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#### Abstract

In this note, we correct an oversight regarding the modules from Definition 4.2 and proof of Lemma 5.12 in Baur et al. (Nayoga Math. J., 2020, 240, 322-354). In particular, we give a correct construction of an indecomposable rank 2 module $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$, with the rank 1 layers $I$ and $J$ tightly 3 -interlacing, and we give a correct proof of Lemma 5.12.


## §1. Indecomposable rank 2 modules with tightly 3 -interlacing layers

In [1], we studied the category $\mathrm{CM}\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ of Cohen-Macaulay modules over the completion of an algebra $B_{k, n}$, which is a quotient of the preprojective algebra of type $A_{n-1}$. The category $\operatorname{CM}\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ is important in a categorification of the cluster algebra structure on the homogeneous coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[G r(k, n)]$ of the Grassmannian variety of $k$-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (see [3]-[5]).

For the notation and background results used in this note, we refer the reader to [1 , Sect. 1]. We thank Karin Erdmann and Alastair King for useful conversations about indecomposable modules.

In [1, Def. 4.2], we constructed a Cohen-Macaulay module of an arbitrary rank. In the case of rank 2 , in [1, Lem. 5.12], we claimed that the constructed module is indecomposble. In fact, the rank 2 module from this lemma is not indecomposable. The aim of this note is to correct this mistake, that is, for given $k$-subsets $I$ and $J$ that are tightly 3 -interlacing, to construct explicitly an indecomposable rank 2 Cohen-Macaulay module with filtration $L_{I} \mid L_{J}$.

We show that this module is indecomposable by proving that its endomorphism ring does not have nontrivial idempotents.

Assume that we are in the case when $I$ and $J$ are tightly 3 -interlacing $k$-subsets $(|I \backslash J|=$ $|J \backslash I|=3$ and noncommon elements of $I$ and $J$ interlace). Write $I \backslash J$ as $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}$ and $J \backslash I=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}\right\}$ so that $1 \leq i_{1}<j_{1}<i_{2}<j_{2}<i_{3}<j_{3} \leq n$.

The following construction covers all indecomposable rank 2 modules in case when the category $\mathrm{CM}\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ is tame and $(k, n)=(3,9)$.

We want to define a rank 2 module $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ in $\operatorname{CM}\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ in a similar way as rank 1 modules are defined in [5]. Let $V_{i}:=\mathbb{C}[|t|] \oplus \mathbb{C}[|t|], i=1, \ldots, n$. The module $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ has $V_{i}$ at each vertex $1,2, \ldots, n$ of $\Gamma_{n}$, where $\Gamma_{n}$ is the quiver of the boundary algebra, that is, with vertices $1,2, \ldots, n$ on a cycle and arrows $x_{i}: i-1 \rightarrow i, y_{i}: i \rightarrow i-1$. Observe the following

[^0]matrices:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & -2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad C_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad D_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& A_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right), \quad C_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right), \quad D_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Note that these are all matrix factorisations of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}t & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right): A_{1} A_{2}=B_{1} B_{2}=C_{1} C_{2}=D_{1} D_{2}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}t & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $I, J$ be tightly 3 -interlacing $k$-subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. At the vertices of $\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{L}(I, J)$ has the spaces $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$. We define the maps $x_{i}, y_{i}$ as follows:
$x_{i}: V_{i-1} \rightarrow V_{i}$ acts as $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}A_{1}, & \text { if } i=i_{1}, \\ B_{2}, & \text { if } i=j_{1}, \\ B_{1}, & \text { if } i=i_{2}, \\ C_{2}, & \text { if } i=j_{2}, \\ C_{1}, & \text { if } i=i_{3}, \\ A_{2}, & \text { if } i=j_{3}, \\ D_{1}, & \text { if } i \in I \cap J, \\ D_{2}, & \text { if } i \in I^{c} \cap J^{c} .\end{array} \quad y_{i}: V_{i} \rightarrow V_{i-1}\right.$ acts as $\begin{cases}A_{2}, & \text { if } i=i_{1}, \\ B_{1}, & \text { if } i=j_{1}, \\ B_{2}, & \text { if } i=i_{2}, \\ C_{1}, & \text { if } i=j_{2}, \\ C_{2}, & \text { if } i=i_{3}, \\ A_{1}, & \text { if } i=j_{3}, \\ D_{2}, & \text { if } i \in I \cap J, \\ D_{1}, & \text { if } i \in I^{c} \cap J^{c} .\end{cases}$
One easily checks that $x y=y x$ and $x^{k}=y^{n-k}$ at all vertices and that $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ is free over the center of the boundary algebra. Hence, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 1.2. The module $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ as constructed in Definition 1.1 is in $C M\left(B_{k, n}\right)$.
For the remainder of the paper, if $w=t v$, then $t^{-1} w$ stands for $v$.
Proposition 1.3. Let I and $J$ be tightly 3-interlacing, $n \geq 6$ arbitrary, $I \backslash J=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}$ and $J \backslash I=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}\right\}$ where $1 \leq i_{1}<j_{1}<i_{2}<j_{2}<i_{3}<j_{3} \leq n$. If $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{L}(I, J), \mathbb{L}(I, J))$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{i_{1}}=\varphi_{i_{2}}=\varphi_{i_{3}} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b t \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \\
\varphi_{j_{1}} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c t & d
\end{array}\right), \\
\varphi_{j_{2}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+c & b+t^{-1}(d-a-c) \\
c t & d-c
\end{array}\right), \\
\varphi_{j_{3}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+2 c & b+2 t^{-1}(d-a-2 c) \\
c t & d-2 c
\end{array}\right), \\
\varphi_{i} & =\varphi_{i-1}, \text { for } i \in\left(I^{c} \cap J^{c}\right) \cup(I \cap J),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}[|t|]$. Furthermore, $t \mid c$ and $t \mid(a-d)$.
Proof. First we prove the statement for $n=6, I=\{1,3,5\}, J=\{2,4,6\}$, and $\varphi \in$ $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{L}(I, J))$. Then $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{6}\right)$, where each $\varphi_{i}$ is an element of $M_{2}(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ (matrices over the center).

We check the relations which arise when we go from a peak of the rim of $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ to a valley of the rim:
(i) $x_{2} \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2} x_{2}$,
(ii) $x_{3} \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{3} x_{3}$,
(iii) $x_{4} \varphi_{3}=\varphi_{4} x_{4}$,
(iv) $x_{5} \varphi_{4}=\varphi_{5} x_{5}$,
(v) $x_{6} \varphi_{5}=\varphi_{6} x_{6}$,
(vi) $x_{1} \varphi_{6}=\varphi_{1} x_{1}$.

Let $\varphi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$. The equalities $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{3}=\varphi_{5}$ follow immediately from $t \varphi_{3}=\varphi_{3} B_{1} B_{2}=$ $B_{1} B_{2} \varphi_{1}=t \varphi_{1}$ and $t \varphi_{5}=\varphi_{5} C_{1} C_{2}=C_{1} C_{2} \varphi_{3}=t \varphi_{3}$.

If we consider matrices $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ as elements of the ring $M_{2}(\mathbb{C}((t)))$, where all of them are units, then from $x_{2} \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2} x_{2}$ follows that

$$
\varphi_{2}=x_{2} \varphi_{1} x_{2}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & t^{-1} b \\
c t & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus, $t \mid b$, so if we replace $b$ by $b t$, this yields

$$
\varphi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b t \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \quad \varphi_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c t & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly, from $x_{4} \varphi_{3}=\varphi_{4} x_{4}$, we have

$$
\varphi_{4}=x_{4} \varphi_{3} x_{4}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b t \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -t^{-1} \\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+c & b+t^{-1}(d-a-c) \\
c t & d-c
\end{array}\right)
$$

and from $x_{6} \varphi_{5}=\varphi_{6} x_{6}$, we have

$$
\varphi_{6}=x_{6} \varphi_{5} x_{6}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b t \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 t^{-1} \\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+2 c & b+2 t^{-1}(d-a-2 c) \\
c t & d-2 c
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The statement about the divisibility follows since we have the two properties $t \mid(d-c-a)$ and $t \mid(d-a-2 c)$. Combined, they imply $t \mid c$ and $t \mid d-a$ as claimed.

In the general case, the proof is almost the same as in the case $n=6$. The only thing left to note is that if $i \in\left(I^{c} \cap J^{c}\right) \cup(I \cap J)$, then $x_{i}$ is a scalar matrix (either identity or $t$ times identity), so the equality $x_{i} \varphi_{i-1}=\varphi_{i} x_{i}$ yields $\varphi_{i-1}=\varphi_{i}$.

Proposition 1.4. Let $I, J$ be tightly 3-interlacing, $n \geq 6$ arbitrary. Then the module $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ is indecomposable.

Proof. We first consider $n=6$. In this case, we can assume $I=\{1,3,5\}$ and $J=\{2,4,6\}$. Take $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{L}(I, J))$ as in the previous proposition.

To show the indecomposability, we assume that $\varphi$ is an idempotent endomorphism of $\mathbb{L}(I, J)$ and show that $\varphi$ is trivial (the identity or the zero endomorphism).

Assume that $\varphi_{2}^{2}=\varphi_{2}$, that is

$$
\varphi_{2}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{2}+b c t & (a+d) b \\
(a+d) c t & d^{2}+b c t
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c t & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The equations $a^{2}+b c t=a \quad$ and $\quad d^{2}+b c t=d$ on the diagonal entries give $a-a^{2}=d-d^{2}$, that is, $a-d=a^{2}-d^{2}=(a-d)(a+d)$ and hence $a=d$ or $a+d=1$. The equations also show that $t \mid a(1-a)$ and that $t \mid d(1-d)$.

Assume first $a=d$. If $b \neq 0$, we get $a=\frac{1}{2}$, which contradicts to $t \mid a-a^{2}$. Analogously for $c \neq 0$. Thus $b=c=0$ and $a=d=0$ or $a=d=1$, the two trivial cases (note that if $\varphi_{2}$ is trivial, then $x_{i} \varphi_{i-1}=\varphi_{i} x_{i}$ yields $\varphi_{2}=\varphi_{i}$, for all $i$ ).

So assume that $a \neq d$ and $d=1-a$. Combining $t \mid a(1-a)$ with the fact that $t$ divides $a-d=2 a-1$ implies that $t \mid 1$, which is a contradiction.

For a general $n$, since $\varphi_{i}=\varphi_{i+1}$ for $i+1 \in\left(I^{c} \cap J^{c}\right) \cup(I \cap J)$, the proof follows as for $n=6$.

The question of uniqueness of such a rank 2 indecomposable module is studied in [2]. For given tightly 3 -interlacing $I$ and $J$, there is a unique indecomposable rank 2 module with filtration $L_{I} \mid L_{J}$. This statement is clear in case when the category $\operatorname{CM}\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ is of finite representation type and in case when $\operatorname{CM}\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ is tame, with $(k, n) \in\{(3,9),(4,8)\}$. Consequently, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 [2, Th. 1.2]. Let $M \in C M\left(B_{k, n}\right)$ be an indecomposable module with profile $I \mid J$. Then, up to isomorphism, $M$ is the unique indecomposable rank 2 module with filtration $I \mid J$ if and only if its poset is $1^{3} \mid 2$ and $I$ and $J$ are almost tightly 3-interlacing.
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