Development of bioelectrical impedance analysis-based equations for estimation of body composition in postpartum rural Bangladeshi women
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Abstract

Equations for predicting body composition from bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) parameters are age-, sex- and population-specific. Currently there are no equations applicable to women of reproductive age in rural South Asia. Hence, we developed equations for estimating total body water (TBW), fat-free mass (FFM) and fat mass in rural Bangladeshi women using BIA, with 2H2O dilution as the criterion method. Women of reproductive age, participating in a community-based placebo-controlled trial of vitamin A or β-carotene supplementation, were enrolled at 19·7 (sd 9·3) weeks postpartum in a study to measure body composition by 2H2O dilution and impedance at 50 kHz using multi-frequency BIA (n 147), and resistance at 50 kHz using single-frequency BIA (n 82). TBW (kg) by 2H2O dilution was used to derive prediction equations for body composition from BIA measures. The prediction equation was applied to resistance measures obtained at 13 weeks postpartum in a larger population of postpartum women (n 1020). TBW, FFM and fat were 22·6 (SD 2·7), 30·9 (SD 3·7) and 10·2 (SD 3·8) kg by 2H2O dilution. Height2/impedance or height2/resistance and weight provided the best estimate of TBW, with adjusted $R^2$ 0·78 and 0·76, and with paired absolute differences in TBW of 0·02 (SD 1·33) and 0·00 (SD 1·28) kg, respectively, between BIA and 2H2O. In the larger sample, values for TBW, FFM and fat were 23·8, 32·5 and 10·3 kg, respectively. BIA can be an important tool for assessing body composition in women of reproductive age in rural South Asia where poor maternal nutrition is common.
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Poor maternal nutritional status may be one reason for high rates of infant low birth weight in South Asian countries13, where women of reproductive age are likely to suffer from macro- and micronutrient deficiencies12,35. Low BMI at the onset of pregnancy has been associated with adverse birth outcomes in a variety of settings6,10, but more specifically birth weight has been positively associated with maternal fat-free mass (FFM) content measured following pregnancy in Indian6 and Mexican16 mothers. Conversely, excess body fat has been linked to increasing rates of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk, now observed even in rural populations of South Asia6,7. Because specific components of body composition appear to be linked to two of the major public health problems of South Asia, adequately characterising body composition in populations in this region of the world is critical.

Body composition in field settings is often assessed using weight, height, circumference, or skinfolds as proxies for direct measures of fat and lean mass components. Using weight, height or BMI (kg/m²) alone will not distinguish fat and FFM compartments, which play different metabolic roles. Moreover, there is evidence that individuals of Asian descent have more body fat for a given BMI than Caucasian populations6,8–10. Skinfolds may provide regional information on fat depots and can be used to estimate whole-body composition, and waist circumference reflects central fat deposition.

Abbreviations: BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; FFM, fat-free mass; MF-BIA, multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis; $R_50$, resistance at 50 kHz; RMSE, root mean square error; SF-BIA, single-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis; TBW, total body water; $Z_50$, impedance at 50 kHz.
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However, more sophisticated body composition methods are not readily applicable in field settings on a large scale (e.g. $^2$H$_2$O dilution) or are completely laboratory or clinic-based (dual energy X-ray absorptiometry, air displacement plethysmography, etc).

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), in contrast, provides a potentially field-applicable means for assessing body composition in large, community-based epidemiological studies. It is safe, easy to use, non-invasive, portable and inexpensive. In single-frequency BIA (SF-BIA), a single current, typically 50 kHz, is applied to the body. The resistance of the body to the current is inversely proportional to the amount of body water and, thus, FFM content. Additionally, with multi-frequency BIA (MF-BIA), a current at several frequencies is applied that allows for the distinction between inter- and intra-cellular fluid(11).

Numerous studies have reported that BIA reliably predicts total body water (TBW) or FFM, and a variety of equations to estimate these outcomes from BIA have been established, as summarised elsewhere(11). However, to ensure the validity of such prediction equations, they should be derived under population-specific (e.g. sex, age and ethnicity) conditions by comparing bioelectrical impedance measures to more direct measures of TBW or FFM. Relatively few studies(12–16) have been conducted to establish body composition equations from BIA in Asian populations. Furthermore, these equations are probably not applicable to rural South Asian women with poor nutritional status, whose body size is considerably different from that of women reported elsewhere(17).

In the present study, we developed an equation to predict TBW from BIA measures in postpartum women in rural Bangladesh, using $^2$H$_2$O dilution as the criterion method. We then applied this equation to a larger population of postpartum women in the same community to demonstrate its utility for determining body composition in this population.

Experimental methods

Study subjects

The present study was conducted within a large, randomised, community-based trial to evaluate the impact of vitamin A and β-carotene supplementation on all-cause, pregnancy-related maternal and infant mortality(18). The trial was conducted in ninety unions in the District of Gaibandha in northwestern Bangladesh from August 2001 to February 2007. Details of the main trial and its more intensive substudy have been provided elsewhere(18,19). Briefly, of 596 individual 'sectors', small geographical regions that functioned as randomisation units, thirty-two contiguous sectors were designated for enhanced, home-based biochemical and anthropometric assessments that occurred shortly following pregnancy ascertainment (early pregnancy), in late pregnancy, and at approximately 3 months following the end of pregnancy, i.e. after a birth or after pregnancy termination due to abortion, miscarriage or stillbirth. These assessments were carried out by five specially trained teams and included anthropology and SF-BIA. Postpartum data were collected on women from the main study from June 2003 until March 2007. Weight of the women was measured with a scale accurate to 0·2 kg (SECA Electronic Scale 890; UNICEF). Height was measured using a stadiometer to the nearest 0·1 cm, and skinfolds and mid-upper arm circumference were measured using standardised techniques. A single-frequency, portable bioelectrical body composition analyser (Quantum II; RJL Systems) at 50 kHz was used to obtain resistance and reactance measurements from women as they lay supine on a non-conducting surface, as described previously(17).

Beginning in the autumn of 2005, the postpartum visit also served as an opportunity to recruit women for a more intensive body composition study, which took place from spring of 2006 to early 2007. A subset of lactating women at the 3-month postpartum visit, selected in part based on their proximity to main roads to facilitate bringing them to a central location, was invited to participate in a clinic-based study to develop body composition equations for resistance (using SF-BIA; RJL Systems) or impedance (using MF-BIA, Quadscan 2000; BodyStat Limited). The purpose of this study was to generate equations to predict TBW (kg), using $^2$H$_2$O dilution as the referent method, as will be described next. Further, the prediction equation for TBW was applied to the larger population of postpartum women in the community with successful pregnancy outcomes (i.e. living infant) and valid resistance measures at 3 months postpartum to examine body composition in the larger population.

The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Institutional Review Board in the USA and the Bangladesh Medical Research Council in Bangladesh. Verbal consent was obtained from all participants. Consent was witnessed and formally recorded.

Body composition study procedures

Women who consented to participate in the body composition study were brought in groups of five to eight, with their infants and a family member, to a central facility by vehicle in the morning after an overnight fast. A uniform breakfast was served upon arrival. A pre-weighed sari (median weight 300 g) was provided to each woman in order to measure body weight with uniform clothing. Before the initiation of any procedures, women were asked to breastfeed their infants and to void urine. Weight was measured to the nearest 0·2 kg (SECA Electronic Scale 890; UNICEF) and height was measured to the nearest 0·1 cm using a locally made fixed stadiometer modified with a level affixed to the cross-bar to help position subjects along the Frankfort plane. Baseline saliva samples were collected using sterile cotton cylinders (Salivette tubes; Sarstedt AG and Company) 2 h following breakfast to determine the natural $^3$H enrichment for each participating woman. Doses of enriched $^2$H$_2$O (99·8 % reported $^2$H enrichment; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc.) had been prepared the night before the study for each woman based on her body weight obtained during the home visit. A 0·15 g/kg body weight dose(20) was sealed in an individually labelled plastic cup.
Body composition calculations

TBW (kg) was calculated using data on dose enrichment and weight and the change in the enrichment of $^2$H in maternal saliva over the equilibration period, using the molar weight of $^2$H$_2$O of 20·0274 g/mol and of pure water of 18·0153 g/mol (24), and accounting for 4% hydrogen exchange (21). After determining TBW either via $^2$H$_2$O dilution or from BIA prediction equations, FFM (kg) was determined assuming hydration of FFM was 0·732 (23,24). Fat mass (kg) was calculated as predictor variables. Other anthropometric variables were applied to the larger population of women with a living infant from a single-visit study women were derived, and body composition data were explored in relation to age and BMI category by ANOVA.

Statistical analysis

Differences in subject characteristics between the women selected for body composition assessment and those of the larger population of women with a living infant from a single-visit study women were derived, and body composition data were explored in relation to age and BMI category by ANOVA.

Results

A total of 147 women successfully completed the body composition assessments, of which eighty-two had both resistance and impedance measures taken. Data for one woman had been dropped due to implausibly high TBW assessed by $^2$H$_2$O dilution. The larger sample of women in the main trial substudy ($n$ 1020) was similar to the women in the body composition study in that they also had a living infant and complete and valid data for weight, height and resistance at the time of the 3-month postpartum home visit. Data from the 3-month postpartum home visit comparing the women in...
The subgroup of eighty-two women in the body composition study who had the resistance measure was similar in most characteristics to the larger group of 147 (Table 2), although they had somewhat higher impedance values than the sixty-five women who had data for impedance values alone (P=0.01). Median length of time between the home visit and the body composition assessment was 1·9 weeks among all women.

**Prediction equation**

TBW (kg) by \(^2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) dilution was 22·6 (sd 2·7) kg among the 147 body composition study women, and 22·6 (sd 2·8) kg among the subset of eighty-two women. Although 141 (96%) women breastfed their infants once to three times during the \(^2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) equilibration period, the contribution of the loss of fluid as breast milk to calculations of TBW was negligible (median weight of breast milk 70 g). Only six (4%) women voided urine during the equilibration period, also in amounts negligible to the calculation of TBW (median weight of urine, 180 g).

Impedance measures using the MF-BIA instrument and resistance measures using the SF-BIA instrument in the same eighty-two women were highly correlated with r 0·985, (P<0·0001) for raw values, and r 0·993 (P<0·0001) for height\(^2\)/Z\(_{50}\) and height\(^2\)/R\(_{50}\). The within-woman difference in these measures, while statistically significant (P<0·0001), was minimal (−14·3 (sd 12·7) for impedance-resistance; 0·57 (sd 0·49) when expressed as the denominator of height\(^2\)) relative to a range of 580–998 Ω for Z\(_{50}\) and R\(_{50}\) and 20–44 cm\(^2\)/Ω for height\(^2\)/Z\(_{50}\) and height\(^2\)/R\(_{50}\), respectively, observed in these women. Thus, coefficients for the prediction equation for TBW were similar whether using impedance or resistance. Regression parameters for the stepwise prediction equation development process are shown in Table 3 for impedance and resistance measures, as variables were added into the prediction equations. Age did not contribute significantly in the prediction of TBW and was therefore ultimately excluded from both models. The final equations were

\[
\text{Impedance based: } \text{TBW} = 4·573 + 0·177 \times W - 0·351 \times Ht^2/Z_{50},
\]

\[
\text{Resistance based: } \text{TBW} = 4·297 + 0·190 \times W + 0·349 \times Ht^2/R_{50},
\]

where TBW is in kg; Ht, height in cm; W, weight in kg. The total variance in TBW that was explained by the prediction models was 76 and 78% using impedance and resistance, respectively. Body weight explained 65 and 68% of the variance in TBW when it alone was in the models (not shown) and weight was highly correlated with Ht\(^2\)/Z\(_{50}\) (r 0·76) and Ht\(^2\)/R\(_{50}\) (r 0·77), both P<0·0001. The RMSE for TBW for the final equations were 1·34 and 1·30 kg, respectively (Table 3).

**Application of bioelectrical impedance analysis equations**

Mean TBW, FFM and fat mass obtained using the final developed equations and those obtained by \(^2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) dilution are shown in Table 4. Predicted TBW using the equation for Z\(_{50}\) was 0·02 (sd 1·33) kg higher than actual TBW, and predicted TBW using R\(_{50}\) differed by 0·00 (sd 1·28) kg from actual TBW, both near zero and neither different by paired t test.

**Table 2. Anthropometric and bioelectrical impedance characteristics of all women in the body composition study, and in the subgroup in whom resistance was measured**

| | Body composition study participants (n 147) | | | Resistance measurement subgroup (n 82) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mean | sd | Mean | sd |
| Time postpartum (weeks) | 19·7 | 9·3 | 15·2 | 2·6 |
| Weight (kg) | 41·7 | 6·1 | 41·7 | 6·2 |
| Height (cm) | 148·7 | 5·2 | 148·9 | 5·7 |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | 18·5 | 2·1 | 18·7 | 2·1 |
| Z\(_{50}\) (Ω) | 729 | 75 | 743 | 73 |
| Height\(^2\)/Z\(_{50}\) (cm\(^2\)/Ω) | 30·7 | 4·1 | 30·2 | 4·2 |
| R\(_{50}\) (Ω) | – | – | 757 | 74 |
| Height\(^2\)/R\(_{50}\) (cm\(^2\)/Ω) | – | – | 29·7 | 4·2 |

Z\(_{50}\), impedance at 50 kHz; R\(_{50}\), resistance at 50 kHz.
This gives a 95% limit of agreement (mean ± 2SD) of −2·64 to 2·62 kg for predicted relative to actual TBW by impedance and −2·56 to 2·56 kg for TBW for resistance; that is, for 95% of women with a resistance measure, predicted measures were within 2·56 kg of actual measures of TBW. Among just the eighty-two women for whom both resistance and impedance were measured, predicted TBW using the equation for $Z_{50}$ was 0·01 (SD 0·17) kg, lower than actual TBW, with a 95% limit of agreement of −0·01 to 0·01 kg, $P=0·71$.

The correlation coefficient in TBW determined via $^{2}$H$_{2}$O dilution and BIA using $Z_{50}$ was 0·89 (SD 0·17) kg, lower than actual TBW, with a 95% limit of agreement (between predicted and actual TBW, and TBW predicted from $Z_{50}$ and $R_{50}$) were similar (mean difference of −0·01 (SD 0·17) kg, $P=0·71$).

The correlation coefficient in TBW determined via $^{2}$H$_{2}$O dilution and BIA using $Z_{50}$ was 0·89 (SD 0·17) kg, lower than actual TBW, with a 95% limit of agreement (between predicted and actual TBW, and TBW predicted from $Z_{50}$ and $R_{50}$) were similar (mean difference of −0·01 (SD 0·17) kg, $P=0·71$).

The extent of this variability did not differ across the range of predicted TBW. The largest predicted residuals were negative, consistent with an overestimation of TBW using the derived prediction equation in some women compared to their actual TBW. The PRESS statistic (square root of the sum of squared prediction residuals divided by $n$) for impedance was 1·35 kg, while that for resistance was 1·33 kg.

Body composition parameters in the wider population using the prediction equation are shown in Table 5. Values across the entire population were similar to those observed among the body composition study participants. One woman whose resistance value was 418Ω, near the cutoff for exclusion as an outlier, had a negative value for fat mass, consistent with a slight over-prediction of TBW, and thus FFM, and subsequent under-prediction of fat mass at the low extreme of the available data.

Associations of body composition measures with age and BMI are also explored in Table 5. Measures of $\text{Height}^2/R_{50}$, TBW (kg) and FFM (kg) were lowest in the youngest women ($P<0·01$ for ANOVA). Expressed as percentage of total body weight, TBW (%) and FFM (%) were lower in women 20–30 years of age compared to those over 30 years ($P=0·008$ for ANOVA), while body fat was highest in 20–30-year-old women whether expressed as mass or percentage of total body weight. While TBW (kg), FFM (kg) and fat mass (kg) increased with increasing BMI, the percentage of body fat relative to total body weight increased with increasing BMI while the percentage of body FFM declined.

### Table 3. Equation development for predicting total body water from height$^2$/impedance at 50 kHz ($Z_{50}$, n 147) or height$^2$/resistance at 50 kHz ($R_{50}$, n 82): regression parameters (β Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Intercept (kg)</th>
<th>Adj $R^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0·551</td>
<td>0·492, 0·611</td>
<td>5·675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0·351</td>
<td>0·269, 0·433</td>
<td>4·573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0·356</td>
<td>0·274, 0·437</td>
<td>4·905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Comparability of body composition measures using $^{2}$H$_{2}$O dilution and newly derived prediction equations based on impedance or resistance (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body composition study participants (n 147)</th>
<th>Resistance measurement subgroup (n 82)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{2}$H$_{2}$O dilution</td>
<td>Height$^2/Z_{50}$ prediction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body water (kg)</td>
<td>22·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body water (%)</td>
<td>55·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (kg)</td>
<td>30·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (%)</td>
<td>75·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>10·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td>24·3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$Z_{50}$, impedance at 50 kHz; $R_{50}$, resistance at 50 kHz.
The purpose of the present study was to develop prediction equations for body composition in rural South Asian women using bioelectrical properties of impedance and resistance to predict TBW assessed using $^{2}H_{2}O$ dilution. We selected post-partum women from a large, community-based trial designed to examine pregnancy outcomes in whom to derive these equations. Body composition measures in these women will allow us to more fully explore the facets of nutritional status associated with pregnancy outcomes. Moreover, women in this study were typical of women of reproductive age in the area, who are generally undernourished as determined by weight, height, skinfolds and arm circumference. Thus, we expect these prediction equations to be broadly applicable for describing distributions of body composition parameters among women of reproductive age in the region.

We previously demonstrated the unique bioelectrical characteristics of this population, with the distribution of resistance shifted to higher values than those of women reported elsewhere, and we speculated that this shift was explained by lower body volumes of these undernourished women, estimated from their low heights and weights(17). Among women in the body composition study, impedance and resistance were strongly correlated and had a similar range of values, but on average somewhat lower ($14\Omega$) values were observed for impedance. The close association of these measures was expected, as impedance is related to resistance and reactance by phase angle(11) but comprised primarily (approximately 95%) of resistance(27). The somewhat lower mean values for impedance compared to resistance observed in this study were probably attributable to the differences in equipment and electrodes used. Nonetheless, while distinct prediction equations were generated for resistance and impedance, the 95% CI around the $\beta$ coefficients for each variable were overlapping, in agreement with the overall similarity of resistance and impedance across this group of women.

Both prediction equations provided excellent agreement with direct measures of TBW, and estimated TBW and its variance were nearly identical when compared between the eighty-two women in whom equations for impedance and resistance could both be applied. Moreover, both equations demonstrated a high $R^{2}$ and low RMSE as measures of fit and relatively low PRESS statistics in the cross-validation approach. Although there are no specific criteria against

---

**Fig. 1.** Scatterplot of the association of total body water (TBW, kg) predicted from impedance ($Z_{50}$) using equation 1 with actual TBW (kg) obtained from $^{2}H_{2}O$ dilution. The dark line in the centre represents the predicted fit, with the dashed lines indicating the CI for the forecast values at the 95% confidence level. The thin grey line indicates the line of identity. Correlation between calculated and actual TBW is 0.87 ($P<0.0001$).

**Fig. 2.** Scatterplot of the association of total body water (TBW, kg), predicted from resistance ($R_{50}$) using equation 2 with actual TBW (kg) obtained from $^{2}H_{2}O$ dilution. The dark line in the centre represents the predicted fit, with the dashed lines indicating the CI for the forecast values at the 95% confidence level. The thin grey line indicates the line of identity. Correlation between calculated and actual TBW is 0.89 ($P<0.0001$).

**Fig. 3.** Plot of prediction residuals (observed total body water (TBW) − predicted TBW, where predicted TBW is derived from a regression equation that excludes each observation once) v. corresponding predicted TBW (kg) for the prediction equation using impedance at 50 kHz ($Z_{50}$).
which to evaluate these statistics(25). Sun et al.(26) reported an \( R^2 \) of 0.79, but a RMSE and PRESS statistic of 2.6 litres, and range of PRESS residuals of about ±9 litres in women in the US population in whom prediction equations were generated, although greater homogeneity in the US than Bangladeshi population may have contributed to higher RMSE and PRESS statistics in the US compared to Bangladeshi setting. Individual variability in the ability of the new equations to predict TBW was consistent across the TBW distribution of this population. Although bias in the measures for TBW was lowest using the new prediction equations, BIA somewhat overestimated actual TBW at the low end and underestimated TBW at the high end of its distribution, explaining lower standard deviations for distributions of body composition measures when assessed by BIA compared to \( ^2 \)H2O dilution. Thus, despite favourable performance characteristics of these equations overall, users must be mindful of the degree of error surrounding the use of the equations to predict TBW of individuals.

Nonetheless, we demonstrated the utility of these equations for women in this population-based study, in whom body composition information is of great importance to enrich our knowledge of nutritional status. When the prediction equation using resistance was applied to the larger group of postpartum women, FFM comprised approximately 76% and fat mass 24% of body weight, similar to the body composition group despite some differences in age and anthropometry between the women selected and those not selected for the body composition study. Among the larger group of women, the highest fat mass and percentage body fat occurred among 20–30-year-old women. A tendency to the highest fat mass in the 20–30-year-old age group is consistent with baseline characteristics that showed greater mean age and higher weight, skinfolds and arm circumference among the women who did not participate in the body composition study compared to typically younger women who did. The lowest total FFM was observed among the youngest study participants, consistent with a hypothesis that these youngest women may have still been acquiring lean body mass at the time they became pregnant(17). A previous study of married adolescents in this population using triceps and subscapular skinfolds to derive percentage body fat showed that body fat was approximately 19% of body weight, declining by 1.4% at 6 months postpartum among young women who became pregnant(26). Other studies of body composition in women of reproductive age have shown percentage body fat to average over 30% among women of Asian descent(12,15,29,30), although mean BMI in those studies typically averaged over 22 kg/m². One study in lactating women from India showed persistently low body fat across lactation (6-month intervals to 18 months postpartum) of approximately 28% body fat(31). It is clear that women in the area of Bangladesh under study here have considerably lower fat mass than women of reproductive age elsewhere worldwide.

Regardless of age, data relating body composition components to BMI show that TBW, FFM and fat mass all increase.

Table 5. Application of the height²/resistance at 50 kHz (R_50) prediction equation for body composition to the postpartum women not selected for the body composition study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n...</th>
<th>Age (years)*</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n...</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height²/R_50</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBW (kg)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBW (%)</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (%)</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (kg)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBW, total body water; FFM, fat-free mass.

* Age group differences all significant by ANOVA, \( P<0.0001 \), except TBW (%) and FFM (%), \( P=0.008 \); fat (kg), \( P=0.0006 \); for multiple comparison tests of height²/R_50, TBW (kg), FFM (kg), women <20 differ from women 20–30 and >30 years; for TBW (%), FFM (%), fat (%), women 20–30 differ from women >30 years; P<0.05.

† BMI group differences all significant by ANOVA (\( P<0.0001 \)).
with increasing BMI. However, when expressed as a percentage of total body weight, only percentage body fat increased with increasing BMI. These findings demonstrate that BMI reflects body fat across the range of BMI observed in this study, extending findings in other studies that relate body fat to BMI to the low end of the BMI distribution (24–34).

For our calculations, we assumed a hydration constant for FFM of 0.732 among all women. The accuracy of estimates of FFM and fat mass depend on the accuracy of that constant, which in reality may vary from 0.70 to 0.76, and may differ by individual and in relation to body fat (25,30), a limitation of the two-compartment approach for assessing body composition (35). The hydration of FFM increases during pregnancy, to an estimated 0.76 in the third trimester, before declining in the postpartum period. At 2 weeks postpartum, Hopkinson et al. (36) reported a hydration constant of 0.75, and Butte et al. (37) reported that hydration of FFM had returned to pre-pregnancy values by 3 months postpartum in a relatively small group of American women. It is reasonable to assume that hydration of FFM had returned to pre-pregnancy values by 3 months postpartum in our study participants, particularly given that resistance measures across an entire reproductive cycle returned to early pregnancy (assumed to be close to non-pregnancy) values by 3 months postpartum in this population (17). If, however, the true hydration of FFM was higher among women assessed at the 3-month postpartum home visit compared to women of the body composition study who were assessed somewhat later postpartum, estimates of TBW would still be valid, but FFM would be somewhat overestimated and fat mass underestimated in the larger population relative to the body composition study group. Nonetheless, because the equations are valid for predicting TBW, we believe the equations derived here can be generally applied for population studies of body composition among women of reproductive age in this region, with the caveat that ideally the potential variability in hydration of the FFM compartment should be better understood.

In conclusion, the present study provided new equations for measuring TBW based on resistance or impedance, height and weight. BIA is simple, rapid and accurate for South Asian rural women and can be a useful tool for determining body composition in nutritional surveys in field studies. Future studies will allow us to examine associations of body composition with birth outcomes for mother and child in this population. Moreover, because this population remains under surveillance, future work may also allow us to link body composition to other health outcomes among women in this setting.
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