BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. 46B20, 46B99, 46A45, 46B45, 46A19, 47H10
VOL. 64 (2001) [137–147]

THE SPACE OF p-SUMMABLE SEQUENCES AND ITS NATURAL n-NORM

HENDRA GUNAWAN

We study the space l^p, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, and its natural n-norm, which can be viewed as a generalisation of its usual norm. Using a derived norm equivalent to its usual norm, we show that l^p is complete with respect to its natural n-norm. In addition, we also prove a fixed point theorem for l^p as an n-normed space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let n be a nonnegative integer and X be a real vector space of dimension $d \geqslant n$ (d may be infinite). A real-valued function $\|\cdot,\dots,\cdot\|$ on X^n satisfying the four properties

(1) $\|x_1,\dots,x_n\| = 0$ if and only if $x_1,\dots,x_n$ are linearly dependent;
(2) $\|x_1,\dots,x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;
(3) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2,\dots,x_n\| = |\alpha|\,\|x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$;
(4) $\|x + x', x_2,\dots,x_n\| \leqslant \|x, x_2,\dots,x_n\| + \|x', x_2,\dots,x_n\|$,

is called an *n-norm* on X, and the pair $\big(X, \|\cdot,\dots,\cdot\|\big)$ is called an n-normed space.

For instance, any real inner product space $(X, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ can be equipped with the standard n-norm

$$\|x_1,\dots,x_n\| := \sqrt{\det\big(\langle x_i, x_j\rangle\big)},$$

which can be interpreted as the volume of the n-dimensional parallelepiped spanned by $x_1,\dots,x_n$ in X. On $\mathbf{R}^n$, this n-norm can be simplified to

$$\|x_1,\dots,x_n\| = \big|\det(x_{ij})\big|$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1},\dots,x_{in}) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $i = 1,\dots,n$.

The theory of 2-normed spaces was first developed by Gähler **[5]** in the mid 1960's, while that of n-normed spaces was studied later by Misiak **[21]**. Related works on n-metric spaces and n-inner product spaces may be found in, for example, **[2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12]**.

Received 7th November, 2000
This work was carried out during a visit to the School of Mathematics, UNSW, Sydney, in 2000/2001, under an Australia-Indonesia Merdeka Fellowship, funded by the Australian Government through the Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs and promoted through Australia Education International. The author would also like to thank Professor M. Cowling for his useful suggestions.

While various aspects of n-normed spaces have been studied extensively (see, for example, [**15, 17, 19, 23, 24**]), there are not many concrete examples that have been studied in depth except the standard ones. Nonstandard examples can be found in, for example, [**14, 20**].

In this note, we shall study the space l^p, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, containing all sequences of real numbers $x = (x_j)$ for which $\sum_j |x_j|^p < \infty$ (or $\sup_j |x_j| < \infty$ when $p = \infty$), and its natural n-norm, which can be regarded as a generalisation of the usual norm $\|x\|_p := \left[\sum_j |x_j|^p\right]^{1/p}$ (or $\|x\|_\infty := \sup_j |x_j|$ when $p = \infty$).

Using a derived norm equivalent to its usual norm, we shall show that l^p is complete with respect to its natural n-norm. In addition, we shall also prove a fixed point theorem for l^p as an n-normed space (see, for example, [**9, 15, 16, 18, 22, 25**] for previous results in this direction).

Throughout this note, we assume that p lies in the interval $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ unless otherwise stated. All sequences in l^p are indexed by nonnegative integers.

For expository purposes, we shall first discuss l^p and its natural 2-norm, and then generalise the results for all $n \geqslant 2$.

2. l^p AND ITS NATURAL 2-NORM

We already know that l^2, being an inner product space with inner product $\langle x, y\rangle = \sum_j x_j y_j$, can be equipped with the standard 2-norm

$$\|x, y\| := \left[\det \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j x_j^2 & \sum_j x_j y_j \\ \sum_j x_j y_j & \sum_j y_j^2 \end{pmatrix}\right]^{1/2}$$

By properties of determinants and limiting arguments (see [**10**], pp. 109–111), we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j x_j^2 & \sum_j x_j y_j \\ \sum_j x_j y_j & \sum_j y_j^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_j x_j \det \begin{pmatrix} x_j & y_j \\ \sum_k x_k y_k & \sum_k y_k^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_j \sum_k x_j y_k \det \begin{pmatrix} x_j & y_j \\ x_k & y_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

At the same time, we also have

$$\det\begin{pmatrix}\sum_j x_j^2 & \sum_j x_jy_j\\ \sum_j x_jy_j & \sum_j y_j^2\end{pmatrix} = \sum_j y_j \det\begin{pmatrix}\sum_k x_k^2 & \sum_k x_ky_k\\ x_j & y_j\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_j\sum_k y_jx_k \det\begin{pmatrix}x_k & y_k\\ x_j & y_j\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_j\sum_k -x_ky_j \det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & y_j\\ x_k & y_k\end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$2\det\begin{pmatrix}\sum_j x_j^2 & \sum_j x_jy_j\\ \sum_j x_jy_j & \sum_j y_j^2\end{pmatrix} = \sum_j\sum_k (x_jy_k - x_ky_j)\det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & y_j\\ x_k & y_k\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_j\sum_k \left|\det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & x_k\\ y_j & y_k\end{pmatrix}\right|^2.$$

Therefore, we may rewrite the standard 2-norm on l^2 as

$$\|x,y\| = \left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_j\sum_k \left|\det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & x_k\\ y_j & y_k\end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right]^{1/2}.$$

This looks like the usual norm on l^2 except that now we are taking the square root of half the sum of squares of determinants of 2×2 matrices. Here $\left|\det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & x_k\\ y_j & y_k\end{pmatrix}\right|$ represents the area of the projected parallelogram on the two dimensional subspace spanned by $e_j = (\delta_{jl})$ and $e_k = (\delta_{kl})$.

Inspired by the above observation, let us define the following function $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ on $l^p \times l^p$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, by

$$\|x,y\|_p := \left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_j\sum_k \left|\det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & x_k\\ y_j & y_k\end{pmatrix}\right|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

As in [**14**] and [**20**], define also $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_\infty$ on $l^\infty \times l^\infty$ by

$$\|x,y\|_\infty := \sup_j\sup_k \left|\det\begin{pmatrix}x_j & x_k\\ y_j & y_k\end{pmatrix}\right|.$$

(One might like to interpret the value of $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ in terms of the areas of the 'projected parallelograms' on the subspaces spanned by e_j and e_k, for all j and k, and compare it to the standard case.)

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700019754 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The following fact tells us that $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ makes sense.

FACT 2.1. *l The inequality*

$$\|x,y\|_p \leqslant 2^{1-(1/p)}\|x\|_p\|y\|_p,$$

holds whenever $x, y \in l^p$.

PROOF: Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Then, by the triangle inequality for real numbers and Minkowski's inequality for double series, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x,y\|_p &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_j\sum_k |x_j y_k - x_k y_j|^p\right]^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant \left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_j\sum_k \left[|x_j||y_k| + |x_k||y_j|\right]^p\right]^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant 2^{-1/p}\left[\left[\sum_j\sum_k |x_j|^p|y_k|^p\right]^{1/p} + \left[\sum_j\sum_k |x_k|^p|y_j|^p\right]^{1/p}\right] \\ &= 2^{1-(1/p)}\|x\|_p\|y\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

whenever $x, y \in l^p$. For $p = \infty$, the inequality

$$\|x,y\|_\infty \leqslant 2\|x\|_\infty\|y\|_\infty$$

can be verified in a similar fashion. ∎

REMARK. Of course, for $p = 2$, we have a better inequality

$$\|x,y\|_2 \leqslant \|x\|_2\|y\|_2,$$

which is a special case of Hadamard's inequality (see [**10**, p. 202]). For our purposes, however, the inequality in Fact 2.1 is good enough.

FACT 2.2. *The function* $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ *defines a 2-norm on* l^p.

PROOF: We need to check that $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ satisfies the four properties of a 2-norm. First note that the 'if' part of (1), (2) and (3) are obvious. To verify the 'only if' part of (1), suppose that $\|x,y\| = 0$. Then

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for all j and k, and so we conclude that x and y are linearly dependent.

It now remains to verify (4). By a property of determinants and the triangle inequality for real numbers, we have

$$\left|\det\begin{pmatrix} x_j + x'_j & x_k + x'_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{pmatrix}\right| \leqslant \left|\det\begin{pmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{pmatrix}\right| + \left|\det\begin{pmatrix} x'_j & x'_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{pmatrix}\right|.$$

Hence, by Minkowski's inequality for double series, (4) follows and this completes the proof. ∎

As a consequence of Fact 2.2, we have:

COROLLARY 2.3. *The space l^p, equipped with $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$, is a 2-normed space.*

2.1. COMPLETENESS Recall that a sequence $x(m)$ in a 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot,\cdot\|)$ is said to *converge* to some $x \in X$ in the 2-norm whenever

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \|x(m) - x, y\| = 0$$

for every $y \in X$. Also, $x(m)$ is said to be *Cauchy* with respect to the 2-norm if

$$\lim_{l,m\to\infty} \|x(l) - x(m), y\| = 0$$

for every $y \in X$. If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $x \in X$, then X is said to be *complete* with respect to the 2-norm.

From textbooks on functional analysis (see, for example, [**1**, pp. 91–92]), we know that l^p is complete with respect to its usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Our aim now is to show that l^p is complete with respect to its natural 2-norm $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$. To do so, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *A sequence in l^p is convergent in the 2-norm $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ if and only if it is convergent in the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Similarly, a sequence in l^p is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$ if and only if it is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$.*

The 'if' parts of Lemma 2.4 follow immediately from Fact 2.1. To prove the 'only if' parts, we shall invoke a derived norm as previously done in [**13**] and [**14**].

In general, given a 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot,\cdot\|)$ of dimension $\geqslant 2$, we can choose an arbitrary linearly independent set $\{a_1, a_2\}$ in X and, with respect to $\{a_1, a_2\}$, define a norm $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ on X by

$$\|x\|_p^* := \left[\|x, a_1\|^p + \|x, a_2\|^p\right]^{1/p},$$

for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, or

$$\|x\|_\infty^* := \sup\left\{\|x, a_1\|, \|x, a_2\|\right\},$$

for $p = \infty$.

For our 2-normed space l^p, we choose, for convenience, $a_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $a_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$, and define $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ with respect to $\{a_1, a_2\}$ as above. Then we have:

FACT 2.5. *The derived norm $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ is equivalent to the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ on l^p. Precisely, we have*

$$\|x\|_p \leqslant \|x\|_p^* \leqslant 2^{1/p}\|x\|_p$$

for all $x \in l^p$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_\infty^* = \|\cdot\|_\infty$.

PROOF: Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. For every $x \in l^p$, we compute

$$\|x, a_1\|_p^p = \sum_{j \neq 1} |x_j|^p$$

and

$$\|x, a_2\|_p^p = \sum_{j \neq 2} |x_j|^p,$$

whence

$$\|x\|_p^* = \left[|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p + 2\sum_{j \geqslant 3} |x_j|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

We therefore see that

$$\|x\|_p \leqslant \|x\|_p^* \leqslant 2^{1/p}\|x\|_p,$$

that is, $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ are equivalent. The proof for $p = \infty$ is similar. ∎

REMARK. Fact 2.5 tells us in particular that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is dominated by $\|\cdot\|_p^*$. As we shall see below, this is what we actually need to prove Lemma 2.4.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4: Suppose that $x(m)$ converges to some $x \in l^p$ in the 2-norm $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$. With respect to $a_1 = (1,0,0,\dots)$ and $a_2 = (0,1,0,\dots)$, define $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ as before. Then, since $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|x(m) - x, a_1\|_p = 0$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|x(m) - x, a_2\|_p = 0$, we have $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|x(m) - x\|_p^* = 0$, that is, $x(m)$ converges to x in $\|\cdot\|_p^*$. But $\|\cdot\|_p$ is dominated by $\|\cdot\|_p^*$, and so we conclude that $x(m)$ also converges to x in $\|\cdot\|_p$.

As mentioned before, the converse follows immediately from Fact 2.1. The following diagram summarises the proof of the first part of the lemma:

convergence in $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$

$\nearrow$ $\searrow$

convergence in $\|\cdot\|_p$ $\longleftarrow$ convergence in $\|\cdot\|_p^*$

The second part of the lemma can be proved in a similar fashion: one only needs to replace the expressions 'convergent to x' with 'Cauchy' and '$x(m) - x$' with '$x(l) - x(m)$'. ∎

Now we come to the main result.

THEOREM 2.6. *The space l^p is complete with respect to the 2-norm $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$.*

PROOF: Let $x(m)$ be Cauchy in l^p with respect to $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, $x(m)$ is Cauchy with respect to the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. But we know that l^p is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$, and so $x(m)$ must converge to some $x \in X$ in $\|\cdot\|_p$. By another application of Lemma 2.4, $x(m)$ also converges to x in $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$. This shows that l^p is complete with respect to the 2-norm $\|\cdot,\cdot\|_p$. ∎

3. l^p AND ITS NATURAL n-NORM

By using properties of determinants and limiting arguments as before, we can write the standard n-norm on l^2 as

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| := \left[\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{j_1}\cdots\sum_{j_n}\left|\det(x_{ij_k})\right|^2\right]^{1/2}$$

Now, for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, define the following function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ on $l^p \times \cdots \times l^p$ (n factors) by

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_p := \left[\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{j_1}\cdots\sum_{j_n}\left|\det(x_{ij_k})\right|^p\right]^{1/p}$$

For $p = \infty$, define $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on $l^\infty \times \cdots \times l^\infty$ (n factors) by

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_\infty := \sup_{j_1} \dots \sup_{j_n} \left|\det(x_{ij_k})\right|,$$

as in [**20**].

Then we have the following facts, which are just generalisations of Facts 2.1 and 2.2 (and so we leave the proofs to the reader). Note that the factor $n!$ appearing below is the number of terms in the expansion of $\det(x_{ij_k})$.

FACT 3.1. *The inequality*

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_p \leqslant (n!)^{1-(1/p)}\|x_1\|_p \dots \|x_n\|_p,$$

holds whenever $x_1, \dots, x_n \in l^p$.

FACT 3.2. *The function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ defines an n-norm on l^p.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *The space l^p, equipped with $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$, is an n-normed space.*

3.1. COMPLETENESS As in the case $n = 2$, a sequence $x(m)$ in a n-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to *converge* to some $x \in X$ in the n-norm whenever

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\|x(m) - x, x_2, \dots, x_n\right\| = 0$$

for every $x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. Also, $x(m)$ is said to be *Cauchy* with respect to the n-norm if

$$\lim_{l,m\to\infty} \left\|x(l) - x(m), x_2, \dots, x_n\right\| = 0$$

for every $x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $x \in X$, then X is said to be *complete* with respect to the n-norm.

The following is a generalisation of Lemma 2.4.

LEMMA 3.4. *A sequence in l^p is convergent in the n-norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ if and only if it is convergent in the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Similarly, a sequence in l^p is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ if and only if it is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$.*

As before, the 'if' parts of Lemma 3.4 are obvious and the 'only if' parts can be proved by using a derived norm, defined with respect to the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, where $a_i = (\delta_{ij})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$\|x\|_p^* := \left[\sum_{\{i_2,\dots,i_n\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \|x, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\|_p^p \right]^{1/p}$$

if $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, or

$$\|x\|_\infty^* := \sup_{\{i_2,\dots,i_n\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \|x, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\|_\infty$$

if $p = \infty$.

Indeed, one may observe that $\|x\|_p^*$ defines a norm on l^p (see [**6**] for previous results for $p = 1$, [**12**] for $p = 2$, and [**14**] for $p = \infty$). Further, we have:

Fact 3.5. *The derived norm $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ is equivalent to the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ on l^p. Precisely, we have*

$$\|x\|_p \leqslant \|x\|_p^* \leqslant n^{1/p} \|x\|_p$$

for all $x \in l^p$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_\infty^* = \|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Proof: As usual, we shall only give the proof for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and leave that for $p = \infty$ to the reader.

For every $x \in l^p$, we compute

$$\|x, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\|_p^p = |x_1|^p + \sum_{j \geqslant n+1} |x_j|^p.$$

Similarly

$$\|x, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_n\|_p^p = |x_2|^p + \sum_{j \geqslant n+1} |x_j|^p$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\|x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}\|_p^p = |x_n|^p + \sum_{j \geqslant n+1} |x_j|^p.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\|x\|_p^* = \left[|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p + n \sum_{j \geqslant n+1} |x_j|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

It therefore follows that

$$\|x\|_p \leqslant \|x\|_p^* \leqslant n^{1/p} \|x\|_p,$$

that is, $\|\cdot\|_p^*$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ are equivalent. ∎

As a generalisation of Theorem 2.6, we have

Theorem 3.6. *The space l^p is complete with respect to the n-norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700019754 Published online by Cambridge University Press

3.2. A FIXED POINT THEOREM We shall now use the derived norm to prove the following fixed point theorem for the n-normed space $(l^p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$.

THEOREM 3.7. (Fixed point theorem) *Let T be a self-mapping of l^p such that*

$$\|Tx - Tx', x_2, \dots, x_n\|_p \leqslant C\|x - x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|_p$$

for all $x, x', x_2, \dots, x_n$ in X and some constant $C \in (0, 1)$, that is, T is contractive with respect to $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Before we prove the theorem, note that l^p is complete with respect to the derived norm $\|\cdot\|_p^*$. Indeed, if $x(m)$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p^*$, then by Fact 3.5 it is also Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$ and hence, since l^p is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$, it must converge to some $x \in l^p$. By Fact 3.1, we conclude that $x(m)$ converges to x in $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$ and, eventually, in $\|\cdot\|_p^*$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.7: If we can show that T is also contractive with respect to the derived norm $\|\cdot\|_p^*$, defined with respect to the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ as before, then we are done (for we have just seen that l^p is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p^*$). But this is easy since, by hypothesis, we have

$$\|Tx - Tx', a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\|_p \leqslant C\|x - x', a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\|_p$$

for all $x, x' \in l^p$ and $\{i_2, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, whence

$$\|Tx - Tx'\|_p^* \leqslant C\|x - x'\|_p^*$$

for all $x, x' \in l^p$ (with the same C), that is, T is contractive with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p^*$. $\square$

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The n-norms $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ can be defined analogously on $\mathbf{R}^d$ with $d \geqslant n$. However, they are all equivalent here and we already know what happens with the standard or finite-dimensional case in general (see [**13**] and [**14**]).

As the reader will realise, our results also extend to $L^p(X)$ spaces, where X is a measure space with at least n disjoint subsets of positive measure. Recall that $L^p(X)$ is the space of equivalence classes (modulo equivalence almost everywhere) of functions such that $\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) < \infty$ (if $1 \leqslant p < \infty$) or $\sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| < \infty$ (if $p = \infty$). Indeed, one may define $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ on $L^p(X) \times \cdots \times L^p(X)$ (n factors) by

$$\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_p := \left[\frac{1}{n!}\int_X \cdots \int_X \left|\det(f_i(x_j))\right|^p dx_1 \dots dx_n\right]^{1/p}$$

if $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, or

$$\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_\infty := \sup_{x_1 \in X} \dots \sup_{x_n \in X} \left|\det(f_i(x_j))\right|$$

if $p = \infty$, and check that this function defines an n-norm on $L^p(X)$. Clearly the analogues of Fact 3.1, Fact 3.2, Corollary 3.3 and the 'if' parts of Lemma 3.4 hold. The remaining results may be verified by using a derived norm defined with respect to $\{\chi_{A_1}, \ldots, \chi_{A_n}\}$, where $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ are disjoint sets of positive measure. The key is to show that the usual norm on $L^p(X)$ is dominated by this derived norm.

References

[1] A.L. Brown and A. Page, *Elements of functional analysis* (Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, London, 1970).

[2] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, '2-inner product spaces', *Demonstratio Math.* **6** (1973), 525–536.

[3] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, '2-inner product spaces. II', *Demonstratio Math.* **10** (1977), 169–188.

[4] S. Gähler, '2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur', *Math. Nachr.* **26** (1963), 115–148.

[5] S. Gähler, 'Lineare 2-normietre Räume', *Math. Nachr.* **28** (1964), 1–43.

[6] S. Gähler, 'Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische Räume. I', *Math. Nachr.* **40** (1969), 165–189.

[7] S. Gähler, 'Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische Räume. II', *Math. Nachr.* **40** (1969), 229–264.

[8] S. Gähler, 'Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische Räume. III', *Math. Nachr.* **41** (1970), 23–36.

[9] A. Ganguly, 'Fixed point theorem on 2-Banach space', *J. Indian Acad. Math.* **4** (1982), 80–81.

[10] W. Greub, *Linear algebra* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975).

[11] H. Gunawan, 'On n-inner products, n-norms, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality', *Math. Japon.* (to appear).

[12] H. Gunawan, 'Any n-inner product space is an inner product space', (submitted).

[13] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, 'On finite-dimensional 2-normed spaces', *Soochow J. Math.* (to appear).

[14] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, 'On n-normed spaces', *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* (to appear).

[15] D.R. Jain and R. Chugh, 'A common fixed point theorem in 2-normed spaces', *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **3** (1995), 51–61.

[16] M.S. Khan and M.D. Khan, 'Involutions with fixed points in 2-Banach spaces', *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **16** (1993), 429–433.

[17] S.S. Kim and Y.J. Cho, 'Strict convexity in linear n-normed spaces', *Demonstratio Math.* **29** (1996), 739–744.

[18] S.N. Lai and A.K. Singh, 'An analogue of Banach's contraction principle for 2-metric spaces', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **18** (1978), 137–143.

[19] A. Malčeski, 'Strong n-convex n-normed spaces', *Mat. Bilten* **21** (1997), 81–102.

[20] A. Malčeski, 'l^∞ as n-normed space', *Mat. Bilten* **21** (1997), 103–110.

[21] A. Misiak, 'n-inner product spaces', *Math. Nachr.* **140** (1989), 299–319.

[22] S.V.R. Naidu and J. Rajendra Prasad, 'Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces', *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **17** (1986), 974–993.

[23] A.H. Siddiqi, S.C. Gupta and A. Siddiqi, 'On ultra m-metric spaces and non-Archimedean m-normed spaces', *Indian J. Math.* **31** (1989), 31–39.

[24] Suyalatu, 'n-normed spaces and bounded n-linear functionals', *Natur. Sci. J. Harbin Normal Univ.* **6** (1990), 20–24.

[25] B.M.L. Tewari and S.L. Singh, 'Fixed point theorems for 2-metric spaces', *Indian J. Math.* **25** (1983), 161–164.

Department of Mathematics
Bandung Institute of Technology
Bandung 40132
Indonesia
e-mail: hgunawan@dns.math.itb.ac.id