Peripheral and central mechanisms involved in the control of food intake by dietary amino acids and proteins
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Abstract
The present review summarises current knowledge and recent findings on the modulation of appetite by dietary protein, via both peripheral and central mechanisms. Of the three macronutrients, proteins are recognised as the strongest inhibitor of food intake. The well-recognised poor palatability of proteins is not the principal mechanism explaining the decrease in high-protein (HP) diet intake. Consumption of a HP diet does not induce conditioned food aversion, but rather experience-enhanced satiety. Amino acid consumption is detected by multiple and redundant mechanisms originating from visceral (during digestion) and metabolic (inter-prandial period) sources, recorded both directly and indirectly (mainly vagus-mediated) by the central nervous system (CNS). Peripherally, the satiating effect of dietary proteins appears to be mediated by anorexigenic gut peptides, principally cholecystokinin, glucagon-like peptide-1 and peptide YY. In the CNS, HP diets trigger the activation of noradrenergic and adrenergic neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract and melanocortin neurons in the arcuate nucleus. Additionally, there is evidence that circulating leucine levels may modulate food intake. Leucine is associated with neural mechanisms involving mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), energy sensors active in the control of energy intake, at least in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus. In addition, HP diets inhibit the activation of opioid and GABAergic neurons in the nucleus accumbens, and thus inhibit food intake by reducing the hedonic response to food, presumably because of their low palatability. Future studies should concentrate on studying the adaptation of different neural circuits following the ingestion of protein diets.
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Introduction
The macronutrient composition of a diet is well known to influence energy intake, energy metabolism and long-term changes to body weight and body composition. High-protein (HP) diets have been extensively studied for their ability to reduce total energy intake and body weight, and to limit fat deposition(1). Among the three macronutrients, protein has been shown to have the greatest satiating effect(2). Indeed, dietary proteins are potent inducers of satiety and inhibitors of food intake in both rats(3) and man(4,5).

Appetite or hunger is the internal driving force for search, choice and ingestion of food in order to maintain energy homeostasis and body weight, and is therefore responsible for meal initiation. Appetite is antagonised by both satiation (defined as the physiological process that leads to meal termination) and satiety (defined as the period after a meal before the onset of hunger).

Numerous partially redundant hypotheses have been proposed to explain the effects of dietary protein and amino acids on food intake decrease(4–6). All proposed theories mostly differ on the putative location at which the reduction in eating signals is initiated. After nutrient ingestion, pre-absorptive signals originating from the intestine are transmitted to the brain’s satiety centres, via the action of gut peptides on peripheral nerves or via the bloodstream. Post-absorptive signals, occurring after nutrients and/or gut peptides cross the gut wall and enter circulation, are initiated in the hepatic–portal zone. Finally, signals relative to the status of energy stores (leptin and insulin levels)
Effect of protein and amino acid intake on overall energy intake, body weight and body composition

Protein snacks (or loads)

In the short term dietary protein appears to be a strong appetitive inhibitor and reduces food intake in subsequent meals beyond that which can be accounted for by its energy content alone, both in rats\(^{(5,9)}\) and in man\(^{(10)}\). Moreover in man\(^{(11)}\) and in rats\(^{(12)}\), consumption of a HP snack delayed the request for the following meal (inter-meal interval).

In rats\(^{(3)}\) and man\(^{(10)}\), protein is currently believed to present the greatest appetite-suppressing effect of the three macronutrients. In these human studies ingestion of a HP load induces a difference in the decrease in the total meal macronutrients. In these human studies ingestion of a HP sent the greatest appetite-suppressing effect of the three content alone, both in rats\(^{(3,9)}\) and in man\(^{(10)}\). Moreover in these human studies ingestion of a HP sent the greatest appetite-suppressing effect of the three content alone, both in rats\(^{(3,9)}\) and in man\(^{(10)}\). Moreover in

The purpose of the present review is to assemble current views and knowledge concerning the neuronal mechanisms associated with peripheral and central signalling processes through which dietary protein and amino acids influence the control of energy intake.

It has been claimed that beverages elicit weaker appetitive and/or dietary responses than solid foods\(^{(18)}\). Leidy has suggested that differences in satiety and food intake between protein and other macronutrients are only observed when protein is consumed as a solid and not liquid. Leidy \textit{et al.}\(^{(19)}\) showed that a protein-rich beverage consumed as a breakfast meal leads to weaker appetitive and dietary responses \(v.\) a protein-rich solid breakfast meal in adolescents. Martens \textit{et al.}\(^{(20)}\) also investigated the differences in appetite profile and physiological parameters after consumption of a single-macronutrient, subject-specific, HP meal in liquefied \(v.\) solid form, controlled for energy density, weight and volume in ten lean male subjects. They observed a stronger suppression of hunger and desire to eat with the solid protein than liquefied protein. However, the hypothesis that energy consumed from solid-protein foods evokes a greater satiety response and suppresses energy intake at a subsequent meal compared with liquid foods is still unresolved. The studies of Akhavan \textit{et al.}\(^{(21)}\) tested the hypothesis that liquid energy is less satiating than solid energy by using pure macronutrients and similar tastes, ingredients, volumes and matrices to eliminate the confounding factors of taste, texture, smell, structure and familiarity of foods. Akhavan \textit{et al.}\(^{(21)}\) showed that macronutrient composition is more important than the physical state of foods in determining subjective appetite. In respect to this question, in a previous human study\(^{(22)}\) we compared the effect on satiety of different liquid preloads consisting only of protein, fat or carbohydrate in very controlled conditions; the preloads had the same volume, energy content, energy density, viscosity and palatability, since it had been shown that all these properties of food can affect food intake. Our results did not confirm the highest satiety effect of proteins, maybe because we used liquid, novel and unusual foods as preloads.

As with all foods, consumption of those containing proteins will generate valuable oro-sensory information due to their organoleptic properties; the latter are probably influenced by the presence of proteins in the food but are not specific. Indeed, mixtures containing proteins will have different final food textures when conditions of food processing (conditions of heating, for instance) are modified. Oro-sensory properties of foods containing proteins will be used by animals and man in learning processes such as conditioned food satiety. These learning processes are likely to be involved in the satiety effect of dietary proteins. After several times of eating foods containing proteins, the subject will learn to associate the food oro-sensory properties with the pre- and post-ingestive effects of eating such a food. This has been shown in rats by Bensaid \textit{et al.}\(^{(23)}\). It was shown that 2 d were necessary before a significant decrease was seen in the energy intake of the next protein meal, which means that as soon as the second load day, rats have learned to associate the sensory properties of the protein load with its post-ingestive consequences. In contrast, in human subjects only a few studies have shown that repeated consumption of the same food should also be considered as potent mediators for the effect of protein on ingestive behaviour\(^{(27)}\).

Furthermore, the brain areas and neuronal populations responsible for integrating these sensory signals are not yet fully understood. All these latter signals are integrated in the central nervous system where structures in the reward system, the hypothalamus and brainstem are important for the regulation of energy homeostasis as well as the onset of appetite and satiety\(^{(28)}\). The negative-feedback control of meal size transmitted by gastrointestinal signals and the bloodstream takes place in the dorsal vagal complex in the brainstem, and in the hypothalamic nuclei. Recently, evidence has been accumulating for a modulation of the reward system with the main consequence being the reduction of food wanting. This component of reward is known to be a major driver for eating behaviour.

Many of these discrepancies have been shown to originate from the physiological status of the subjects, the duration of eating and the method of nutrient administration (meaning oral, intra-gastric or intravenous) and the nature of the load\(^{(17)}\). Nowadays, special attention must be given to the characteristics of the protein load: structure, texture, volume, energy density and palatability can influence the satiation or satiety induced by it. We must also take care in the selection of the subjects according to their physiological status and function (such as BMI) in conjunction with their cognitive restraint and disinhibition scores.

It has been claimed that beverages elicit weaker appetitive and/or dietary responses than solid foods\(^{(18)}\). Leidy has
over a few days constitutes a learning phase for the effects on appetite of this food, which then determines food intake during the post-training test\(^{24-26}\). In all the articles the effect of repeated consumption on short-term food intake was studied using loads varying in energy density. However, the effect of protein was not determined.

Finally, protein type can affect satiety in rats (for instance, yeast proteins\(^{27}\)) and in human subjects (whey or soya protein \(\beta\)-albumin)\(^{28}\). According to Veldhorst et al.\(^{29}\), the protein type effect could be more efficient when the protein level in the diet is not too high: differences in appetite ratings between types of protein appear when certain amino acids are above or below particular threshold values (10 and 25 % of the protein:energy ratio, respectively). However, the effect of the nature of the proteins was not present in all experiments. Indeed, various proteins have been used in other experiments described in the literature, and it is still not clear if the nature of the protein can significantly affect the feeding response studied (egg albumin, casein, soya or whey or pea proteins in human subjects)\(^{30,31}\). The results observed with biochemically very different proteins strongly suggest that the satiating effect of protein is primarily a very general property that does not depend on such specific characteristics of one or another protein\(^{32}\). Nevertheless, if protein type can influence the satiating effect, the mechanisms involved remain unclear. For instance, it could be related to a slowdown of the gastric emptying, an increase in brain amino acids, the presence of specific peptides or the presence of certain specific amino acids (see below). However, in such studies the dietary protein used to formulate the food has to be well equilibrated in its essential amino acid composition. Otherwise, eating a protein- or amino acid-imbalanced diet induces a depression in food intake induced by a conditioned food aversion\(^{32,35}\).

**Protein diets**

The consumption of a HP diet quickly induces a strong and immediate depression in food intake followed by a progressive but not complete return to the level of energy intake of the control diet in animals\(^{33}\). Harper & Peters studied this phenomenon in rats by using diets whose protein content ranged from 5 to 75 %\(^{34}\). The reduction of food intake occurred when the protein content of the diet was greater than 40 % (as protein:energy ratio) and was even more pronounced as it rose higher.

Taking into consideration the depression in food intake and the induced active avoidance, it has been claimed that eating a HP diet probably induces a conditioned food aversion\(^{35}\). The respective roles of conditioned food aversion, satiety and palatability in the depression of food intake induced by a HP diet were studied by analysing the behavioural responses in comparison with a normal-protein (NP) diet\(^{36-39}\). Conditioned food aversion is an acquired mechanism allowing a subject to avoid consuming a food when the post-ingestive consequences are remembered as harmful. Conditioned food aversion requires that the subject links one or more oro-sensory characteristics of the food to unpleasant post-ingestive consequences. Our own experiments\(^{36,37}\) showed that only behavioural and food intake parameters were disturbed during day 1 when an animal ate the HP diet, and that most parameters returned to baseline values as soon as day 2 of the HP diet. Rats adapted to the HP diet did not acquire a conditioned food aversion but exhibited satiety, and a normal behavioural satiety sequence. Similar to ours, other studies\(^{38,39}\) did not confirm a conditioned food aversion hypothesis.

Also, it has been shown that different protein sources may differently affect food intake in a context of HP diets in rats\(^{40}\). Whatever the protein type used in the HP diet, these diets decrease average energy intake more than the NP diets. This effect is modulated by the ratio between carbohydrate and fat and by the protein type (total milk protein, whey protein or \(\beta\)-lactoglobulin)\(^{40,41}\). For example, whey-derived protein sources, and particularly \(\beta\)-lactoglobulin, reduce food intake, body-weight gain and the adiposity index more than total milk protein. However, we also observed that biochemically very different proteins such as total milk and soya protein induced no differences in depression of energy intake\(^{41}\). As in the case of protein snacks, this result suggests that the satiating effect of protein used in a HP diet is primarily a very general property that does not depend on specific characteristics of one or another protein, which in contrast could explain the modulation of food intake observed when different types of proteins are used.

It has been suggested that the sensing of protein ingestion by animals might be linked to the \(\gamma\)-glutamate (free + protein bound) content in foods\(^{42}\). This might provide a reasonable index of protein ingestion because \(\gamma\)-glutamate is the most abundant amino acid in almost all dietary proteins. Nevertheless, 15 d of eating a NP diet (total milk protein, protein:energy of 14 %) enriched or not in glutamate (2 %) did not induce a decrease in food intake or in weight gain\(^{43}\). Glutamic acid is well tolerated by the rat in amounts as high as 5 %, and probably up to 10 %, in diets with low to moderate protein content\(^{44}\).

The poor palatability of HP diets has been documented\(^{45}\), but with respect to protein intake its relative importance remains unclear. It is possible that the appetite-suppressing effect of dietary protein is partially induced by poor palatability. In order to study the role of oro-sensorial factors, food intake was measured after modifying the composition of the HP diet, meaning the type of proteins or carbohydrates present\(^{46}\). However, we were unable to modify the depression of food intake induced by a HP diet. Taken together, our experiments indicate that the overall behavioural response more probably originated from an initial lower palatability of the food combined with an enhanced satiety effect of the HP diet and a delay required for the metabolic adaptation.
Even if several studies, conducted in human subjects fed low-energy diets, have shown that using one or two HP meal replacements per d might lead to a decrease in energy intake and body weight\(^{(47,48)}\), the long-term consequences of the consumption of protein meals in non-restricted subjects remain unknown.

The usefulness of protein diets may be questioned in relation to weight loss and maintenance of lean body mass in two different situations: that of energy restriction and that of *ad libitum* feeding. The effect of diet composition on weight loss during energy restriction has been widely studied and the additional effect of macronutrient composition above energy restriction was not clearly demonstrated. The absence of any effect of diet composition in human subjects (apart from energy restriction), such as the protein:carbohydrate ratio, has been reported by several authors\(^{(49,50)}\), although not all\(^{(51,52)}\). The loss of lean body mass accompanying energy restriction is a major obstacle to successful long-term dieting. One important suggestion relative to increasing dietary protein during energy restriction is that it might prevent a loss of lean body mass\(^{(53)}\). In rats, eating a HP diet *ad libitum* mainly induced a reduction in the fat mass of rats but also a higher ratio of lean:fat mass\(^{(53)}\). In human subjects only a few studies have been carried out in order to analyse the long-term effects on body-weight gain and adiposity of eating a diet rich in protein *ad libitum*. Using two iso-energetic diets in overweight subjects, Weigle *et al.*\(^{(54)}\) showed that an increase in dietary protein from 15 to 30% of energy at a constant carbohydrate intake resulted in rapid losses of weight and body fat due to a sustained decrease in appetite and energy intake.

Peripheral control of amino acids and protein intake

During digestion, proteins produce several pre- and post-absorptive signals that play a role in the control of food intake (Fig. 1).

Detection of protein and amino acids during digestion and control of food intake by feedback signals

Amino acid detection occurs as early as within the oral cavity. Indeed, several amino acids taste sweet, bitter or umami to man and are attractive to rodents and other animals\(^{(55)}\). Taste receptors (namely T1R1 and T1R3 heterodimers) are present on the tongue and detect most of the twenty amino acids. Some, such as glutamate, can be detected specifically through the means of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR1 and mGluR4), while others, such as glycine, taste sweet to man. There are different sources of L-glutamate in food: either protein-bound or in free form. When glutamate is protein-bound, it is tasteless and does not provide an umami taste to food. L-Glutamate is present as a free amino acid in various food products such as seaweed, soya sauce, fermented beans, an extract made from beef meat, aged cheeses, cured ham and tomatoes. Thus, it is unlikely that free glutamate is a major taste marker of dietary protein to the individual\(^{(42,55)}\).

Satiation feedback signals originating from the stomach are the result of volumetric signals produced by mechanoreceptors\(^{(56)}\). The initial increase in gastric volume subsequent to the ingestion of dietary protein is probably due to increased gastric secretions and increased water intake\(^{(56)}\). As explained below, dietary proteins and amino acids are detected within the duodenum and this detection delays gastric emptying\(^{(57)}\), hence prolonging gastric distension satiation signals. As proposed by Janssen *et al.*\(^{(58)}\), gastric emptying is a key mediator of hunger satiation and satiety. Thus, signals originating from the stomach are likely to contribute to satiation signalling provoked by protein intake.

A strong contributor to the effect of protein and amino acids on food intake is the upper intestine. There is evidence that protein and protein digestion products, such as amino acids and oligopeptides, are detected within the lumen of the duodenum. While a first proposed mechanism for this detection is linked to protein and amino acid absorption and processing by enterocytes\(^{(59)}\), other groups have shown that nutrient-specific receptors exist on the apical side of enteroendocrine cells, being similar to either lingual taste receptors\(^{(60)}\) or functional oligopeptide transporters\(^{(61,62)}\). Ultimately, amino acid and oligopeptide detection in the intestinal wall is dependent upon the release of cholecystokinin (CCK) by enteroendocrine cells\(^{(63)}\). Duodenal CCK then increases the firing rate of vagus nerve afferents that extend terminals to the close vicinity of the brush border, and that convey information to the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) in the brainstem. The implication of this detection on food intake seems to be restricted to short-term food intake control\(^{(64)}\).

Within the lower intestine, the ileal brake is a feedback mechanism that results in the inhibition of proximal gastrointestinal motility and secretion. Animal and human studies have shown that activation of it by local nutrient perfusions increases feelings of satiety and reduces *ad libitum* food intake\(^{(65)}\). These results point to a potential role for the ileal brake in the regulation of digestion, exerting a direct or indirect impact on eating behaviour and satiety. Ileal protein infusions in both human subjects and animals activate the ileal brake\(^{(66)}\). It is notably mediated by peptide YY (PYY), which is released by L cells located in the mucosa of the ileum. According to Moran & Dailey\(^{(67)}\), the pattern of secretion in plasma can remain elevated for up to 6 h following meal termination. This long-lasting pattern of release suggests roles for this mediator that extend beyond the meal that originally stimulated its release. According to Batterham *et al.*\(^{(68)}\), a HP diet intake induced the greatest release of PYY and the most pronounced satiety in normal-weight and obese human subjects. A long-term augmentation of dietary protein in
mice induced elevated plasma PYY levels, and reduced food intake and adiposity. In order to determine directly the role of PYY in mediating the satiating effects of protein, Batterham et al.\textsuperscript{(68)} generated PYY-null mice that were selectively resistant to the satiating and weight-reducing effects of protein; these animals developed marked obesity that was reversed by exogenous PYY treatment.

In addition to CCK and PYY, a wide range of intestinal mediators have been described to be linked to dietary protein intake, as reviewed by Karhunen et al.\textsuperscript{(69)}.

Fig. 1. Mechanisms responsible for the protein-induced suppression of food intake. BCAA, branched-chain amino acids; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NPY, neuropeptide Y; AgRP, Agouti-related peptide; POMC, pro-opiomelanocortin; CART, cocaine and amphetamine-regulated transcript; AA, amino acids; CCK, cholecystokinin; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; PYY, peptide YY.
an orexigenic peptide, is decreased after consumption of a HP breakfast in lean subjects(70). This result was confirmed by Bowen et al. (71) in lean and overweight subjects but not by Westerterp’s studies (reviewed in Veldhorst et al. (72)). It is also possible that HP diet but not HP meal intake influences ghrelin responses (73). Also, compared with other macronutrients, dietary protein is also a very strong stimulus for gastric inhibitory polypeptide (74) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) release by the small intestine (71). Since GLP-1 receptors are expressed in vagal afferent neurons it is likely that GLP-1 acts on vagal afferent terminals in close vicinity to the enteroendocrine L cells (67). Complex cooperative interplay might occur between ghrelin and CCK as described by de Lartigue et al. (75), and also between CCK, gastric inhibitory polypeptide and GLP-1, suggesting critical synergistic effects (76).

The participation of hepatic portal vein vagal afferents in protein sensing and signalling to the brain is notably supported by electrophysiological recordings showing that hepatic portal vein perfusion of amino acids activates vagal afferent fibres (62). However, hepatic vagal afferents do not seem essential to the peripheral detection of a HP diet (60). Others have proposed the hepatic portal vein as being the critical and necessary site for HP diets to alter food intake (77), but this view seems rather unlikely considering the high level of redundancy present in gut–brain axis satiety signalling (78).

Such generated signals (gut peptides but also circulating amino acids) have a dual mode of action on the central nervous system: (i) at the gastrointestinal tract via the vagus nerve to the brainstem within the NTS (the first central relay for afferent vagal fibres); and (ii) directly at the hypothalamus (arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus; ARC) and brainstem via the bloodstream (notably through hormones and circulating nutrients acting as mediators) and an indirect transmission through the nervous system which innervates the gastrointestinal tract, namely the vagus nerve and splanchnic nerves (though sub-diaphragmatic vagotomy fails to suppress the depression of food intake induced by the administration of a HP diet in rats (79)).

Detection of protein and amino acids, post-absorptive signals and feedback signals controlling food intake

Since the 2000s, many studies in human subjects have been conducted to examine differences in postprandial hormone profiles that could be the cause of satiety induced by proteins. Studies have focused on CCK, GLP-1, amylin, ghrelin, leptin, insulin and glucagon, but very often no clear correlation emerged between these hormones and satiety (71,72). As for individual mediators, studies have shown that ghrelin is primarily blood-borne and alters hypothalamic function, whereas the mode of action of CCK and GLP-1 is, in contrast, primarily vagally mediated (67). Plasma CCK levels are unlikely to be a relevant signal (68). It must be mentioned that an increase in energy expenditure could also be the cause of peripheral signals of satiety induced by proteins. The role of dietary protein in body-weight control could be a direct consequence of thermogenesis. Proteins are thought to produce a greater thermogenic effect than other macronutrients participating in protein-induced satiety. This is due in part to the energetic cost necessary to incorporate each amino acid into protein and for catabolism of the amino acid in excess. In human subjects (4,5) but not in rats (81), ingestion of proteins stimulates postprandial thermogenesis at a higher level than other macronutrients. Conversely, it has never been shown that an increase in postprandial thermogenesis was the direct cause of satiety induced by the ingestion of food proteins. At most, correlations between dietary protein intakes, an effect on satiety and increased thermogenesis were highlighted. Furthermore, central mechanisms linking the direct effect of increased thermogenesis and postprandial satiety remain to be demonstrated.

Amino acid-induced gluconeogenesis could also prevent a decrease in glycaemia that could contribute to satiety (82). The fact that gluconeogenesis in man is thought to remain relatively stable in varying metabolic conditions is still a matter of debate (83). However, Velhorst et al. (84) has shown that after a HP diet, gluconeogenesis was increased and appetite was lower compared with a NP diet; however, these were unrelated to each other. The glucostatic theory indicates that a drop of plasma glucose level precedes the start of the following meal. However, no one has shown that the flow of plasma glucose induced by the ingestion of a meal rich in protein lasts longer than one that follows a NP meal (15).

The high plasma concentration of amino acids after the ingestion of a protein diet could be the cause of peripheral signals that would be detected in some specific regions in the hypothalamus (85). Hall et al. (74) explained that their results on the satiating effect of whey protein being greater than that of casein were in agreement with the work of Boirie et al. (86), originally the conceivers of ‘slow and fast proteins’, and the aminostatic theory (87). These authors concluded that the massive influx of amino acids following ingestion of whey proteins could lead to higher satiating power of these proteins as compared with other, slower, sources of amino acids (such as casein). Finally, the presence of some specific amino acids such as glutamate or leucine could also play a role in the central control of appetite (see below).

The general hypothesis that the specific role of certain amino acid precursors of neurotransmitters would explain the decrease in food intake induced by proteins is still a matter of debate. In particular, the amount of tryptophan (the precursor of serotonin and a mediator known to inhibit appetite (88)) was used to demonstrate this phenomenon. The high tryptophan content of α-lactalbumin has been suggested to explain the satiating effect of this protein (29,89). Indeed, the ingestion of α-lactalbumin...
increases the plasma level of tryptophan and the trypto-
phan:branched-chain amino acids ratio more than other
proteins such as gelatin (which is devoid of tryptophan).
However, the addition of free tryptophan to gelatin at the
same level as that of α-lactalbumin does not affect
responses on scales of hunger(29). Similarly, tyrosine, a pre-
cursor of dopamine, added at a 5% level in the diet of rats
had no influence on the level of intake(90). Because the
ingestion of foods high in protein (and thus L-glutamate)
does not lead to appreciable changes in plasma L-
glutamate concentrations(91), the body (the brain) is
unlikely to monitor protein intake via meal- or diet-related
variations in plasma L-glutamate. In the same way, results
concerning the addition of histidine, a precursor of histamine, to the diet are conflicting. Chronic ingestion of
histidine added at a 5% level in the diet of rats had no influ-
ence on the level of intake(90). At the same time a 8 d inges-
tion of histidine added at a 1, 2.5 or 5% level in the diet of
rats decreased food intake(92), so the role of central histamine
in the depression of food intake has been suggested(93).

**Protein-induced reduction in eating and central neuronal pathways**

It should be mentioned that brain recognition of deficiency
in indispensable amino acid diets is out of the scope of the
present review(32,33). Well-equilibrated dietary proteins
induce a reduction in food intake during a subsequent
meal in parallel with activation of neuronal populations
in the NTS and the hypothalamus. In addition, a HP diet
inhibits the activation of opioid and GABAergic neurons
in the nucleus accumbens, and thus inhibits food intake
by reducing the hedonic response to food, presumably
because of its low palatability (Fig. 1).

The NTS is the main entry point of the vagus nerve in the
central nervous system and thus receives afferent projec-
tions from most of the organs of the gastrointestinal tract(94). In addition, the NTS receives some cranial nerve
afferents that convey, from the oro-sensory area, extensive
information on food texture, taste, smell, appearance and
palatability.

The involvement of vagal afferent pathways in protein
sensing and signalling to the brain is supported by results
showing that intraduodenal protein activates vagal afferent
fibres, and HP feeding induces c-Fos expression in neurons
within the NTS(95,96). Faipoux et al.(97) showed that a
reduction in food intake after a HP load (v. a NP load)
resulted from activation of the noradrenergic neurons
related to CCK-induced anorexia. This study also showed
that neurons expressing GLP-1 were not activated,
which is consistent with the fact that protein-induced
reduction in eating is not associated with conditioned
food aversion(50).

The hypothalamus is the focus of much peripheral infor-
mation sensing and participates in the control of body
energy homeostasis and food intake. This vast area has
many nuclei that are in constant interaction(94). The hypo-
thalamus contains a number of discrete neuronal popu-
lations or nuclei, including the ARC, the paraventricular
nucleus (PVN), the ventromedial nucleus, the dorsomedial
nucleus and the lateral hypothalamic area. Energy homeo-
stasis-regulating circuits are found within and connecting
these nuclei. In the ARC, pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC)
neuron activation induces a reduction in food intake. The
activation of POMC neurons is also inseparable from the
behaviour of another population in the ARC, neuropeptide
Y (NPY)/Agouti-related peptide (AgRP) neurons, activation
of which is potent in increasing food intake and inhibiting
POMC neuron activation(98).

We have shown that after the ingestion of a HP meal, the
numbers of double-labelled Fos and α-melanocyte-
stimulating hormone (a marker of POMC neuron activation)
marked cells increased, concomitantly with a reduction in
the activation of non-POMC neurons(97). This result was
less pronounced when a HP diet had been served chroni-
cally (21 d) than in an acute setting. Moreover, because arc-
uate neurons mainly exhibit a POMC or NPY phenotype, it
could be hypothesised that NPY neurons are less strongly
activated after HP meals. Similarly, rats or obese mice fed a
HP diet ad libitum decreased their hypothalamic NPY
mRNA levels and increased their hypothalamic mRNA
POMC levels to a greater extent than those fed the NP
diet(39). Using Sprague–Dawley rats maintained on a
HP–high-fat diet also resulted in significant increases in
POMC expression over that of controls, with no effect on
AgRP or NPY expression levels in the ARC, and increased
dorsomedial nucleus NPY expression(50). Surprisingly,
a recent study reported that in wild mice fed a HP diet for
3 d (or more until 30 d) there was a paradoxical decrease
in expression of the hypothalamic POMC, and an increase
in expression of the gene encoding AgRP(99). However,
they did not discuss their results with respect to those
previously presented in this review.

It has been shown that AMP-activated protein kinase
(AMPK) and the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)
is involved in the reduction in eating induced by HP
diets. Here, an increase in dietary leucine(39) or the intra-
cerebroventricular administration of amino acids (or leu-
cine only)(100,101) reduces food intake and body weight.
AMPK is the downstream component of a kinase cascade
that acts as a sensor for cellular energy charge, being acti-
vated by an increase in the AMP:ATP ratio. Once activated,
AMPK phosphorylates acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and
switches on energy-producing pathways at the expense
of energy-depleting processes. Ropelle et al.(50) showed
that both a HP diet and intra-cerebroventricular leucine
administration suppressed AMPK and ACC phosphoryl-
atation in the rat hypothalamus, this being concomitant
with a reduced AMP:ATP ratio. In parallel, there has been
growing interest in mTOR, an intracellular signalling mol-
ecule sensitive to both amino acids and growth factors,
which is also described as a metabolic sensor. Both a HP
diet and the intra-cerebroventricular administration of free amino acids, or leucine only, led to mTOR activation in the hypothalamus (100,101). Moreover, HP diets modulate AMPK and mTOR in the same specific neuronal subsets, the ARC and paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. AMPK and mTOR may have overlapping and reciprocal functions (100,101). Finally, the activation of mTOR and the suppression of AMPK phosphorylation activity seem to modulate hypothalamic neuropeptides: they reduce levels of orexigenic NPY and AgRP neuropeptides and increase the expression of POMC, which exerts an anorexigenic effect (39,101).

This effect of HP diets seems to be leucine-specific, because intracerebral leucine alone exerts the same effect on food intake as a mixture of amino acids (39). Moreover, using a HP diet or a NP diet enriched in leucine to the same level found in a HP diet (containing 50 g free leucine per kg diet) Ropelle et al. (39) obtained the same results without causing a conditioned taste aversion. Nevertheless, such a comparison has some limits because a NP diet containing an amino acid in excess and a HP diet have different types of peripheral catabolism (44).

The nucleus accumbens (NAcc) is a structure of the ventral striatum which is pivotal in the modulation of hedonic control of eating behaviour. It is divided into two parts, the core (AccCo) and shell (AccSh) (94). It was identified early on as the primary interface between motivation and action in the brain, because of its many afferents (mostly glutamatergic) from regions involved in cognitive processes and learning, and its efferents to areas of motor control, mostly GABAergic. The AccCo is involved in the learning process and implementation of adaptive mechanical actions, while AccSh is more involved as a relay between cortical regions and other regions of the brain in behavioural aspects, in particular food (102). As previously discussed, dietary proteins have a low palatability. Palatability has a major impact on the reward system but the expression of liking cannot alone explain the anorexigenic effect of proteins (360). Proteins inhibit the activation of opioid and GABAergic neurons in the NAcc and thus could inhibit food intake by reducing the hedonic response to food (105). It is well known that neurons in the AccSh project directly to the lateral hypothalamic area (104) and the stimulation of orexigenic lateral hypothalamic area neurons can induce robust feeding (105). Chemical manipulation of the AccSh has been shown to elicit robust feeding and Fos expression in the hypothalamus, in particular in the ARC. Fos activation was significantly lower in POMC/cocaine and amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART) neurons and higher in NPY neurons (106). Recently, we have shown that postprandial activation of NAcc is decreased by a HP diet given during 2 d or after a 15 d period (107) and could in turn inhibit NPY neurons and activate POMC/CART neurons in ARC.

Conclusion

Extensive studies of HP diets have demonstrated their ability to limit total energy intake, body weight and lipid deposition. They have become popular, probably because they increase satiety and aid in ensuring better compliance with a reduced-energy diet and/or contribute to a spontaneous reduction in energy intake (108).

Nowadays we know that the protein and amino acid content of food is a determinant of control of food intake and the amount that will be eaten. The peripheral hormones CCK, GLP-1 and PYY have been shown to be involved in the mechanism of protein-induced satiety. Specific amino acids such as the branched-chain amino acid leucine, or other neuropeptide precursors (perhaps histidine), can contribute to the protein-induced control of food intake via central mechanisms. Several brain regions are involved in the central control of food intake, and more specifically it has been shown that proteins may affect them by acting in different parts of the brainstem or hypothalamus.

In the future we will have to study more precisely the interaction between different brain areas, and the adaptation of different neural circuits following the ingestion of protein diets. Also, when eating a HP diet, a fraction of dietary protein and endogenous protein escapes digestion in the small intestine and passes the ileo-caecal junction where it undergoes the action of bacterial proteases and the residual fraction of pancreatic proteases. Free amino acids are substrates used by the microbiota to generate metabolites of diverse structure, some of which have effects on cells. The role of dietary protein on the control of food intake via the microbiota remains unknown.
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