

NUMERICAL RANGE ESTIMATES FOR THE NORMS OF ITERATED OPERATORS

by M. J. CRABB

(Received 8 February, 1969)

Let X be a complex normed space, with dual space X' , and T a bounded linear operator on X . The numerical range $V(T)$ of T is defined as $\{f(Tx) : x \in X, f \in X', \|x\| = \|f\| = f(x) = 1\}$. Let $|V(T)|$ denote $\sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(T)\}$. Our purpose is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM.
$$\|T^n\| \leq n! \left(\frac{e}{n}\right)^n |V(T)|^n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (1)$$

From the proof of Stirling's formula, it is known that

$$\frac{n! e^n}{n^n} \leq en^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The estimate for $\|T^n\|$ given in the present theorem is therefore very much better than the estimate $\|T^n\| \leq e^n |V(T)|^n$ given by the case $n = 1$.

When X is a complex Hilbert space, $V(T) = \{(Tx, x) : \|x\| = 1\}$. In this case, Berger [1] proves that $|V(T)| \leq 1$ implies that $|V(T^n)| \leq 1$, and so $\|T^n\| \leq 2$, for positive integers n . An elementary proof of this is given by Percy [8]. For a general normed space, $V(T)$ is the union of all possible numerical ranges $W(T)$ in the sense of Lumer [6]. For each such $W(T)$, $|W(T)| = |V(T)|$, and so we may replace V by W in (1). The theorem for the case $n = 1$ was proved by Bohnenblust and Karlin [3]; a simplified proof was given by Glickfeld [5], and the present result is based on his argument.

We shall require the following elementary result from Lumer [6].

LEMMA. *Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Banach space, with $|V(T)| < 1$. Then $(I - T)^{-1}$ exists, $\|(I - T)^{-1}\| \leq (1 - |V(T)|)^{-1}$, and $\rho(T) \leq |V(T)|$, where $\rho(T)$ denotes the spectral radius of T .*

Proof of Theorem. By [6], we have

$$\sup \operatorname{Re} V(T) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|I + \alpha T\| - 1}{\alpha}$$

and therefore $|V(T)|$ is unchanged if X is replaced by its completion. We assume therefore that X is complete.

Let ω_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be the m th roots of unity. Let n and p be positive integers. Assume first that $|V(T)| = \mu < 1$, and that $m > n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \omega_k^n (I - \omega_k^{-1} T)^{-p} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \omega_k^n \left\{ I + p\omega_k^{-1} T + \dots + \frac{p(p+1)\dots(p+n-1)}{1.2\dots n} \omega_k^{-n} T^n + \dots \right\} \\ &= \frac{p(p+1)\dots(p+n-1)}{1.2\dots n} T^n + \frac{p(p+1)\dots(p+n+m-1)}{1.2\dots(n+m)} T^{n+m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma,

$$\|(I - \omega_k^{-1} T)^{-1}\| \leq (1 - \mu)^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\|(I - \omega_k^{-1} T)^{-p}\| \leq (1 - \mu)^{-p},$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \omega_k^n (I - \omega_k^{-1} T)^{-p} \right\| \leq (1 - \mu)^{-p}.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that

$$\frac{p(p+1)\dots(p+n-1)}{1.2\dots n} \|T^n\| \leq (1 - \mu)^{-p}. \tag{2}$$

If $\mu = 0$, (2) gives $p \|T\| \leq 1$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots$), so that $T = 0$. So assume that $\mu \neq 0$.

Now let T be any bounded linear operator on X , and apply (2) to $nT/(p+1)\mu$ for $p > n - 1$. Then

$$\|T^n\| \leq \frac{1.2\dots n}{p(p+1)\dots(p+n-1)} \left(\frac{p+1}{n}\right)^n \left(\frac{p-n+1}{p+1}\right)^{-p} \mu^n.$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|T^n\| \leq n! \left(\frac{e}{n}\right)^n \mu^n.$$

Remark. We do not know of any operator T such that $|V(T)| \leq 1$ and $\{\|T^n\|\}$ is unbounded. It is quite easy to prove that, if T is an operator on a finite-dimensional space, or, more generally, is a meromorphic operator (Taylor [9], Caradus [4]), then $\{\|T^n\|\}$ is bounded whenever $|V(T)| \leq 1$.

To prove this, let T be a bounded linear meromorphic operator with $|V(T)| = 1$. Let $\text{sp}(T)$ denote the spectrum of T . Suppose that $\lambda \in \text{sp}(T)$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. Then there exists an idempotent P such that $TP = PT$, $(\lambda I - T)P$ is nilpotent, and $(\lambda I - T)(I - P)$ is invertible in $(I - P)X$. Since λ is a boundary point of the convex hull of $V(T)$, Theorem 4 of Nirschl and Schneider [7], extended to the case of linear operators on general normed linear spaces, is applicable. This shows that $(\lambda I - T)^2 x = 0$ implies that $(\lambda I - T)x = 0$. It follows that $(\lambda I - T)P = 0$. Therefore

$$T = TP + T(I - P) = \lambda P + T(I - P).$$

Since the non-zero points of $\text{sp}(T)$ are isolated, T may therefore be written

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P_i + S,$$

where $|\lambda_i| = 1$, $P_i^2 = P_i$, $P_i S = S P_i = 0$, $P_i P_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$), and $\rho(S) < 1$. Then

$$T^n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^n P_i + S^n,$$

so that $\{\|T^n\| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is bounded.

Added in proof. The author has found an example of a non-zero operator T for which equality holds in (1) for every integer n ; details of this will be published elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. C. Berger, On the numerical range of an operator, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
2. C. Berger and J. Stampfli, Mapping theorems for the numerical range, *Amer. J. Math.* **89** (1967), 1047–1055.
3. H. Bohnenblust and S. Karlin, Geometrical properties of the unit sphere in Banach algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **62** (1955), 217–229.
4. S. R. Caradus, On meromorphic operators, I, *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 723–736.
5. B. Glickfeld, On an inequality in Banach algebra geometry and semi-inner-product spaces, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1968), 339–340.
6. G. Lumer, Semi-inner-product spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100** (1961), 29–43.
7. N. Nirschl and H. Schneider, The Bauer field of values of a matrix, *Numer. Math.* **6** (1964), 355–365.
8. C. Pearcy, An elementary proof of the power inequality for the numerical radius, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 289–291.
9. A. E. Taylor, Mittag-Leffler expansions and spectral theory, *Pacific J. Math.* **10**, 3 (1960), 1049–1066.

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH