \in U_{j}$, $1 \leqq j \leqq n$, we require the following lemma.
3. LEMMA Given $Q_{1} \in U_{1}$ and $Q_{2} \in U_{2},\left(Q_{1}\right.$ and $Q_{2}$ are not necessarily distinct), Let $M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)$ be defined by (11). If both $M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)$ are regular in a for

$$
\begin{gathered}
|a|<t_{0}=\min \left\{a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right], a_{0}\left[\Phi_{2}\left(Q_{2}\right)\right]\right\}, \text { and if }\left|a^{*}\right|<t_{0} \text {, then } \\
M_{1}\left(a^{*}, Q_{1}\right)=M_{2}\left(a^{*}, Q_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

if and only if $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$.
Proof Let $A$ be the open disk defined by

$$
A=\left\{a:|a|<t_{0}\right\},
$$

and let

$$
B_{1}=\left\{a: a \in A, M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)=M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
B_{2}=\left\{a: a \in A, M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right) \neq M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Evidently $B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\emptyset$, and $A=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$. We shall prove now that $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are open sets.

Suppose $a^{*} \in B_{1}$, i.e. $M_{1}\left(a^{*}, Q_{1}\right)=M_{2}\left(a^{*}, Q_{2}\right)=R$, then $R \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$, and by the analytic structure of $S$, (8) maps $\Phi_{1}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$
onto $\Phi_{2}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$. Moreover, $L_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)$ is regular in $\Phi_{1}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$, and $L_{2}\left(w_{2}\right)$ is regular in $\Phi_{2}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$ and (9) holds.

Consider now the differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d a}=L_{1}(w), \quad w\left(a^{*}\right)=w_{1}^{*}=\Phi_{1}(R) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{1}^{*} \in \Phi_{1}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$, there exists a number $\rho>0$, such that $\left|w-w_{1}^{*}\right|<\rho$ is included in the open set $\Phi_{1}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$. It follows now from the existence and uniqueness theorem [1], that the system (13) has a unique analytic solution $w(a)$. More specifically, there exists a number $\gamma_{1}>0$, such that for $\left|\alpha-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{1}$, the solution $w(a)$ of (13) satisfies $\left|w(a)-w_{1}^{*}\right|<\rho$. Observe now that if $\omega(a)$ satisfies the system (13) for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{1}$, then $\tilde{w}(a)=f[w(a)]$, where $f=\Phi_{2} \Phi_{1}^{-1}$, satisfies the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{w}}{d a}=L_{2}(\tilde{w}), \quad \tilde{w}\left(a^{*}\right)=\Phi_{2}(R) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $w(a) \in \Phi_{1}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)$ for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{1}$, and therefore $\tilde{w}(a)=f[w(a)]$ is defined and regular. From (9) and (13) it follows now that

$$
\frac{d \tilde{w}}{d a}=f^{\prime}[w(a)] \frac{d w}{d a}=f^{\prime}(w) L_{1}(w)=L_{2}[f(w)]=L_{2}(\tilde{w})
$$

and

$$
\tilde{w}\left(a^{*}\right)=f\left[w\left(a^{*}\right)\right]=f\left[\Phi_{1}(R)\right]=\Phi_{2}(R)
$$

But similarly to (13), the system (14) has also a unique analytic solution for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{2}$ for some $\dot{\gamma}_{2}>0$. It is easily confirmed by (11) that $\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)\right]$ is the unique analytic solution of the system (13) for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{1}$, and $\Phi_{2}\left[M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)\right]$ is the unique solution of the system (14) for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{2}$. On the other hand, by the observation made above $f\left(\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)\right]\right)$ satisfies the system (14) for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{1}$. Hence, by the uniqueness of the solution of the system (14), it follows that $\Phi_{2}\left[M_{2}\left(\alpha, Q_{2}\right)\right]=f\left(\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)\right]\right)=\Phi_{2}\left[M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)\right],\left|a-\alpha^{*}\right|<\gamma_{0}=\operatorname{Min}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$.

Hence

$$
M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)=M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right), \quad\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{0},
$$

and we conclude that if $a^{*} \in B_{1}$, then all the points in the disk
$\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\gamma_{0}$ also belong to $B_{1}$, and $B_{1}$ is an open set.
Next we prove that $B_{2}$ is open. Suppose now that $a^{*} \in B_{2}$, i.e. $\left|a^{*}\right|<t_{0}$ and

$$
R_{1}=M_{1}\left(a^{*}, Q_{1}\right) \neq M_{2}\left(a^{*}, Q_{2}\right)=R_{2}
$$

Since a Riemann surface is a Hausdorff space, there exist two disjoint open sets $\tilde{U}_{1}$ and $\tilde{U}_{2}$, such that $R_{1} \in \tilde{U}_{1} \subset U_{1}$ and $R_{2} \in \tilde{U}_{2} \subset \dot{U}_{2}$.

Consider now the differential systems

$$
\frac{d w}{d a}=L_{1}(w), \quad w\left(a^{*}\right)=\Phi_{1}\left(R_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{d w}{d a}=L_{2}(w), \quad w\left(a^{*}\right)=\Phi_{2}\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

$L_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)$ is regular in $\Phi_{1}\left(U_{1}\right) \supset \Phi_{1}\left(\tilde{U}_{1}\right)$, and therefore there exists a number $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\delta_{1}$, the unique solution of (13'), namely $w(a)=\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)\right]$ satisfies $w(a) \in \Phi_{1}\left(\tilde{U}_{1}\right)$, i.e. $M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right) \in U_{1}$ for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\delta_{1}$. By the same argument there exists a number $\delta_{2}>0$, such that $M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right) \in \tilde{U}_{2}$ for $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\delta_{2}$. Since $\tilde{U}_{1} \cap \tilde{U}_{2}=\varnothing$, it follows that

$$
M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right) \neq M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right), \quad\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\delta_{0}=\min \left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)
$$

Hence, if $a^{*} \in B_{2}$, then all the points of the disk $\left|a-a^{*}\right|<\delta_{0}$ also belong to $B_{2}$.

Since $A$ is a connected open set, and $A=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ where $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are disjoint open sets, then either $B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$ is empty. Assume now that $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$, then $Q_{1}=M_{1}\left(O, Q_{1}\right)=M_{2}\left(O, Q_{2}\right)=Q_{2}$, and $a=0$ belongs to $B_{1}$. Consequently, $B_{2}$ is empty and $B_{1}=A$, i.e. $M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right)=M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)$ for $|a|<t_{0}$. In the other case, if $Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}$ then $a=O$ belongs to $B_{2}$, which implies that $B_{1}$ is empty, i.e. $M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right) \neq M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)$ for $|\alpha|<t_{0}$. This completes the proof of the lemma and we proceed now with the proof of the theorem.
4. It follows from the lemma that for $P \in U_{j} \cap U_{k}, j \neq k, 1 \leqq j$, $k \leqq n$, we have

$$
M_{j}(a, P)=M_{k}(a, P), \quad|a|<\min \left\{a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right], \quad a_{0}\left[\Phi_{k}(P)\right]\right\}
$$

Hence, $M(a, P)$ is uniquely defined by (12) for $P \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U_{j}$ and $|a|<a_{m}(P)=\max \left\{a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right]\right\}$. Furthermore, $M(a, P)$ depends on the analytic structure of $S$, and on the reciprocal differential $L$, but not on the choice of the finite collection of open sets $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$. Because suppose $U_{1}^{*}, \ldots, U_{m}^{*}$ is a different finite collection of open sets such that $P \in U_{k=1}^{m} U_{k}^{*}$, then $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}, U_{1}^{*}, \ldots, U_{m}^{*}$ is also a finite collection of open sets, and by the lemma $M(a, P)$ is not changed.

We turn now to the mapping properties of $M(a, p)$. We restrict now the set of initial values in (10), and consider only $P \in V_{j}$. Consequently, for $z=\Phi_{j}(P), P \in V_{j}$, we have $|z|<\frac{r_{j}}{2}$, and we may apply the preliminary theorem to the system (10). Thus, there exists a number $\alpha_{j}=\alpha_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}\left(V_{j}\right)\right]>0$, such that for any fixed $z \in \Phi_{j}\left(V_{j}\right)$, the solution $w_{j}(a, z)=w_{j}\left[a, \Phi_{j}(P)\right]$ is regular in $a$ for $|a|<\alpha_{j}$. Moreover, for any fixed $|a|<\alpha_{j}, w_{j}(a, z)$ maps the disk $|z|<\frac{r_{j}}{2}$ conformally and univalently into the disk $\left|w_{j}\right|<r_{j}$. Hence, for any fixed $a$, $|a|<\alpha_{j}, M_{j}(a, P)=\Phi_{j}^{-1}\left[w_{j}\left(a, \Phi_{j}(P)\right)\right]$ is a conformal one to one mapping from $V_{j}$ into $U_{j}$. Let

$$
\alpha_{0}=\min \left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}
$$

then for any fixed $a,|a|<\alpha_{0}$, the mapping $M(a, P)$, defined by (12), is conformal and locally univalent in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}$. But by the lemma, if $Q_{1} \in V_{1}, Q_{2} \in V_{2}$ and $Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}$, then

$$
M\left(a, Q_{1}\right)=M_{1}\left(a, Q_{1}\right) \neq M_{2}\left(a, Q_{2}\right)=M\left(a, Q_{2}\right), \quad|a|<\alpha_{0}
$$

Hence, for $|a|<\alpha_{0}, M(a, P)$ maps $D \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}$ conformally and
univalently onto $D_{a} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U_{j}$.
Finally, we show that for a given $P \in D, M(\alpha, P)$ satisfies the iteration equation (7) whenever both sides can be defined. More specifically, for any given $P \in D, M(b, P)$ is defined at least for $|b|<a_{m}(P)$. Let $M(b, P)=R$, where $b$ is fixed and $|b|<\alpha_{m}(P)$; then $M(a, R)=M[a, M(b, P)]$ is defined at least for $a$ such that $|a|<\alpha_{m}(R)$. On the other hand, $M(a+b, P)$ is defined at least for $|a+b|<a_{m}(P)$. Let

$$
A_{b}=\left\{a:|a|<a_{m}[M(b, P)],|a+b|<a_{m}(P),|b|<a_{m}(P)\right\},
$$

then we claim that for a given point $P \in D,(7)$ holds at least for $|b|<a_{m}(P)$ and $a \in A_{b}$.

Assume now that

$$
a_{m}(P)=\max \left\{a_{0}\left[\Phi_{j}(P)\right]\right\}=a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}(P)\right],
$$

and therefore

$$
M(c, P)=M_{1}(c, P), \quad|c|<a_{m}(P)=a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}(P)\right] .
$$

We distinguish two cases. In the first case

$$
a_{m}(R)=a_{m}[M(b, P)]=a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}(R)\right]
$$

and

$$
M(\alpha, R)=M_{1}(a, R), \quad|a|<a_{m}(R)
$$

Thus, $M[a, M(b, P)]=\dot{M}_{1}\left[a, M_{1}(b, P)\right]$, and $M(a+b, P)=M_{1}(a+b, P)$ and equation (7) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}\left[a, M_{1}(b, P)\right]=M_{1}(a+b, P) \tag{7'}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $M_{1}(\alpha, P)$ satisfies equation ( $7^{\prime}$ ) follows from the uniqueness of the solution of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d a}=L_{1}(w), \quad w(0)=\Phi_{1}(R)=\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}(b, P)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}(a, R)\right]$ is regular in $a$ for $|a|<a_{m}(R)=a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}(R)\right]$ and satisfies the system (15), while $\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}(a+b, P)\right]$ is regular in a for
$|a+b|<a_{m}(P)$ and also satisfies (15). Hence

$$
\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}(a, R)\right]=\Phi_{1}\left[M_{1}(a+b, P)\right], \quad a \in A_{b}
$$

Therefore, ( $7^{\prime}$ ) holds for $P \in D,|b|<a_{m}(P)$ and $a \in A_{b}$. Note that this result follows also directly from the preliminary theorem.

In the second case

$$
a_{m}(R)=a_{m}[M(b, P)]=a_{0}\left[\Phi_{k}(R)\right], \quad k \neq 1, \quad 2 \leqq k \leqq n
$$

Without loss of generality we assume now that $k=2$. Thus, $R=M(b, P)=M_{1}(b, P)$ and

$$
M[a, M(b, P)]=M(a, R)=M_{2}(a, R)=M_{2}\left[a, M_{1}(b, P)\right], \quad|a|<\alpha_{m}(R),
$$

and equation (7) reduces in this case to

$$
M_{2}\left[a, M_{1}(b, P)\right]=M_{1}(a+b, P)
$$

Note that for $|a|<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}(R)\right]<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{2}(R)\right]$, it follows from the lemma that $M_{2}(a, R)=M_{1}(a, R)$, and ( $7^{\prime \prime}$ ) reduces to ( $7^{\prime}$ ). To establish ( $7^{\prime \prime}$ ) for $a \in A_{b}$, namely for $a$ such that $|a|<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{2}(R)\right],|a+b|<a_{0}\left[\Phi_{1}(P)\right]$, we consider the two sets

$$
B_{1}^{*}=\left\{a: a \in A_{b}, \quad M_{2}\left[a, M_{1}(b, P)\right]=M_{1}(a+b, P)\right\}
$$

and

$$
B_{2}^{*}=\left\{a: a \in A_{b}, \quad M_{2}\left[a, M_{1}(b, P)\right] \neq M_{1}(a+b, P)\right\}
$$

By similar arguments to those used in the lemma, it follows that $B_{1}^{*}$ and $B_{2}^{*}$ are both open. Since $A_{b}$ is an open connected set, and $A_{b}=B_{1}^{*} \cup B_{2}^{*}$ where $B_{1}^{*}$ and $B_{2}^{*}$ are disjoint open sets, then either $B_{1}^{*}$ or $B_{2}^{*}$ is empty. But $B_{1}^{*}$ is not empty, because it includes the point $a=0$, therefore $B_{1}^{*}=A_{b}$. Hence ( $7^{\prime \prime}$ ) holds for $P \in D,|b|<a_{m}(P)$ and $a \in A_{b}$. This completes the proof of our theorem.
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