

# MAPS WHICH INDUCE THE ZERO MAP ON HOMOTOPY

C. S. HOO

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, all spaces will have the homotopy type of simply connected CW-complexes, and will have base points which are preserved by maps and homotopies. We denote by  $[X, Y]$  the set of homotopy classes of maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ , and by  $N[X, Y]$  the subset of those homotopy classes  $[f]$  which induce the zero homomorphism on homotopy, that is,  $f_{\#}: \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y)$  is the zero homomorphism for each  $i$ . We wish to find conditions which would imply that such a map is necessarily null-homotopic. We express our conditions in terms of Postnikov systems and Moore-Postnikov decompositions of certain fibre spaces.

In (3), D. W. Kahn obtained a condition for  $N[X, Y]$  to be zero. This paper represents an attempt to improve this result. We prove below a result (Theorem 2) which implies Kahn's result. This work was done while the author was a Fellow of the Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress in 1966.

**2.** Suppose that  $Y$  is a simply connected space and  $\Omega Y$  is the loop space of  $Y$ . Let  $\Omega Y \rightarrow PY \rightarrow Y$  be the path space fibration over  $Y$ . Then a Moore-Postnikov decomposition of this fibre space (see (4; 5)) gives a sequence of fibre spaces and commutative diagrams:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & K(\pi_{i-1}(Y), i-2) & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & Y_i & \rightarrow & Y_{i-1} & \rightarrow & \dots \rightarrow Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2 = Y \\ & & \uparrow & \nearrow & & & \\ & & PY & & & & \end{array}$$

In case  $\pi_{i-1}(Y) = 0$ , we can clearly identify  $Y_i$  and  $Y_{i-1}$ . In general, we shall do this and omit the unnecessary terms in this sequence. A Postnikov system for  $Y$  provides a sequence of fibre spaces and commutative diagrams:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & K(\pi_i(Y), i) & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & Y^i & \rightarrow & Y^{i-1} & \rightarrow & \dots \rightarrow Y^2 \\ & & g_i \swarrow & \nearrow g_{i-1} & & & \\ & & Y & & & & \end{array}$$

---

Received November 2, 1966.



$$\dots \rightarrow X_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{\hat{p}_{n(i)}} X_{n(i-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_{n(1)} \xrightarrow{\hat{p}_{n(1)}} X.$$

We note, of course, that  $\hat{p}_{n(i)}$  is the composition

$$X_{n(i)} \rightarrow X_{n(i)-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_{n(i-1)+1} \rightarrow X_{n(i-1)}.$$

Let  $q_{n(i)} = \hat{p}_{n(1)} \dots \hat{p}_{n(i)}: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow X$ .

Suppose we have a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $f_1 = f\hat{p}_{n(1)}: X_{n(1)} \rightarrow Y_{n(1)}$ . Let  $\delta_{n(i)} \in H^{n(i)}(Y_{n(i)}, \pi_i)$  be the fundamental class. Suppose for some  $i > 1$  we have defined  $f_j: X_{n(j)} \rightarrow Y_{n(j)}$  for all  $j < i$  such that  $\hat{p}'_{n(j)} f_j \simeq f_{j-1} \hat{p}_{n(j)}$  for such  $j$ . Now consider the function  $f_{i-1} \hat{p}_{n(i)}: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i-1)}$ . Since the obstruction to lifting  $f_{i-1} \hat{p}_{n(i)}$  to  $Y_{n(i)}$  lies in  $H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i)}, \pi_{i-1}) = 0$ , we see that there exists a map  $f_i: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$  such that  $\hat{p}'_{n(i)} f_i \simeq f_{i-1} \hat{p}_{n(i)}$ . Thus we have the elementary

**PROPOSITION 1.** *A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces maps  $f_i: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$  for each  $i$  such that  $f_1 = f\hat{p}_{n(1)}$  and  $\hat{p}'_{n(i)} f_i \simeq f_{i-1} \hat{p}_{n(i)}$ .*

Let  $F_{n(i-1)}$  be the fibre of  $\hat{p}_{n(i)}: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow X_{n(i-1)}$ . The homotopy sequence of this fibration shows that  $F_{n(i-1)}$  is  $(n(i-1) - 2)$ -connected and

$$\pi_j(F_{n(i-1)}) = 0 \quad \text{if } j < n(i-1) - 1 \text{ or } j \geq n(i) - 1,$$

$$\partial: \pi_{j+1}(X_{n(i-1)}) \cong \pi_j(F_{n(i-1)}) \quad \text{if } n(i-1) - 1 \leq j < n(i) - 1,$$

where  $\partial$  is the homotopy boundary. Let

$$\delta'_{n(i-1)} \in H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i-1)}, \pi_{n(i-1)}(X)),$$

$$\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1} \in H^{n(i-1)-1}(F_{n(i-1)}, \pi_{n(i-1)}(X)),$$

$$\alpha_{n(i-1)-1} \in H^{n(i-1)-1}(K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i-1) - 1); \pi_{i-1})$$

be the fundamental classes. Then  $\tau(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}) = \delta'_{n(i-1)}$  and

$$\tau(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1}) = \delta_{n(i-1)},$$

where  $\tau$  stands for the transgression. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map. Then we have induced maps  $f_i: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$ . We observe that

$$(f_i | F_{n(i-1)})_{\#}: \pi_{n(i-1)-1}(F_{n(i-1)}) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}$$

and

$$f_{i-1 \#}: \pi_{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i-1)}) \rightarrow \pi_{n(i-1)}(Y_{n(i-1)})$$

are identifiable with

$$f_{\#}: \pi_{n(i-1)}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n(i-1)}(Y) = \pi_{i-1}.$$

Each of the above homomorphisms induces a coefficient homomorphism which we shall denote by  $f^*_c$  (see **(2)**). Then we have

**PROPOSITION 2.**  *$f^*_c \delta'_{n(i-1)} = f^*_{i-1} \delta_{n(i-1)}$  for each  $i$ .*

*Proof.* For simplicity, let us denote  $\pi_{n(i-1)}(X)$ ,  $p_{n(i)}$ ,  $p'_{n(i)}$ ,  $f_i|_{F_{n(i-1)}}$  by  $\pi'_{i-1}$ ,  $p_i$ ,  $p'_i$ ,  $k_i$ , respectively. Let  $\delta$  denote the coboundary in the cohomology sequence of a pair. Then we have the following diagram of commutative squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^{n(i-1)-1}(F_{n(i-1)}; \pi'_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i)}, F_{n(i-1)}; \pi'_{i-1}) & & \\
 \downarrow f^*_c & & \downarrow f^*_c & \xrightarrow{p_i^{*-1}} & H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i-1)}; \pi'_{i-1}) \\
 H^{n(i-1)-1}(F_{n(i-1)}; \pi_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i)}, F_{n(i-1)}; \pi_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{p_i^{*-1}} & H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i-1)}; \pi_{i-1}) \\
 \uparrow k^*_i & & \uparrow f^*_i & & \downarrow f^*_c \\
 H^{n(i-1)-1}(K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i-1)-1); \pi_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{n(i-1)}(Y_{n(i)}, K(\pi_{i-1}, \\
 & & n(i-1)-1); \pi_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{p_i^{*-1}} & H^{n(i-1)}(Y_{n(i-1)}; \pi_{i-1})
 \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^*_c \delta'_{n(i-1)} &= f^*_c \tau(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}) = f^*_c p^*_{i-1} \delta(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}) \\
 &= p^*_{i-1} f^*_c \delta(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}) = p^*_{i-1} \delta f^*_c(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}$  can be represented by a map

$$\bar{\alpha}': F_{n(i-1)} \rightarrow K(\pi'_{i-1}, n(i-1)-1).$$

The homomorphism  $f_{\#}: \pi_{n(i-1)}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}$  induces the coefficient homomorphism

$$f^*_c: H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i-1)}; \pi_{n(i-1)}(X)) \rightarrow H^{n(i-1)}(X_{n(i-1)}; \pi_{i-1}).$$

Let  $\bar{f}_c: K(\pi_{n(i-1)}(X), n(i-1)-1) \rightarrow K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i-1)-1)$  be a map which induces  $f_{\#}$  on the  $(n(i-1)-1)$ st homotopy group. Then  $f^*_c(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1})$  can be represented by the map  $\bar{f}_c \bar{\alpha}'$ . Hence as an element of  $\text{Hom}(\pi'_{i-1}, \pi_{i-1})$ , it is the map

$$\pi'_{i-1} \xrightarrow{id} \pi'_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{\#}} \pi_{i-1}.$$

Also, the element  $f^*_i(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1})$  can be represented by the map

$$F_{n(i-1)} \xrightarrow{f_i} K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i-1)-1) \xrightarrow{id} K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i-1)-1).$$

Hence it corresponds to the homomorphism

$$\pi_{n(i-1)-1}(F_{n(i-1)}) \xrightarrow{f_{i\#}} \pi_{i-1} \xrightarrow{id} \pi_{i-1}.$$

Thus we have

$$f^*_c(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}) = f^*_i(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f^*_c \delta'_{n(i-1)} &= p^*_{i-1} \delta f^*_c(\alpha'_{n(i-1)-1}) = p^*_{i-1} \delta f^*_i(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1}) \\ &= p^*_{i-1} f^*_i \delta(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1}) = f^*_{i-1} p^*_{i-1} \delta(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1}) \\ &= f^*_{i-1} \tau(\alpha_{n(i-1)-1}) = f^*_{i-1} \delta_{n(i-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1.* Thus we see that if  $[f] \in N[X, Y]$ , we have that  $f^*_i \delta_{n(i)} = 0$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .

*Remark 2.* We now state a simple result which we shall be using repeatedly. Consider the principal fibration  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ . Let  $\mu: F \times E \rightarrow E$  be the action of the fibre. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is a map and  $\omega: X \rightarrow F$  is a map such that  $\omega \simeq 0$ . Then it is easily seen that  $f \simeq \mu(\omega \times f)\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X \rightarrow F \times E \rightarrow E$ ; for example, see (4).

**THEOREM 1.**  $[f] \in N[X, Y]$  if and only if each  $f_i$  lifts to a map  $h_i: X_{n(i-1)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$  such that  $h_i p_{n(i)} \simeq f_i$ ,  $p'_{n(i)} h_i \simeq f_{i-1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there exist such maps  $h_i$ . Now

$$f_{j\#}: \pi_k(X_{n(j)}) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y_{n(j)})$$

coincides with  $f_{\#}: \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y)$  for  $k \geq n(j)$ . Since  $Y_{n(j)}$  is  $(n(j) - 1)$  connected, the result follows easily.

Conversely, suppose  $[f] \in N[X, Y]$ . The obstruction to lifting  $f_{i-1}$  to  $Y_{n(i)}$  is  $f^*_{i-1} \delta_{n(i-1)}$ . By Remark 1 following Proposition 2, it follows that this is zero. Thus we can find maps  $h_i: X_{n(i-1)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$  such that  $p'_{n(i)} h_i \simeq f_{i-1}$ . It remains to show that  $f_i \simeq h_i p_{n(i)}$ . Consider the maps  $f_i, h_i p_{n(i)}: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$ . Now  $p'_{n(i)} f_i \simeq f_{i-1} p_{n(i)} \simeq p_{n(i)} h_i p_{n(i)}$ . Since  $p'_{n(i)}: Y_{n(i)} \rightarrow Y_{n(i-1)}$  is a principal fibration, there exists a map  $\omega: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i) - 1)$  such that  $f_i \simeq \mu(\omega \times h_i p_{n(i)})\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map of  $X_{n(i)}$ . Now  $\omega \simeq 0$  since  $X_{n(i)}$  is  $(n(i) - 1)$ -connected. Hence  $\mu(\omega \times h_i p_{n(i)})\Delta \simeq h_i p_{n(i)}$ . This completes the proof.

Now let  $q_{n(i)} = p_{n(1)} \dots p_{n(i)}: X_{n(i)} \rightarrow X$ . This is a fibration which is induced from the path space fibration  $\Omega X^{n(i)-1} \rightarrow PX^{n(i)-1} \rightarrow X^{n(i)-1}$  by the map  $g_{n(i)-1}: X \rightarrow X^{n(i)-1}$ . Thus we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{n(i)} & & PX^{n(i)-1} \\ \downarrow q_{n(i)} & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{g_{n(i)-1}} & X^{n(i)-1} \end{array}$$

We can convert the map  $g_{n(i)-1}$  into a fibration. It is easily seen that when we do this, the fibre is precisely  $X_{n(i)}$ ; for example, see (5). Thus we can consider

$$X_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{q_{n(i)}} X \xrightarrow{g_{n(i)-1}} X^{n(i)-1}$$

as a fibration. We then obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)-1}} H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{q^*_{n(i)}} H^{n(i)}(X_{n(i)}, \pi_i) \rightarrow \dots$$

Hence  $H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) = 0$  if and only if

$$q^*_{n(i)}: H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) \rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X_{n(i)}, \pi_i)$$

is a monomorphism. Thus we obtain a result which is equivalent to Kahn's result.

PROPOSITION 3. *If  $X$  has the homotopy type of a finite-dimensional complex and*

$$q^*_{n(i)}: H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) \rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X_{n(i)}, \pi_i)$$

*is a monomorphism for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $N[X, Y] = 0$ .*

*Remark.* This result could also be proved directly by using our results above.

Now we recall that in (4), Thomas defined two sequences of cohomology operations depending on  $Y$ . They are described as follows. In a Postnikov decomposition of  $Y$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} K(\pi_i, n(i)) \\ \swarrow l_{n(i)} \\ \rightarrow Y^{n(i)} \rightarrow Y^{n(i-1)} \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

let  $k_{n(i)}$  be the  $i$ th  $k$ -invariant of  $Y$ , that is,

$$k_{n(i)}: Y^{n(i)} \rightarrow K(\pi_{i+1}, n(i+1) + 1).$$

Let

$$\Psi_{n(i)} = -k_{n(i)} \circ l_{n(i)}: K(\pi_i, n(i)) \rightarrow K(\pi_{i+1}, n(i+1) + 1).$$

This gives a sequence of cohomology operations defined for  $i \geq 1$ . Let

$$\Phi_{n(i)-1} = \sigma \Psi_{n(i)}: K(\pi_i, n(i) - 1) \rightarrow K(\pi_{i+1}, n(i+1)),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the suspension of cohomology operations. Then, in (4), it is shown that  $\Phi_{n(i)-1} = j'^*_{n(i+1)} \delta_{n(i+1)}$  and image of  $\Phi_{n(i)-1} \subset$  kernel of  $\Psi_{n(i)}$  for each  $i > 1$ . Finally, we observe that since  $\Psi_{n(i)}$  is the first  $k$ -invariant for the space  $Y_{n(i)}$ , we have  $\Psi_{n(i)}(\delta_{n(i)}) = 0$ .

We shall also need the following result, which we quote from Thomas (4).

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $g$  be a map from a CW-complex  $X$  into  $Y_{n(i)}$  ( $i \geq 2$ ). The map  $p'_{n(i)} g$  lifts to  $Y_{n(i+1)}$  if and only if*

$$g^* \delta_{n(i)} \in \text{image } \Phi_{n(i-1)-1} \subset H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i).$$

We now recall that, in (2), Kahn showed that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces maps  $f^{n(i)-1}: X^{n(i)-1} \rightarrow Y^{n(i)-1}$  which, when combined with our constructions, give a diagram of homotopy commutative squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_{n(i)} & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y_{(ni)} & & \\
 \downarrow q_{n(i)} & & \downarrow q'_{n(i)} & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & \\
 \downarrow g_{n(i)-1} & & \downarrow g'_{n(i)-1} & & \\
 X^{n(i)-1} & \xrightarrow{f^{n(i)-1}} & Y^{n(i)-1} & & 
 \end{array}$$

This leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow & H^{n(i)}(Y^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) & \xrightarrow{g'^*_{n(i)-1}} & H^{n(i)}(Y, \pi_i) & \rightarrow & & \\
 & \downarrow f^{n(i)-1*} & & \downarrow f^* & & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) & \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)-1}} & H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) & \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)}} & & 
 \end{array}$$

where each row is exact; for example, see (1). For  $i > 1$ , we have the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow & H^{n(i-1)-1}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)-1}} & H^{n(i-1)-1}(X, \pi_{i-1}) & \rightarrow & 0 & \\
 & \downarrow \Phi_{n(i-1)-1} & & \downarrow \Phi_{n(i-1)-1} & & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) & \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)-1}} & H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) & \rightarrow & & 
 \end{array}$$

Thus

$$\Phi_{n(i-1)-1} H^{n(i-1)-1}(X, \pi_{i-1}) \subset g^*_{n(i)-1} H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i).$$

Then, for each  $i > 1$ , we put

$$T^{n(i)}(X, Y) = \frac{[\ker \Psi_{n(i)}] \cap g^*_{n(i)-1} H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i)}{\Phi_{n(i-1)-1} H^{n(i-1)-1}(X, \pi_{i-1})},$$

where  $[\ker \Psi_{n(i)}]$  is the least subgroup of  $H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i)$  which contains the kernel of  $\Psi_{n(i)}: H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) \rightarrow H^{n(i+1)+1}(X, \pi_{i+1})$ .

Put  $T^{n(1)}(X, Y) = [\ker \Psi_{n(1)}] \cap g^*_{n(1)-1} H^{n(1)}(X^{n(1)-1}, \pi_1)$ .

Clearly, if  $H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) = 0$ , then  $T^{n(i)}(X, Y) = 0$ . Our result is:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  has the homotopy type of a finite-dimensional complex and  $T^{n(i)}(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , then  $N[X, Y] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $[f] \in N[X, Y]$ . We need to show that  $f$  lifts to each  $Y_{n(i)}$ . The obstruction to lifting  $f$  to  $Y_{n(2)}$  is  $f^* \delta_{n(1)}$ . Now

$$p^*_{n(1)} f^* \delta_{n(1)} = f^*_{1} \delta_{n(1)} = 0.$$

The fibration

$$X_{n(1)} \xrightarrow{p_{n(1)}} X \xrightarrow{g_{n(1)-1}} X^{n(1)-1}$$

gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n(1)}(X^{n(1)-1}, \pi_1) \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(1)-1}} H^{n(1)}(X, \pi_1) \xrightarrow{p^*_{n(1)}} H^{n(1)}(X_{n(1)}, \pi_1) \rightarrow .$$

Hence  $f^*\delta_{n(1)} = g^*_{n(1)-1}(a)$  for a unique  $a \in H^{n(1)}(X^{n(1)-1}, \pi_1)$ . Now

$$\Psi_{n(1)} f^*\delta_{n(1)} = f^*\Psi_{n(1)} \delta_{n(1)} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\delta_{n(1)} &\in [\ker \Psi_{n(1)}] \cap g^*_{n(1)-1} H_{n(1)}(X^{n(1)-1}, \pi_1) \\ &= T^{n(1)}(X, Y) \\ &= 0 \text{ by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can find a map  $l_2: X \rightarrow Y_{n(2)}$  such that  $p'_{n(2)} l_2 \simeq f$ . Now

$$p'_{n(2)} l_2 q_{n(2)} \simeq f q_{n(2)} \simeq f_1 p_{n(2)} \simeq p'_{n(2)} f_2.$$

Hence there exists a map  $\omega: X_{n(2)} \rightarrow K(\pi_1, n(1) - 1)$  such that  $l_2 q_{n(2)} \simeq \mu(\omega \times f_2)\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map  $X_{n(2)} \rightarrow X_{n(2)} \times X_{n(2)}$ . Since  $\omega \simeq 0$ , it follows that  $l_2 q_{n(2)} \simeq f_2$ . Suppose  $f$  lifts to a map  $l_i: X \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$  for  $i > 2$  with  $q'_{n(i)} l_i \simeq f$  and  $l_i q_{n(i)} \simeq f_i$ . We need to show that  $f$  lifts to  $Y_{n(i+1)}$ . Now put  $\mu = l^*_{n(i)} \delta_{n(i)}$ . We have  $q^*_{n(i)}(\mu) = q^*_{n(i)} l^*_{n(i)} \delta_{n(i)} = f^*_i \delta_{n(i)} = 0$ . The fibration

$$X_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{q_{n(i)}} X \xrightarrow{g_{n(i)-1}} X^{n(i)-1}$$

gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)-1}} H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{q^*_{n(i)}} H^{n(i)}(X_{n(i)}, \pi_i) \rightarrow .$$

Hence we have  $\mu = g^*_{n(i)-1}(\nu)$  for a unique class  $\nu \in H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i)$ . Also,

$$\Psi_{n(i)}(\mu) = \Psi_{n(i)} l^*_{n(i)} \delta_{n(i)} = l^*_i \Psi_{n(i)} \delta_{n(i)} = 0.$$

Thus  $\mu \in [\ker \Psi_{n(i)}] \cap g^*_{n(i)-1} H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i)$ . By hypothesis,

$$T^{n(i)}(X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence  $l^*_i \delta_{n(i)} = \mu \in \text{im } \Phi_{n(i-1)-1}$ . By Proposition 5, it follows that  $p'_{n(i)} l_i$  lifts to  $Y_{n(i+1)}$ , that is, there exists a map  $l_{i+1}: X \rightarrow Y_{n(i+1)}$  with  $p'_{n(i)} p'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} \simeq p'_{n(i)} l_i$ . Thus  $q'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} \simeq p'_{n(2)} \dots p'_{n(i)} p'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} \simeq q'_{n(i)} l_i \simeq f$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{n(i)} p'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} q_{n(i+1)} &\simeq p'_{n(i)} l_i q_{n(i+1)} \\ &\simeq p'_{n(i)} l_i q_{n(i)} p_{n(i+1)} \\ &\simeq p'_{n(i)} f_i p_{n(i+1)} \\ &\simeq p'_{n(i)} p'_{n(i+1)} f_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$



This means that there exists a map  $\omega_1: X_{n(i+1)} \rightarrow K(\pi_{i-1}, n(i-1) - 1)$  with  $p'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} q_{n(i+1)} \simeq \mu(\omega_1 \times p'_{n(i+1)} f_{i+1})\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map  $X_{n(i+1)} \rightarrow X_{n(i+1)} \times X_{n(i+1)}$ . Since  $\omega_1 \simeq 0$ , we have

$$p'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} q_{n(i+1)} \simeq p'_{n(i+1)} f_{i+1}.$$

Again, this means that there exists a map  $\omega_2: X_{n(i+1)} \rightarrow K(\pi_i, n(i) - 1)$  with  $l_{i+1} q_{n(i+1)} \simeq \mu(\omega_2 \times f_{i+1})\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map  $X_{n(i+1)} \rightarrow X_{n(i+1)} \times X_{n(i+1)}$ . Since  $\omega_2 \simeq 0$ , it follows that

$$l_{i+1} q_{n(i+1)} \simeq f_{i+1}.$$

This completes the induction and the proof.

Now, following (4), we shall define a sequence of non-negative integers  $\tau_{n(i)}$  as follows. Suppose that  $\pi_i$  is a cyclic group, and let  $f: S^{n(i)} \rightarrow Y$  represent a generator. Define  $\tau_{n(i)}$  to be the least positive integer such that

$$\tau_{n(i)} S_i \in f^* H^{n(i)}(Y, \pi_i),$$

where  $S_i$  generates the cyclic group  $H^{n(i)}(S^{n(i)}, \pi_i)$ . If  $f^* H^{n(i)}(Y, \pi_i) = 0$ , or if  $\pi_i$  is not cyclic, put  $\tau_{n(i)} = 0$ . Denote by  $\tau^*_{n(i)}$  the cohomology operation given by multiplying each cohomology class by the integer  $\tau_{n(i)}$ . We shall consider this operation only in dimension  $n(i)$  and with coefficients in  $\pi_i$ . Define, for each  $i > 1$ ,

$$R^{n(i)}(X, Y) = \frac{\ker \tau^*_{n(i)} \cap [\ker \Psi_{n(i)}]}{\ker \tau^*_{n(i)} \cap \text{im } \Phi_{n(i-1)-1}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*_{n(i)}: H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) &\rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i), \\ \Psi_{n(i)}: H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) &\rightarrow H^{n(i+1)+1}(X, \pi_{i+1}), \\ \Phi_{n(i-1)-1}: H^{n(i-1)-1}(X, \pi_{i-1}) &\rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i). \end{aligned}$$

If  $i = 1$ , put  $R^{n(1)}(X, Y) = [\ker \Psi_{n(1)}] \cap g^*_{n(1)-1} H^{n(1)}(X^{n(1)-1}, \pi_1)$ . Then we have

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a space having the homotopy type of a finite-dimensional CW-complex. If  $R^{n(i)}(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and*

$$\tau^*_{n(i)}: H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) \rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i)$$

*is zero for all  $i \geq 2$ , then  $N[X, Y] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R^{n(1)}(X, Y) = 0 = T^{n(1)}(X, Y)$ , we have, as in the proof of Theorem 2, that there exists a map  $l_2: X \rightarrow Y_{n(2)}$  with  $p'_{n(2)} l_2 \simeq f$  and  $l_2 q_{n(2)} \simeq f_2$ . Suppose that for some  $i > 2$ , we have a map  $l_i: X \rightarrow Y_{n(i)}$  with  $q'_{n(i)} l_i \simeq f$  and  $l_i q_{n(i)} \simeq f_i$ . We need to show that  $f$  lifts to  $Y_{n(i+1)}$ . Put  $\mu = l^*_i \delta_{n(i)}$ . Then  $q^*_{n(i)}(\mu) = q^*_{n(i)} l^*_i \delta_{n(i)} = f^*_i \delta_{n(i)} = 0$ . The fibration

$$X_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{q_{n(i)}} X \xrightarrow{g_{n(i)-1}} X^{n(i)-1}$$

gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{g^*_{n(i)-1}} H^{n(i)}(X, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{q^*_{n(i)}} H^{n(i)}(X_{n(i)}, \pi_i) \rightarrow .$$

Hence we have that  $\mu = g^*_{n(i)-1}(\nu)$ , where  $\nu \in H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i)$ . Also

$$\Psi_{n(i)}(\mu) = \Psi_{n(i)} l^*_i \delta_{n(i)} = l^*_i \Psi_{n(i)} \delta_{n(i)} = 0.$$

Thus  $\mu \in \ker \Psi_{n(i)}$ . Further,

$$\tau^*_{n(i)}(\mu) = \tau^*_{n(i)} g^*_{n(i)-1}(\nu) = g^*_{n(i)-1} \tau^*_{n(i)}(\nu).$$

Since  $\nu \in H^{n(i)}(X^{n(i)-1}, \pi_i)$ , the hypotheses of the theorem imply that  $\tau^*_{n(i)}(\mu) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu \in [\ker \Psi_{n(i)}] \cap \ker \tau^*_{n(i)}$ . By hypothesis,  $R^{n(i)}(X, Y) = 0$ . Hence  $\mu \in \text{im } \Phi_{n(i-1)-1}$ . It follows from Proposition 4 that we can find a map  $l_{i+1}: X \rightarrow Y_{n(i+1)}$  with  $p'_{n(i)} p'_{n(i+1)} l_{i+1} \simeq p'_{n(i)} l_i$ . The proof is completed by reproducing the last part of the proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. T. Hu, *Homotopy theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1959).
2. D. W. Kahn, *Induced maps for Postnikov systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 107 (1963), 432-450.
3. ——— *Maps which induce the zero map on homotopy*, Pacific J. Math., 15 (1965), 537-540.
4. E. Thomas, *Homotopy classification of maps by cohomology homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (1964), 138-151.
5. ——— *Lectures on fibre spaces*, Notes by J. McClendon (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966).

*University of Alberta,  
Edmonton, Alberta*