Symptomatology) and manic (Altman Self-Rating Mania Scale) symptoms. Weekly data was used to determine transitions (i.e., abrupt increase in symptoms). Prior to these transitions, EWS (autocorrelation at lag-1 and standard deviation) were calculated in moving windows over 17 affective EMA states. Kendall's tau was calculated to detect significant rises in the EWS indicator prior to the transition.

Results: Eleven patients reported one or two transitions to a mood episode. All transitions were preceded by at least one EWS. Average sensitivity for detecting EWS was slightly higher for manic episodes (36%) than for depressive episodes (25%). For manic episodes, EWS in thoughts racing, being full of ideas, and feeling agitated showed the highest sensitivity and specificity, whereas for depression, only feeling tired showed high sensitivity and specificity.

Conclusions: EWS show promise in anticipating transitions to mood episodes in bipolar disorder. Further investigation is warranted.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: prediction; bipolar disorder; early warning signals; experience sampling methodology

O011

Psychiatric hospital utilisation following lithium discontinuation in patients with bipolar I or II disorder: A mirror-image study based on the lisie retrospective cohort

L. Öhlund^{1*}, M. Ott², M. Bergqvist³, S. Oja⁴, R. Lundqvist⁵, M. Sandlund⁶, E. Salander Renberg⁶ and U. Werneke¹

¹Sunderby Research Unit, Department Of Clinical Sciences, Division Of Psychiatry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ²Department Of Public Health And Clinical Medicine, Division Of Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ³Department Of Psychiatry, Piteå Älvdals hospital, Piteå, Sweden; ⁴Sunderby Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Luleå, Sweden; ⁵Department Of Public Health And Clinical Medicine, Sunderby Research Unit, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden and ⁶Department Of Clinical Sciences, Division Of Psychiatry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.238

Introduction: Evidence for lithium as a maintenance treatment for bipolar disorder type II remains limited since most treatment-prevention studies focus on bipolar disorder type I or do not distinguish between types of bipolar disorder.

Objectives: To compare the impact of lithium discontinuation on hospital utilisation in patients with bipolar disorder type I or schizoaffective disorder and patients with bipolar disorder type II or other bipolar disorder.

Methods: Mirror-image study, examining hospital utilisation within two years before and after lithium discontinuation as part of LiSIE, a retrospective cohort study into effects and side-effects of lithium for the maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder as compared to other mood stabilisers.

Results: For the whole sample, the number of admissions increased from 86 to 185 admissions after lithium discontinuation, with the mean number of admissions/patient/review period doubling from 0.44 to 0.95 ($p < 0.001$). The number of bed days increased from 2218 to 4240, with the mean number of bed days/patient/review period

doubling from 11 to 22 ($p = 0.025$). This increase in admissions and bed days was exclusively attributable to patients with bipolar disorder type I or schizoaffective disorder.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that due to a higher relapse risk in patients with bipolar disorder type I or schizoaffective disorder there is a need to apply a higher threshold for discontinuing lithium than for patients with bipolar disorder type II or other bipolar disorder.

Disclosure: Michael Ott has been a scientific advisory board member of Astra Zeneca Sweden, Ursula Werneke has received funding for educational activities on behalf of Norrbotten Region (Masterclass Psychiatry Programme 2014–2018 and EAPM 2016, Luleå, Sweden): Astra

Keywords: bipolar disorder; lithium; Admission; mood stabiliser

O012

Self-injurious behaviour in patients with bipolar disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder after central stimulant start– a retrospective study based on the lisie cohort

L. Öhlund^{1*}, M. Ott², R. Lundqvist³, M. Sandlund⁴, E. Salander Renberg⁴ and U. Werneke¹

¹Sunderby Research Unit, Department Of Clinical Sciences, Division Of Psychiatry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ²Department Of Public Health And Clinical Medicine, Division Of Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ³Sunderby Research Unit, Department Of Public Health And Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden and ⁴Department Of Clinical Sciences, Division Of Psychiatry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.239

Introduction: Currently, our understanding remains limited of how co-occurring bipolar disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) should be treated.

Objectives: To evaluate the impact of central stimulant treatment on self-injurious behaviour in patients with a dual diagnosis of bipolar disorder or schizoaffective disorder and ADHD.

Methods: Retrospective cohort study (LiSIE) into effects and side-effects of lithium as compared to other mood stabilisers. Here, using a mirror-image design, we compared suicide attempts and non-suicidal self-injury events within 6 months and 2 years before and after central stimulant treatment start.

Results: Of 1564 eligible patients, 206 patients met inclusion criteria; having a dual diagnosis of bipolar disorder or schizoaffective disorder and ADHD at first central stimulant initiation. In these, suicide attempts and non-suicidal self-injury events decreased significantly within both 6 months ($p = 0.004$) and 2 years ($p = 0.028$) after central stimulant start. After multiple adjustments, this effect was preserved 2 years after central stimulant start (OR 0.63, 95% CI; 0.40 – 0.98, $p = 0.041$).

Conclusions: Central stimulant treatment may reduce the risk of self-injurious behavior in patients with a dual diagnosis of bipolar disorder or schizoaffective disorder and ADHD. However, to reduce the risk of manic switches, concomitant mood stabilising treatment remains warranted.