Body composition of goat kids during sucking. Voluntary feed intake
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The body composition of thirty-eight Granadina goat kids was measured. Six animals were slaughtered at birth while the remainder were kept individually at an environmental temperature of 24 ± 2°C and a relative humidity of 60 ± 5%. They were given goat's milk or a milk-substitute at two planes of nutrition until 15 or 30 d of age and then slaughtered. The goat's milk and milk-substitute contained 260.4 and 222.0 g digestible protein/kg and 23.23 and 20.85 MJ metabolizable energy/kg respectively. Voluntary feed intake as metabolizable energy was a function of metabolic body-weight (kg W₀775), equivalent to 2.42 and 2.44 times the energy requirement for maintenance for goat's milk- and milk-substitute-fed animals respectively. There was a high degree of correlation between the empty-body concentration of dry matter, fat and energy and empty-body-weight (P < 0.001) or animal age (P < 0.001), and between body-weight and animal age (P < 0.001). The relationships between empty-body composition and empty-body-weight were independent of type of milk or plane of nutrition. In contrast relationships between empty-body composition or empty-body-weight and animal age were affected by the type of milk and, over all, by the plane of nutrition. All these results show that in these animals any body-weight will have a similar composition, but it will be reached earlier or later depending on dietary regimen and always with the limitation of voluntary intake.

Goat kids: Sucking period: Body composition

Body composition of animals during sucking has been reviewed recently (Walker, 1986). It seems that during this period, body composition is more closely related to body-weight than to age (Fraga et al. 1978). It is known also, that if the voluntary intake of milk can be increased during early life, then the animal will not only grow more quickly, but will be fatter at any given body-weight (Campbell & Dunkin, 1983; Spencer & Hull, 1984). In spite of this, in the preruminant animal the relationship between body-weight and body composition appears to be unaffected by the plane of nutrition (Norton et al. 1970). This lack of response may be accounted for by the relatively lower voluntary feed intake of preruminant animals, so that no excess energy is available to be stored as fat (Walker, 1986).

The work reported here, provides information about the voluntary feed intake and body composition during the sucking period in goat kids in response to feeding goat's milk and a milk-substitute at two different planes of nutrition. These topics have not been examined previously in goats, but a typical characteristic of the goat species is its poor fattening capacity (Gall, 1982; Morand-Fehr et al. 1985).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Thirty-eight male Granadina goat kids were used. They were removed from their dams at 2 d of age. Then an initial slaughter group of six animals were killed while the remaining
thirty-two animals were fed up to their 15th or 30th day of age and slaughtered on the following day.

**Plan of experiments**

The experiments were designed in a completely randomized $2 \times 2 \times 2$ block. Treatments consisted of two types of milk, two planes of nutrition and two ages of animal.

**Experimental procedure**

Animals were placed individually in metabolism cages in an environment maintained at a temperature of $24 \pm 2^\circ$ and a relative humidity of $60 \pm 5\%$. They were given colostrum to appetite for 4 d. Goat's milk or a milk-substitute was fed from day 5 to day 15 or day 30. The two liquid diets were given to appetite and at 80% of that intake. These were the high (H) and medium (M) planes of nutrition respectively. Milk was given at 09.00 and 17.00 hours by bottle at $35^\circ$. The time of access to the milk was 2 h. Milk-substitute was prepared at 170 g/kg before feeding. The exact intakes were determined by weighing the bottles before and after sucking. The digestible protein (g/kg dry matter) and metabolizable energy (MJ/kg dry matter) contents of the goat’s milk were 260.4 and 23.23 respectively and that of the milk-substitute 222.0 and 20.85 respectively. Animals were weighed every 3 d. Each of eight animals consumed the natural or artificial milk at H or M planes of nutrition. Four of them were slaughtered at 16 d age and four at 31 d of age. Because of this, the kids were without food for 18 h before slaughter. The animals were anaesthetized by intramuscular injection of Xylazine (Rompun®, Bayer) before bleeding by aorta cannulation via the carotid artery. After slaughter, the different stomach compartments were emptied of digesta and the empty-body-weight measured. The empty-body was minced and a sample analysed for dry matter, protein, fat and energy.

**Analytical procedures**

Dry matter and nitrogen contents were determined in fresh samples. All other analyses were carried out on freeze-dried samples. Nitrogen contents were determined by Kjeldahl, energy contents in an adiabatic bomb calorimeter and fat contents by extraction with chloroform/methanol (2:1 v/v).

**Statistical analysis**

The results of the experiments were statistically analysed by means of standard regression techniques.

**RESULTS**

**Voluntary feed intake**

Intake is often assumed to be a function of metabolic weight and feeding scales are frequently expressed as a fixed multiple of energy maintenance requirement. So, the relationship between intake of milk metabolizable energy (kJ/d) and metabolic body-weight (kg/$W^{0.75}$) was determined for animals fed on goat’s milk and milk-substitute at the H plane of nutrition. All available values from 5 to 30 d were used. These relationships may be described by the following linear equations:

- goat’s milk: $y = -313.0\ (SE\ 134.1) + 1181.4\ (SE\ 191.1)\ x\ (r\ 0.86)$,
- milk-substitute: $y = -271.3\ (SE\ 88.5) + 1099.1\ (SE\ 177.3)\ x\ (r\ 0.86)$.

Where $y$ is milk energy intake (kJ ME/d) and $x$ is $W^{0.75}$ (kg). Neither the intercept term nor the regression coefficients were significantly different, so a combined equation was calculated. All values: $y = -333.9\ (SE\ 101.0) + 1154.9\ (SE\ 134.8)\ x\ (r\ 0.86)$. The regressions
Table 1. Empty-body-weight and empty-body composition in the Granadina goat kid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (d)</th>
<th>Feeding</th>
<th>Type of milk</th>
<th>Plane of nutrition</th>
<th>Empty-body-wt (kg)</th>
<th>Dry matter (g/kg)</th>
<th>Protein (g/kg)</th>
<th>Fat (g/kg)</th>
<th>Energy (MJ/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goat milk</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>221.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Milk-substitute</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>267.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>141.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>241.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Goat milk</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>228.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Milk-substitute</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>233.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>267.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

covered the range of metabolizable energy intake from 1507 to 5231 kJ/d and of $W_{0.75}$ from 1.55 to 4.28 kg. From the mean values for energy intake and $W_{0.75}$ and from the energy requirements for maintenance for this kind of animal (Sanz Sampelayo et al. 1988), it was possible to calculate the voluntary feed intake as multiples of those requirements, i.e. 2.42 and 2.44 for kids fed on goat’s milk and milk-substitute respectively.

**Relationship between empty-body composition and empty-body-weight or animal age**

The regression of concentration of different empty-body components (g/kg or MJ/kg; $y$), v. empty-body-weight or animal-age values (kg or d respectively; $x$), used an allometric equation of the type: $\log y = a + b \log x$. Likewise, the relationship between empty-body-weight (kg, $y$) and animal age (d; $x$) was also calculated. The individual values were those obtained for animals slaughtered at birth and at 16 and 31 d of age (for mean values see Table 1). The use of this model to describe the relationship between body composition and body-weight or animal age is in agreement with Agricultural Research Council recommendations (1980). The sources of variation considered were the different dietary regimens: goat’s milk or milk-substitute, each at the H and M plane of nutrition. There was no significant relationship between the concentration of protein and empty-body-weight or animal age ($P > 0.05$). There was a strong correlation between dry matter, fat or energy concentration and empty-body-weight ($P < 0.001$). As the latter relationships were not affected by type of milk and plane of nutrition, only one equation for each fraction was obtained (Table 2). There was also, a strong correlation between dry matter, fat, energy concentration or empty-body-weight and animal age ($P < 0.001$) (Table 3). In all these instances, the different coefficients of regressions were significant ($P < 0.05$). From all these results, it can be concluded that when goat kids are restricted to particular dietary regimen, their empty-body composition is closely related to empty-body-weight and age. At the same time, the empty-body-weight reached in any case depends on age. It is interesting to note that the relationship between empty-body composition and empty-body-weight seems to be independent of type of milk and plane of nutrition. In contrast the relationships between empty-body composition or empty-body-weight and age appear to be affected by the type of milk and, over all, by the plane of nutrition. These aspects together with the fact that there were only minimal changes in the concentration of protein are illustrated in Figs 1 and 2.
Table 2. Regression coefficients for relations between empty-body-weight and empty-body composition of goat kids
(Mean values with their standard errors for each fraction. Regression equation: \( \log y = a + b \log x \), where \( y \) is the dry matter, fat or energy concentration and \( x \) is empty-body-weight (kg))\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>RCV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter (g/kg)</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.020</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.029</td>
<td>0.920 ± 0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/kg)</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.164</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.116</td>
<td>0.927 ± 4.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.088</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.037</td>
<td>0.958 ± 3.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCV, residual coefficient of variation.
\(^*\) For details, see p. 613.

Table 3. Regression coefficients for relationships between age and empty-body-weight (EBW) and empty-body composition of goat kids
(Mean values with their standard errors for each of the four dietary regimens: goat milk (GM) at high (H) and medium (M) planes of nutrition and milk-substitute (MS) at H and M planes of nutrition. Regression equation: \( \log y = a + b \log x \), where \( y \) is the EBW or the dry matter, fat or energy concentrations in EBW and \( x \) is animal age (d))\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>RCV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBW (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM: H</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.033</td>
<td>0.41 (^a)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.032</td>
<td>0.31 (^b)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS: H</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.031</td>
<td>0.33 (^c)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.032</td>
<td>0.27 (^b)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter (g/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM: H</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.10 (^a)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.07 (^b)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS: H</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.023</td>
<td>0.08 (^b)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.07 (^b)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM: H</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.064</td>
<td>0.50 (^a)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.09 ± 0.069</td>
<td>0.36 (^a)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS: H</td>
<td>3.08 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.44 (^c)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.072</td>
<td>0.37 (^b)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (MJ/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM: H</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.20 (^a)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.041</td>
<td>0.14 (^b)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS: H</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.029</td>
<td>0.17 (^c)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.063</td>
<td>0.14 (^b)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>8.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a,b,c\) mean values with different superscript letter were significantly different: \( P < 0.05 \).
RCV, residual coefficient of variation.
\(^*\) For details, see p. 613.

**DISCUSSION**

*Voluntary feed intake*

The feed that can be ingested by a particular animal during its growing period is an important factor determining its growth rate. The voluntary feed intake of an animal is probably associated with its intake capacity and with its energy requirement for...
BODY COMPOSITION IN SUCKING GOATS

Fig. 1. Empty-body-weight (EBW) composition of goat kids in relation to EBW values: (A) dry matter (DM), (B) protein, (C) fat and (D) energy concentrations. Animals fed with goat milk (260.4 g digestible protein/kg DM and 23.23 MJ metabolizable energy/kg DM) or a milk-substitute (222.0 g digestible protein/kg DM and 20.85 MJ metabolizable energy/kg DM) to appetite (○, △) and at 80% of that (●, ▲) respectively.

Fig. 2. Empty-body-weight (EBW) composition and EBW values of goat kids in relation to animal age. (A) dry matter (DM), (B) protein, (C) fat and (D) energy concentrations and (E) EBW values. Animals fed with goat milk (260.4 g digestible protein/kg DM and 23.23 MJ metabolizable energy/kg DM) or a milk-substitute (222.0 g digestible protein/kg DM and 20.85 MJ metabolizable energy/kg DM) to appetite (○, △) and at 80% of that (●, ▲) respectively.

maintenance (Hodge, 1974). As far as preruminant animals are concerned, it seems that in the first days of life, abomasal development could be the first factor regulating their maximum feed intake. Thereafter, at 2–4 weeks of age maximum intake starts to be efficiently regulated by energy requirements (Ternouth et al. 1985; Bas, 1988). In respect of the energy requirement for maintenance, the values estimated for goat kids given goat’s milk or milk-substitute, 470 (Jagusch et al. 1983) and 429 kJ ME/kg W^{0.75} per d (Sanz Sampelayo et al. 1988) are similar to estimates for lambs, 465 (Walker & Norton, 1970) and young calves, 452 kJ ME/kg W^{0.75} per d (Vermorel, 1979) fed on milk or milk-substitute. Hodge (1974) reported on voluntary feed intake in sucking lambs and pigs. The value for pigs exceeded that for lambs by over 50% and was associated with a higher maintenance
requirement and a higher relative feed capacity. The value calculated for lambs was equal
to 3·63 times its maintenance requirement value, rather higher than the value of 2·4 found
here for goat kids.

**Body composition of the newborn**
At birth the concentration of protein and fat is generally higher and that of water lower
with higher live weights. Therefore, there is an appreciable change in the protein; water ratio
with increasing birth weight (Agricultural Research Council, 1980). For animals with
similar weights at birth the differences in fat concentration may reflect differences in
fattening capability and, at the same time, may be partly determined by maternal nutrition
(Agricultural Research Council, 1980). Jagusch et al. (1983) reported findings for the
empty-body-weight at birth and its composition for male Saanen goat kids. The mean
empty-body-weight was higher than that found here for Granadina goats (3·99 v. 2·11 kg).
The differences in the concentrations of water (739·2 v. 778·1 g/kg) and protein (189·8 v.
144·3 g/kg) between the breeds were in accord with the differences in body weight at birth,
but the quantity of fat was rather higher for the Granadina kids (24·3 v. 29·4 g/kg) which
may be because Granadina kids have a higher fattening capability (Sanz Sampelayo et al.
1987). The Agricultural Research Council (1980) have reported representative values for
the body-weight composition at birth lambs and calves; the effects of body-weight at birth
on the values for water, protein, fat and energy concentration are in accord with those
found here for goat kids.

**Body composition during sucking**
Body composition in growing animals depends on growth rate and the composition of any
weight gain. At the same time, these two factors depend on diet, age, weight and genetic
potential (Agricultural Research Council, 1980). The changes in the composition of the
body during sucking have been discussed by Vermorel (1975) for preruminant lambs and
calves, and recently by Walker (1986) for non-ruminant and ruminant species. Vermorel
(1975) reported that when the animal grows, the protein of the new growth increments
changes very little. In contrast, fat content increases and, in an inverse relationship, the
proportion of water decreases. The results obtained here for the changes in the empty-body
composition of the goat kid during its first month of life are in close agreement with these
findings. With both types of milk and at both planes of nutrition, dry matter, fat and energy
concentrations of empty-body were higher with greater empty-body-weight or animal age.
Walker (1986) reported that when preruminant animals are restricted to a particular diet,
their body composition is more closely related to body-weight than to age. At the same
time, he discussed results from different experiments showing that in preruminant animals,
the relationship between body-weight and body composition appears to be unaffected by
the plane of nutrition. He explained this lack of response by taking into account the
relatively lower voluntary feed intake of preruminant animals, implying that no excess
energy is available to be stored as fat (Walker, 1986). Our results for the relationships
between empty-body-weight or animal age and empty-body-weight composition, support
these conclusions. Independently of dietary regimen, empty-body composition depends on
empty-body-weight. However, any particular body-weight will be reached earlier or later
depending on that dietary regimen and always with the limitation of voluntary feed intake.
This emphasizes the importance of identifying those factors which, at nutritional and even
physiological level, determine voluntary intake.
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