to-neonatal intrapartum transmission of COVID-19 via vaginal delivery.
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COVID-19 and gender-specific difference: Analysis of public surveillance data in Hong Kong and Shenzhen, China, from January 10 to February 15, 2020
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To the Editor—An outbreak of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which began in Wuhan, China in the end of 2019,1 has now reached over 100 countries and poses a huge threat to the global public health and economy.2 Given the risk of human-to-human transmission, the serial interval, which refers to the time interval from symptom onset of a primary case (ie, the infector) to that of a secondary case (ie, the infectee),3 is an essential quantity, in addition to the basic reproduction number, that drives the speed of spread.

We examined the publicly available materials and collected the records of COVID-19 transmission events in 2 neighboring large cities, Hong Kong4 and Shenzhen,5 in south China from January 10 to February 15, 2020, and we extracted the serial interval data. We identified 48 transmission events (21 in Hong Kong and 27 in Shenzhen), among which 40 events contained the gender information of the primary cases. The last onset date of the primary cases among all collected transmission events was February 2, 2020. The data were collected via public domain; thus, neither ethical approval nor individual consent was applicable. All data used in this work were publicly available from press releases from the Centre for Health Protection (CHP) of Hong Kong4 and the COVID-19 outbreak situation reports of the Shenzhen Municipal Health Commission,5 and the key R code is provided as a supplementary file online.

To explore the temporal patterns and the gender-specific difference of serial intervals, we adopted two regression models. Model 1 is a log-linear form for the percentage change, $E[\ln(SI_{i,j})] = \alpha_1 G_t + \alpha_2 t + \alpha_0$, and model 2 is a linear form for the unit change, $E[SI_{i,j}] = \beta_1 G_t + \beta_2 t + \beta_0$, where $E[\cdot]$ is the expectation and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the regression coefficients. The $SI_{i,j}$ represents the serial interval of the $i$th primary case whose onset date is the $j$th day. $G_t$ denotes the gender of the $i$th primary case. Hence, the $[\exp(\alpha_2) - 1] \times 100\%$ quantifies the percentage change, and $\beta_2$ quantifies the unit change (day) in the serial interval, namely change per day in the calendar date. The gender-specific difference can be interpreted similarly. We fit both models using the standard least-squares approach.

As shown in Figure 1, the serial interval decreased by 0.4 (95% CI, 0.1–0.7), or 6.2% per day (95% CI, 0.4%–11.6%) from January 10 to February 2 in Hong Kong and Shenzhen. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the serial interval and calendar date is estimated at $-0.37 \ (P < .01)$. The serial interval of male primary cases was 3.5 days (95% CI, 1.2–5.7) shorter than that of female primary cases, or 49.7% (95% CI, 15.3–70.1%) lower in percentage. To verify this finding, we additionally
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conducted a Cox proportional hazard modeling analysis using a similar formula as in models 1 and 2 to calculate the hazard ratio estimates. The association between serial interval and calendar date as well as gender-specific difference held consistently and significantly.

The shortening in serial interval over time is likely due to the strengthening of the public health control measures. The contact tracing and timely isolation of confirmed COVID-19 infections could lead to shorter observed serial interval due to right censoring bias. As such, we call the observed serial interval under the effects of control measures the effective serial interval, which has a mean of 5.2 days from our data set. This result appears slightly but not significantly shorter than the previous estimated 'intrinsic' serial interval, with a mean of 7.5 days. The mechanism behind the gender difference remains unknown, but it may be partly due to the fact that male cases are more severe than female cases (ie, "officials recorded a 2.8% fatality rate for male patients versus 1.7% for female patients"). The findings regarding the serial intervals of COVID-19 in Hong Kong and Shenzhen, and their implications, warrant further investigation.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.64
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