Petawatt and exawatt class lasers worldwide
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Abstract
In the 2015 review paper ‘Petawatt Class Lasers Worldwide’ a comprehensive overview of the current status of high-power facilities of $\geq 200$ TW was presented. This was largely based on facility specifications, with some description of their uses, for instance in fundamental ultra-high-intensity interactions, secondary source generation, and inertial confinement fusion (ICF). With the 2018 Nobel Prize in Physics being awarded to Professors Donna Strickland and Gerard Mourou for the development of the technique of chirped pulse amplification (CPA), which made these lasers possible, we celebrate by providing a comprehensive update of the current status of ultra-high-power lasers and demonstrate how the technology has developed. We are now in the era of multi-petawatt facilities coming online, with 100 PW lasers being proposed and even under construction. In addition to this there is a pull towards development of industrial and multi-disciplinary applications, which demands much higher repetition rates, delivering high-average powers with higher efficiencies and the use of alternative wavelengths: mid-IR facilities. So apart from a comprehensive update of the current global status, we want to look at what technologies are to be deployed to get to these new regimes, and some of the critical issues facing their development.
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1. Introduction

There have been two published reviews of ultra-high-power lasers separated by nearly two decades; the first by Backus et al.[11] in 1998, when there was only one petawatt class laser in operation[2], and the second by Danson et al.[3] some 17 years later, which identified approximately fifty petawatt class lasers either operational, under construction or in the planning phase. These review papers were cited by the Nobel Committee for Physics in its 2018 award to Strickland and Mourou in its Scientific Background paper[4] ‘Groundbreaking Inventions in Laser Physics’. In addition to these the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine in the USA published a report in December 2017 ‘Opportunities in Intense Ultrafast Lasers: Reaching for the Brightest Light’[5], which summarized the current status of high-power lasers, highlighting the decline in this activity within the USA in recent years, and making recommendations of how this should be remedied.

A special mention should also be made to the work of ICUIL. ICUIL, the International Committee on Ultra-High Intensity Lasers, is an organization concerned with international aspects of ultra-high-intensity laser science, technology and education. This was formed in 2003 following the work of the OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) Global Science Forum[6] under the International Union of Pure and Applied Physics (IUPAP)[7]. ICUIL has a very active programme of work and maintains a track of ultra-high-intensity lasers on its website[8]. It also hosts a biennial conference to bring the community together for the exchange of information on the subject.

1.1. Introduction – historical perspective

The possibility of using lasers to achieve previously unobtainable states of matter in the laboratory gained much attention following the demonstration of the first pulsed laser in 1960[9]. In the following few years there was vigorous research activity as attempts were made to increase the peak power and focused intensity in order to reach extreme conditions within the laboratory. Initial jumps of several orders of magnitude in peak power came with the invention of $Q$-switching[10], and then mode-locking[11–15]. Progress slowed, as illustrated in Figure 1, until the late 1980s, with the development of the technique of chirped pulse amplification (CPA) by Strickland and Mourou[16] at the Laboratory for Laser Energetics (LLE) at the University of Rochester, USA.

A parallel problem existed in radar systems, where short, powerful pulses that were beyond the capabilities of existing electrical circuits were needed. Using dispersive delay lines, the radar pulses could be stretched and amplified prior to transmission, and then the reflected pulse could be compressed, avoiding high-peak powers within the amplifier circuitry[17]. In the telecommunications industry, work was carried out on the use of prisms[18] and grating pairs[19] to compensate for the spectral phase distortions imposed on broad bandwidth laser pulses by long lengths of optical fibre. By putting a telescope inside a grating pair, Martinez produced a method to reverse the sign of the spectral phase that was imparted, thus creating a device that could stretch a pulse and then exactly compress it. These systems were used in stretching pulses prior to propagation along the fibre, then compressing them in order to reduce nonlinear effects.

Strickland and Mourou’s approach was to take the 150 ps output from a commercial mode-locked Nd:YAG oscillator, which was then stretched to 300 ps and spectrally broadened in 1.4 km of optical fibre, using a combination of group velocity dispersion and self-phase modulation. The pulse was then amplified in a Nd:glass regenerative amplifier, and compressed using a Treacy grating pair[20] which compensated for the second-order spectral phase imposed by the fibre. From the original CPA paper’s conclusion, it states ‘we have shown that by first stretching a chirped optical pulse and then amplifying before compressing, high-peak power pulses
can be achieved. To date, we have produced 2 ps pulses with an energy of 1 mJ.

Due to the limitations of mode-locked lasers operating at 1064 nm, early high-power/energy CPA lasers\textsuperscript{[21–24]} all relied on the use of self-phase modulation to generate enough bandwidth to support pulses of a few picoseconds\textsuperscript{[25]}. These systems generated large amounts of high-order spectral phase and spectral modulation during the nonlinear process, making optimal compression hard to realize and, moreover, these systems had poor stability due to the nonlinear process. A transformative development was the invention of the transition-metal-doped gain medium titanium-doped sapphire (Ti:Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) in 1986 by Peter Moulton at the MIT Lincoln Laboratory\textsuperscript{[26]}. It has a very large gain bandwidth (\textasciitilde 640 to \textasciitilde 1100 nm) that is much larger than other materials and absorbs conveniently at frequency-doubled Nd wavelengths. This naturally led to Ti:sapphire mode-locked oscillators\textsuperscript{[27]} which allowed much shorter pulses to be produced. These systems could either directly seed Ti:sapphire amplifiers\textsuperscript{[28]} or, if tuned to 1054 nm\textsuperscript{[29]}, be used to seed existing large-aperture Nd:glass systems. Other developments around this time included a neodymium-based additive-pulse mode-locking system\textsuperscript{[30]} which could generate pulses at under 0.5 ps at 1054 nm. These new ultra-short-pulse oscillator systems did away with the need to use self-phase modulation to spectrally broaden the pulse. Various geometries of stretcher were then developed, such as the Offner triplet\textsuperscript{[31]}, allowing longer stretches to be realized and hence more energy to be generated.

1.2. Introduction – facility landmarks

The developments described above led to the first well-defined, 100 TW class laser systems being commissioned simultaneously, with the P102 laser at CEA Limeil-Valenton in France (Figure 2)\textsuperscript{[32, 33]} and on the Vulcan system at STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory in the UK (Figure 3)\textsuperscript{[34, 35]}, in the early to mid-1990s.

The world’s first petawatt laser was put together in 1996 re-purposing one beamline of the existing Nova Nd:glass laser facility based at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL)\textsuperscript{[2]}. It operated for three years and delivered 1.5 PW with energy up to 680 J to target. By applying chirped-pulse-amplification and stretching pulses from a new, Ti:sapphire based short pulse front-end tuned to 1053 nm centre wavelength to 1 ns, developing metre-scale diffraction gratings for the pulse compressor and using a reflective focusing configuration, the NOVA Petawatt (Figure 4) opened the door to a myriad of high intensity science exploration. In 2004 Vulcan became the first petawatt laser commissioned as a true user facility\textsuperscript{[36]} at the Central Laser Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK. The first multi-kJ facility, OMEGA-EP, was brought online at LLE in 2008, producing 2.1 kJ at 10 ps pulse duration\textsuperscript{[37]}. It should be noted that, with the dawn of megajoule-scale Nd:glass
lasers, these facilities are, in their own right, petawatt class lasers, albeit multi-beam facilities. The National Ignition Facility (NIF)\cite{38}, commissioned at LLNL in 2009, was originally specified to deliver 1.8 MJ in 3 ns, giving an output power of 400 TW. Details of all these facilities can be found in the geographical breakdown of current facilities in the next section of this review.

Following the development of the Ti:sapphire oscillator in 1991\cite{27}, extraordinary progress has been made with this medium. The first petawatt class Ti:sapphire laser was commissioned as early as 1999 in the US on the JanUSP system at LLNL. It was pumped by the 1970s JANUS Nd:glass laser and produced 200 TW in 85 fs initially. In Japan at the J-KAREN facility, they produced close to one petawatt (0.85 PW) in 2003\cite{39}. The next major milestone was the BELLA laser at Berkeley, where in 2013 it produced the first ever petawatt system operating at 1 Hz\cite{40}. Only five years later the world’s first high average power petawatt laser system HAPLS\cite{41} developed at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory was installed at the ELI-Beamlines facility in the Czech Republic. The laser uses a single-aperture, diode-pumped solid-state laser (DPSSL) to pump its Ti:sapphire medium and the laser is designed to deliver >1 PW at 10 Hz, with a commissioning demonstration in 2018 of 0.5 PW at 3.3 Hz. In March 2019 Thales reported the first demonstration of 10 PW operation of their system installed at ELI-NP in Magurele, Romania\cite{42}. Several other lasers of similar peak power performance are underway in China, France and the Czech Republic. Details of all these facilities are provided in the geographical breakdown of current facilities in this review.

The development of amplifiers capable of supporting broad bandwidths is also required to realize high-peak powers. Early systems relied entirely on dye or Nd:glass amplifiers. While dye lasers could support very large bandwidths, their short lifetimes and low saturation fluences severely limited the amount of energy that could be extracted. Neodymium-based lasers, on the other hand, could provide a large amount of energy but would support only a limited bandwidth. This led to the search for a new laser material that could provide the energy and bandwidth required to support high-energy short pulses. Ti:sapphire oscillators\cite{26} coupled with optical parametric amplification systems\cite{43} provided the solution for these problems. These were initially used in the pre-amplification stages of multi-terawatt systems in conjunction with Nd:glass rod or disc amplifiers. They provided many orders of magnitude of gain at high bandwidth before larger amplifiers, generally Nd:glass, added the last few orders and limited the reduction of the bandwidth. As the quality and size of available Ti:sapphire and nonlinear crystals have improved, so has the energy that can be extracted from these systems. An overview of the development of these systems is given in Section 4.1.1 covering ‘The journey to 100 PW OPCPA facilities’ later in this review.

1.3. Introduction – the future

For this review it was felt appropriate to not only give an historical perspective and the current status of facilities, but also to look to the future, about where facilities are going, and what these might look like in 10–20 years’ time. New petawatt laser facilities are embracing a new mission to establish operation of secondary source beamlines and attract users from a much broader range of research fields. A precursor to this approach was Laserlab-Europe, the integrated infrastructure initiative of European Laser Research Infrastructures\cite{44} that provides access to laser facilities to plasma physicists as well as biologists, chemists and material scientists. This treats lasers in a very similar
way to any other conventional beamline user facility, such as synchrotrons or particle accelerators.

Facilities, currently in their final commissioning phase, like the European Extreme Light Infrastructure (ELI) pillars in the Czech Republic, Romania and Hungary\cite{45}, are pushing forward this concept, providing user access not only to laser beamlines, but also to laser-produced secondary radiation and particle sources, including gamma-ray, proton and electron beams. Facilities currently in their conceptual development phase, like the European EuPRAXIA infrastructure\cite{46} or the US k-BELLA facility\cite{47}, aim at further advancing this concept, by incorporating step-like changes in the design to enable high-repetition-rate, accelerator-like, high-quality operation of the secondary sources, in a compact size and efficient mode of operation. In light of these developments, the later sections of the review therefore address three areas: ultra-high-power development; high-average-power development; and enabling technologies.

In the ultra-high-power development section we examine the journey to 100 PW OPCPA systems; other developments which could be used to achieve exawatt scale facilities and the potential use of plasma amplifiers as booster amplifiers for these systems. The high-average-power developments deserve a special mention as they represent the key to the delivery of commercially relevant applications of petawatt lasers. Indeed, research with petawatt lasers has been prolific in terms of results with a high potential for applications in several areas, including medicine, materials and environmental sciences. This applies, for example, to secondary radiation sources for phase-contrast X-ray imaging\cite{48}, for pulsed neutron imaging\cite{49} or for developments of therapy using very high energy radiation\cite{50,51}. The delivery of industrial products in this context has so far been hindered by the lack of high-average-power sources capable of supporting continuous operation at the high repetition rate needed for such uses. Ongoing developments are changing this landscape, with new technologies such as diode laser pumping progressively replacing traditional flashlamp technology, opening the way to efficient and stable operation of petawatt laser-driven secondary radiation and particle sources. We therefore look at the development of new materials for HAP (high average power) technology; new OPCPA schemes; and coherent beam combining in fibre-based systems. This later section covers both spatially multiplexed and temporally multiplexed schemes.

In the final section we examine enabling technologies: where we are; the challenges facing us; and what we believe we will be able to achieve. This will include: the development of mid-IR lasers; the use of plasma optics; grand challenges that face the community in optics, diagnostics and target design; and the issue of temporal contrast techniques to improve the delivered pulse fidelity.

2. Geographic overview of facilities

In the section we give an overview of the current status of petawatt class lasers worldwide. Unlike the 2015 review paper, we have chosen to present this geographically. This was felt appropriate for two main reasons: firstly, the original paper sub-divided the lasers by their classification, but increasingly this is less clear to determine, as many systems are designed using mixed technologies; secondly it graphically illustrates the shift in time of the centre of gravity of ultra-high-intensity facilities from initially the US, through Europe, and currently firmly centred in Asia.

2.1. Geographic overview of facilities – North America

The US, with its combination of national laboratories and university-based systems, had the lead in ultra-high-power laser facilities worldwide until the start of the new millennium. These have ranged from: the first petawatt laser in Nova Petawatt; the only fully operational megajoule facility in NIF; pioneering university-based systems at the University of Rochester with OMEGA and its upgrade OMEGA-EP; and the BELLA facility at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The pioneering CPA technique also was developed at the University of Rochester. The following section describes the capabilities of these facilities and includes the Advanced Laser Light Source (ALLS) in Canada.

2.1.1. USA

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) has played a critical and leading role in the development of high-energy and ultra-high-power laser facilities. The building blocks for Nd:glass lasers were developed at LLNL over many years and brought together to construct the Shiva facility in the late 1970s\cite{52}. The successor laser, Nova, had one of its beamlines reconfigured in the late 1990s to deliver the first-ever petawatt laser worldwide\cite{2}. A dedicated front end and vacuum compressor were used to deliver 680 J in a 440 fs pulse, giving 1.5 PW. One of the major developments for Nova Petawatt was the capability to manufacture large-aperture diffraction gratings, up to 1 m, for use in the vacuum compressor.

The NIF (National Ignition Facility)\cite{38} at LLNL, is the first and currently the only fully operational megajoule scale facility. It has 192 40 cm × 40 cm beams that initially delivered a total of 1.8 MJ in a ∼3 ns shaped pulse @ 3ω, 0.6 PW (a true petawatt class laser in its own right, albeit delivered in multiple beamlines) configured for indirect beam drive. It became operational and officially dedicated in March 2009. The facility has been operational for over nine years and delivered data for both the NIC (National Ignition Campaign)\cite{53} and the US stockpile stewardship programme. In 2018 NIF achieved a record of 2.15 MJ delivered to target, although without increase to the peak power\cite{54}.
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At LLNL, NIF ARC (advanced radiographic capability)\cite{55} is designed as an advanced X-ray radiography capability for NIF (Figure 5). NIF ARC uses four (one quad) of NIF’s beams to obtain a temporal resolution of tens of picoseconds and became operational in 2015. Each beam is split into two, producing eight petawatt class beams delivering between 0.4 and 1.7 kJ at pulse lengths between 1.3 and 38 ps (0.5 PW each) in the infrared. ARC drove many developments for kJ-short pulse lasers forward such as high-efficiency meter-scale dielectric gratings\cite{56}, single shot precision diagnostics\cite{57}, and dispersion management\cite{58}.

Titan\cite{59} is one of five lasers that make up the Jupiter Laser Facility at LLNL. It is a petawatt class laser coupled to a kilojoule beamline for a broad range of experiments. The short pulse beamline delivers up to 300 J in a sub-picosecond pulse, and offers a 50 J high-contrast green option. It is currently being upgraded to higher peak power and a third beamline added.

There is a long history of using diode-pumped technology at LLNL originally with the Mercury laser facility, a diode-pumped Yb:S-FAP laser. Mercury was developed as a high-average-power laser (HAPL) using diode arrays for laser pumping and pioneered gas cooling as a precursor to an advanced fusion driver and was later considered for a potential pump laser for Ti:sapphire lasers\cite{60}. This was moth-balled and then dismantled to make way for HAPLS\cite{41}, a 1 PW @ 10 Hz system generating >30 J in 30 fs for ELI-Beamlines discussed later in this paper. The high-power diode array technology was jointly developed by LLNL and LaserTel. The LLNL team has successfully completed the construction of the all-diode-pumped HAPLS laser, and currently it is operated at the ELI-Beamlines in the Czech Republic as the L3 laser, with an initial repetition rate of 3.3 Hz to bring the target area up in steps.

The Laboratory for Laser Energetics (LLE) at the University of Rochester, although university based, is operated more akin to a national laboratory. It has played a critical role in the development of ultra-high-power lasers, from the original development of CPA\cite{16} to the first of the multi-kJ petawatt facilities, OMEGA-EP, to be operational. OMEGA-EP is a four-beam system with an architecture very similar to that of the NIF laser and is coupled with the well-proven 30 kJ at 351 nm, 60-beam long-pulse OMEGA laser system\cite{37}. Two of the EP beams can be operated in short-pulse mode with an OPCPA front end to add high-energy, petawatt class laser performance to provide X-ray backlighting and proton radiography capabilities for inertial confinement fusion (ICF) experiments. The laser can operate between 0.6 and 100 ps, delivering almost 1 PW performance at best compression, and 1.25 to 2.3 kJ performance at pulse widths >10 ps. It has driven the development of high-damage-threshold multi-layer dielectric gratings and their use in tiled geometry.

At Sandia National Laboratory a large-scale kilojoule class petawatt facility provides X-ray radiographic capability to the Z-pinch facility. The laser facility uses Beamlet\cite{61}, which was the original prototype facility for NIF at LLNL that was decommissioned in 1998 before being transferred to Sandia and renamed Z-Beamlet\cite{62}. The upgrading of the facility to Z-Petawatt\cite{63} provides enhanced radiographic capability. The beamline, which consists of an OPCPA front end and Nd:phosphate glass amplifiers, delivers 500 J in 500 fs. Updates on the capabilities of the Z-Backlighter facility in its two modes of operation can be found in references from 2016\cite{64, 65}. There are now two CPA modes of operation based on two different vacuum grating compressors. The beam directly out of the main amplifiers can deliver 100 J/500 fs (200 TW) with a standalone target chamber. The other compressor delivers a 500 J/500 fs, 1 PW, beamline to a new standalone chamber called Chama. Other long pulse modes of operation are available and upgrade to the petawatt capabilities planned.

2.1.2. LaserNet US

Given the numerous smaller-scale facilities in the USA (many described below) the DOE’s Office of Science has established a new coordination mechanism for institutes operating ultra-high-power lasers through LaserNet US. This network is designed to provide user access to petawatt class lasers and to foster collaborations. The initial members of LaserNet US are Colorado State University (CSU); Ohio State University (OSU); the Universities of Michigan (UM), Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL) and Texas (UT); the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC); and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The network was expanded in 2019 to include lasers from the Jupiter facility at LLNL and the OMEGA-EP at LLE, University of Rochester described above, both of which have already been operating as user facilities. A summary of the capabilities of these LaserNet US\cite{66} facilities is given in Table 1.

The Advanced Beam Laboratory at Colorado State University (CSU) operates a 0.85 PW Ti:sapphire laser operating at 3.3 Hz, with an option for second-harmonic operation.
Table 1. LaserNet US facility capabilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>CSU ALEPH</th>
<th>LBNL Bella</th>
<th>LLNL Titan</th>
<th>OSU Scarlet</th>
<th>SLAC MEC</th>
<th>UM Hercules</th>
<th>UNL Diocles</th>
<th>LLE UR Omega EP</th>
<th>UTA Texas Petawatt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>1057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Energy (J)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Duration</td>
<td></td>
<td>45, 30 fs</td>
<td>30 fs</td>
<td>0.7 ps</td>
<td>30 fs</td>
<td>45 fs</td>
<td>30 fs</td>
<td>30 fs</td>
<td>0.7 ps</td>
<td>140 fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Repetition Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 Hz</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1 or 2 per hour</td>
<td>1 per min</td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
<td>1 per min</td>
<td>0.1 Hz</td>
<td>1 per 45 min</td>
<td>1 per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot Focus Diameter (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2, 2.4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10, 29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8, 50</td>
<td>1, 20</td>
<td>30 with 80% energy</td>
<td>3.9, 2.2, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 10^17 @ &gt;25 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^13 @ 5 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^13 @ 200 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^13 @ 175 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^11 @ 30 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^11 @ 5 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^11 @ 175 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^9 @ 175 ps</td>
<td>1 x 10^9 @ 40 ps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The Advanced Beam Laboratory at Colorado State University (picture courtesy of Colorado State University).

Figure 7. The BELLA laser facility, the world’s first 1 Hz petawatt laser (picture courtesy of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory).

at ultra-high contrast (Figure 6)[67]. The pump laser for the Ti:sapphire laser is a novel flashlamp-pumped high-repetition-rate Nd:glass amplifier. The beam propagates in a zigzag path in the amplifier gain medium, aided by total internal reflection in the polished wall of the slabs. Each slab amplifier generates pulses with ~18 J energy and 15 ns duration at 1053 nm, with a pulse energy fluctuation of ~1% RMS (root mean square). The amplified beams are frequency-doubled by LBO crystals to generate 11 J pulses at 527 nm to produce a total Ti:sapphire pump energy of 88 J. They have also developed a joule class, all-diode-pumped cryo-cooled Yb:YAG picosecond laser operating at a 0.5 kHz repetition rate[68] – a technology that is being considered for future high-average-power petawatt class CPA/OPCPA laser pump sources[48].

The BELLA (BERkeley Lab Laser Accelerator) facility has been operational since 2013 and was built for dedicated experiments on laser plasma acceleration at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, US (Figure 7). BELLA can operate at peak power levels of 1.3 PW with, at the time, a record-setting repetition rate of 1 Hz[40]. The Ti:sapphire laser was commercially built by Thales and has demonstrated exceptional pointing stability (<1.3 µrad RMS), shot-to-shot energy stability (<1% RMS) and pulse duration stability (<5% RMS). BELLA has demonstrated quasimonoenergetic electron beams of up to 7.8 GeV via laser-plasma wakefield acceleration using a capillary discharge gas target system[69]. BELLA has also begun construction of i-BELLA, where using an ∼f/2 parabola and a new target chamber, they plan to perform ion acceleration experiments at intensities around 10^{22} W/cm^2. k-BELLA is a proposal for a multi-kW average power laser which would enable high-average-power demonstration experiments of the rapidly advancing laser-plasma accelerator technology; providing a stepping stone to a laser-driven collider. The system performance is planned to be 3 J at 1 kHz operating at 30 fs. So, although not necessarily within the scope of the review in terms of
power (100 TW) this is an important system which will be a technology demonstrator for much higher power systems.\textsuperscript{(47)}

HERCULES (High Energy Repetitive CUos LasEr System) was constructed at the FOCUS Center and Center for Ultrafast Optical Science (CUOS), University of Michigan. In 2004 ultra-high intensities of up to \(10^{22} \text{W/cm}^2\) in a 45 TW laser could be generated using wavefront correction and an \(f/0.6\) off-axis focusing parabola.\textsuperscript{(70)} By adding a booster amplifier to the system, 300 TW operation was achieved at a 0.1 Hz repetition rate.\textsuperscript{(71)} Recently, CUOS received funding for upgrading HERCULES to 500 TW. The upgraded pump lasers used for the third and fourth amplification stages of HERCULES are a 5 Hz Gaia 16 J laser and an Atlas 100 J system from Thales Optronique SA. CUOS also houses the lambda-cubed laser, a 500 Hz, 20 mJ, 30 fs laser, that is involved in developing high-repetition-rate electrons, X-rays and ion sources.\textsuperscript{(72)}

The Diocles laser at the Extreme Light Laboratory, University of Nebraska–Lincoln came online at a power level of 100 TW at 10 Hz in 2008, and 0.7 PW at 0.1 Hz in 2012.\textsuperscript{(73)} It has been modified since to have active feedback spectral phase control,\textsuperscript{(74)} and then with a dual-compressor geometry.\textsuperscript{(75)} The group recently discovered multi-photon nonlinear Thomson scattering for generating X-rays.\textsuperscript{(76)} The purpose-built research facility occupies three floors of the Beihlen Laboratory Building and operates three separate and independent systems operating at: 0.7 PW peak power at 0.1 Hz; 100 TW at 10 Hz; and 6 TW at 10 Hz.

The Texas Petawatt Laser\textsuperscript{(77)} based at the Texas Centre for High Intensity Laser Science at the University of Texas at Austin uses a high-energy OPCPA front end with optimized mixed glass to produce shorter pulses than traditional glass petawatt facilities. The OPCPA system amplifies pulses up to the joule level with broad bandwidth, followed by final amplification in mixed glass Nd:glass amplifiers. The first 64 mm rod is silicate with eight-pass angular multiplexing and then four-pass through two pairs of phosphate disc amplifiers. The 1.1 PW beamline produces a bandwidth of 14.6 nm, delivering 186 J in 167 fs. With an \(f/1.1\) OAP and an active feedback deformable mirror, the pulses can achieve peak focal intensities up to \(2 \times 10^{22} \text{W/cm}^2\). In 2015 the front end was upgraded to improve contrast by implementing a picosecond OPCPA stage prior to full pulse stretching in order to reduce parametric fluorescence. Further improvements were made by moving away from lens-based telescopes to an all-reflective geometry, which eliminated a series of pre-pulses.

The Scarlet laser facility\textsuperscript{(78)} at Ohio State University was built for studies on high-energy density physics and relativistic plasma physics in a dedicated climate and particulate controlled environment in the physics research building. The project began in 2007, with the facility becoming operational in 2012. The dual CPA (DCPA) front end is a kHz Femtopower system (Femtolasers) which goes through a contrast-enhanced cross polarized wave (XPW) process. The final output operates at 15 J in \(<40\) fs, achieving \(>400\) TW with a shot every minute. The Scarlet laser compressor chamber is vacuum isolated from its target chamber via a Brewster angle \(\sim 6 \mu\text{m}\) thick nitro-cellulose pellicle, and can achieve peak intensities up to \(8 \times 10^{21} \text{W/cm}^2\). One of the main experimental focuses of the Scarlet facility is ion and electron acceleration from micron-structured targets.\textsuperscript{(79)}

The LCLS (Linac Coherent Light Source) is one of the principal facilities at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. The MEC (Materials in Extreme Conditions) instrument combines the unique LCLS coherent X-ray beamline with a femtosecond laser system. This system has been operational at the 25 TW level, but is planned to be upgraded to the petawatt class level.

2.1.3. Canada

At the University of Quebec, Montreal, Canada the Advanced Laser Light Source (ALLS) is a commercial Ti:sapphire PULSAR system built by Amplitude Technologies operating at 200 TW (5 J, 20 fs, 5–10 Hz PULSAR laser).\textsuperscript{(80)} The system has recently been upgraded to deliver 500 TW (10 J, 20 fs).\textsuperscript{(81)}

2.2. Geographic overview of facilities – Europe

Europe has been pivotal in the development of ultra-high-intensity lasers, with many systems operational in both national laboratories and universities. Many of the developments necessary for the advancement of these systems, including OPCPA, were pioneered in Europe. There is a very strong industrial base, in France in particular, which supplies components, subsystems and even petawatt class facilities to laboratories throughout the world. Looking to the future, ELI (Extreme Light Infrastructure) is a distributed European infrastructure comprising three pillars situated in the Czech Republic, Romania and Hungary, and financed through European Union structural funds. These facilities will transform how researchers gain access to world-leading interaction capabilities, with all three facilities due to start operations in 2019.

Europe has also benefited from the coordination role provided by Laserlab-Europe, bringing together researchers from 38 organizations, as full members, from 16 countries. Its main objective is to provide a sustainable interdisciplinary network of European laser laboratories to: provide training in key areas; conduct research into areas of perceived bottle-necks; and offer access to many of the member facilities to perform world-class research.

2.2.1. United Kingdom

The UK has two national laboratories with facilities which generate ultra-high powers: the STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, which hosts the Central Laser Facility (CLF); and the Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE). There are...
also very active programmes within UK Universities, with petawatt class lasers at the University of Strathclyde and Queen’s University Belfast.

Vulcan at the STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory was the first petawatt class laser to be used by the international plasma physics community as a dedicated user facility. It is a high-power Nd:glass laser\textsuperscript{[36]} which has been operational for over 40 years. It enables a broad range of experiments through a flexible geometry\textsuperscript{[82, 83]}. It has two target areas: one with six 300 J (1053 nm at 1 ns) long-pulse beamlines combined with two synchronized short-pulse beams and a separate target area with high-energy petawatt capability (500 J in 500 fs) synchronized with a single long-pulse beamline.

The Vulcan Petawatt target area will undergo an upgrade with the addition of a petawatt class OPCPA-based beamline delivering pulses with 30 J and 30 fs with a centre wavelength of 880 nm. A new laser area will be created that houses a front end based on a DPSSL-pumped picosecond OPCPA scheme whereby the output from a Ti:sapphire oscillator is amplified to the millijoule level in LBO. These pulses are then stretched to 3 ns before undergoing further stages of amplification in LBO, the final stage employing one of the Vulcan long-pulse beamlines as a pump laser. The pulses will then be compressed in the target area and focused into the same target chamber as the existing petawatt beamline.

The Vulcan 2020 upgrade project is a proposal to increase the peak power of Vulcan to 20 PW (400 J and 20 fs), to enhance its long-pulse capability and to introduce a new target area for interactions at extremely high intensities. The peak power will be increased by the installation of an OPCPA beamline using DKDP crystals pumped by two dedicated 1.5 kJ Nd:glass lasers. The long-pulse provision will be increased by the use of additional 208 mm aperture Nd:glass amplifiers, increasing the output energy of each of the six long-pulse beams to \( \sim 2 \) kJ per beam\textsuperscript{[84]}.

Gemini is a Ti:sapphire laser system\textsuperscript{[85]} operated within the Central Laser Facility. It is operated as an academic user facility that in recent years has seen an increase in the number of industrially focused experiments requested. It has two ultra-high-power beamlines, each delivering 15 J in 30 fs pulses @ 800 nm, giving 500 TW beams to target, generating focused intensities \( > 10^{21} \) W/cm\(^2\). Routine high-contrast operation can be achieved with the use of a double plasma mirror assembly within the target chamber.

AWE, Aldermaston operates the Orion facility which became operational in April 2013 (Figure 8). It is a Nd:glass laser system which combines 10 long-pulse beamlines (500 J, 1 ns @ 351 nm) with two synchronized infrared petawatt beamlines (500 J in 500 fs)\textsuperscript{[86]}. One of the petawatt beamlines is operated in ultra-high-contrast mode by frequency doubling two square 300 mm sub-apertures to operate in the green, giving 200 J in \(<500 \) fs, 400 TW, with nanosecond contrast levels of \( > 10^{16} \)\textsuperscript{[87]}.

The TARANIS (Terawatt Apparatus for Relativistic and Nonlinear Interdisciplinary Science) laser in the Centre for Plasma Physics in Queen’s University Belfast is a Nd:glass system that can deliver up to 30 J in a nanosecond to \( \sim 10 \) J in \(<1 \) ps. TARANIS-X is a major upgrade based around OPCPA to provide \( \sim 3 \) J in sub-10 fs pulses representing an ultimate specification of 300 TW in a single beam. The key aim of this upgrade is to improve the near-time contrast of the laser system while at the same time opening the way for few-cycle laser–matter interactions and investigations at relativistic intensities. The unique architecture of TARANIS/TARANIS-X will offer a suite of low- to high-power pulses with durations ranging from a few femtoseconds to nanoseconds, and repetition rates ranging from kHz to once every 10 min, respectively.

University of Strathclyde, Glasgow is home to SCAPA (Scottish Centre for the Application of Plasma-based Accelerators), who operate a commercial Thales Ti:sapphire system commissioned in 2017 (Figure 9). It has 350 TW peak power (8.75 J, 25 fs per pulse) operating at 5 Hz, so with 44 W average power after compression it is currently Europe’s highest average power commercial petawatt-scale laser. The front end and vacuum compressor are compatible with an upgrade to petawatt peak power. The laser is used to drive up to four laser-plasma accelerator beamlines: two underdense for GeV-scale wakefield electrons; and two for solid target 50 MeV-scale proton/ion beams. One of the main centre goals is research into coherent radiation production\textsuperscript{[88]}.

2.2.2. France

France has played an important role in the development, construction and operation of ultra-high-power laser facilities in its national laboratories, both academic and defence, and in its universities. A particular strength within France is having a very strong manufacturing base for all aspects of lasers, from components, advanced optics, subsystems and even full-scale petawatt class laser facilities, most notably from Thales and Amplitude Technologies.
At CEA CESTA (Centre d’études scientifique d’Aquitaine), Bordeaux LMJ (Laser Megajoule), a megajoule class laser, is currently being commissioned[89]. The facility is designed with 176 long-pulse beams with apertures of 40 cm × 40 cm, delivering a total energy of 1.4 MJ @ 351 nm with a maximum power of 400 TW. Five bundles (40 beams) were operational in 2018, with the rest of the beamlines being commissioned over the following years[90].

A short-pulse capability is also available in LMJ through the PETAL multi-kilojoule glass beamline[91], which is coupled and synchronized to the long pulses. It uses four independent compressors with the beams phased together. The beamline is specified to operate at 3.5 kJ and was initially commissioned in 2015 with demonstrated performance at 1.15 PW, 700 fs in 850 J[92]. The performance will be increased when higher-damage-threshold transport optics is deployed. Following an agreement between CEA and the Region Aquitaine, 20%–30% of the time on LMJ/PETAL will be dedicated to academic research access[93]. In 2017 the first academic campaigns were conducted using both PETAL and the available LMJ long-pulse beams.

Laboratoire de l’Accélérateur Linéaire (LAL), Orsay University is host to the LASERIX facility[94]. A Ti:sapphire system originally at the University Paris Sud and transferred to LAL, was designed to be a high-repetition-rate multi-beam laser to pump an XUV laser. The laser performance was first demonstrated in 2006, delivering 36 J of energy, although without full compression[95]. The system is currently operating at low-power mode (30 TW maximum) to pursue experiments with synchronized coherent X-rays with EUV and IR laser sources. It is expected that the facility will return to full operations in 2020/2021. LASERIX is currently being used as a high-intensity laser coupled with an electron gun, producing synchronized photoelectron bunches in the 5–10 MeV range. A plasma accelerating stage, which will be excited by an amplified pulse from LASERIX in order to reach the 100 MeV range, is being implemented.

Apollon at Orme de Merisiers, Saclay is a next-generation Ti:sapphire 10 PW facility (Figure 10)[96]. The system is a hybrid OPCPA and Ti:sapphire system, pumped by Nd:glass systems supplied by Thales and Amplitude Technologies to realize short pulses at high energy with a high-contrast front end[97]. The system is specified to deliver 150 J pulses at 15 fs, giving powers of 10 PW, at a shot rate of one shot per minute. There are two main beamlines delivering 1 PW and 10 PW pulses and two secondary beamlines delivering a 10 TW probe beam and a nanosecond uncompressed beam with energy up to 250 J. All four beam lines can be alternatively directed to two independent experimental areas for high-intensity interactions on either solid or gas targets. Apollon has recently demonstrated[98] operation at the 1 PW level, with the first commissioning experiments scheduled at the beginning of 2019. The output power of the facility is planned to increase to 4 PW before the end of 2019 (with a 200 J pump) and 9 PW in 2020 (with an upgraded 500 J pump), and finally to 10 PW (with the 600 J designed pump system).

At the Laboratoire d’Optique Appliquée (LOA), Palaiseau there is a commercial 200 TW Ti:sapphire laser delivering 6 J in 30 fs at 1 Hz, originally used as a proton source for medical applications but now used as a multi-particle accelerator for a broader range of applications.

### 2.2.3. Germany

Many of the laser facilities based in Germany have been brought under the umbrella of the Helmholtz Association. The Association was formed in 2001 and brings together 18 Helmholtz Centres in a broad range of scientific disciplines. The exceptions to this are the lasers operated at CALA in Garching and at the Institute for Laser and Plasma Physics in Dusseldorf. These facilities are described in detail below.

CALA (Centre for Advanced Laser Applications) in Garching is an institute run jointly by the Technical University of Munich (TUM) and the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU). It operates the following two lasers.

- **ATLAS 3000**: This consists of a homebuilt 300 TW peak power Ti:sapphire laser and a subsequent 90 J, 1 Hz power amplifier provided by Thales. After compression it is expected to deliver 60 J, 25 fs, 2.4 PW pulses at 1 Hz. The laser serves up to four experimental beamlines for laser-driven electron & ion acceleration; the former also constitutes the basis for well-controlled X-ray sources by undulatory radiation, betatron radiation, and Thomson backscattering in the energy range from keV to multi-MeV. A high-field beam line is available for laser-driven nuclear physics and high-field QED studies.
The Petawatt Field Synthesizer (PFS), originally based at the Max-Planck-Institute for Quantenoptik (MPQ), in Garching is a 1 ps, 1 J, 10 Hz diode-pumped thick disk Yb:YAG laser used for pumping a few-cycle OPA chain with unprecedented temporal contrast. The system, now at CALA, is currently being upgraded to 10 J pump energy at 10 Hz. PFS-pro is a 5 kHz, 200 mJ, 1 ps thin-disk laser, originally intended to pump an OPA chain analogously to PFS (hence the name), but currently examines direct self-phase-modulation (SPM) broadening schemes to create ultra-short pulses for driving a Thomson X-ray source at high efficiency.

At The Institute for Laser and Plasma Physics, Heinrich-Heine University, Dusseldorf, Germany is the Arcturus system. This is a two-beam Ti:sapphire system where the pulses in each beamline are compressed to ~30 fs and transported onto the interaction chamber with ~50% efficiency. Both pulses can be spatially overlapped and temporally synchronized onto the target. Thus, the system can deliver $2 \times 3.5 \ J = 7 \ J$ energy onto the target within a pulse duration of 30 fs, giving a total system power onto target exceeding 200 TW.

The following is a summary of the facilities based at the Helmholtz Centres.

The PHELIX (Petawatt High Energy Laser for heavy Ion eXperiments) kilojoule glass laser system was constructed at the Helmholtz Centre GSI and is used either in stand-alone or in conjunction with a heavy ion accelerator. The laser can be switched between long- and short-pulse operation and in short-pulse mode is designed to deliver 400 J in 400 fs. Another kilojoule glass laser system is planned at GSI for the Helmholtz Beamline at FAIR (Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research). A repetition rate of one shot per minute is envisioned.

There are two diode-pumped systems POLARIS and PEnELOPE:

- POLARIS (Petawatt Optical Laser Amplifier for Radiation Intensive experiments) is based at the Helmholtz Institute Jena. It is designed as a fully diode-pumped Yb:glass/Yb:CaF$_2$ petawatt class laser. It operates at a central wavelength of 1030 nm with a bandwidth of 18 nm, allowing 98 fs pulse width after compression. The tiled grating compressor limits the peak power on target to about 200 TW, whereas amplification to 54 J has already been demonstrated.

- PEnELOPE (Petawatt, Energy-Efficient Laser for Optical Plasma Experiments) is a rep-rated diode-pumped laser using broadband Yb:glass/Yb:CaF$_2$ under construction at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf Laboratory within the ELBE Center (Electron Linac for beams with high Brilliance and low Emittance) for high-power radiation sources. It will be dedicated to the production of laser accelerated proton and ion beams with energies > 100 MeV, relevant to future cancer treatments. The facility will deliver pulses of 150 J in 150 fs, giving >1 PW at 1 Hz centred at 1030 nm, with testing of one of the main amplifiers recently demonstrated.

There are also a number of commercial Ti:sapphire lasers based at Helmholtz Centres.

- DRACO (Dresden laser acceleration source) at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf Laboratory is a commercially sourced Ti:sapphire laser supplied by Amplitude Technologies. The facility is designed to investigate electron, ion and proton acceleration schemes for radiation therapy as part of the ELBE Center. It is operating at 150 TW at 10 Hz and 1 PW at 1 Hz.

- The commercial Ti:sapphire Jeti200 laser facility at the Helmholtz Institute Jena delivers 17 fs pulses with an energy of up to 5.6 J. The 300 TW system with ultra-high contrast is dedicated to plasma physics and particle acceleration experiments.

- The LUX group at the Center of Free-Electron Laser Science, Department of Physics, University of Hamburg operates a 200 TW commercial Ti:sapphire laser.
system ANGUS. The 25 fs pulses produced at a repetition rate of 5 Hz are used for the investigation of plasma accelerators and laser-driven undulator X-ray generation\cite{106}.

- There is also a 300 TW, 25 fs Ti:sapphire Amplitude Technologies laser currently being constructed for HI-BEF (Helmholtz International Beamline for Extreme Fields) at the European XFEL, DESY, Hamburg. The HED (high energy density) end station will couple the XFEL output with laser sources for ultra-intense laser applications\cite{107}.

2.2.4. Russia
The first operational petawatt class OPCPA system was developed at the Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Science (RAS), Nizhny Novgorod using a homemade pump beam. The laser delivered 0.2 PW in 2006\cite{108} and was upgraded to 0.56 PW in 2007\cite{109}. The facility known as PEARL (PETawatt pARametric Laser) had active elements of DKDP; a wavelength of 910 nm; with pulse durations 43–45 fs. PEARL-X is the next generation of OPCPA facility, with a theoretical limit of 10 PW, but with a more realistic operating limit of 4–5 PW. The technology was also transferred to FEMTA at the Russian Federal Nuclear Center, Sarov, Nizhny Novgorod, using a 2 kJ laser for pumping. This was a potential multi-PW system, but constraints in the pump limited the output to 1 PW, 100 J in 100 fs.

The construction of a high-power megajoule laser facility was started in Russian Federal Nuclear Center, VNIIEF, Sarov, Nizhny Novgorod in 2012 with commissioning expected in the next few years\cite{110}. The multi-beam Nd:glass facility is designed to deliver 2.8 MJ of energy at 527 nm for inertial confinement fusion (ICF) direct drive target illumination. The target bay, which contains the 10-m-diameter spherical chamber, has two laser bays on either side. The facility has 192 Nd:phosphate glass laser beams, in a four-pass geometry, with each beam delivering 12.5 kJ at the second harmonic, a significant design difference from NIF or LMJ described elsewhere in this review (operating at the third harmonic). An adaptive system, based on deformable mirrors, will allow compensation of large-scale nonuniformities of laser beams. Unlike NIF or LMJ, where a cylindrical indirect drive geometry is used, at the VNIIEF facility scientists will use a spherical indirect drive target geometry. This uses six laser entrance holes, which achieves a very uniform X-ray field distribution on the surface of a DT ice cryogenic target.

2.2.5. Spain
At the Centre for Pulsed Lasers (CLPU), University of Salamanca VEGA is a user facility open for domestic and international researchers (Figure 11). The system is a custom-made Ti:sapphire laser from Amplitude Technologies\cite{111}.

There are three amplification lines, which share the same front end (XPW and Double CPA): VEGA-1 0.6 J, 20 TW at 10 Hz; VEGA-2 6 J, 200 TW at 10 Hz; and VEGA-3 30 J, 1 PW at 1 Hz\cite{112}. The facility was officially inaugurated by the King of Spain in September 2018.

2.2.6. Italy
In Italy two laboratories have commercial PULSAR Ti:sapphire laser systems from Amplitude Technologies, delivering 200 TW (5 J, 20 fs, 5–10 Hz)\cite{113}.

- The Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati (LNF) is one of the four main laboratories of INFN (National Institute for Nuclear Physics). LNF has also addressed dedicated R&D on advanced accelerator concepts. Born from the integration of a high-brightness photo-injector (SPARC) and of a high-power laser (FLAME); SPARC\_LAB is mainly devoted to conducting further development, characterization and application of compact radiation sources (FEL, THz, Compton) driven by plasma-based accelerator modules. This will investigate the techniques of: LWFA (laser wakefield acceleration), which uses short-pulse laser drivers to excite the wake; and PWFA (plasma wakefield acceleration), which uses a high-energy particle bunch to excite the wake\cite{47}.

- The Intense Laser Irradiation Laboratory (ILIL), CNR (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche) National Institute of Optics, Pisa was established in 2001 following more than a decade of experimental activity in the field of high-power laser–plasma interaction, also as a founding member of European collaboration programmes in the field, pioneering access to large-scale facilities and hosting Training Network activities. Today the laboratory is an active member of the Italian Extreme Light Infrastructure initiative and a partner of the EuPRAXIA H2020 collaboration (see 2.2.8) and is also an associate partner of the Eurofusion consortium. ILIL operates a Ti:sapphire laser for laser-driven light ion acceleration, currently operating at the ~150 TW level (4 J, 25 fs after compression), with plans to go to >5 J, 25 fs\cite{114}. The laboratory features active research programmes on laser-plasma electron acceleration, laser-driven light ion acceleration, and atmospheric propagation of intense laser pulses, and has long-standing expertise in medical applications of laser-driven radiation and particle sources\cite{51}.

2.2.7. Romania
At the Centre for Advanced Laser Technologies INFPLPR (National Institute for Laser, Plasma and Radiation Physics), Măgurele, Romania the CETAL Ti:sapphire laser is a commercial petawatt laser (25 J in 25 fs at 0.1 Hz) supplied by Thales Optronics\cite{115}. The laser system allows two modes of operation: 1 PW @ 0.1 Hz and 45 TW @ 10 Hz.
2.2.8. Multi-national European programmes

ELI (Extreme Light Infrastructure) is a distributed European infrastructure comprising three pillars situated in the Czech Republic, Romania and Hungary. ELI\(^{[46]}\) will provide a unique platform for users of ultra-high-power lasers, with each facility providing multiple laser systems delivered to multiple dedicated target interaction areas. The facilities will be operated through a combined multi-national management structure known as ERIC (European Research Infrastructure Consortium). All three facilities are due to be online to users in 2019, with the first systems using kHz lasers going online first in a user-supported commissioning mode.

- **ELI-Beamlines**, Dolni Brezany, Czech Republic will provide a range of laser systems for research, not only in the fields of physics and material science, but also in biomedical research and laboratory astrophysics. The beamlines use lasers based on either OPCPA, Ti:sapphire, or a combination of the two to produce pulses ranging from hundreds of millijoules at a kHz up to a kJ beamline (flashlamp pumped mixed Nd-doped glass) firing once a minute. These will be coupled to separate interaction areas or beamlines, allowing a wide range of experiments to be performed. The laser systems are: L1: 100 mJ/1 kHz; L2: 1 PW/20 J/10 Hz (laser development beamline); L3: 1 PW/30 J/10 Hz (constructed by LLNL) HAPLS (High-repetition-rate Advanced Petawatt Laser System) uses diode-pumped solid-state laser (DPSSL) pumped Ti:sapphire CPA technology and commissioned in 2018 (Figure 12); L4: 10 PW/1.5 kJ/one shot per minute (constructed by a joint consortium of National Energetics and EKSPLA with contributions from ELI-BL) uses a kJ Nd:glass direct CPA architecture.

- **ELI-NP** (Nuclear Physics) Magurele, Bucharest, Romania will have two commercial multi-petawatt systems supplied by Thales with OPCPA front ends and Ti:sapphire power amplifiers (Figure 13). The beamlines will either produce 1 PW at 1 Hz (20 J, <20 fs) or 10 PW at one shot per minute (250 J, 25 fs), capable of producing focused intensities to target of \(10^{23} \text{ W/cm}^2\). The beamlines will be used in the study of photonuclear physics and its applications. The laser beams will be synchronized to a tunable gamma-ray beamline produced by laser light (Yb:YAG green laser) scattered from high-energy electrons. This unique combination will provide a capability for a wide range of nuclear physics applications.

- **ELI-ALPS** (Attosecond Light Pulse Source) Szeged, Hungary will provide three high-repetition-rate OPCPA beamlines. The high-repetition-rate laser will operate at 100 kHz, providing >5 mJ, <6 fs pulses; a mid-infrared laser operating at 10 kHz, providing >10 mJ, 4–8 µm pulses; a terahertz pump laser 100 Hz, >1 J, <5 fs and single-cycle (SYLOS) laser 1 kHz, >100 mJ, <5 fs; and a high-field laser 10 Hz, >2 PW, <10 fs. All the beamlines will be used to drive secondary sources (UV/XUV, X-rays, ions, etc.), which will be dedicated to extremely fast electron dynamics in atoms, molecules, plasmas and solids.

The EuPRAXIA (Compact European Plasma Accelerator with Superior Beam Quality) collaboration is the first plasma accelerator collaboration on this scale bringing together 16 European partner laboratories and an additional 24 associated partners from the EU, Israel, China, Japan, Russia and the USA\(^{[116, 117]}\). EuPRAXIA is a Horizon 2020 project to build a European facility with multi-GeV electron beams based on laser/plasma acceleration. The preliminary design envisions the use of a three-stage system, each driven by a...
petawatt class Ti:sapphire laser of increasing power/energy running at up to 100 Hz: Stage 1, 7 J, 20 fs; Stage 2, 30 J, 30 fs; Stage 3, 100 J, 50 fs \[^{[118]}\].

2.3. Geographic overview of facilities – Asia

Asia has a long history of operating ultra-high-power laser facilities and has been pioneers in their development and implementation. China, Japan and the South Korea have all had, or have, facilities with world-leading capabilities, described in the sections below. India has only recently commissioned its first petawatt class laser facility.

The Asian Intense Laser Network is an unfunded consortium which uses the ASILS (Asian Symposium on Intense Laser Science) conference series and summer schools to maintain interactions between the various groups throughout Asia. The following is a summary, by country/institute, of the ultra-high-power lasers in Asia.

2.3.1. China

China has seen the greatest growth internationally in the development of ultra-high-power lasers and in their applications. This research is clustered around three main cities: Shanghai, Beijing and Mianyang. In Shanghai there are the following research institutes: SIOM (Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics) National Laboratory on High Power Laser and Physics (NLHPLP); SIOM State Key Laboratory of High Field Laser Physics; there is a further site within ShanghaiTech University operated by SIOM; and the Key Laboratory for Laser Plasma (Ministry of Education) at Shanghai Jiao Tong University. In Beijing there are high-power lasers situated at the Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics (IOP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) and Peking University. Mianyang is the location of the Laser Fusion Research Centre operated by the China Academy of Engineering Physics (CAEP).

At SIOM's National Laboratory on High Power Laser and Physics (NLHPLP) the first Nd:glass petawatt laser in
China was built as an auxiliary beamline to the Shenguang (Divine Light) SG-II high-energy facility\textsuperscript{[119]} and is still operational. SG-II was an eight-beam Nd:glass laser facility operating at a total of 6 kJ IR or 2 kJ at 3ω. A ninth beam of 4.5 kJ was commissioned and made operational in 2005, and subsequently converted to the SG-II UP PW beamline. SG-II UP also included the building of a separate 24 kJ, 3ω, 3 ns eight-beam facility. A recent paper describes the full scope of the facility\textsuperscript{[120]}.

An additional OPCPA beamline has been recently added to the SG-II facility: the SG-II 5 PW laser facility is designed to deliver 150 J/30 fs pulses and pumped by second harmonic of the 7th and 9th beams of the SG-II facility. Currently, this system is operating at 37 J in 21 fs (1.76 PW) and has successfully been employed for high-energy physics experiments with a focusing intensity exceeding $10^{19}$ W/cm$^2$. The third phase with a high-energy OPCPA stage as the master amplifier is now under construction, and compressed 5 PW pulses will be achieved in the near future\textsuperscript{[121]}.

SIOM’s State Key Laboratory of High Field Laser Physics was the home of the first Ti:sapphire petawatt class laser in China which delivered 0.89 PW in 29 fs pulses at 800 nm in 2006\textsuperscript{[122]}. The ‘Qiangguang’ (Intense Light) Ti:sapphire laser facility was then upgraded and produced powers of 2 PW (52 J, 26 fs) in 2012, the highest peak powers ever achieved from a laser system at the time\textsuperscript{[123]}. A high-contrast front end gives contrasts to target of $1.5 \times 10^{11}$@100 ps. A further upgraded version of the main amplifier of the facility produces 192.3 J pulse energy and has demonstrated the production of 27 fs pulses at sub-aperture, indicating that 5 PW pulses would be produced if full-aperture beam compression could be achieved\textsuperscript{[124]}. The laser is located in a building where space limits the option of a large vacuum compressor, and this facility is therefore currently operational at the 1 PW level.

The first multi-TW OPCPA laser in the world was also developed within the laboratory producing 570 mJ/155 fs, giving 3.67 TW in 2002\textsuperscript{[125]}. In 2012 they started to implement a 10 PW CPA-OPCPA hybrid laser system with the OPCPA booster amplifier based on a 215 mm LBO crystal. The peak power of 0.61 PW was achieved with a pulse energy of 28.7 J in a 33.8 fs pulse at 800 nm in 2013\textsuperscript{[126]}, and then in 2015 they improved the output to 1 PW by using a 100 mm LBO crystal with a pulse energy of 45.3 J in a 32 fs pulse\textsuperscript{[127]}. However, the final 10 PW (300 J in 30 fs) performance of the laser system is delayed due to the availability of large-aperture LBO crystals.

In the joint laboratory of SIOM and ShanghaiTech University, the team from SIOM’s State Key Laboratory of High Field Laser Physics is constructing SULF (Shanghai Superintense Ultrafast Laser Facility), a new standalone Ti:sapphire laser facility in a purpose-built building (Figure 14). The facility will deliver 10 PW, 1 PW, and 100 TW beamlines delivered to three target areas constructed underground. The SULF Prototype was constructed in a neighbouring building but without the room for a target chamber. In 2016 the laser facility achieved powers of 5.4 PW\textsuperscript{[128]}, with an ultimate specification of 10 PW, which is currently limited by the availability of suitable gratings. They also successfully demonstrated the final Ti:sapphire amplifier for 10 PW\textsuperscript{[129]}, delivering 339 J at 800 nm with a 235-mm-diameter Ti:sapphire final amplifier. The pump-to-signal conversion efficiency of the final amplifier was demonstrated to be 32.1%. With the compressor transmission efficiency of 64% and the compressed pulse duration of 21 fs obtained with sub-aperture compression, this laser system would deliver 10.3 PW. New, full-aperture gold-coated gratings from Jobin Yvon were installed when the laser was moved into the purpose-built SULF building. The facility became operational in 2019, with an upgraded pump laser providing 10 PW laser pulses to be delivered to target at one shot per minute\textsuperscript{[130]}.

At the Key Laboratory for Laser Plasmas (LLP), Shanghai Jiao Tong University a commercial PULSAR Ti:sapphire laser from Amplitude Technologies operates at 200 TW, delivering 5 J, 25 fs pulses at repetition rates between 5 and 10 Hz\textsuperscript{[131, 132]}. They also have a research programme into high-average-power OPCPA systems and plan to construct a 15 PW facility on a small campus they have in Pudong, Shanghai. The facility is single-shot in the first phase and will be eventually upgraded to a high repetition rate with kW average power. The LLP also has a mid-IR OPCPA system operating at 2.2 µm and 100 TW, which is included in this review as it has been identified as a possible way forward for future petawatt class laser facilities.

At the Laser Fusion Research Centre, CAEP, Mianyang SILEX-I was an early Ti:sapphire petawatt class facility. The facility produced 9 J pulses at 30 fs, giving an output power of 286 TW at a repetition rate of 0.15 Hz\textsuperscript{[133]}. The facility
was able to produce focused intensities of $10^{20}$ W/cm$^2$ without the need for deformable mirror corrections. This system has been incorporated into their Xingguang (Star Light) XG-III facility (a femtosecond, picosecond and nanosecond beam capability). The three beamlines produce 0.7 PW at 800 nm in 26.8 fs, 0.6 PW at 1053 nm in 0.5–10 ps, and a 527 nm nanosecond beam delivering 575 J.[134]

Shenguang-IV (SG-IV)[135] is a proposed megajoule facility to be built at the Laser Fusion Research Centre as an ignition demonstrator. The facility is planned to be constructed following the successful commissioning of SG-III, designed to operate with 48 beams at 200 kJ. The initial specification of SG-IV is to be of similar scale to NIF and LMJ, although the design is yet to be finalized. Design options can be tested on SG-IIIP, a separate prototype facility to be built at the Laser Fusion Research Centre as a hybrid OPCPA/Ti:sapphire system, has a specification of 2 PW, 1 Hz Ti:sapphire laser for proton acceleration studies. It includes a 5 J, 25 fs, 5 Hz, 200 TW commercial Ti:sapphire laser supplied by Thales, a plasma accelerator, proton beam transport line and the application platform.[138] The XPW technique is used in the system, allowing nanosecond contrasts of 10$^9$, and the picosecond contrast can reach 10$^{10}$ in 20 ps in front of the pulse. There is also a proposal to install a 1 PW, 1 Hz Ti:sapphire laser for proton acceleration studies.

2.3.2. Japan
The Institute of Laser Engineering (ILE), Osaka University is host to the Gekko XII Nd:glass facility (Figure 15); the first large-scale (12-beam) laser system employing Nd-doped phosphate laser glasses for inertial confinement fusion research[139]. Following the development of the first large-aperture 30 TW CPA Nd:glass laser at ILE[23], the first petawatt class laser in Asia was constructed as part of the high-energy nanosecond capability of the Gekko XII facility[140]. This petawatt beamline was composed of an OPCPA front end, Nd:glass large-aperture amplifiers and a double-pass compressor to produce 420 J in 470 fs, giving an output power of 0.9 PW. An f/7 off-axis parabola was used to focus the beam to target, giving focused intensities of $2.5 \times 10^{19}$ W/cm$^2$.

Within the Gekko XII facility, the LFEX (Laser for Fast Ignition Experiment) facility has been commissioned as a fast ignitor[141] for the FIREX project[142, 143]. The LFEX laser is composed of 2 × 2 segmented beams. Each beam is 32 cm × 32 cm in size and is compressed with two pairs of dielectric gratings and focused to target with a 4 m focal length off-axis parabola, giving a focal spot of 30–60 μm in diameter with 2.5 kJ energy/beam. The pulse rise time is 1 ps and the pulse duration is controllable through 1–20 ps, providing petawatt peak powers[144, 145]. The output power of the compressed beam is currently 2 PW in 1 ps. A full-aperture deformable mirror has been installed in one of the four beams before the pulse compressor to reduce the wavefront distortion. Pulse contrast ratio (pedestal to main peak) has been improved from 10$^{10}$ to better than 10$^{11}$ by using a plasma mirror in front of the target.

At the Kansai Photon Science Institute (KPSI), QST (National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology), Kyoto, Japan (previously Advanced Photon Research Centre (APRC), JAEA (Japan Atomic Energy Agency)), the J-KAREN (JAEA-Kansai Advanced Relativistic Engineering) Ti:sapphire laser system was the world’s first petawatt class Ti:sapphire facility, generating 0.85 PW in 2003 (28.4 J at 33 fs)[39]. This facility could operate at 50 TW at 10 Hz and at petawatt levels once every 30 min, due to thermal considerations in the final booster amplifier. The upgraded J-KAREN-P (J-KAREN-Petawatt), the first hybrid OPCPA/Ti:sapphire system, has a specification of 1 PW (30 J, 30 fs) and 0.1 Hz repetition rate with f/1.3 off-axis parabola focusing. This system is currently operated at 0.3 PW, delivering an intensity of $10^{22}$ W/cm$^2$ on target with a high temporal contrast of $10^{12}$[146].

Figure 15. The Gekko XII (right) and LFEX (left) lasers at ILE, Osaka University, Japan (picture courtesy of Osaka University).
For laser wakefield electron acceleration, LAPLACIAN (Laser Acceleration PLAtform as a Coordinated Innovative ANchor) is being built at RIKEN SParkin-8 Centre, Harima, Japan in the framework of a Japanese national project ImPACT (Impulsing PAradigm Change through disruptive Technologies program). This facility is equipped with a Ti:sapphire laser system specially designed to attain stable electron staging acceleration by LWFA. The concept of the laser system was designed by Osaka University and installed by Amplitude Systems. A laser beam from an oscillator is divided into three beams, which are amplified and compressed to provide three beams of 1 J/20 fs at 10 Hz, 2 J/50 fs at 5 Hz and 10 J/100 fs at 0.1 Hz. These beams are then provided to an injector, a phase rotator, and a booster, respectively, with minimum timing jitter. The laser parameters for each stage can be controlled independently to maximize the total performance of the electron accelerator.

At SACLA, the X-ray free electron laser (XFEL) facility, operated by RIKEN SPring-8 Centre, Harima, Japan, there is a Ti:sapphire laser system delivered by Thales Optronique with two 0.5 PW laser beams. This has been commissioned with a maximum energy of 12.5 J in 25 fs at a 1 Hz repetition rate. The laser has been planned as one of the HERMES laser systems, which is coupled to SACLA under a RIKEN-Osaka University collaboration. In 2018 one beam has started operation in combination with SACLA for user experiments at 200 TW (8 J, 40 fs) with a reduced rate of once every few minutes.

The high-power laser community in Japan, led by ILE Osaka, together with KPSI QST, has proposed a concept design of a high-repetition-rate and high-power laser facility J-EPOCH (Japan-Establishment for Power laser Community Harvest). This facility is a 16 kJ/16 Hz/1 ns/160 beam laser system, which is composed of 16 units of ten beams, with each beam providing an energy of 100 J at 100 Hz and a peak power of 1 PW at 50–100 Hz. J-EPOCH will provide laser-accelerated radiation and particle beams (GeV electrons, protons, X-rays, γ-rays and neutrons) and 25 PW beam lines. The 25 PW beam line consists of two 10 PW/20 fs beams with a 10 Hz repetition rate based on Ti:sapphire lasers and one 5 PW/a few ps/5–10 kJ beam with a 10 Hz repetition rate based on ceramic lasers.

2.3.3. South Korea

At the Centre for Relativistic Laser Science (CoReLS), Gwangju, South Korea, a petawatt Ti:sapphire laser facility (Figure 16) has been operational for the exploration of superintense laser–matter interactions by inheriting the petawatt laser facility developed by Advanced Photonics Research Institute (APRI), Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST). The petawatt laser facility first achieved petawatt capability in 2010 with a 33 J beam in 30 fs, delivering 1.1 PW at a repetition rate of 0.1 Hz[147], and added the second petawatt beamline of 30 fs, 1.5 PW[148], which is claimed to be the very first 0.1 Hz Ti:sapphire petawatt laser in the world. After the establishment of the CoReLS, a research centre of the Institute for Basic Science (IBS), in 2012 the 1.5 PW laser beamline was upgraded to a 4 PW laser in 2016, delivering 83 J in 19.4 fs (4.2 PW) at 0.1 Hz with a shot-to-shot energy stability of 1.5%. The upgraded laser with XPW and OPA front end stages can achieve focused intensities of 1023 W/cm2, with contrast measured to be 1012 up to 150 ps before the main pulse. The measured laser intensity, with an f/1.6 off-axis parabola, was 5.5 × 1022 W/cm2 with a 3 PW laser pulse.[150]

At ETRI (Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute) Daejeon, South Korea a 200 TW (5 J in 20 fs) 5–10 Hz PULSAR Ti:sapphire laser system from Amplitude Technologies has been upgraded to 1 PW. The facility is called EXLS (ETRI eXtreme Light Source) and operates at 800 nm, giving 31 J in 22 fs at 0.1 Hz. The 200-mm-diameter beam has f/1.8 focusing.

2.3.4. India

The RRCAT (Raja Ramana Centre for Advanced Technology), Indore, Dept of Atomic Energy is the premier Indian institute working in the field of lasers and particle accelerators. In 2012 a 150 TW Ti:sapphire laser operating at 5 Hz was procured from Amplitude Technologies, France. The system provides 3.75 J in 25 fs with a pre-pulse contrast of 1010 at 300 ps. This system is mostly used to investigate electron acceleration in gas jets and ion acceleration in thin foil targets. Now RRCAT is in the process of establishing a 1 PW Ti:sapphire laser facility. The laser uses an XPW front end. The final Ti:sapphire laser amplifier is pumped by four Nd:glass pump lasers (ATLAS, Thales, France) providing 100 J at 2ω. The final power is 1.1 PW at 25 J, 25 fs operating at 0.1 Hz.

3. Discussion of fifty years of ultra-high-power lasers

Since the first demonstration of the laser in 1960 by Theodore Maiman,[9] the principal defining characteristic of
lasers has been their ability to focus unprecedented powers of light in space, time and frequency. Shortly after its discovery, the United States Department of Energy’s national laboratories aggressively initiated research and development on high-energy, high-peak-power lasers for laser fusion. Similar efforts took place in laboratories in Europe and later in Asia, and saw record peak powers rapidly scaling to the 100 TW level. Twenty years ago, LLNL’s petawatt laser based on kilojoule CPA applied to one beamline of the Nova fusion laser\textsuperscript{2} represented a further decadal leap in peak power. ‘The PW,’ as it was called, opened our eyes to the science frontiers that high-intensity petawatt lasers offered (10\textsuperscript{21} W/cm\textsuperscript{2}).

Beyond achieving its anticipated goals of reaching the threshold to the ultra-relativistic regime, wherein a free electron oscillating in the laser field is accelerated to near the speed of light (peak intensity >10\textsuperscript{18} W/cm\textsuperscript{2}), unexpected discoveries such as the production of ions at multi-MeV energies, which have since become standard probes for high-energy-density science, further enhanced their impact. High-energy, short-pulse laser systems operating at petawatt peak powers (e.g., from 20 J/20 fs to kJ/ps) have in the intervening two decades enabled a wide range of new high-energy (keV–GeV) particle and radiation sources for single-shot discovery science.

An important contribution to enhance the global capabilities is the recycling of components from national laboratories to academic environments, where their implementation is enhanced by university innovators. In the USA, following the closure of the Nova laser, several internationally recognized lasers were born including: PHELIX at GSI Darmstadt, Germany\textsuperscript{101}; Vulcan PW at the Central Laser Facility\textsuperscript{136}, UK; LEOPARD at the University of Nevada, Reno, USA\textsuperscript{151}; and Texas PW at the University of Texas at Austin, USA\textsuperscript{77}. In France, following the closure of the Phebus facility at Limeil-Valenton, the LULI-2000 facility was created\textsuperscript{152} at Ecole Polytechnique, with many components also contributing to the Vulcan PW in the UK and PHELIX in Germany.

The physics at the laser–target interaction point is strongly governed by the intensity of the laser, although the reporting of peak power has become the standard in defining laser capability. This might be because a direct measurement of the focal intensity is extremely difficult. Researchers have measured the ionization ratio of atoms in the light field (optical field ionization) to assess the focused peak intensities\textsuperscript{153}. Furthermore, many new high-peak-power laser systems have arisen, and technology has improved over the original petawatt, but the peak focal intensities achieved have only increased from ~10\textsuperscript{21} to ~10\textsuperscript{22} W/cm\textsuperscript{2}. However, the usable intensities for experiments have typically been an order of magnitude less than this, partly due to a lack of emphasis on the integrated capabilities required for practical exploitation, as opposed to academic demonstration. Notably, peak intensity demonstrations have occurred on specific Nd:glass-based lasers: the Vulcan PW in the UK at 1 × 10\textsuperscript{21} W/cm\textsuperscript{2} (2004)\textsuperscript{55}; the Ti:sapphire-based HERCULES laser at the University of Michigan, USA at 1 × 10\textsuperscript{22} W/cm\textsuperscript{2} (2004)\textsuperscript{70}; J-KAREN-P in Japan at 1 × 10\textsuperscript{22} W/cm\textsuperscript{2} (2018)\textsuperscript{146}, and most recently, the record intensity of 5.5 × 10\textsuperscript{22} W/cm\textsuperscript{2} was demonstrated at the CoReLS laser\textsuperscript{150}.

It is interesting to note that even the highest-peak-power laser systems (10 PW and beyond) proposed or already in commissioning make no exception to this trend and largely predict intensities of only up to 10\textsuperscript{23} W/cm\textsuperscript{2} (notably L4-ELI\textsuperscript{145}, EP-OPAL\textsuperscript{154}, SULF\textsuperscript{129} and SEL\textsuperscript{130}). A fundamental physics or engineering limit is not clear; however, material challenges such as imperfect diffraction gratings\textsuperscript{155,156}, optics and gain materials reduce the overall laser focusability in time and space.

Despite this observation, the race to even higher peak power is underway (see Section 4.1 in this review). Figure 17 shows the development of peak power versus year across the world and by region. It can be seen that all early high-power lasers were derivatives of the US inertial confinement fusion (ICF) program and based on Nd:glass for gain media. With the invention of titanium-doped sapphire (Ti:sapphire) in 1982 at MIT Lincoln Lab\textsuperscript{26}, which provides ~20× more gain bandwidth at a ~5× lower saturation fluence compared to Nd:glass, the invention of ultra-short pulsed (<100 fs) terawatt and petawatt laser systems became possible. Commercially available 100 TW–10 PW lasers rely on large-aperture Ti:sapphire, with the exception of a product by National Energetics that relies on a mix of Nd:phosphate and Nd:silicate glass to enhance the gain bandwidth in the amplifier chain. Figure 17 also shows that in the US currently no high-power lasers exceeding 1 PW are planned, despite its leadership in the past.

A representation of the operational limits of high-power/high-energy laser systems is demonstrated in Figure 18. The figure includes those facilities that are operational, under construction, or decommissioned globally, with colour indicating the laser media of the final amplifier stage. The diameter of the circles/octagons are logarithmically proportional to the average power of the lasers shown. Vertical and horizontal axes are peak power and integrated pulse energy of a single (coherent) aperture – in the case of multi-beam lasers like the 192-beam NIF laser shown, total system performance is diagonally up and to the right by the number of beams. Diagonal lines indicate the laser pulse width corresponding to the pulse energy divided by peak power. The right vertical axis indicates the peak focal intensity that would be reached if the beam was focused to a spot of a square micron in area, while the upper horizontal axis indicates the energy density corresponding to depositing the laser pulse energy into a cubic millimetre volume. Four operational envelopes are shown, within which laser designs satisfy limits of:
• bandwidth constraints (left diagonal lines);
• aperture size limits (40 cm × 40 cm perpendicular beam size for mirrors, lenses and nonlinear elements such as doublers; and 40 cm × 100 cm optic-normal for diffraction gratings);
• nonlinear B-integral limits; and
• damage fluence limits.

Limits on aperture size and damage fluence limits determine the rightmost edges of the operational zones shown, while the B-integral (intensity) limit and max aperture size limit the peak power laser pulses in NIF-size apertures to <10 TW in the 1 J–10 kJ range, as shown. The damage threshold limits and bandwidth constraints of both gold and multi-layer dielectric metre-scale diffraction gratings are also indicated, as is the similar limits for fused silica. The damage threshold data presented by Stuart et al.\textsuperscript{[157]} were used for the gold grating and fused silica limits as shown.

Figure 18 dramatically illustrates that high-peak-power laser development since the invention of CPA has been fundamentally constrained, especially in the case of Nd-glass lasers based on fusion energy laser technology, by energy limits determined by optic size and damage thresholds, and in the case of Ti:sapphire-based ultrashort-pulse lasers by bandwidth limits. The steady ascent of Ti:sapphire, OPCPA and Nd:glass technologies upward in peak power has, with the construction of several ten to multi-tens of petawatt systems, nearly reached the ∼100 PW limit of metre-scale gold diffraction gratings. The continued progress of ultra-intense CPA lasers must therefore take a multi-beam approach. Non-CPA Nd:glass fusion lasers have taken this approach for decades, which is most dramatically demonstrated with the 192-beam NIF laser. This could take the form of either incoherent or coherent beam combination of ∼10 PW scale beams. Indeed, the Shanghai SEL facility is already taking that approach to reach the 100 PW scale by combining four multi-tens of petawatt beams. Finally, the pioneering work of the
Figure 18. The current high-energy/high-power lasers globally (those that are operational represented by circles with continuous borders; those under construction represented by circles with dashed borders; or those that are decommissioned represented by octagons; with colour indicating the laser media of the final amplifier stage – defined in the legend in Figure 17).

The cumulative peak power of high-power laser systems worldwide is shown in Figure 19. In green colour are those that are operational, and in orange colour are those under construction (funded projects). Not shown are conceptual or proposed systems. Europe (including systems in Russia as they are geographically in continental Europe) and North America currently operate approximately the same amount of petawatts as Asia does. However, it can be clearly seen that both Europe and Asia are heavily investing in the installation of several laser systems with very high peak powers, while there is only one high-peak-power laser facility currently being commissioned in North America.

The increasing number of petawatt class lasers has also resulted in a significant increase in publications on science with petawatt lasers, as described in the recently published NAS Report for Opportunities in Brightest Light, with topics mainly in the areas of secondary source generation, plasma physics and basic science. Practical applications of such petawatt-class-driven capability include the development of proton and ion sources for medical applications, including cancer diagnostics and therapy; high-flux neutron sources for neutron radiography, special nuclear materials detection and materials science; high-brightness X-ray/gamma-ray sources for non-destructive interrogation and evaluation, medical diagnostics, ultrafast imaging at the molecular and atomic level, and nuclear photonics; and electron particle accelerators for next-generation colliders. The prospect of these applications has been demonstrated in proof-of-principle experiments using low-repetition-rate lasers (e.g., high-resolution micro computed tomography). The ultimate realization of these applications will require petawatt class lasers with photon flux 10 MJ/day < flux < 100 GJ/day, i.e., high repetition rates and higher average powers – beyond the ‘kW barrier’ to 10s of kW, and ultimately 100s of kW (Figure 20 quadrant ‘high-power applications’).

The peak power versus average power of high-peak-power, single-aperture laser systems and its primary pump lasers are shown in Figure 20. Key applications are indicated: a laser-plasma collider 300 kW unit cell (of which there would be ~200 in a TeV-scale collider); positron emission tomography (PET) radioisotope production, hadron and boron-neutron capture (BNCT) therapies; ion and neutron beams for radiography, non-destructive inspection and materials processing; high-harmonic generation (HHG) light sources; and...
laser-ablation-based space debris clearing; and inertial fusion energy unit cells (of which an IFE plant would require 100–200). Of particular note in this figure is the cluster of systems following a 45-degree upward path. These systems, predominately fusion laser architectures, scale both peak and average powers by scaling aperture and hence pulse energy at constant fluence. The four decades of sustained programmatic investments in fusion laser technology have brought innovations such as multi-beam operation, robust and aggressive thermal management, and novel materials to maintain scaling along this pathway. Future scaling along this well-travelled pathway will lead into the quadrant for applications at extreme peak powers.

An examination of the average powers of the operating and planned petawatt facilities across the world, shown in Figure 20, shows that average powers span from \(\sim 100 \text{ mW}\) (notionally a \(\text{kJ}\) shot per hour), where a large amount of global follow-on petawatt capability exists, to state-of-the-art sub-kilowatt, with the operating \(\sim 75 \text{ W}\) flashlamp pumped Ti:sapphire petawatt laser at the Colorado State University\cite{67}, the \(\sim 50 \text{ W}\) flashlamp pumped petawatt laser BELLA\cite{40}, the 44 W SCAPA facility at the University of Strathclyde, UK\cite{88} and LLNL’s 500 W diode-pumped High-repetition-rate Advanced Petawatt Laser System (HAPLS) laser leading the pack\cite{41}.

In fact, the high repetition rate (10 Hz) of the HAPLS system is a watershed moment for the community, as it reaches the point at which sophisticated feedback control systems, as opposed to the feedforward designs of the past, can optimize and maintain the spatial focusing and temporal compression of the laser output to near-diffraction-limit values. At repetition rates \(> 5 \text{ Hz}\) they allow for feedback from the sample or target itself (whether in an academic or industrial application) to dynamically optimize the laser system performance based on the end-product performance. Furthermore, the high repetition rate allows the laser system to stay in thermal equilibrium, and therefore offers unprecedented pulse-to-pulse stability. Attributed to its architecture and the diode pumping, HAPLS has already superior stability in pointing (\(< 1 \mu\text{rad}\) and energy stability (\(< 0.6\% \text{ RMS}\)). These modern high-repetition-rate laser systems open up a new arena of precision that gives access to quantitative science.

Comparing the cumulative average power of petawatt class lasers installed and in construction across the world (Figure 21) to the cumulative intensity shown in Figure 19, reveals that Europe is investing strongly in high-average-power technology while Asia focuses its investments in achieving the highest peak power. Europe’s investments, mainly through its ELI (Extreme Light Infrastructure) projects, represent a massive increase in experimental productivity and a focus on development of high-intensity laser applications. Although the US’s LLNL developed the leading DPSSL HAPLS system for the ELI in Europe, currently no other investments in US capability are known. This was also reflected in the NAS Report for Opportunities in Brightest Light\cite{5}.

One of the most compelling applications is the realization of a laser-based free electron laser (FEL). FELs are unique X-ray light sources with unprecedented peak brightness, offering insights into matter, molecules, chemistry, biology and so forth, otherwise not accessible. Shrinking the electron accelerator (typically a few tens of GeV) from several kilometres down to a laser-driven plasma accelerator that occupies only a few metres in real estate would allow a dramatic cost reduction and enlarging the user base of these unique light sources\cite{45–47}.

High-power lasers have, over the preceding five decades, illuminated entirely new fields of scientific endeavour, as well as made a profound impact on society. While the United States pioneered lasers and their early applications, it has been eclipsed in the past decade by highly effective national and international networks in both Europe and Asia.

4. Future technologies

In order to realize petawatt class laser facilities operating at ever shorter pulses, higher energies and higher repetition rates require advanced technologies to be developed. In this section we examine the various technologies which point the way to design these future systems. The section is broken down into three main subsections:

- Ultra-high-power development
- High-average-power development
- Enabling technologies.
4.1. Ultra-high-power development

The drive to deliver ever-increasing powers is driven largely by a desire to achieve ever-increasing intensities to target to achieve focused intensities $>10^{23}$ W/cm$^2$. At these focused intensities new regimes of physics could be realized. In this section we therefore examine various techniques which could be used to scale lasers to exawatt class facilities. Three techniques are discussed:

- The journey to 100 PW OPCPA systems
- Alternative 100 PW schemes
- Plasma amplifiers.

4.1.1. The journey to 100 PW OPCPA systems

OPCPA was first demonstrated by Dubietis et al., at Vilnius University, Lithuania in 1992 and the first practical designs for large-aperture systems to generate powers in excess of 10 PW and focused intensities $>10^{23}$ W/cm$^2$ were developed by Ross et al., Central Laser Facility, UK[158]. In this technique the frequency-doubled light from a high-energy Nd:glass laser facility is transferred to a chirped short-pulse laser via parametric amplification in typically BBO, LBO or KDP crystals, depending on beam aperture.

The first terawatt OPCPA laser was demonstrated at the Central Laser Facility in the UK by Ross et al. in 2000[159] with a multi-TW OPCPA laser developed within SIOM’s State Key Laboratory of High Field Laser Physics in 2002 producing 570 mJ in 155 fs, giving 3.67 TW[135]. The limitation for these systems having a relatively long pulse was using KDP as the output crystal, for which the gain bandwidth is narrow.

Further progress towards scaling to the petawatt level was possible after the discovery of ultra-broadband phase-matching at 911 nm wavelength in DKDP crystal[160]. Even though the difference between the refractive indices of DKDP and KDP is tiny, it drastically impacts the bandwidth of the parametric amplification. Based on this phenomenon, the first petawatt class OPCPA system was developed at the Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Nizhny Novgorod. The laser, known as PEARL (Figure 22), delivered 0.2 PW in 2006[116] and was upgraded to 0.56 PW in 2007[117] using a homemade Nd:glass rod laser (300 J at fundamental wavelength) as a pump.

Later the FEMTA laser was built at the Russian Federal Nuclear Center in Sarov (Nizhny Novgorod region). The scheme was the same as PEARL but the final OPCPA amplifier was pumped by a 2 kJ Nd:glass slab laser LUCH[161]. The output power was 1 PW (70 J in 70 fs)[162].

The technique has now moved on with the demonstration, or plans to construct, multi-petawatt OPCPA lasers at a number of institutions in Europe, USA and China, described in the facility review section of this paper. The technique is scalable with plans to use it at several laboratories globally to generate powers of up to 200 PW.

At the Laboratory for Laser Energetics (LLE), University of Rochester, USA, technologies are being developed for using the OMEGA EP beamlines to pump an ultra-intense OPCPA system, called EP-OPAL (Optical Parametric Amplifier Line).[154]. In this concept, two of the EP beamlines would be used to pump large-aperture DKDP amplifiers with a bandwidth at 920 nm sufficient for 20 fs pulses. The goal at full scale is to deliver two beams each with 30 PW to a target chamber for joint-shot experiments with picosecond and/or nanosecond pulses from the other two EP beamlines. A two-stage focusing scheme with an $f$/4.6 off-axis parabola and an ellipsoidal plasma mirror has been proposed for achieving intensities greater than $10^{23}$ W/cm$^2$. The technical challenges facing EP-OPAL are being addressed in a prototype system, MTW-OPAL, which is a 0.5 PW facility currently under construction to deliver 7.5 J, 15 fs pulses to target using the same all-OPCPA platform[163]. The primary challenges being developed are, ranked by difficulty:

1. Advanced gratings; large-aperture DKDP; specialized optical coatings for large-aperture mirrors.
2. Wavefront control, adaptive optics, and two-stage focusing to maximize focused intensity.
4. Laser subsystem development including broadband front end and OPA gain adjustment.

A common feature of the exawatt scale ($>200$ PW) facilities is a requirement for coherent pulse combination as a means of generating sufficient power, due to the constraints of individual beam delivery. Precisely overlapping the ultrashort pulses in space and time is going to be extremely challenging. Random spatiotemporal phase noise in one

Figure 22. The PEARL OPCPA laser facility at the Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences (picture courtesy of the Institute of Applied Physics).
beam may cause the Strehl ratio of the combined focused pulse to decrease and the temporal contrast on target to degrade. Simulations indicate that phase noise on the beam with peak to valley (P–V) of $\lambda/4$ and $\lambda/3$ results in Strehl ratios of 0.9 and 0.8, respectively. They also show that the higher the frequency of the noise, the poorer the temporal contrast obtained after pulse combination. For high-frequency spatiotemporal phase noise, just $\lambda/5$ P–V could make the temporal contrast drop from $10^3$ (simulation limit) to $10^8$.

The original concept of ELI was for there to be a fourth pillar, to study ultra-high field science. This facility was to use a coherent superposition of up to ten 20 PW beamlines to produce 200 PW to target \cite{60}. The facility is still on the agenda but is currently unfunded. All three of the existing pillars are contributing to high-power laser development or nonlinear conversion techniques to generate the baseline technologies to approach the 200 PW level and ultimately generate focused intensities $>10^{25}$ W/cm$^2$ \cite{165}. In 2011, the Ministry of Education and Science of France organized the new international institute IZEST (International Institute for Zettawatt-Exawatt Science and Technology) to provide scientific and organizational support of projects aimed at developing exawatt laser powers and their applications.

At the Institute of Applied Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Nizhny Novgorod XCELS (Exawatt Centre for Extreme Light Studies) was proposed as a megascience project. The design is based on phase-locking of 12 laser channels, each of them a copy of the PEARL laser upgraded by additional OPCPA amplification, each delivering up to 15 PW \cite{166}. As a result almost 200 PW will be reached. A ‘double-belt geometry’ has been proposed, which for 12 beams provides an order of magnitude increase in focused intensity compared to the intensity generated when a single 200 PW beam is focused by an $f/1.2$ parabola \cite{167}. It is anticipated that funding from the Russian government will be provided soon in order to start the XCELS project.

An ambitious project led by SIOM, Shanghai, China is the Station of Extreme Light (SEL, Figure 23). SEL is one of the end stations of SHINE (Shanghai High-repetition-rate XFEL and Extreme light facility), a hard XFEL currently under construction in Shanghai. The laser will use coherent beam combination generating four 30 PW pulses to deliver 20 PW, 10 fs at 0.01 Hz and a final stage delivering 50 PW, 10 fs at a shot on demand. The concept is based on laser pumping of p-DKDP crystals, with the first stage pumped by a DPSSL, the second stage by a split disk amplifier producing 2.7 kJ in a sub-ns beam at 532 nm, and the third stage using one of the output beams of the LFEX facility generating 6.4 kJ in a sub-ns beam at 532 nm \cite{168}. Although this concept is not funded yet, development of the major components for GEKKO-EXA is being undertaken at ILE.

To explore exawatt laser physics, a super-intense ultrashort laser project, named SG-II SuperX, is planned at SIOM, China. SG-II SuperX is a multi-beam high-efficiency OPCPA system pumped by the eight $2\omega$ nanosecond beamlines of the SG-II facility in four implementation phases.

- In phase 1, SG-II 5 PW, the single-beam OPCPA system pumped by the SG-II facility at partial capacity, is used to verify the feasibility of large-aperture efficient and stable OPCPA technology.

- In phase 2, the full capacity of two SG-II beamlines will be used for pumping two OPCPA beams to demonstrate high-power ultrafast coherent beam combining (CBC) technology. The two OPCPA beams will be compressed, coherently combined and focused onto target, yielding 35 PW (350 J/10 fs) peak power and $10^{25}$ W/cm$^2$ focused intensity.

- In phase 3, SG-II facility could be upgraded to deliver 1.8 kJ IR per beam. Two beams will be used to pump early OPCPA stages and six beamlines used to boost the final OPCPA stages of six SG-II SuperX beams. On target, 250 PW (2.5 kJ/10 fs) peak power will be obtained and greater than $10^{23}$ W/cm$^2$ focused intensity will be achieved.

- In the last phase, the OPCPA and CBC techniques can be applied, in principle, to scale up SG-II SuperX system into an exawatt class laser.

![Figure 23. A schematic of the SEL (Station of Extreme Light) 100 PW laser facility under construction in Shanghai (picture courtesy of SIOM).](https://doi.org/10.1017/hpl.2019.36 Published online by Cambridge University Press)
The next decade will see a dramatic increase in development work across the globe for the delivery of >100 PW systems, together with the SEL project expected to come online in 2023. These facilities will open up a new regime in discovery science as focused intensities in excess of \(10^{23}\) \(\text{W/cm}^2\) could be realized. To achieve 100 PW operation of future systems with modest energies, researchers are also endeavouring to reduce the pulsewidth of these lasers towards the single-cycle limit\[169\].

4.1.2. Alternative >100 PW schemes
In the previous subsection we discussed the generation of >100 PW using OPCPA as the principal technique. There are also proposals for the generation of this type of pulse using alternative techniques.

4.1.2.1. Compression after Compressor Approach (CafCA).

The main limitation of laser power is the damage threshold and physical size of diffraction gratings. It is not possible to increase the pulse energy after grating compression, but laser power may be increased by pulse shortening. The technique described here is called Compression after Compressor Approach (CafCA) and is based on spectral broadening by self-phase modulation (SPM) in nonlinear plates and eliminating the spectral phase through chirped mirror(s). This idea has been successfully used in mJ pulse energy systems since the 1980s, but power scaling was limited by the aperture of gas-filled capillaries and self-focusing.

In 2009 Mironov et al.\[170\] proposed a scheme to overcome this limit by using large-aperture nonlinear crystals instead of capillaries. In recent years CafCA was demonstrated with crystals, glasses, or plastics, in which the most powerful experiment to date was carried out where a 100-mm-diameter 5.5 J pulse was compressed from 57 fs to 22 fs\[171\]. At the PEARL laser, Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, a pulse with an energy of 17 J was compressed from 70 fs to 14 fs\[172\]. The most detailed numerical studies\[173\] have shown that the laser power may be increased by a factor of 27 in a single-stage CafCA. In this paper nonlinear phase \(B\) was accumulated up to value of \(B = 48\). To avoid small-scale self-focusing the beam was cleaned by eight pinhole spatial filters placed in between nine nonlinear elements with \(B = 5.3\) in each. This challenging design may be simplified to a practical level by suppression of self-focusing via beam free-space propagation which was proposed and experimentally confirmed in 2012 by Mironov et al.\[174\], or a multistage CafCA approach as shown in Figure 24. The advantage of multistage CafCA is clearly seen from the simple formulae showing how many times the pulse power may be increased over a single-stage CafCA:

\[
P_{\text{out}} / P_{\text{in}} = 1 + B / 2
\]

According to it \(P_{\text{out}} / P_{\text{in}} = 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27\) may be reached by only three-stage CafCA, with a conservative value \(B = 4\) in each stage.

In pulse duration, CafCA is limited by the single-cycle pulse, roughly an order of magnitude shorter than current CPA and OPCPA limits. In energy CafCA is limited by the laser-induced damage threshold of the chirped mirrors,
Figure 25. Comparison of Raman amplification for two different parameter configurations, to demonstrate the importance of controlling long-wavelength laser-plasma instabilities. The results displayed are based on numerical simulations originally by Trines et al. [183].

which is much higher than the current grating damage threshold [176, 177]. CafCA could drastically increase the power of any laser from terawatts to tens of petawatts.

4.1.2.2. Nexawatt. Currently, scaling of petawatt lasers to higher pulse energy and peak powers is limited by several things: intensity-dependent damage thresholds of post-compression and final focusing optics, insufficient stretched pulse durations needed to avoid damage to amplifier and transport optics, and a lack of pre-amplifier bandwidth to support shorter pulse durations.

The Nexawatt concept [178] proposed at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, US relies on extracting the full potential of the disc amplifiers of a NIF or NIF-like beamline (∼25 kJ) but using a novel compressor design to confine this energy to a 100 fs pulse, producing pulses with peak powers of over 200 PW. This could be achieved using a typical four-grating compressor; however, two of the gratings would require apertures of 4.5 m. To avoid this, a six-grating compressor design is proposed utilizing gratings with a more achievable 2 m aperture manufactured by stitched lithographic exposure.

The existing main amplifiers do not require any changes to achieve 25 kJ output; however, significant work to the pre-amplifier sections of the beamline must be done to include optical parametric and Nd:silicate amplification stages. This will provide the necessary joule-level seed energy and bandwidth required to support the amplification of 100 fs pulses.

Damage to final optics is avoided by increasing beam area via splitting the beam prior to compression and then coherently recombining the beams prior to focusing, where peak intensities of 10^26 W/cm^2 are anticipated.

4.1.3. Plasma amplifiers

Raman (and later Brillouin) scattering was first discovered in solid-state physics [179] and also found applications in gases and molecular vibrations as well as nonlinear optics. Raman amplification is a pulse compression technique based on Raman scattering [180]: a long pump pulse with a frequency \( \omega_0 \) interacts with a short signal pulse with frequency \( \omega_1 < \omega_0 \) in a medium with characterizing Raman frequency \( \omega_R \), and frequencies are chosen such that \( \omega_0 = \omega_1 + \omega_R \). Since the bandwidth of the signal pulse is determined by the growth rate of the Raman scattering process, it can be much larger than the bandwidth of the pump pulse, so the signal pulse can be much shorter than the original pump pulse. If Raman amplification is then carried out in a regime where the pump pulse is significantly depleted, so a significant fraction of its energy ends up in the signal pulse, then the signal pulse’s intensity after amplification can be orders of magnitude larger than the original pump pulse intensity. While Raman scattering has been used widely in fibre optics, solid media are not suitable for Raman scattering at truly high powers because of their inherent damage thresholds. For this reason, plasma-based compression and amplification of laser pulses via Raman or Brillouin scattering has been proposed [181, 182].

Numerical simulations of Raman and Brillouin amplification have been performed using a multitude of models. Examples of Raman amplification modelling are shown in Figure 25, which shows a comparison of Raman amplification for two different parameter configurations, to demonstrate the importance of controlling long-wavelength laser-plasma instabilities [183]. The left panel shows Raman amplification of a 700 µm FWHM diameter signal pulse in a 2D particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation. Shown here are snapshots of the growing probe pulse (\( \lambda = 844 \) nm). The x and y scales refer to the local coordinates of the probe pulse itself, and the ‘time’ scale refers to the probe propagation time. The final probe FWHM intensity, power and duration are \( 2 \times 10^{17} \) W/cm^2, 2 PW and ∼25 fs after 4 mm interaction length. The energy transfer efficiency is about 35% and the amplified probe has a mostly smooth intensity envelope, as the reduced plasma density \( (n_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{18} \) cm^-3 or \( \omega_0/\omega_p = 20 \) keeps the probe filamentation in check. The right panel shows Raman amplification of a 350 µm FWHM diameter signal pulse at a plasma density of \( n_0 = 1.8 \times 10^{19} \) cm^-3 or \( \omega_0/\omega_p = 10 \), all other parameters as in the left frame. For this higher density, uncontrolled filamentation severely compromises the pulse envelope.

In addition to Raman scattering, Brillouin scattering can also be used to amplify and compress laser pulses in plasma...
(Brillouin amplification). In order to reach high powers and intensities, Brillouin amplification will take place in the so-called ‘strong coupling’ regime, where the ponderomotive pressure by the EM fields dominates over the thermal pressure of the plasma electrons. The principles of the Brillouin amplification process in plasma have been developed by Andreev et al.\textsuperscript{[184]} and later by Lehmann and Spatschek\textsuperscript{[185, 186]}, and show that it is similar to Raman amplification, even though the scaling is different.

The differences between Raman and Brillouin amplification can be summarized as follows. Raman amplification will achieve the highest intensities, powers and pump-to-signal compression ratios, but requires the frequencies of pump and signal to be separated by the plasma frequency, a separation which depends on the plasma density and may be difficult to achieve in experiments. It is therefore more sensitive to fluctuations in the laser and plasma parameters. Brillouin amplification achieves lower intensities, powers and pump-to-signal compression ratios, but the frequency difference between pump and signal is usually smaller than the signal pulse bandwidth. This means that pump and signal pulses can have the same carrier frequency and thus be generated by the same laser source, making Brillouin amplification experiments easier to design and less sensitive to laser and plasma parameter fluctuations.

Numerous plasma-based Raman amplification experiments have been conducted since the publications by Malkin, Shvets and Fisch\textsuperscript{[182]} in the late 1990s. Three main campaigns can be highlighted: at Princeton; Livermore; and Strathclyde. Furthermore, there is a campaign to demonstrate Brillouin amplification in plasma by a group at LULI.

The work at Princeton culminated in an experiment by Ren et al.\textsuperscript{[187]}, in which a short seed pulse was amplified to an intensity of $2.5 \times 10^{16}$ W/cm$^2$, at 50 fs FWHM duration and 15 µm FWHM spot diameter, using a pump pulse at $1.5 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$, 20 ps FWHM duration and 50 µm FWHM spot diameter. This is the only experimental campaign that provides good temporal and transverse envelope characterization of the amplified signal pulse, so the absolute amplification and compression of the signal pulse can be assessed properly. Again, this experiment showed good amplification of the peak pulse intensity, while this was not matched by a similar increase in total pulse power or energy, mainly because the spot diameter of the seed pulse was much smaller than that of the pump pulse.

The Livermore experimental results report\textsuperscript{[188–190]} significant ‘spectral amplification’, which is roughly defined as the spectral intensity of the amplified seed divided by that of the initial seed, measured at the Raman backscattering frequency $\omega_0 - \omega_p$, where $\omega_0$ and $\omega_p$ denote the pump and plasma frequencies, respectively. However, these experiments cannot be seen separately from the investigations by Kirkwood et al. into energy exchange by crossing laser beams, which started in 1997 and continues into the present day\textsuperscript{[191]}. The pump-to-signal energy transfer efficiency as measured in these experiments is at or below the 1% level.

The Strathclyde campaign on Raman amplification has been backed up by theoretical and numerical work\textsuperscript{[192, 193]}. The experiments report high levels of spectral amplification\textsuperscript{[194–196]}, while the pump-to-signal energy transfer efficiency usually hovers around the 1% level.

At LULI research on Brillouin and Raman amplification has consisted of a number of experiments. The early experiments showed significant spectral amplification but the pump-to-signal energy transfer was limited to only 0.1%–0.3% of the total pump energy\textsuperscript{[197, 198]}. In a more recent milestone experiment laser amplification of subpicosecond pulses above the joule level was demonstrated with a new record for efficiency ($\sim$20%)\textsuperscript{[199]}.

On the whole, Raman amplification in plasma has been more successful in theory\textsuperscript{[182, 184]} and simulations\textsuperscript{[183, 200, 201]} than even in the best experiments\textsuperscript{[187, 197]}. This is in contrast to Raman experiments in fibre optics, where this technique is used routinely nowadays\textsuperscript{[180]}. In particular, the efficiency of plasma-based Raman amplification has to be increased drastically. This can only be achieved if Raman amplification can be maintained over longer interaction distances and larger spot diameters, and future experimental effort will have to concentrate on this. Also, experimental effort needs to move away from maximizing spectral ‘gain’ via reducing the energy content of the initial signal pulse, since this will not lead to any improvement in absolute output power and energy, which are the two yardsticks by which true performance of any laser amplifier needs to be measured.

Furthermore, novel laser amplification techniques such as OPCPA\textsuperscript{[174]} or diode-pumped solid-state lasers\textsuperscript{[202]} are threatening to overtake even the theoretical predictions for Raman amplification for near-infrared laser pulses. Thus, Raman amplification needs to find new niches where these competing techniques are not strong:

(i) scalability to other wavelengths;

(ii) scalability of signal pulse parameters with pump intensity and duration; and

(iii) amplification of higher-order laser modes, rather than just Gaussian.

The nature of the Raman backscattering instability allows it to be scaled in various ways. First of all, it can be scaled to various wavelengths, to allow the amplification, for instance, infrared light (for example, the third harmonic of a 1054 nm laser at 351 nm)\textsuperscript{[200]} or coherent X-ray pulses\textsuperscript{[203–205]}, something that would be hard to achieve using conventional methods. Second, the scaling of the seed pulse duration with pump laser intensity and interaction distance can be exploited to design a tunable Raman amplifier, where the
duration of the amplified signal pulse can be controlled via the pump laser parameters\textsuperscript{[200]}, allowing access to a regime of signal pulse parameters often unavailable to conventional solid-state amplifiers. Finally, Raman amplification can be used to amplify higher-order laser modes (for example, Laguerre–Gaussian or Hermite–Gaussian). The nonlinearity of the Raman process can be exploited to: (i) create new modes from old ones\textsuperscript{[206]} and (ii) drive cascades of modes, where a large number of higher-order modes can be generated using just a few lower-order modes in the initial pulses\textsuperscript{[207]}. These features are not commonly available in present-day solid-state amplifier systems.

4.2. High-average-power development

The petawatt facilities described in Section 2 of this review operate at relatively low repetition rates, generally limited to sub-Hz operation, with only relatively recent facilities able to achieve multi-Hz operation at petawatt power levels. Experiments using these facilities have therefore been limited to fundamental science and proof-of-principle applications. As these experimental techniques have matured, there is a drive to deliver these systems for real-world applications. As can be seen from Figure 20, envisioned applications (shown with rectangles) require average power levels of a few kilowatts to megawatts, with peak power levels of $\sim$100 TW to 1 PW. Getting both high-peak and high-average powers simultaneously is therefore becoming increasingly important. Indeed, the first particle acceleration demonstrations\textsuperscript{[208]} relied on petawatt laser systems with relatively low repetition rates (1 Hz) like BELLA at LNBL, USA\textsuperscript{[209]}, that were energized by multiple, aperture-combined flashlamp pumped Nd:YAG lasers. The HAPLS laser developed by LLNL for ELI-Beamlines is the highest average power diode-pumped petawatt laser capable of delivering up to 300 W of average power for user experiments. However, future particle accelerators would require the laser to operate at repetition rates orders of magnitude higher to reach the hundreds of kW average power requested (see Table 25 in paper by Leemans\textsuperscript{[210]}) In this section we look at techniques which are making significant advances in the development of systems to deliver high-average-power capabilities:

- HAP gas-cooled architectures
- Scaling petawatt class lasers beyond 10 kW
- Cryo-HAP laser development
- Coherent beam combining
- Time-domain pulse combining
- Temperature-insensitive OPCPA.

4.2.1. HAP gas-cooled architectures

The general recipe for making high-average-power lasers is to significantly reduce the laser gain medium heat intake and optimize the extraction of heat. Furthermore, operating the laser amplifier in a steady-state regime becomes important for stability, repeatability and management of thermal stress in the amplifier. Typical heat-induced, deleterious effects resulting on the system are stress-induced birefringence, thermal lensing or thermo-optic distortion.

Flashlamps energize most of today’s Nd-doped pump lasers and are feasible for pumping Ti:sapphire-based petawatt lasers up to 0.1 Hz. Only a fraction of the flashlamp’s broadband optical emission is used for optical inversion in the pump laser’s gain medium; the other part is directly lost into heat in the amplifier medium. Furthermore, the spectrum from the UV to IR results in a varying loss due to the quantum defect of the gain medium. Therefore, if the repetition rate is increased beyond 0.1 Hz, aperture combining is necessary (i.e., the flashlamp induced heat is distributed over multiple rod amplifiers, effectively increasing the surface area to extract heat). Hence all flashlamp-pumped lasers with repetition rates $>0.1$ Hz use this technique. However, scaling the repetition rate beyond a few Hz significantly increases the complexity of the system and the electrical power consumed becomes prohibitive (e.g., BELLA at 1 Hz consumes $\sim$200 kW of electrical power, including all systems and cooling, for 45 W of optical output).

Therefore, pumping the laser gain medium in its absorption band with a narrowband source such as laser diodes is preferable. Almost all of the optical energy is absorbed – hence only the quantum defect and ASE losses must be accounted for. The electrical-to-optical efficiency for laser diodes is $\sim$60%; therefore, replacing the flashlamps with diodes in a Ti:sapphire petawatt laser system leads to a thirteen times increase in efficiency, or the possibility to run the laser system thirteen times faster with a similar heat load.

The second technology advancement required for average power is the removal of heat. Typically, heat is removed through the edges of the amplifier gain medium, resulting in stress patterns perpendicular to the beam propagation direction, and therefore to large distortions. LLNL pioneered the gas-cooling technique in the early 1980s where heat removal is achieved through face-cooling the amplifier with room-temperature helium gas travelling at ultrasonic speeds. In this case the heat gradient is along the beam propagation axis, resulting in minimal distortions. The first high-energy demonstration of this technique was realized in the Mercury laser system\textsuperscript{[63]}, which delivered at its time a record average power of 650 W with 65 J/pulse in the first harmonic, and 225 W from a large YCOB crystal in the second harmonic with $\sim$50% conversion efficiency with a repetition rate of 10 Hz. The Mercury team won 3 R&D100 awards for its innovations. The gas-cooling technique has been successfully adopted by several groups worldwide and extended to cryogenic gas cooling of laser gain materials.
The HAPLS pump laser, shown in Figure 26, is an extension of the Mercury technology. Initially designed for an inertial fusion energy power plant driver[211], LLNL downscaled their 8 kJ two-head design to a 200 J, DPSSL Nd:glass gas-cooled system, enabling the production of a pump laser pulse from a single aperture at 10 Hz. The power amplifier consists of two amplifier heads that are pumped by four High-Power Intelligent Laser Diode Systems (HILADS), jointly developed by LLNL and Lasertel Inc. Each HILADS provides ∼800 kW peak power per diode array in a 300 µs pulse width at repetition rates up to 20 Hz and in a 5.6 cm × 13.8 cm beam. HILADS is the highest-peak-power and brightest pulsed diode light delivery system in the world. The optical-to-optical efficiency is approximately 21%, therefore significantly reducing the heat intake into the amplifier slabs compared to flashlamps. A solid-state edge cladding is used to minimize parasitic amplified spontaneous emission.

A similar technique noteworthy is the thin-disk technology, where conductive cooling through the back-surface of the laser gain medium is achieved. However, the thickness of the disk must be kept small (<300 µm) so that stress effects do not set in. Hence, the energy storage of such media is typically less than 1 J and unsuitable for high-energy applications.

4.2.2. Scaling petawatt class lasers beyond 10 kW

Scaling the technology of high-peak power lasers to higher average power while maintaining key technological performance requirements is challenging. Operating petawatt class lasers beyond 10 kW average power requires a paradigm shift in laser design. To date, average power increase has been accomplished by scaling; increasing the repetition rate of single-shot laser architectures, in which each shot represents a complete pump/extraction cycle. A new scheme developed by LLNL is multi-pulse extraction (MPE) and is illustrated in Figure 27. In this scheme the gain medium is pumped continuously, and the upper-state population is extracted over many pulses during the radiation lifetime, allowing access to laser gain media with: long radiation life times; extremely high saturation fluences; and broadband gain spectrum. Hence the method has three primary benefits.

- There is no need to pump within a single inverse lifetime, and therefore more efficient, much cheaper CW pump sources can be used that deliver the pump energy over a longer time.
- Because efficient extraction is not necessary in a single pulse, the extraction fluence is much reduced in the corresponding nonlinear phase as well.
- Broadband gain media that can be directly pumped by diode become accessible, therefore reducing the number of stages, the overall system complexity, and the number of loss stages.

MPE requires that the gain material has an inverse lifetime significantly less than the desired repetition rate. LLNL conducted a study with over 80 known laser gain media and analysed their suitability for maximum net efficiency, for laser diode pumping (long upper-state lifetime) and for lasing properties consistent with achieving high-peak power operation (100 TW to multi-petawatt).

As shown in Figure 28 (left) thulium (Tm)-doped gain media offer significant lifetime advantages over the well-established Yb-doped materials traditionally used for diode-pumped fibre and bulk systems. Thulium MPE becomes efficient at repetition rates >3–5 kHz (see Figure 28 right). In comparison, ytterbium materials require repetition rates >50–100 kHz to operate efficiently or must be cryo-cooled. To be relevant for sub-100 fs applications, the gain bandwidth of thulium in the chosen laser host material must be >50 nm, which is satisfied by most of the host materials.

These considerations led to choosing Tm:YLF as the laser amplifier medium for a laser concept termed big aperture thulium (BAT) laser[212, 213], shown in Figure 29, consistent with the requirements for secondary source generation, and
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**Figure 28.** Left: Net efficiency (quantum defect \( \times \) indirect CPA efficiency \( \times \) electro-to-optical efficiency) versus gain lifetime of various laser gain media. For the Ti:sapphire case, \( \eta_{\text{ICPA}} \) is 0.38 while for the other cases, which use direct CPA designs, \( \eta_{\text{ICPA}} \) is unity. Laser media were down-selected for net efficiency (>50%) and diode pumping suitability (gain lifetime > 1 ms). Right: Extraction efficiency (stimulated emission rate divided by the sum of stimulated emission rate and spontaneous decay rate). In multi-pulse extraction, higher repetition rates (while maintaining the extraction fluence) are beneficial to the overall wall plug efficiency, i.e., the repetition rate determines the overall wall plug efficiency.

**Figure 29.** LLNL’s BAT laser is envisioned to be capable of delivering up to 300 kW of 10 kHz, 30 J, 100 fs laser pulses.

- **300,000 W Average Power**
  - BAT is an extension of HAPLS baseline two-head helium gas-cooled four-pass amplifier design.
  - 14X improvement in true wallplug efficiency (21%)
  - Tm:YLF laser media
    - 1900 nm emission pumped at 790 nm
    - Two-f-to-one pumping with low quantum defect
    - Superior thermal wave front (dr/dt)
    - Larger ponderomotive force
    - Material exists today

**Figure 29.**

- True CW pumping
- Long lifetime (30 ms) & multi-pulse extraction
- Efficient extraction at low fluence per pulse
- 1000X average power with only 2X more diodes!

The BAT system leverages the HAPLS baseline two-head helium gas-cooled four-pass amplifier design. It amplifies the short pulse directly in the primary laser chain using CPA, avoiding laser-pumped-laser architectures using indirect CPA (diode pumping of the pump laser) that have significantly higher energy loss inherently. Therefore, BAT can operate at electrical-to-optical efficiencies >30% and true wall-plug efficiencies of >20%. It can be directly pumped by commercially available, standard and inexpensive CW laser diodes at \( \sim \)800 nm, making use of the 2-for-1 excited state quenching in thulium, eliminating the need for an additional laser system to deliver pump light to the final amplifier. Furthermore, through a cross-relaxation process it is possible to excite two thulium ions with a given pump photon, decreasing the effect of the quantum defect.

Operating directly at 2 \( \mu \)m, BAT operates at reduced accumulated nonlinear phase retardation, or B-integral, which scales as \( 1/\lambda^2 \). Together with the wavelength scaling, the low-gain BAT architecture maintains a total B-integral <0.1 in the amplifier. This low total B-integral, together with the relay-imaged architecture, produces high stability and high beam quality, and contributes to maintaining the high contrast of the front end through the power amplifier. The BAT laser is truly a CW laser: at any given time, there is more energy stored in the laser than extracted; hence the underlying laser and material physics are steady-state and continuous. This transition from pulsed operation to CW has already been exploited in high-average-power fibre lasers for many years.

Significantly, key operating performances of a full-scale (300 kW) BAT can be effectively anchored on the performance of a ten-times-downscaled prototype BAT laser (miniBAT = 30 kW), with lower pulse energy and average power but identical fluences and identical thermal loading per unit area.

In addition to the desired petawatt class secondary source applications, the scalability of the system to higher pulse energy and average power at 2 \( \mu \)m also presents opportunities for additional applications in medicine, non-destructive evaluation, radiation testing, machining and other applications. Development of these new, very high average power technologies is underway at NIF&PS Advanced Photon Technologies Program.
4.2.3. Cryo-HAP laser development

Cryogenic high-average-power (cryo-HAP) laser development is a proven route to meeting the demand for systems that can operate at high average powers while maintaining high energies, a prerequisite for a petawatt class laser system.

Cooling the gain media to cryogenic or close to cryogenic temperatures is a long-standing method for improving a number of critical parameters, including the thermal conductivity and absorption and emission cross-sections. In 1998 Fan et al. reported on the improved properties of the then relatively new material, Yb:YAG, operating at cryogenic temperatures. These improvements were discussed between Yb:YAG operating at 300 and 100 K, and included a four-fold increase in thermal conductivity, reducing thermal lensing and stress-induced birefringence; in fact, they estimated that a system operating at 100 K possessed similar thermo-optic properties to one operating at 300 K, but at 1/15 of the average power. Yb:YAG operates as a quasi-3-level laser at 300 K due to the high population of its lower laser level. At cryogenic temperatures the population in this lower level is significantly reduced, resulting in cryogenic Yb:YAG operating as a 4-level laser, reducing the lasing threshold, another significant benefit.

All of these benefits have resulted in a number of groups working on cryo-HAP laser systems based on differing amplifier architectures. For the purposes of this discussion we will define cryo-HAP systems as those that possess the potential to act as a pump or could be scaled to a level suitable for higher-average-power petawatt class operation, and therefore can operate ≥1 J and ≥10 Hz. The number of systems operating at these levels is not vast, with only a handful of successfully reported systems operating in this arena; the three architectures that have proven successful in this field are active mirror, the so-called TRAM (total reflection active mirror) and multi-slab-based systems.

Active-mirror and TRAM systems typically populate the lower-energy space in this field, with energy currently limited to ~1 J. The active-mirror system is based on thin-disc laser architecture but uses a thick (~5 mm) disk that is longitudinally cooled by liquid nitrogen flow across the rear face. This design has been shown capable of operation at 1.5 J, 500 Hz in a CPA-based scheme producing 5 ps pulses. The system was later tested at 1 J, 1 kHz; however, at this power level thermal effects dominated, and resulted in a loss of energy after a short period of time. Further work aims to manage this increased thermal load and further scale the average power. The exception to the low-energy trend for active-mirror systems is the LUCIA laser system which is under development at LULI in France. This uses a cryogenically cooled active-mirror design and has been successfully operated at 14 J, 2 Hz.

The TRAM design was proposed in 2009 as an extension to the active-mirror scheme that sees a thin (~200 µm) layer of Yb:YAG bonded to a trapezoidal undoped YAG prism. The pump and laser light enters the anti-reflection-coated side of the prism and is totally internally reflected at the rear face of the Yb:YAG layer, removing the requirement for a high reflective coating that could become damaged by higher-power operation. As with the active-mirror design, the TRAM is cryogenically cooled directly on the rear face of the Yb:YAG substrate. The TRAM architecture has been reported to operate at 1 J, 10 Hz with a design upgrade to 100 Hz operation well underway. It is to be used as part of the front end system for the GENBU laser concept developed at ILE, Osaka University in Japan.

To date, the highest reported energy from a cryo-HAP system has been achieved using multi-slab architectures. Of these the DiPOLE 100 system produced by the UK’s Central Laser Facility is currently the only one to achieve 1 kW operation, with 100 J pulse energies at 10 Hz. DIPOLE uses ceramic Yb:YAG amplifier slabs. A general schematic of the interior of the DiPOLE cryo-amplifier head is shown in Figure 30. The gain media slabs are mounted in aerodynamic titanium vanes and housed within a vacuum insulated pressure vessel. The doping concentration of the slabs is graded to provide uniform absorption of the pump energy, resulting in a uniformly distributed thermal load. High-pressure cryogenically cooled helium gas at 150 K flows over the slabs to remove the waste heat.

The PENELOPE laser also uses the He-gas-cooling architecture for cryogenic cooling in its main amplifier; however, as it is designed as a CPA system the gain media of choice must support a broad bandwidth. As a result Yb:CaF₂ is used in place of Yb:YAG and the system is not cooled below 200 K in order to preserve bandwidth. It should be noted that PENELOPE’s design repetition rate is 1 Hz but is included here as by itself is a petawatt class system.
Hamamatsu Photonics has also reported on the successful operation of a 100 J class narrowband multi-slab system\textsuperscript{[225]}. The main amplifier uses Yb:YAG slabs that are edge-cooled by two cryostats above and below the gain media. This system has achieved output energies of 55 J but is currently not operating at its design repetition rate of 10 Hz.

It should be noted that there are examples of high-average-power systems operating at high pulse energies that do not operate at cryogenic temperatures. The most notable examples are the HAPLS system\textsuperscript{[226]} developed by LLNL and the POLARIS system\textsuperscript{[41]} at Jena.

To date, the highest reported performance of a cryo-HAP system is operation at the 1 kW level. This has been achieved by an active mirror, at low energies, and a slab system at high energies. If we consider that a high-average-power petawatt class system would require at least tens of joules of energy then the likely candidate for further increases in average power is the slab-based architecture. Such development work has already started at the Central Laser Facility, on a collaborative project with the HiLASE Centre, Czech Republic to increase the repetition rate of DiPOLE systems to 100 Hz. This would be at the 10 J level, with a view to future scaling of the energy where practicable. Such a system would be capable of driving a short-pulse laser at the 100–200 TW level at 100 Hz.

To summarize, gas-cooled HAP laser systems are already operating well above what flashlamp systems can provide and, in the coming years as the technology matures, they will be capable of significantly increasing the average power.

4.2.4. Coherent beam combining

To achieve both high-peak and high-average powers simultaneously might become a reality thanks to a relatively recent\textsuperscript{[227]} laser architectural approach called coherent beam combining (CBC). Although underlying advanced technology is necessary, the CBC concept can be described in quite simple words: it basically consists in the spatial splitting of an initial laser beam into $N$ sub-beams prior to amplification, followed by subsequent recombination of the amplified beams. The very large majority of ongoing laser physics research in CBC relies on fibre-based amplifiers. Indeed, the high geometrical aspect ratio of such amplifiers makes it a solution of choice for high-average-power operation requiring extremely efficient thermal management. Fibre-based CBC allows the distribution of power scaling challenges across multiple optical channels to overcome different physical limitations such as average power-related effects like mode instabilities\textsuperscript{[228, 229]}, but also nonlinear pulse-energy- and peak-power-related effects.

To date, the highest peak power ($\sim$45 GW) was achieved by the IAP-HIJ, Jena, Germany team: 12 mJ pulse energy with 700 W average power and 262 fs pulse duration have been obtained with eight parallel channels and four temporal pulse replicas\textsuperscript{[230]}. Recently\textsuperscript{[231]}, the same team presented operations at about 2 kW average power with 16 channels (2.3 mJ) (Figure 31). Future activities will consider a 32-channel system, also relying on rod-type large-pitch fibre amplifiers\textsuperscript{[232]}. In addition, a four-channel ytterbium fibre femtosecond CPA system with 3.5 kW average power has been demonstrated\textsuperscript{[233]}. These performances have been achieved through a filled-aperture configuration, a near-field combination approach allowing a high efficiency (theoretically 100%, in most demonstrations well above 90%) but involving multiple combining elements in cascade, so that the system complexity increases with the number of fibres, somewhat limiting its scalability potential. To overcome this issue, the same team is also exploring multicore fibres with segmented mirror splitters\textsuperscript{[234]}, an interesting approach on the journey to highly parallel CBC systems requiring hundreds/thousands of channels. The idea is to decouple the component count from the channel count, here by a factor of sixteen since this is the number of embedded channels within a single fibre. Future developments will concentrate on increasing the core number per fibre, that will enable joule-class pulse energy at tens of kHz repetition rates from a single fibre.

With this in mind, the highest scalable architecture, XCAN project, Ecole Polytechnique-Thales, Palaiseau, France team is developing a 61-channel prototype (Figure 32) relying on tiled apertures allowing single step far-field combination. The advantage of this method is that there is no actual beam combining element and therefore no power scaling limitation, but the combining efficiency is theoretically limited to about 65% due to the multi-aperture Fourier losses. XCAN recently demonstrated\textsuperscript{[235]} operation of the first 7 channels with 45% combination efficiency and 70 W average power using 30 $\mu$m MFD (mode field diameter) fibre amplifiers. The tiled-aperture approach appears most promising to combine 10,000 channels at unprecedented power levels.

These demonstrations pave the way towards a system required for applications ranging from particle accelerators and nuclear waste transmutation to space debris tracking and mitigation\textsuperscript{[236, 237]} in the near future.
4.2.5. Time-domain pulse combining

Coherent spatial beam combining of multiple fibre laser apertures overcomes limitations of individual fibres, and thus enables scaling of the total energy and power, but it does so at the cost of requiring a very large number of parallel channels in a fibre laser array. This is due to the limited energies that are achievable with a fibre-based CPA system. Relatively small beam size and long signal propagation length in a fibre lead to strong nonlinear effects, which confine typical fibre CPA energies to the 100 µJ to 1 mJ range; for fibre core sizes between 30 µm and 100 µm, respectively. Hence, reaching 1 J of pulse energy should need between 1000 and 10,000 fibre amplification channels and combined beams. Technical challenges and costs associated with such large arrays are very substantial; however, actual stored energies in optical fibres are much higher, exceeding fibre CPA results by approximately two orders of magnitude. This highlights the potential of an approximately hundred times reduction in fibre array size, which would have enormous practical impact on reducing system size, cost and complexity.

Low fibre CPA energies are the result of the fundamental limits on CPA-stretched pulse durations, which cannot exceed a nanosecond range. In solid-state CPA systems, such as Ti:sapphire, large-transverse-aperture crystals can be used to additionally increase CPA energies by a couple of orders of magnitude to reach the full stored energy. Since fibre lasers have constrained transverse apertures, full stored energy can only be accessed by exploiting the time domain – for example, by using coherent pulse combining to artificially extend amplified pulse durations from a nanosecond to at least the hundreds of nanoseconds range.

Recently, a near-complete energy extraction from a fibre amplifier has been achieved using the so-called coherent pulse stacking amplification (CPSA) technique at the University of Michigan, US. In the latest experiments, a sequence of 81 pulses, each stretched to ~1 ns to provide an approximately 80 ns effective pulse duration, was formed, amplified and coherently time-combined into a single pulse, which was compressed down to ~500 fs. This CPSA system, based on an 85 µm core CCC (chirally coupled core) fibre amplifier, achieved 10.5 mJ energy extraction with only 4.5 radians of accumulated nonlinear phase, which is more than 90% of the measured stored energy.

The CPSA approach illustrated in Figure 33 consists of two principal parts: monolithically integrated electronic shaping of the stacking-burst sequence at the system’s front; and a compact free-space pulse stacking arrangement at the system’s end constructed using Gires–Tournois interferometer (GTI) cavities. The purpose of the electronic shaping is twofold. First, its phase modulates each individual pulse in the stacking-burst sequence to enable, and to control, its stacking in the GTI-based arrangement at the system’s output. Second, since the final amplification stages operate at near-complete energy extraction, it is necessary to control the stacking-burst amplitude profile to offset temporal-shape distortions caused by severe saturation of these stages. This electronic control is implemented using a pair of fibre-pigtailed fast electro-optic modulators, the amplitude modulator in the pair being used to carve out the required burst shape directly from the mode-locked oscillator periodic pulse train, and the phase modulator to imprint the required optical phase on each of the pulses in the burst. Such an arrangement is monolithically integrated, and therefore is very compact. Most importantly, it provides all the degrees of freedom in temporal-shape control needed for completely offsetting the reshaping in strongly saturated amplifiers.

A different time-domain pulse combining technique, the so-called divided pulse amplification (DPA), has also been demonstrated both at Amplitude Systems, France and at the Helmholtz Institute Jena, Germany. In this DPA technique, illustrated in Figure 34, linear delay lines are used to produce several sequential replicas of the stretched pulse, which after the amplification are recombined back to a single pulse using an identical delay-line arrangement. DPA is typically used to produce two to four stretched pulse replicas, resulting in a similar improvement in the extracted pulse energies, with experimentally demonstrated energies in the 1–2 mJ range. In conjunction with coherent beam combination of eight parallel fibre amplifiers, this approach allows for the generation of 12 mJ pulses with 262 fs pulse duration. However, the further enhancement of the energy extraction achievable with DPA is limited, which is mainly associated with the fact that the opto-mechanical arrangement used for pulse splitting offers insufficient degrees of freedom for arbitrary pulse-burst shape control. Thus, the compensation of gain saturation effects of longer sequences of pulses is limited. However, a novel approach named electro-optically controlled DPA, which is based on a fibre-integrated front end for pulse-burst generation, offers sufficient degrees of freedom to arbitrarily scale the number of pulses. In a first proof-of-principle demonstration,
the temporal combination of up to eight pulses allowed high temporal contrast and high combination efficiency of about 90% \[248\]. Subsequent experiments with this technique, now involving a high-power ultrafast fibre laser system and an improved low-footprint setup for pulse combination, were carried out recently and have already led to promising initial results at mJ pulse energy levels \[249\].

4.2.6. Temperature-insensitive OPCPA
Owing to the inevitable thermal effects at a high repetition rate, OPCPA with simultaneous high-peak and average powers is a challenge to laser technology \[250\]. The temperature distortion in an OPCPA crystal will cause thermal dephasing (\(\Delta k = k_p - k_s - k_i \neq 0\), where \(k_p\), \(k_s\) and \(k_i\) are the wave-vectors of the pump, signal and idler waves in Figure 35(a), respectively) and further degrade the conversion efficiency and the spatiotemporal quality of output pulses. The state-of-the-art OPCPA technique has produced an average power of 88 W in a normal mode \[251\], and 112 W in a burst mode \[252\]. It is crucial to overcome the thermal dephasing problem in high-average-power OPCPAs.

The phase-matching condition is a major factor that governs nonlinear parametric processes. To enlarge the phase-matching temperature acceptance in second-harmonic generation (SHG), one can select a specific nonlinear crystal with \(\partial \Delta k / \partial T = 0\) \[253\] or combine two different crystals with opposite signs of \(\partial \Delta k / \partial T\) \[254\]. These methods, however, will not be effective in OPCPA that requires broadband phase-matching simultaneously.

With this in mind a new phase-matching design of OPCPA has been proposed at Shanghai Jiao Tong University,
China. The non-collinear OPCPA configuration was previously devoted to achieving wavelength-insensitive phase-matching ($\partial \Delta k / \partial \lambda = 0$) with a large spectral bandwidth (Figure 35(b)). Notably, it was recently found that the non-collinear phase-matching configuration can also make OPCPA insensitive to temperature ($\partial \Delta k / \partial T = 0$) by setting an appropriate non-collinear angle $\alpha$\textsuperscript{255}. In an LBO-crystal-based OPCPA with $\lambda_p = 532$ nm and $\lambda_s = 800$ nm, the non-collinear phase-matching can be designed for either $\partial \Delta k / \partial T = 0$ with $\alpha = 5.8^\circ$ or $\partial \Delta k / \partial \lambda = 0$ with $\alpha = 1.2^\circ$. The non-collinear phase-matching with $\alpha = 5.8^\circ$ has a temperature acceptance six times larger than that at $\alpha = 1.2^\circ$ (Figure 35(d)). As these two non-collinear angles are not equal, angular dispersion on the seed signal must be introduced to ensure $\partial \Delta k / \partial \lambda = 0$ in the temperature-insensitive non-collinear phase-matching with $\alpha = 5.8^\circ$ (Figure 35(c)). In a proof-of-principle experiment with a signal angular dispersion of 350 $\mu$rad/nm, the spectral bandwidth of temperature-insensitive non-collinear phase-matching can be as large as that of the conventional wavelength-insensitive non-collinear phase-matching (Figure 35(e)).

Furthermore, simultaneous wavelength and temperature-insensitive phase-matching, without the need of additional angular dispersion at seed signal, can be realized if the two non-collinear angles for $\partial \Delta k / \partial \lambda = 0$ and $\partial \Delta k / \partial T = 0$ coincide with each other. This can be achieved by properly designing the reference temperature for the crystal\textsuperscript{256}. In an LBO-crystal-based OPCPA with $\lambda_p = 355$ nm and $\lambda_s = 550$ nm, the two non-collinear angles for $\partial \Delta k / \partial T = 0$ and $\partial \Delta k / \partial \lambda = 0$ are equal to each other ($\alpha = 3.6^\circ$) at the crystal reference temperature of 337 K. As a result, the spectral bandwidth of such an OPCPA phase-matching design was similar to that ($\Delta \lambda \cong 90$ nm) in conventional wavelength-insensitive non-collinear phase-matching, while the temperature acceptance ($\Delta T \cong 40$ K) was increased by a factor of 4.3. Due to its ability to simultaneously support broadband amplification and large temperature bandwidth, the temperature-insensitive OPCPA design may provide a promising way to generate ultra-intense lasers with kW average powers\textsuperscript{255, 256}.

### 4.3. Enabling technologies

The route to ever-increasing laser powers, coupled with a desire to operate these facilities at higher repetition rates, requires a number of key technologies. In this section we look at some of these technologies and the challenges facing their development.
The development of mid-infrared lasers

Improvements in temporal contrast

Plasma optics

Grand challenges

- Advanced optics
- Laser diagnostics
- Plasma diagnostics
- Target fabrication.

4.3.1. The development of mid-infrared lasers

Most ultra-intense lasers available today operate at wavelengths around one micron. The reason is that the shortest pulses are offered by Ti:sapphire lasers whereas the highest pulse energies are possible with neodymium- and ytterbium-doped materials. Moreover, many passive optical materials with high transparency can be found in the near-infrared (NIR) and there is typically no issue from molecular vibration absorption from the normal atmosphere in this range. The classification of the infrared wavelength regions is often inconsistent. Here we will use the term mid-infrared (MIR) for all wavelengths above about 1.7 µm to about 15 µm, even so it is often further split into short- (SWIR), mid- (MWIR) and long-wavelength infrared (LWIR).

Many applications of ultra-intense lasers would greatly benefit from wavelengths longer than those available around one micron. This is not obvious since intensity scales with the wavelength \(\lambda\) as \(1/\lambda^3\), the pulse length with \(1/\lambda\) and the focussability with \(1/\lambda^2\). But laser–matter interaction can only be proportional to intensity \(I\) if they are always constrained to the same number of field oscillations. If this is not the case, the interaction length, like the Rayleigh length \(z_R\), assuming diffraction limited focusing, is proportional to \(\lambda\). Another scaling with \(\lambda^3\) results from the ponderomotive potential \(U_p\) of the free electrons’ quiver motion in an electromagnetic field:

\[
U_p = \frac{e^2}{8\pi c^3\varepsilon_0 m_e} I \lambda^2,
\]

where \(e\) is the electron charge, \(c\) the speed of light, \(m_e\) the electron mass, and \(\varepsilon_0\) the vacuum permittivity. This cancels the \(1/\lambda^3\) scaling of the intensity.

Moreover, the critical plasma density \(n_e\) changes with \(1/\lambda^2\):

\[
n_e = \frac{4\pi c^2 \varepsilon_0 m_e}{e^2} \lambda^{-2},
\]

which allows less dense targets to be used for longer-wavelength driving fields. For instance, the maximum energy of laser accelerated ions in the TNSA (target normal sheath acceleration) regime depends on the electron temperature and, by fixing \(I \lambda^2\), the lower intensities of longer wavelengths relax the pulse contrast problem and RPA (radiation pressure acceleration) benefits from the critical density scaling at longer wavelengths. For the wavelength scaling of laser-based acceleration of electrons to maximum energy by LWFA (laser wake field acceleration) the situation is more complicated, since many parameters have to be considered\(^{[257]}\). Nevertheless it was shown that the larger plasma structures are capable of accelerating bunches of higher charges\(^{[258]}\).

Another application of high laser intensities is the generation of high harmonics (HHG), where the high-energy cutoff also scales with \(I \lambda^2\), with the macroscopic scaling \(\hbar \omega \sim \lambda^{1.6} \lambda^{1.7}\). Unfortunately the yield \(\eta\) scales with \(\eta \sim \lambda^{-5} \lambda^{-6}\)\(^{[247, 259]}\). From these considerations, HHG with wavelengths longer than about 6 µm seems no longer feasible, but MIR laser pulses are nevertheless beneficial.

The generation of THz pulses with high-intensity lasers is also more efficient if the driver is an MIR laser\(^{[260]}\). The advantage of the lower photon energies is that multi-photon absorption is less likely and higher intensities can be applied.

Similar to THz generation, incoherent X-ray generation with lasers can be more efficient with a longer-wavelength driving laser, as was shown for instance by Weisshaupt et al.\(^{[261]}\). There a comparable photon yield using a 0.8 µm and a 3.9 µm wavelength lasers was observed, whereas the intensity was about two orders of magnitude less in the long-wavelength case.

Not only do direct laser–matter interactions demand longer wavelengths to optimize the effects, the critical plasma density scaling and therefore the plasma refractive index dependence on wavelength requires the tuning of diagnostic laser pulses to suitable wavelengths. The refractive index of the plasma is given by

\[
n = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_{\text{probe}}^2}}
\]

with the plasma frequency \(\omega_p\) and the probe frequency \(\omega_{\text{probe}}\). Therefore, higher-intensity drivers and lower plasma densities need longer-wavelength lasers for diagnostics.

All these considerations show that there is a strong demand for high-petawatt lasers in the MIR. Such lasers should be capable of generating high energies as well as short pulses, preferably pulses consisting of only a few cycles. Moreover, laser diode pumping typically offers operation of such lasers at higher repetition rates and, even more importantly, potentially higher long- and short-term stability. Most of today’s petawatt laser designs comprise flashlamp-pumped Nd lasers that are frequency-doubled to pump a Ti:sapphire crystal. Compared to direct diode-pumped short pulse lasers like the POLARIS laser scheme\(^{[110]}\), a two-step approach, where pulse energy in the form of nanosecond pulses is generated without the requirement to produce a broadband at the
same time, has the advantage of a simpler design. Diode pumping of broad-bandwidth materials that also show a long fluorescence lifetime consequently has a small emission cross-section and a high saturation fluence. The stored energy is therefore difficult to extract. Alternatively, the two-step approach allows the separation of the two problems of generating highly energetic pulses and a large bandwidth. Moreover, it is not possible to find a suitable solid-state laser material for a desired wavelength.

Optic parametric amplifiers (OPAs) are a very versatile solution that offers broad bandwidth without significant thermal limitations. Nevertheless, they require a coherent pump with very high performance and good synchronization with the seed pulse. Moreover, starting at one micron, systems will become less efficient in generating longer wavelengths. With a suitable MIR pump, ultra-intense pulses with even longer wavelengths could be efficiently generated by OPAs.

One problem of diode-pumping MIR lasers is that if the quantum defect needs to be small enough, diode lasers with wavelengths well above 1.8 µm are needed. But all known semiconductor lasers suffer from poor efficiency in the MIR range. Whereas the peak efficiency of diode lasers at 920–980 nm can be more than 75%, 25% is hardly achievable at 1900 nm — a wavelength that, for instance, is useful for pumping Ho-doped materials.

Another approach to achieve efficient diode pumping of MIR lasers is to use a cross-relaxation process where a single pump photon will finally produce two excited states. Such a system is offered by the aforementioned Tm3+-doped laser materials[262]. It was shown that if the thulium doping level is high enough the cross-relaxation efficiency can be nearly 100%. Nevertheless, the competing energy transfer upconversion (ETU) as a loss mechanism for the desired laser will also rise with the concentration of excited ions. For the optimization of the laser process a balance of doping and pump fluence specific to the properties of the laser material must be found. Moreover, Tm-based laser materials offer a high-energy storage capability because fluorescence lifetimes can be even longer than 10 ms. For Yb:YAG, for instance, cooling to liquid nitrogen temperature levels allows a lifetime of up to 15 ms to be achieved with an increased emission cross-section at the same time, as shown in Figure 36.

The high potential of Tm-doped solid-state lasers for generating coherent radiation around two microns has already been employed for some time in fibre lasers. A Q-switched fibre laser producing 2.4 mJ has been demonstrated[263], as well as the coherent combination of two Tm fibre lasers[241], that offers high energies and high average power. Ultra-short pulses are also possible, as the reported compression to 13 fs[264] demonstrates.

Volume lasers with Tm-doped materials have also been investigated. A report of 0.8 J output from a Cr:Tm:YAG laser dates back to 2000[265]. Nevertheless, this was a flashlamp-pumped laser. The highest pulse energy from a diode-pumped Tm:YAG, 128 mJ, was published by Yumoto et al.[266]. An optical-to-optical efficiency of 51% in a Q-switched laser was shown using a diode-pumped Tm:YAP[267], and a record average power of 200 W was demonstrated with Tm:YLF[268] by the Fraunhofer Institute for Laser Technology.

High average power, pulse energy and efficiency show proof that thulium lasers are promising MIR sources. They allow the production of pulses as short as 380 fs[269] by themselves and can be used additionally as a pump for another ultra-broadband laser material, which will offer the two-step approach. Suitable materials for such a scheme are the transition-metal-doped zinc chalcogenides[270–273]. Since the pioneering work of DeLoach et al. in 1996[274] showing a slope efficiency of 22% with Cr:ZnSe, many promising results have been produced.

- 30 W CW output from Cr:ZnSe was achieved by fibre pumping at 1.9 µm wavelength[270].
- A mode-locked oscillator generating 41 fs was reported by Tolstik et al. in 2014[275].
- The demonstration of a 1 J long pulse from Cr:ZnSe[276] shows that not only average power but also high pulse energies are possible.
- The amplification of a 27 fs pulse with a spectral width that would even allow 16 fs[267] shows the capability for the generation of very short pulses and amplification with a gain of 500[273].

The combination of ultra-broadband transition metal chalcogenides pumped by diode-pumped Tm-doped solid-state lasers offers a promising two-step approach for the generation of ultra-intense laser pulses in the MIR (around
2.5 μm). In contrast to the traditional Ti:sapphire lasers that are pumped by frequency-doubled Nd lasers, no additional nonlinearity is involved. Some attempts can already be found in the literature – for instance, Yumoto et al. showed an optical-to-optical efficiency of 44% for a Cr:ZnSe laser pumped by Tm:YAG[277] and a tuning range of this setup of at least 600 nm[278].

Transition-metal-doped zinc chalcogenides are not the only option for broadband amplifiers pumped by Tm3+ based lasers. Another route may be offered by optically pumping a CO2-laser. High-peak-power CO2-lasers that are traditionally discharge-pumped are already being developed nowadays[279]. It seems that 100 TW and more are achievable in the 10 μm wavelength range. The even longer wavelength and the advantages of using gas lasers make them promising candidates for high-peak-power applications such as particle acceleration[280, 281].

That optical pumping of CO2-lasers could be rather efficient, which had already been shown for different wavelengths and pump laser systems in the 1980s[282], Among the different wavelengths that can be generated with high-power chemical lasers in the MIR there is also an option to use the output of thulium or holmium solid-state systems. Optical pumping has the advantage that higher-pressure gases and an extended mixture of isotopologues can be applied. The latter is possible since, in contrast to discharge-pumped CO2-lasers, molecules are not dissociating through optical pumping and the rearrangement of isotopes will therefore not lead to a degradation of the isotopologue mixture[283, 284]. Moreover, there is no need to pump any isotopologue separately. Targeting one species is sufficient in order to incorporate all of them in the laser process. This finally results in a possible large amplification bandwidth supporting some 100 fs pulses to be amplified, which makes such lasers very attractive for high-intensity applications[285].

4.3.2. Improvements in temporal contrast
When the first CPA high-power lasers were being built the goal was to deliver the highest focused intensity to target; temporal contrast was not a major concern. However, it became rapidly apparent that any energy delivered to the target before the main laser pulse arrived could radically change the conditions of the interactions[286]. The ability to deliver a ‘temporally clean’ laser pulse is now one of the key parameters of any petawatt class laser system, and becomes increasingly important as we move into new regimes at focused intensities in excess of 1023 W/cm².

The energy which can precede the main laser pulse results from six principal mechanisms (Figure 37).

- Amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) from conventional laser amplifiers. This lasts for the lifetime of the laser ion used in the amplifier and/or the pump duration, typically hundreds of microseconds. If a regenerative amplifier is used, pedestals of the Q-switch duration of the amplifier (typically hundreds of nanoseconds) and the amplification window (of order ~10 ns) are present.
- Parametric fluorescence from optical parametric amplifiers, which lasts for the duration of the pump pulse (usually a few nanoseconds)[287].
- The coherent pedestal due to scattering from gratings in the stretchers and compressors forms a ~100 ps triangular pedestal (on a logarithmic scale) on either side of the main pulse[288].
- Features within a few picoseconds of the pulse can be formed due to imperfect compression[289].
- The inherent contrast of the laser (in the absence of any pulse cleaning) is limited by the quantum noise from the seed oscillator[291].
- Poorly suppressed oscillator pulses or back reflections in multi-passed components can lead to discrete pre-pulses. These may also be formed by nonlinear index effects causing post-pulses to generate pre-pulses[291].

Figure 37. Illustration of sources of contrast issues.
The final contrast of a petawatt class laser is influenced by the design of many subsystems.

Oscillators: The innate contrast of a range of modelocked oscillators which form the seed for all petawatt lasers has been characterized by Stuart et al. and Alessi et al., revealing unexpected features close to the mode-locked pulses. These features can only be removed using temporally gated amplification (ps-OPA) or nonlinear processes.

Picosecond OPA: The first major improvement to the contrast of Nd:glass-based petawatt lasers was the development of self-pumped picosecond OPAs by Dorrer et al. at the University of Rochester. First fielded on Vulcan by Musgrave et al. and later at OMEGA-EP, Orion, NIF-ARC and PHELIX, these systems take the output of a mode-locked oscillator and amplify it to the microjoule level in an OPA pumped with a laser seeded by another pulse from the same oscillator. By amplifying the pulse by a factor of $10^4$, while it is weakly stretched, any parametric fluorescence is kept to within a few picoseconds of the pulse. Any subsequent amplifiers (such as an OPA pumped with a nanosecond duration laser) can have their gain reduced significantly and hence reduce the intensity of the parametric fluorescence in the nanosecond regime.

Double CPA: An alternative approach which can be used in conjunction with ps-OPA is the use of double CPA. Here the seed pulse is stretched, amplified, then compressed and passed through a nonlinear process which inhibits any structure around the main pulse. The cleaned pulse is stretched again and amplified before being compressed and focused onto target.

In systems with short (<100 fs) pulse durations, crossed polarized wave (XPW) is the most commonly used nonlinear effect. For systems with longer pulse durations low-gain OPA is more appropriate.

These processes are similar to ps-OPA in that they generate temporally clean seed pulses for the main amplification stage of a laser. However, these seed pulses still need to be stretched to nanosecond durations for further amplification, which can lead to other effects limiting the contrast.

Stretchers: On all CPA lasers a triangular pedestal exists for a few hundred picoseconds around the main pulse. This is partially due to high-frequency phase errors from the gratings, which form part of the stretchers and compressors. The impact of grating quality was studied by Dorrer et al. and later characterized and improved by Hooker et al. Reducing this pedestal further is still an area of active research.

Beamline design: Other features within the beamline also have an impact on the contrast. Plane parallel surfaces can generate post-pulses when the main laser pulses pass through them. In the case of OPA crystals this post-pulse will be amplified and sit, slightly delayed, under the main laser pulse. Should the stretched pulse then pass through a nonlinear process, such as saturated gain or B-integral build up along the amplifier, a pre-pulse can be generated which mirrors the post-pulse. This effect can be avoided by using wedged OPA crystals and replacing half-wave plates with reflective polarization rotators.

In multi-passed, imaged amplifiers, ghost foci from the lenses can cause pre-pulses to be formed which lead the main pulse out of the amplifiers. This effect led to the Texas Petawatt system being redesigned by replacing the lenses in their beamline with off-axis parabolic reflectors. The most common approach is the use of plasma mirrors. Here an anti-reflection-coated blank is placed in the converging beam. When the intensity gets high enough a plasma is formed on the surface, which then reflects subsequent light with high (~70%) efficiency. Hence the mirror can be positioned such that any low-intensity pre-pulses leak through and the reflective plasma is only formed by the rising edge of the main pulse. The contrast enhancement of plasma mirrors is limited by the reflectivity of the anti-reflection coating on the blank. Further improvement to contrast can be made by using double plasma mirrors. By pre-ionizing the surface of the plasma mirror with a controlled pre-pulse, reflectivities of 96% have been realized.

The other approach is frequency-doubling the beam post-compression. This requires very large, thin doubling crystals, to minimize nonlinear phase issues; and a series of dichroic mirrors post-compression to reject the unconverted fundamental. Conversion efficiencies of ~70% have been realized, but the difficulty in manufacturing large, thin crystals limits the energy that can be provided.

Alternative schemes have been developed for short-pulse gas lasers, such as the nonlinear Fourier filter. Here an annular beam is formed using an apodizing mask. The beam is focused through a gas jet, re-collimated and blocked with a second mask (Figure 38). Nonlinear effects at the focus introduce an intensity-dependent directional modulation of the beam, both in time and space, causing the main pulse and the low-intensity pedestal to become spatially separated. A huge amount of work has been undertaken to provide the cleanest possible laser pulse, yet as the peak power of lasers increases so does the importance of contrast. Future facilities...
could rely on coherent beam combining to achieve the highest possible focused intensities, which gives the possibility of slightly mistimed beams producing interference which could throw energy from the main pulse into satellite pulses. Short pulses at large apertures are susceptible to spectral clipping in compressors, along with localized wavefront and phase errors\(^{310}\). The journey to 100 PW lasers will bring new problems to be solved before these systems can realize their greatest potential.

### 4.3.3. Plasma optics

As an optical wave propagates from vacuum into plasma it experiences a wavelength- and density-dependent refractive index which acts to refract it away from higher densities (see Equation (3)). Utilizing this effect, in combination with the optical ionization induced when a laser illuminates a solid target, it is possible to generate effective switchable plasma optic\(^{311}\). As the pulse length of high-power lasers reduced to approximately a picosecond by the mid-1990s, the plasma expansion from a solid target during the driving pulse, typically characterized by the distance over which the density reduces by 1/e, known as the scale length \(L_s\), also decreased. In many laser systems of this era, contrasts of \(10^{14} - 10^6\) a few nanoseconds ahead of the peak of the pulse were common, and scale lengths for interactions at intensities of \(10^{15} - 10^{17}\) W/cm\(^2\) of the order of only a few times the driving laser wavelength \(\lambda\) became possible for the first time. For short (<ps) pulses and short scale lengths, the plasma does not typically evolve significantly hydrodynamically as the beam travels through it and the refracted output beam leaves the plasma in the specular direction. Also, the reflected fraction of the beam typically becomes more dominant over large-angle scattering at short scale lengths. Thus, novel high-quality plasma ‘reflecting’ optics which operates at high energy densities \(\sim\) kJ/cm\(^2\) becomes feasible.

Initial studies in the early 1990s with nanosecond laser contrasts of <10\(^6\) had shown that the reflected laser beam typically ‘broke-up’ at intensities \(\geq\)10\(^{16}\) W/cm\(^2\) due to large scale lengths\(^{312}\) effectively producing ripples in the expanding plasma surface where the beam was being refracted. Experimenters in the early 1990s explored the potential for utilizing the plasma as a high-quality active optical switching mirror\(^{313, 314}\) and characterized performance\(^{315, 316}\) in terms of the potential to deliver reflected pulses for subsequent high-contrast interactions, which became common practice by the early 2000s. Ellipsoidal plasma optics offers the advantage of delivering sub F/1 focusing\(^{317}\), enabling intensities\(^{318}\) approaching \(10^{22}\) W/cm\(^2\) with current petawatt class lasers, and the prospect of enhancement of the focused intensities of future systems, illustrated in Figure 39.

Recently, it has been observed that by utilizing a suitable picosecond-scale pre-pulse on the surface of a plasma mirror, the scale length can be optimized\(^{319}\) to minimize absorption and give reflectivities of 96% for the main pulse, approaching that of conventional multi-layers. The new generation of high-repetition-rate lasers will require plasma optics that can be fielded at repetition rates of \(\gg\)Hz, and tapes\(^{320}\) or liquids are an obvious choice. Liquid plasma mirrors were first investigated in the early 1990s\(^{321}\) and high reflectivities were achieved using water\(^{322}\), which has minimal associated hazards. More recently, liquid crystals have been studied as their thickness can be readily tuned\(^{323}\) to <200 nm and they can be designed to be operated at \(\gg\) Hz repetition rates. Such thin plasma mirrors will be ideal for staged acceleration\(^{324}\) or beam combination/extraction in the near future, as high-energy photons/electrons/ions will easily pass through a thin plasma mirror without suffering significant absorption or scattering.

At intensities of \(\gg\)10\(^{18}\) W/cm\(^2\) the laser interaction can lead to two additional and significant effects on plasma mirrors\(^{325}\). The light pressure can steepen the density gradient close to critical and the electric field associated with the pulse can drive the plasma electrons/surface, forcing it to oscillate significantly. In such circumstances, a few percent of the incoming beam is converted into the second harmonic, being emitted in the specular reflected beam direction. This work was extended\(^{326}\) to intensities of \(10^{24}\) W/cm\(^2\) by 2011, with the conversion efficiency into second harmonic reaching 20%, equivalent to that achieved.
for BBO crystals of the time for such broad bandwidth 35 fs pulses. Due to the short optical path in the plasma, this conversion mechanism should also be suitable for much shorter driving pulses. As well as the second harmonic, higher harmonics have also been observed as the driving intensity is increased. By 1996 the 75th harmonic had been detected\cite{327} and enhanced driving contrast continued to improve the conversion efficiency\cite{328} due to the associated sharper density gradients, with the 2000th harmonic being observed\cite{329} by 2007.

Utilizing the nonlinear plasma response has been used to produce shorter (<picosecond) duration pulses at higher powers than lasers could deliver at the time. Raman scattering in the plasma was used to self-modulate the pulse intensity envelope and self-focusing employed to effectively extend the interaction length over which a pulse propagates and maintains a sufficiently high intensity\cite{330, 331}. Simple gas jets were initially employed by many in the early 1990s and have been used extensively for laser-driven electron acceleration\cite{332, 333} studies. However, more complex gas cells with density structures, capillary discharge devices\cite{334–336} and multi-pulses\cite{337}, have been used to deliver tunable GeV-scale electron beams\cite{230} over many centimetres of interaction length. The nonlinear plasma response can also be utilized for compressing\cite{328} and focusing\cite{338} short \(\sim 30\) fs beams, with expectations that they could readily handle multi-petawatt powers and deliver near-ideal focusing if a suitable density profile is obtained\cite{319}. More complex phenomena such as plasma compression and diffraction\cite{339, 340} can also be used to manipulate the beam\cite{341}. Future plasma components will also utilize relativistic effects to deliver novel optics\cite{342} with high damage thresholds\cite{328}, such as plasma apertures\cite{343}, waveplates, separators, holograms\cite{344} and q-plates\cite{345}, and uses in areas such as plasma amplification, discussed in Section 4.1.3, will be further developed to enable new interaction regimes to be reached.

4.3.4. Grand technological challenges

As petawatt/exawatt laser systems are developed in the future there are a number of grand challenges facing the community to realize their potential. In this section we have briefly examined four areas which need to be addressed:

- Advanced optics
- Laser diagnostics
- Plasma diagnostics
- Target fabrication.

4.3.4.1. Advanced optics. The performance limits of optical components are of crucial importance in realizing the potential of petawatt systems. The principal limitation dictating the energy and power available on target is usually laser-induced damage of the compressor gratings, where energetic short pulses are first exhibited in a beamline\cite{346}. To realize the highest intensity, one requires gratings with aperture > 1 m, high-efficiency diffraction over bandwidths of hundreds of nanometres and minimal diffracted wavefront errors. For designs using high-angle-of-incidence gratings, the limit may be transferred to the post-compression optics, such as the focusing mirror.

The effective grating aperture may be increased by tiling multiple gratings (and/or other transport optics)\cite{347}. In this scheme, the gratings must be positioned with interferometric accuracy in five degrees of freedom (only translation parallel to the grooves has a relaxed tolerance). Such a scheme is an inherent feature of the coherent recombination of sub-apertures discussed elsewhere. It has been shown in the case of NIF-ARC, for example, that even when the dispersion in sub-apertures differs slightly, then the overall dephasing between beams in the spectral/temporal regime can be controlled\cite{348}.

Controlling the wavefront through the compressor is important to minimize spatiotemporal coupling and realize the highest intensities. Adaptive optics systems both before and after compression can address this issue.

When operating with high repetition frequencies and high average power, the thermal loading on the optics and optomechanics becomes significant. For example, control of the zero-order beam from gratings is necessary to avoid local heating of components. Absorption within optical components must also be minimized. High-repetition-rate systems also require laser gain media suitable for diode pumping. Materials with a broader gain bandwidth, such as Nd:glass, may be used for direct CPA lasers, or for pumping Ti:sapphire or OPCPA systems. Narrowband systems may only be used as pump sources.

Future OPCPA systems with very high energy will require developments in gain media. For example, highly deuterated (\(\sim 90\%\)) DKDP crystals, cut for Type-I phase-matching, with high optical quality over a large aperture are required\cite{173, 349}. Coating development is also necessary to achieve high damage thresholds with very large bandwidths and adequate control of spectral phase\cite{350}.

Improvements in optics performance are expected to plateau unless advances in optical metamaterials\cite{351} become relevant. Further progress in ultra-high intensity may depend upon alternative schemes, such as the Compression after Compressor Approach (CaFCA) and plasma amplifiers, both discussed elsewhere in this paper.

4.3.4.2. Laser diagnostics. While high-power lasers can generate extreme conditions of matter, for the physics to be understood the laser must be well characterized and diagnosed at the point that hits the target. The key parameters required for each experiment differ, but consistency and control of the delivery of energy is essential to reproducible
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Figure 40. The schematic of a STRIPED FISH apparatus for single-shot complete spatiotemporal pulse measurement (reproduced from Ref. [353]).

science. With the increase in peak and average power of new laser systems, new challenges have come to the fore.

The traditional method of diagnosing a laser is subsampling a beam through a pick off or leak through an optic before reducing it down and sending it to a suite of diagnostics. This becomes increasingly difficult as broad bandwidths and high intensities become the norm. The impact of nonlinear effects on material dispersion means that the diagnostic beam can be radically different from the main beam [352]. While these effects can be compensated for, the further one goes from measuring the original beam, the more vulnerable to variation in beam properties the diagnostics becomes.

All of the exawatt class facilities currently proposed rely on combining many beams at target to reach the highest intensities. In order to ensure full coherent combination, the full spatiotemporal information of the beams must be measured, including intensity and phase in time and space domains. Advanced diagnostics are therefore required to reconstruct the E-field, such as STRIPED FISH [353] (Spatially and Temporally Resolved Intensity and Phase Evaluation Device: Full Information from a Single Hologram) shown in Figure 40 or TERMITES [354] (Total E-Field Reconstruction using a Michelson Interferometer Temporal Scan).

With increased repetition rates the rate at which diagnostic data is produced increases dramatically. Analysis and optimization of the system can be performed in real time and automated [355] to provide more robust facilities. These techniques can even be applied to the plasma diagnostics themselves, dynamically changing the laser parameters to optimize experimental conditions [356].

At the other extreme for single-shot facilities the demand for increased information about the pulse as delivered at focus increases. Techniques that had previously been used in a scanning operation, such as high-dynamic-range contrast measurements, now need to be converted to single shot [141].

All of the aforementioned diagnostics use small optics and are sensitive to wavefront (including pointing) and some require small time-bandwidth products. On large aperture laser systems those are often a challenge. Furthermore, beam sampling and the beam transport to the diagnostics station are challenging due to B-integral and other nonlinear effects.

Finally, most high intensity laser applications require highest intensities but the community still lacks an online diagnostic that measures intensity directly. None of these challenges are insurmountable, but new techniques will need to be developed and matured to a point that they can leave the lab and become reliable facility diagnostics.

4.3.4.3. Plasma diagnostics. Measuring the radiation, particles, physical states and energy flows present within the broad range of plasmas generated during the interaction of a petawatt class laser with matter [357] is an ongoing and critical challenge for the future of the field. If the community is to effectively deliver the envisaged wide range of medical [358], scientific [359] and industrial [360] investigations and applications accessible with new high-power, high-repetition-rate lasers, a number of specialized diagnostics will be needed. They must be capable of characterizing not only the primary plasma but also any secondary beams [361] and their subsequent interactions. High-dynamic-range systems (12–18 bit) capable of differentiating and detecting structures, materials and features will be required from the sub-micron scale through to characterizing large \( \sim 10 \text{ m}^2 \) areas for industrial and medical imaging [362]. Combining high-resolution sensing and multi-modal capability, utilizing the broad range of particles and radiation (X-rays [363], THz, ions [364], electrons [365], muons, neutrons etc.) available from laser-driven sources will deliver new capability to the community. Efforts on meeting these and similar challenges are underway internationally, not only within the laser plasma community but also at other facilities such as XFELs, Tokamaks, synchrotrons and accelerators, as they face similar challenges in diagnostics, analysis and data management.
techniques utilizing isotopes with 10 min half-lives have been frequently used to characterize high-energy photons and particles (typically >4 MeV) in the laser-plasma community. At tens of Hz such transitions would become closer to becoming integrating detectors. However, there are much shorter nuclear transitions which can be adopted so that individual shot measurements are possible for high-repetition-rate systems.

A significant challenge facing the laser-plasma community is to deliver new, matched detectors capable of taking advantage of the short-pulse nature of laser-driven secondary sources to obtain higher-quality, higher-resolution measurements for both scientific studies and applications. This will be an area where development and new approaches and techniques are needed (for instance, efficient hard X-ray detectors with few-ps resolution would enhance backscollect imaging and provide discrimination against non-ballistic photons for applications such as penetrative imaging). Electronics with sufficient bandwidth to directly digitize signals with temporal resolutions of <20 ps and streak cameras with ~ps resolutions are currently available, and faster responses are envisaged in the future. Acquiring and transferring multi-megapixel, high dynamic-range images at $\gg$10 Hz is becoming routine for scientific-grade digital cameras. Converting, transforming or encoding the desired diagnostic information into an electrical or photonic signal is already a regularly used technique. However, further developments in this area will enable faster and more complex measurements to be made. Many early studies relied on only a few data points/ shots, but new laser systems will offer the possibility of taking significant numbers of repeat shots per configuration, allowing weaker signals to be extracted and statistical techniques to be more fully employed. New paradigms of data acquisition utilizing the high-repetition rates of future systems, more in common with particle physics, where ‘near instantaneous’ processing enables significant data reduction and selection to be undertaken, will be necessary for studies involving low-probability events.

State-of-the-art hardware and infrastructure are allowing facilities to take advantage of the many new advances within the electronics/telecommunications industries, where data transfer rates of multi-GHz are now commonly available. Combining such high data flow rates with the broad range of opportunities for encoding and accessing plasma parameters will enable the next generation of laser facilities to greatly extend our diagnostic capabilities, resolutions and understanding of laser-driven interactions. Advanced high-repetition-rate diagnostics and techniques will not only enable new scientific measurements and discoveries to be made, but as laser-driven sources mature, facilities will be able to improve the quality, stability and level of control possible within the plasma and secondary source environment, enabling novel and more advanced studies and applications to be undertaken for the first time.

The target chamber and environment surrounding a high-intensity interaction can often be challenging for diagnostic operations, due to the production and escape of:

1. Large fluxes of energetic particles (primarily electrons, ions and neutrons);
2. The generation of associated electromagnetic pulses (EMP);
3. The emission of broadband radiation at up to multi-GW power levels from THz through to hard X-rays;
4. Target debris.

By designing and controlling the interaction geometry to reduce EMP generation, many general techniques appropriate for ‘single-shot’ operation can be delivered at high repetition rates ($\gg$10 Hz) with suitable retuning/redesign and the replacement of the detector element or by transporting the signal to a more benign environment before digitization (see Figure 41). Scintillators, phosphors and transducers capable of kHz–GHz rates can be readily coupled to gated or rep-rated high-dynamic-range detectors. Significant efforts are currently underway to increase radiation damage tolerance and component lifetimes when exposed to particles or ionizing fluxes and improve performance in terms of sensitivity, specificity and spatial resolution. Nuclear activation techniques utilizing isotopes with >10 min half-lives have been frequently used to characterize high-energy photons and particles (typically >4 MeV) in the laser-plasma community. At tens of Hz such transitions would become closer to becoming integrating detectors. However, there are much shorter nuclear transitions which can be adopted so that individual shot measurements are possible for high-repetition-rate systems.

A significant challenge facing the laser-plasma community is to deliver new, matched detectors capable of taking advantage of the short-pulse nature of laser-driven secondary sources to obtain higher-quality, higher-resolution measurements for both scientific studies and applications. This will be an area where development and new approaches and techniques are needed (for instance, efficient hard X-ray detectors with few-ps resolution would enhance backscatter imaging and provide discrimination against non-ballistic photons for applications such as penetrative imaging). Electronics with sufficient bandwidth to directly digitize signals with temporal resolutions of $<20$ ps and streak cameras with $\sim$ps resolutions are currently available, and faster responses are envisaged in the future. Acquiring and transferring multi-megapixel, high dynamic-range images at $\gg$10 Hz is becoming routine for scientific-grade digital cameras. Converting, transforming or encoding the desired diagnostic information into an electrical or photonic signal is already a regularly used technique. However, further developments in this area will enable faster and more complex measurements to be made. Many early studies relied on only a few data points/ shots, but new laser systems will offer the possibility of taking significant numbers of repeat shots per configuration, allowing weaker signals to be extracted and statistical techniques to be more fully employed. New paradigms of data acquisition utilizing the high-repetition rates of future systems, more in common with particle physics, where ‘near instantaneous’ processing enables significant data reduction and selection to be undertaken, will be necessary for studies involving low-probability events.

State-of-the-art hardware and infrastructure are allowing facilities to take advantage of the many new advances within the electronics/telecommunications industries, where data transfer rates of multi-GHz are now commonly available. Combining such high data flow rates with the broad range of opportunities for encoding and accessing plasma parameters will enable the next generation of laser facilities to greatly extend our diagnostic capabilities, resolutions and understanding of laser-driven interactions. Advanced high-repetition-rate diagnostics and techniques will not only enable new scientific measurements and discoveries to be made, but as laser-driven sources mature, facilities will be able to improve the quality, stability and level of control possible within the plasma and secondary source environment, enabling novel and more advanced studies and applications to be undertaken for the first time.
4.3.4.4. Target fabrication. Future target fabrication challenges can be broadly split into two main areas:

(1) targets for high-repetition-rate ultra-high-power laser systems;

(2) complex targets for multi-beam high-energy facilities, usually coupled to petawatt class beam capability.

Each of these areas has challenges to overcome to deliver the highly complex targets that are required by the respective user communities.

The commissioning of new high-repetition-rate facilities such as the ELI pillars will require a significant and fundamental change in the way targets are delivered, due to the large numbers needed to field experiments. With petawatt lasers at shot rates that are of the order of 10 Hz for ELI-BL [383], and with a petawatt system at ELI-ALPS running at 10 Hz and a terawatt system running at 100 Hz [384], there is at least two orders of magnitude increase in the number of targets that can be shot; currently there is insufficient capacity to manufacture enough targets using conventional techniques. To overcome this challenge, high-repetition-rate liquid targets have been proposed [385–387], and recently there has been a demonstration of multi-MeV proton acceleration from sub-micron liquid sheet targets at 1 kHz repetition rates [388].

The requirements for these and other high-power laser systems, such as the European XFEL, Gemini (UK), CLPU (Spain), Apollon (France), are well defined in a recent review of target fabrication needs [389] which highlights a range of techniques that are needed to be developed to deliver to such facilities. While some of these facilities are developing a limited range of the capabilities that are required for target manufacture, to deliver a full experimental programme, a suite of integrated technologies is needed. Coordination between each stage of what could be a complex target manufacture process, including their support, base layer coating, and etching is key (Figure 42). Target mounting also needs to be carried out in a streamlined way. This will require experts in many areas of fabrication to work with the user communities and the facilities to develop strategies to reach the most demanding of repetition rates.

In addition to a range of high-repetition-rate laser systems there are many multi-beam high-energy facilities that are open to the academic community to carry out fundamental science experiments. The National Ignition Facility (NIF) has a discovery science program with 41 shots dedicated to this in 2018 [390]. These targets are provided by the extensive capabilities that are available to the NIF for its internal program in inertial confinement fusion, high-energy-density physics and national security applications. Other large-scale facilities such as the Laser MegaJoule (France), Orion (UK) and SG-III (China), while having significant internal capability, require external academic users to provide their own targets (Figure 43). These targets will require access and expertise to the most cutting-edge fabrication and assembly processes, such as diamond point turning, coatings of materials, such as high-density carbon, characterization of properties, such as grain size and orientation, and indeed the ability to change these parameters for experimental needs. These facilities are not available to most small-scale university user groups, and while larger groups such as those at the University of Michigan (US) or the Laboratory for Laser Energetics in Rochester (US) have capability to field experiments, for smaller groups national laboratories such as the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, or commercial companies such as General Atomics or Scitech Precision, are able to provide targets.
5. Conclusion

This review of petawatt and exawatt class lasers has attempted to provide a snapshot in time of the state-of-the-art of the global capabilities in the ultra-high-power environment. The profusion of these facilities in national laboratories and university departments is largely due to some key developments – not least, the invention of the technique of CPA. Part of the motivation for this review is to provide a tribute to the work of Donna Strickland and Gerard Mourou as the inventors of CPA and to whom the 2018 Nobel Prize in Physics was awarded.

We have presented a comprehensive overview of the current status of petawatt class lasers worldwide. We have described over 50 facilities that are, or have been, operational, under construction, or in the planning/conceptual phase. Many of these facilities are coupled and synchronized to other sources, such as nanosecond lasers, XFELs, particle beams and z-pinchles.

The evolution of such facilities, and the science they have enabled, has been placed in a historical context, describing how the early pioneering work in the US has today been progressed, notably in Europe and Asia. Increasingly, as technology advances, high-average-power machines are being constructed in preference to single-shot facilities. Meanwhile, work continues apace to increase peak powers to the highest possible values.

In looking to the future, we have described some of the technologies that will lead to the next generation of lasers: delivering higher peak powers for fundamental research; and higher average powers relevant to applications. In looking through our crystal ball it is not clear what these facilities will look like 10, 20 or even 50 years from now, but it promises to be a fascinating journey.
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