Psychopathy in women: insights from neuroscience and ways forward for research
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Abstract

Psychopathy is a severe form of personality disturbance, resulting in a detrimental impact on individuals, healthcare systems, and society as a whole. Until relatively recently, most research in psychopathy has focused on male samples, not least because of its link with criminal behavior and the large proportion of violent crime committed by men. However, psychopathy in women also leads to considerable problems at an individual and societal level, including substance misuse, poor treatment outcomes, and contribution to ever-increasing numbers of female prisoners. Despite this, due to relative neglect, most research into adult female psychopathy is underpowered and outdated. We argue that the field needs revitalizing, with a focus on the developmental nature of the condition and neurocognitive research. Recent work international consortia into conduct disorder in female youth—a precursor of psychopathy in female adults—gives cause for optimism. Here, we outline key strategies for enriching research in this important field with contemporary approaches to other psychiatric conditions.

Introduction

Psychopathy is a severe form of personality disturbance, resulting in a detrimental impact on society, chiefly through the human and economic costs of violence perpetrated by those with psychopathy. There is also a significant human cost of impaired mental wellbeing of those who suffer with psychopathy, and of people working or living with individuals with psychopathy or high levels of psychopathic traits.

Psychopathy emerges from antisocial youths who meet criteria for conduct disorder aged 15 or younger. However, only a minority of youths with conduct disorder go on to develop psychopathy in adulthood. Substantial evidence suggests that a significant subgroup of youths with conduct disorder demonstrate callous-unemotional (CU) traits—“limited prosocial emotions” in DSM-5: lack of remorse or guilt; callous-lack of empathy; unconcerned about performance; and shallow or deficient affect (see Box 1). It is thought that these youths—with conduct disorder with callous-unemotional traits (CD + CU)—are more likely to go on to develop psychopathy as adults, as has been demonstrated in a longitudinal sample. Several conceptions of psychopathy exist, with the most widely used classification tool being the Psychopathy Checklist—revised (PCL-R), whereby psychopathy consists of a combination of interpersonal/affective and antisocial lifestyle factors (see Box 1).

Until recently, most research in psychopathy and psychopathic personality (see Box 1) has focused on male samples. This is for two main reasons. Firstly, the vast majority of violent crime in society is committed by a small group of men who meet DSM-5 criteria for antisocial personality disorder (Figure 1), about one-third of whom also meet criteria for psychopathy and who make a disproportionate contribution to violent crime. However, psychopathy and psychopathic personality in women also leads to a greater likelihood of committing both violent and nonviolent crimes, and similarly to men, women with psychopathy display high recidivism rates, with estimates as high as 75% of women for reoffending within 9 years of release. Secondly, most of the research in this field occurs in samples of offenders and a smaller proportion of females are incarcerated compared to males. However, psychopathy and psychopathic personality in women are also associated with higher rates of incarcerations, thus very likely making a considerable contribution to the rapidly growing female prison population. This is one of the fastest growing segments of the criminal justice worldwide: since 2000, the number of women and girls in prison has increased by more than 50%, while the male population has increased by around 20%. For these reasons alone, the relative neglect of women in this literature is concerning.
Box 1. Construct and conceptual issues in psychopathy and conduct disorder.

Defining psychopathy

Contemporary understanding of psychopathy emerged from psychiatrist Hervey Cleckley’s original description, which includes characteristics of short-lived emotions, lack of empathy and remorse, low responsibility, proneness to seek novelty and excitement, as well as antisocial and morally transgressing behavior. Several different but overlapping constructs of psychopathy have emerged, including a 3-factor (Arrogant and Deceitful Interpersonal Style, Deficient Affective Experience, and Impulsive and Irresponsible Behavioral Style) “Hierarchial” model,1,2 3-factor “triarchic” model,22 identifying Boldness, Meaness, and Disinhibition as primary domains, a “primary” (low anxiety) and “secondary” (high anxiety) classification,22,207,208 mapping of psychopathy onto the Five Factor (OCEAN) Model of personality,213 and understanding of psychopathy based on traits on a spectrum in the general population using the Psychopathic Personality Inventory.192

Psychopathy Checklist-Revised (PLC-R)
The most widely used assessment tool in clinical populations is the Psychopathic Checklist-Revisited (PLC-R) which consists of 20 items which cluster into two Factors: Factor 1 (including “Interpersonal” traits such as pathological lying and conning/manipulativeness, and “Affective” traits including lack of remorse/guilt and callousness/lack of empathy); and Factor 2 (including “Lifestyle” traits such as parasitic lifestyle and irresponsible Antisocial traits such as juvenile delinquency, and criminal versatility). The PCL-R has been extensively assessed and shows high reliability (e.g., average interrater reliability of 0.92 in studies in for male offenders and pooled internal consistency of 0.85 (Cronbach’s alpha) for male offenders) and validity, including r = 0.52 correlation for Factor 2 with trait impulsivity on the Karolinska Scale of Personality; Factor 1 (r = –0.46) and Factor 2 (r = –0.52) correlate well with “empathic concern” on the Interpersonal Reactivity index (self-report empathy measures). Factor 2 items more strongly correlate to DSM criteria for antisocial personality disorder than do Factor 1. In this paper, for consistency, we refer to psychopathy as that meeting PCL-R criteria.

Psychopathy vs psychopathic personality

Considerable research indicates that psychopathy exists on a spectrum, from low-level traits in the general population, to much higher levels, which are often found in violent recidivist offenders.2,3 Much of the available evidence is accordingly based on dimensional approaches and many of these studies focus on offending groups who do not meet PCL-R threshold for psychopathy, but nonetheless have clinically significant levels of psychopathic traits, and are often offenders. We refer throughout to these women as having “psychopathic personality.” We do not consider healthy individuals with low-level psychopathic traits (e.g., in healthy non-offending samples such as those composed of university students) to have ‘psychopathic personality’.

Antisocial personality disorder and psychopathy, categories vs dimensions

There is a significant overlap between psychopathy and antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) as defined by DSM-5,3 and considerable debate about the degree of this overlap. There is also contention about whether psychopathy is a categorical disorder (taxon) or a dimensional entity. These issues are discussed in the “Further Considerations” section.

The importance of callous-unemotional (CU) traits

CU traits have substantial heritability211,212 and demonstrate stability in longitudinal samples of both high-risk and low-risk healthy youth190,213,214,215 and youth with conduct disorder.200,202,210 Some evidence for influence by factors such as parenting,211,212 malleability with intervention,197,202,214 and potential compounding216,217 and protective factors197,218 should be noted. CU traits predict a number of antisocial outcomes, including aggression,190,210,211,214 delinquency,191,211,213 sex offending,191,210 and violent behavior.191,210

There is also an evidence base—albeit limited in size—suggesting that conduct disorder in young females and psychopathy and psychopathic personality in women also leads to considerable problems beyond violent or criminal behavior in affected individuals. For instance, in a large sample of adolescent girls from the Dunedin cohort, conduct disorder predicted more medical problems, poorer self-reported overall health, lower body mass index, alcohol and/or marijuana dependence, tobacco dependence, daily smoking, more lifetime sexual partners, sexually transmitted disease, and early pregnancy.27 In a smaller sample of girls aged 15 to 17, compared to healthy girls, those with conduct disorder had worse overall health, more discomfort, higher rates of unhealthy habits, lower rates of healthy behaviors, and more pregnancies at earlier ages.27 A meta-analysis of studies on conduct disorder demonstrated that compared to otherwise healthy girls, girls with conduct disorder were over three times more likely to experience pregnancy before 23 years of age.27 Further, women with psychopathy have been shown to experience a high level of neglect and emotional and physical abuse in childhood29,30 factors which lead to harsh and inconsistent parenting styles in later life.31,32 This in turn is associated with development of conduct disorder in their own children, independent of genetic factors.33

Together, these factors suggest that psychopathy and psychopathic personality in women may contribute to reciprocal cause and effect in abnormal personality development across generations of women, leading to poor health and social outcomes throughout the lifespan. In adult female prisoners, PCL-R scores have been shown to be significantly associated with poor program retention, removal for serious noncompliance, violent and disruptive rule violations, avoidance of urinalysis testing, lower treatment module attendance, and poor therapist ratings.34

Despite the important implications of these findings, women with psychopathy and psychopathic personality have remained relatively neglected by subsequent research. Many studies have investigated psychopathic traits, but only in healthy, nonclinical samples. Studies in clinical samples which have included women have mostly had insufficient power to analyze the female sample in comparison to males. Common practice has been to extrapolate findings from studies in these male-only or mostly-male samples and apply them to women. Researchers have, however, highlighted the potential problems with this approach. For example, some authors have identified differential expressions of psychopathic behavior, differences in interpersonal characteristics, and different psychological motivations underpinning indicators of psychopathy between men and women with psychopathy.35

Others have highlighted that women with psychopathy have lower total psychopathy scores, different underlying factor structures, different neuropsychological manifestations, and likely different etiological pathways.36 Assessment tools for psychopathy, such as the PCL-R, were designed for use in male populations, and there are divergent findings pointing to just-right model fit,37,38 leading to suggestions that alternative models for psychopathy would be more appropriate in females.39,42 Despite some progress in utilizing neuropsychological measures, research in this field in females lags well behind work in males and compares especially poorly to research of females in other important neuropsychiatric conditions with comparable prevalence, such as schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. Furthermore, although PCL-R-defined psychopathy has been shown to emerge from CD + CU in childhood, studies have neglected to account for this trajectory, e.g., by failing to develop neurobiologically informed, longitudinal approaches in females.

Below, we highlight what we believe are the two key limitations of existing research in women with women with psychopathy and psychopathic personality, with proposed solutions. We draw upon important recent developments in research in conduct disorder in females emerging from collaborative research projects, which may provide a template for future studies in adult women. Finally, we outline further suggestions for bringing research in this area in women in line with optimal contemporary approaches.
Problems and Solutions

Problem 1: Most research is in men, but psychopathy and psychopathic personality in females differs in important ways from early in life

Different patterns of conduct disorder—a precursor of psychopathy and psychopathic personality—are evident between males and females at a population level from early in life. A meta-analysis of epidemiological studies estimated that the worldwide prevalence of conduct disorder among children and adolescents aged 6 to 18 years is 3.2%,63 with little variance across samples (although most of these studies were from the United States and Europe). Other studies suggest that the prevalence of conduct disorder in Europe varies between boys and girls: 1% to 3% in girls and 2% to 5% in boys,32 with 13.8% of male adolescents but only 6.7% of female adolescents meeting DSM-5 criteria for conduct disorder at some stage.45 The degree of sex differences varies through development in children less than 5 years, sex differences are small or nonexistent,44 while in later childhood, conduct disorder is 2 to 3 times more common in boys than in girls,46 a gap which then narrows to approximately 2:1 in adolescence.47

Accumulating evidence suggests that while youths with conduct disorder without CU traits—or "limited prosocial emotions" as specified in DSM-548—may go on to develop psychopathic personality, youths with conduct disorder with CU traits (CD + CU) are more likely to develop more severe long-term behavioral problems49,50 and deficits in neuropsychological processing of social stimuli,51,52 and are more likely to develop psychopathy as adults.10 It should be noted, however, that studies suggest a lower heritability of CU for females50-52 and the link between CU traits and severe relational and conduct problems may be weaker in girls.19

In adults, most estimates of prevalence of psychopathy and psychopathic personality are based on prison and offender samples. In keeping with rates of conduct disorder in adulthood, with few exceptions, studies show that psychopathy in adulthood is also more common in males than in females.60 The prevalence of PCL-R defined psychopathy is thought to be between 15% and 25% of male prisoners,7,14 while estimates in female prisoners range from 6% to 17% (9% to 31% in North American offender samples39,40). Female offenders also show lower mean PCL-R scores than male offenders,40,42,63 which may reflect relatively lower levels of antisocial behavior in women with psychopathy, compared to men. This is supported by relatively lower scores on Factor 2 PCL-R traits—which incorporates antisocial and offending behavior—in women compared to men with psychopathy.56,66 Women also typically score high on fewer of the individual PCL-R facets than men.66,67

If the clinical expression of psychopathy and psychopathic personality was identical in both sexes, the clinical applications of divergent epidemiology would be limited—psychopathy could simply be seen as less common in women. Some studies suggest that the key behavioral features do not differ significantly between males and females, from early in life. For example, in adolescents with high psychopathy scores (as measured by the Psychopathy Checklist: Youth Version PCL-YV), deficits in empathy and affect regulation are associated with aggression in both girls and boys, suggesting that 3- and 4-factor models of psychopathy are invariant across biological sex.68 In adult prisoners (female = 228; mean PCL-R = 18.2) the relationship between psychopathic traits components of emotion processing was not moderated by biological sex.69

Other studies, however, suggest significant clinical differences between males and females with conduct disorder in youths and psychopathy and psychopathic personality in adulthood. For example, at ages 11 and 15 years, females with conduct disorder are less likely than males to manifest criminal, particularly aggressive, behaviors, and are more likely than males to manifest conduct disorder symptoms alone or in conjunction with externalizing behaviors.70 While male youths with conduct disorder are more likely to demonstrate overt behaviors, such as vandalism and aggressive stealing, females with conduct disorder are more likely to manifest covert behaviors, such as lying and sabotaging relationships.71 Further, rather than engaging in aggressive behaviors, young girls with conduct disorder may engage in minor norm-breaking behaviors and assume adult roles, perhaps by stealing or finding ways to obtain money, clothes, or drugs.48,72 In adults, one study investigated 197 female and 197 male patients admitted between 1984 and 2013 to one of four Dutch forensic psychiatric hospitals. This demonstrated that women with psychopathy compared to men with psychopathy committed more fraud, offended more often out of relational frustration, and showed less physical violence, but more manipulative and self-destructive behavior during treatment.73

Furthermore, some studies suggest psychosocial risk factors for psychopathic personality traits also vary between men and women. While childhood physical and sexual abuse is linked to psychopathic traits (primarily Factor 2) in both male73 and female74 offenders, female offenders are more likely to have endured early trauma relative to male offenders75 and those who do are more likely to develop psychopathic personality.76 Finally, outcomes vary between males and females with psychopathy and psychopathic personality. While some studies suggest correlations between psychopathic personality (and specifically PCL-R Factor 2 traits) and antisocial/offending and recidivism outcomes76 akin to male samples, other studies have shown only weak relationships in women and girls.77,78

Taken together, research in both youths and adults suggest that expression of psychopathy and psychopathic personality in females may differ in important ways from expression in males early on in life. However, the evidence base remains limited, as women have been relatively neglected in this field of research, and little consideration has been given to the developmental trajectory of the condition.

Proposed solution: Consider psychopathy as a neurodevelopmental disorder, with a sexually dimorphic expression, like autism

Although it has not traditionally been defined as such, psychopathy meets the key defining characteristics of a neurodevelopmental disorder, as outlined in a recent review.79 Specifically, as outlined in the sections above, it has its origins in childhood; it is characterized by abnormalities in brain structure, function, and neurocognition; it has a genetic basis; it is relatively stable across the lifespan; and it results in poorer adult outcomes across multiple domains. Neurodevelopmental disorders are typically relatively unresponsive to treatment, and their base rates are relatively low79—both of which are also features of psychopathy. Neurodevelopmental disorders also tend to be more common in males, which is the case for psychopathy.

Considering psychopathy (and psychopathic personality) in this way potentially provides a basis for developing a better understanding of the condition through neurocognitive research. There is precedent for this in the case of another neurodevelopmental disorder with a sexually dimorphic expression—autism spectrum disorder (ASD). ASD is more common in males than females, with
a sex ratio of approximately four to one across the whole autism spectrum. There are also important developmental and behavioral differences. For example, boys with ASD show more repetitive and stereotyped behavior from the age of 6 years, but not below the age of 6 years. In contrast, females with ASD experience more lifetime sensory symptoms, fewer current socio-communication difficulties, and less impairment in autobiographical memory. Other studies have demonstrated sexually dimorphic profiles in cognitive and adaptive abnormalities.

Two major theories have emerged to explain sexual dimorphism in ASD, which may provide useful models for future studies in male and female psychopathy and psychopathic personality. Firstly, the “Female Protective Effect” theory proposes that a female-specific factor protects females from reaching the threshold for ASD diagnosis, meaning those females who are affected are likely carrying a greater etiological load (e.g., genetic variants or environmental influences) than affected males who lack this female-specific protective factor. This theory is supported by evidence from several genetic studies supporting a “liability-threshold model” whereby females who meet diagnostic threshold for ASD will carry a higher mutational load than males. In CD + CU, heritability estimates are approximately 50%, although one twin study in adolescents has shown relatively less heritability for CU traits in females compared to males. To date, however, molecular genetic studies in CD + CU have provided limited insights, and have not identified clear mechanistic pathways. This results in a “heritability gap” between molecular studies and behavioral genetics estimates. Further, despite evidence showing sex differences in heritability of psychopathological traits in disruptive youth and healthy adults, to the best of our knowledge, no genetic studies specific to CD + CU/pyschopathy have attempted to perform separate analyses for males and females. Drawing on evidence in ASD, study designs allowing for separate analysis of data in males and females with CD + CU/psychopathy may help address the existing heritability gap, unlocking insights into sex-specific genetic vulnerabilities, and differential pathways into the disorder between males and females.

A further theory of sexual dimorphism in ASD is the “Extreme Male Brain” theory. This theory proposes that there are morphological and functional differences between male and female brains, but that the autistic brain is a more extreme, or hyper-masculinized, version of the male brain, possibly due to elevated fetal testosterone. Testosterone in utero is critical for the development of many observed sex differences, and many of the genes associated with ASD encode proteins involved in synapse formation or maintenance, cell adhesion, and scaffolding. Hence, these molecules may be targeted in a sex-dependent fashion during the organizational period of development, resulting in the male preponderance observed in ASD. Supporting this theory, one neuroimaging study showed a sex-dimorphic pattern of cortical development in relation to testosterone levels in individuals with ASD. Differential impacts of testosterone (and its interaction with other neurochemicals) on neural development in males and females may thus also be an important mechanism in sex-dimorphism in development of CD + CU youth psychopathy and psychopathic personality in adulthood. Support for this theory is mostly limited to studies of psychopathic traits in otherwise healthy samples. For instance, in childhood, high levels of fetal testosterone may have a small to moderate negative relationship on social sensitivity in infancy and dampened empathy in childhood. In adults, an inverse relationship between salivary testosterone and prosocial behavior/personality has been shown (i.e., suggesting higher testosterone may be associated with psychopathic traits). Two studies using using 2D:4D digit ratio as a proxy marker for prenatal testosterone exposure also suggest links between testosterone and development of psychopathic traits. In one, children with higher CU traits who were exposed to increased prenatal testosterone (i.e., lower 2D:4D ratios) exhibited more antisocial (“externalizing”) behavior, although sex differences were not analyzed. In the other, intriguingly, higher prenatal testosterone exposure was associated with psychopathic traits in women, but not in men. The authors concluded that prenatal testosterone exposure may be more important in development of personality traits in females than in males—supported by previous work—possibly as the female fetus is more responsive to fluctuations in in utero hormone levels. Counter to this finding, in young adults, lower 2D:4D ratio was associated with violent behavior among separate samples of both men and women, but associations were weaker in females.

Functional interaction of testosterone with other neurochemical systems may also be important. Firstly, testosterone : cortisol ratio may impair the ability to process emotion and regulate aggression, hence predisposing toward proactive (i.e., premeditated) aggression and CU traits. Further support of the relationship between testosterone and psychopathy comes from association between psychopathy scores and an increased testosterone : cortisol ratio in response to a stressor and reduced cortisol, albeit also in community samples. High fetal testosterone exposure may contribute to dampened oxytocinergic, limbic, and orbitofrontal reactivity to empathy-inducing social stimuli. Opposite effects of oxytocin and testosterone are evident for a range of phenotypes of social behavior. For instance, testosterone administration reduces connectivity of the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) with the amygdala, whereas oxytocin exhibits the opposite effect. Testosterone levels may also alter the sensitivity and innervation of oxytocin and its receptors. Together, these studies suggest that exploration of the genetics and neuromodulatory roles of testosterone and related neurochemicals in CD + CU and psychopathy and psychopathic personality may be fertile ground for elucidating differential neurodevelopmental routes to these conditions in males and females.

A final important inference from research in ASD is the importance of study of female psychopathy and psychopathic personality in a longitudinal manner. Over many years, studies have considered longitudinal changes within childhood in ASD, including brain development. However, given that the major developmental changes in brain function and structure through childhood and adolescence and into adulthood in healthy populations may differ in ASD, studies have also increasingly considered changes into adulthood. For example, studies have investigated changes in neurocognitive function and brain structure over time, yielding insights into the developmental trajectory of the condition. Such an approach would be beneficial to research in psychopathy and psychopathic personality generally, and specifically in helping to determine potentially different developmental pathways in males and females. Finally, as noted by other authors, considering psychopathy as a neurodevelopmental disorder emerging from conduct disorder may encourage a less punitive and more treatment-focused approach in educational and criminal justice systems.
Problem 2: Neurocognitive studies of female psychopathy and psychopathic personality show some differences compared to males, but methodologies are inconsistent, outcome measures too narrow, and samples often too small

Some studies suggest that fundamental neuropsychological deficits observed in adult males with psychopathy and psychopathic personality may generalize to adult females with psychopathy and psychopathic personality. A core deficit in men with psychopathy is emotion processing. For instance, compared to healthy men, men with psychopathy show a number of deficits in responding when responding to emotional words in a lexical decision task\(^\text{121,122}\) and show less electrodermal activity in anticipation of aversive stimuli\(^\text{123-126}\) than do men without psychopathy. Similarly, when compared to healthy females, female forensic inpatients with psychopathy have been shown to perform worse in categorizing emotions, particularly sadness\(^\text{127}\). In samples of female prisoners, those with psychopathy showed reduced startle potentiation to unpleasant images compared to those without psychopathy\(^\text{128,129}\), and display reduced Stroop interference on picture-word tasks\(^\text{130}\). However, other studies have failed to replicate neuropsychological deficits consistently found in male psychopathy samples. For instance, in samples of female prisoners, those with psychopathy did not demonstrate performance deficits on passive avoidance tasks\(^\text{131}\) or on a lexical decision task\(^\text{132}\) compared to those without psychopathy—deficits that have been previously shown in male samples\(^\text{121,122,133}\).

Electroencephalography (EEG) studies, which have been used in some studies as proxy markers of potential neurocognitive deficits in psychopathy and psychopathic personality, also show mixed findings in relation to male and female samples. In one study of 121 female prisoners using EEG during a Go/NoGo task,\(^\text{134}\) those with psychopathy exhibited reduced Pe amplitude (an index of post-error processing) but intact ERN/Ne ratio (and index of automatic error-detection and action-monitoring processes) compared to those without psychopathy—a finding consistent with previous studies in male psychopathy.\(^\text{135-137}\) Another study comparing adult female forensic inpatients (\(n = 33\)) with high and low PCL-R scores,\(^\text{138}\) showed a significant increase in N2 (an Event-related potential, indicating cognitive activation) for angry and fearful facial expressions in the high psychopathic group, though no group differences for other face processing components such as N170, P300, or LPP. This again matched previous findings in male patients with psychopathy\(^\text{139,140}\) and underlined arousal-based deficits in emotion processing in psychopathy. In contrast, two studies using the P100 as an index of fear-potentiated startle in response to threat (an electric shock) showed different patterns in male and female offenders with high PCL-R scores. In men, lower P100 amplitudes to threat stimuli correlated with Factor 1 PCL-R,\(^\text{141}\) while in females, another study showed a reversal of this pattern was found (those with higher Factor 2 scores exhibited a lower P100 to threat\(^\text{142}\)).

While neuroimaging studies have reported negative correlations between self-reported psychopathic traits and amygdala responses to fearful facial expressions\(^\text{143}\) and unpleasant pictures\(^\text{144}\) in healthy volunteers, only two studies to our knowledge have employed functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in

---

**Figure 1.** Integration of suggested approaches to modernize research in female psychopathic personality and psychopathy.
a sample of adult females with psychopathy and psychopathic personality.\textsuperscript{145,146} One study\textsuperscript{145} used an emotion processing paradigm (neutral vs unpleasant images) and a moral transgression paradigm—utilizing pictures indicating moral transgressions (e.g., a drunk driver), nonmoral transgressions (e.g., an angry driver), and neutral pictures (a normal driver). This study took a dimensional approach in a sample of 157 female prisoners and 46 nonincarcerated women. Findings revealed a negative correlation between PCL-R scores and activation in the right amygdala and rostral anterior cingulate on viewing pictures depicting moral or nonmoral scenarios (vs neutral pictures) and a negative correlation between PCL-R scores and activation in the right temporoparietal junction (TPJ) in response to pictures depicting moral vs nonmoral scenarios. The reduced correlation between amygdala activation and PCL-R scores is in keeping with a previous study using the same task in men with psychopathy, however, the correlation between temporoparietal junction was not elicited\textsuperscript{147} (men with psychopathy showed a positive correlation between right TPJ activation and severity of moral transgression ratings). In a recent study,\textsuperscript{146} female inmates (n = 107) were asked to evaluate the likely emotional state of either the recipient or the initiator of harmful or helpful interactions. Findings demonstrated that psychopathy scores were significantly related to increased hemodynamic response in right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex when viewing harmful interactions and decreased functional connectivity from right amygdala to inferior parietal cortex and insula, and from temporal parietal junction to dorsomedial prefrontal cortex.\textsuperscript{146} These findings were in keeping with a previous study using a similar paradigm in a male prison sample.\textsuperscript{148} This showed male prisoners with ASPD + P were shown to demonstrate an atypical pattern of neural activation and connectivity seeded in the anterior insula and amygdala with the OFC and ventromedial prefrontal cortex during perspective-taking of others in distress.\textsuperscript{148}

Together, existing evidence from neurocognitive research in adult females suggests some shared, but some differential deficits between males and females with psychopathy and psychopathic personality. However, to date, progress has been limited by samples with varying selection criteria and outcome measures, and often by relatively small sample sizes. This has resulted in studies which have been unsuited and/or underpowered for testing for sex-by-group interactions. Importantly, studies directly comparing effects in males vs females are also lacking.

Proposed solution: Develop large-scale collaborative neurocognitive projects

Until recently, the issues limiting research quality in female psychopathy outlined above were also true of CD + CU in female children and adolescents—a precursor of psychopathy in adult women. However, recent large-scale collaborative projects have begun to change the landscape. One such study is FemNAT-CD, a multidisciplinary study that plans to recruit 1840 children and teenagers aged from 9 to 18 years from across Europe (including the UK, Germany, Ireland, Switzerland, the Netherlands, Spain, Greece, and Hungary). The project aims to study similarities and differences between male and female adolescents conduct disorder using a multilevel approach including phenotypic, environmental, neurocognitive, endocrinological, psychophysiological, neuroimaging, genetic, and epigenetic measures.\textsuperscript{149} Importantly, as well as a large cross-sectional study comparing clinical presentations and neurocognitive functions related to emotion processing in 9- to 18-year-old females (N = 720) and males (N = 200), a longitudinal study will reassess a subsample of 300 subjects with CD aged 9 to 12 years after 18 months compared to 300 typically developing girls, in order to examine the effects of puberty on the phenomenology and neurocognitive characteristics of female conduct disorder. Given the neurodevelopmental nature of psychopathy as outlined above, studies from this consortium are of particular relevance to psychopathy and psychopathic personality in females.

Early output from the FemNat project\textsuperscript{150} has shown that relative to healthy youths, male and female youths with conduct disorder showed impaired emotion recognition, emotional learning (specifically from punishment), and emotion regulation, and that these deficits were similar in both males and females. This suggests that, at least in adolescence, deficits in these domains are shared between antisocial males and females (although potentially differential neural underpinnings of these deficits have not yet been explored by the project, e.g., with fMR). In contrast, a further study from this project demonstrated that, relative to boys, girls with CD showed significantly more lifetime psychiatric comorbidities (including alcohol use disorder), which were accompanied by more severe CD symptoms.\textsuperscript{151} Further, work by the same group using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) suggests differential deficits between males and females with conduct disorder at the neural level.

In a fractional anisotropy (FA) analysis of 124 youths with conduct disorder (59 female) and 174 typically developing youths (103 female) aged 9 to 18 years, youths with conduct disorder exhibited higher axial diffusivity in the corpus callosum and lower radial diffusivity and mean diffusivity in the anterior thalamic radiation relative to typically developing youths. However, males and females exhibited opposite changes in the left hemisphere within the internal capsule, fornix, posterior thalamic radiation, and uncinate fasciculus.\textsuperscript{152} In a further analysis of 101 adolescents with conduct disorder (52 females) and 99 typically developing youths (50 females) using hindrance-modulated orientational anisotropy (HMOA) as well as FA, the conduct disorder group showed both lower FA and HMOA in the right retrosplenial cingulum tract relative to controls, but these effects were moderated by sex: males with conduct disorder significantly lower FA compared to male controls, whereas conduct disorder and control females did not differ.\textsuperscript{153} These findings suggest that white matter microstructural alterations in tempororo-frontal regions might be specific to males with conduct disorder, and that pathways to behavioral pathology in females with conduct disorder (and subsequently, psychopathic personality/psychopathy) may differ significantly.

The NIMH-funded ABCD study in the United States, a similar large-scale project, is also relevant to psychopathy and psychopathic personality. Throughout their research sites, the study has invited 11 878 children aged 9 to 10 and will follow them into early adulthood. The project will integrate structural and functional brain imaging with genetics, neuropsychological, behavioral, and other health assessments. The central focus is addiction behaviors, however, more general antisocial behavior will also be studied. In two recent studies\textsuperscript{154,155} using data from the first full baseline release of the youths were stratified into those with disruptive behavior disorders (DBD—i.e., conduct disorder or oppositional defiant disorder), with and without callous-unemotional traits (±CU), and typically developing youths. In one study,\textsuperscript{154} gray matter volume (GMV) was measured using structural MRI, while in the other,\textsuperscript{155} reward processing was studied using fMRI. In the structural MRI study, youths in the DBD + CU group had lower right amygdala GMV and lower bilateral hippocampal GMV.
compared to typically developing youths, while youths in the DBD – CU group had lower bilateral amygdala GMV and lower left hippocampal GMV relative to TD youths. In the fMRI study, there were several processing differences between youths with DBD + CU and those with DBD – CU; e.g., during reward anticipation, the DBD – CU group exhibited reduced ventral and dorsal striatal activity compared with the DBD + CU and typically developing groups. There was no moderation of associations by sex in either of these two studies. However, the authors noted that the age of the sample (9-10 years old) could predate many of the sex-based differences in brain–behavior associations that are thought to emerge during adolescence following pubertal development. Follow-up of this cohort at different neurodevelopmental time points will provide further rich data on potentially differential trajectories between antisocial males and females.

The ENIGMA consortium156 is another collaborative network of researchers, combining efforts on a range of large-scale studies that integrate data from 70 institutions worldwide. It has already provided some important new insights in other psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia157,158 and Autism and ADHD.159,160 Its antisocial behavior working group (http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/ongoing/enigma-antisocial-behavior/) aims to coordinate collaborative, large-scale meta- and mega-analyses of neuroimaging data collected across multiple centers to clarify the associations between Conduct Disorder/Problems, Psychopathy, or Antisocial Personality Disorder and alterations in brain structure and function.

Using such large-scale, multi-center approaches to investigate female psychopathy and psychopathic personality would be beneficial in three important ways. Firstly, given the particular difficulties in recruiting samples of these women, pooling of participants from different sites—alongside recruitment of typically developing controls from general population—would address the problem of underpowered studies, contributing to improved reproducibility and reducing the probability of both false positives and false negatives.161 Further, this increased power, alongside application of the same protocol as to that in male samples, would allow for direct comparison to male samples, helping elucidate key differences in neurocognitive profiles between men and women with the condition. Thirdly, collecting longitudinal data would allow for insights into how psychopathy emerges in this group over time. One approach would be to start with a group of female adolescents with CD + CU and following their progress through early adulthood and beyond. Specific neurobiological markers particularly associated with the development of psychopathy and psychopathic personality could be determined which may then be used to identify at an early stage those most at risk. This would have potential benefits for diversion of these individuals into appropriate treatment pathways. Other examples of collaborative multicenter projects in conduct disorder are discussed in the section below.

Further Considerations

Diagnostic overlap and symptom-based approaches

There is a significant overlap between psychopathy and antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) as defined by DSM-5, and considerable debate about the degree of overlap between the conditions.154,162-164 Studies in adult males have begun to distinguish between individuals with antisocial personality disorder who meet criteria for psychopathy (ASPD + P) and those who do not (ASPD – P).155-167 A similar approach in females would help to avoid heterogeneity in samples of offenders and identify neurocognitive markers specific to psychopathic personality/psychopathy. Some authors have also pointed to overlap of symptoms between psychopathy and psychopathic personality and other personality disorders, in particular borderline personality disorder.168,169 For example, in female prisoners, Factor 2 (antisocial/lifestyle) scores (although not Factor 1 PCL-R (interpersonal/affective) scores) have been shown to be associated with a diagnosis of borderline personality disorder,168 although notably, in female students, a unique relationship was identified between primary psychopathy traits—but not borderline personality traits—and use of nonviolent sexual coercive tactics.170

Due to the degree of overlap between these conditions, a categorical approach to participant recruitment may be of limited benefit. In contrast, transdiagnostic symptom-based initiatives such as Research Domain Criteria (RDoC),171 may be more useful in identification of underlying neurocognitive mechanisms, by linking genetic, molecular, and cellular processes to behavioral phenotypes. In children, the Aggressotype (aggression subtyping for improved insight and treatment innovation in pediatric psychiatric disorders; www.aggressotype.eu) project employs coordinated analyses in humans and animal models, to investigate impulsive vs instrumental aggression, in a transdiagnostic manner. This project includes children with conduct disorder, ADHD, as well healthy children with subclinical traits. The key goals include development of predictive algorithms and identifying biomarkers. Likewise, in children with disruptive behavior disorders, including oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder, the focus of the collaborative multicenter Multidisciplinary Approaches to Translational Research In Conduct Syndromes (MATRICS; www.matrics-project.eu) project is to examine neural mechanisms underpinning aggression phenotypes, rather than focusing on specific diagnoses. Some authors172 point to a lack of clinical utility of such approaches, at least to date. However, future more refined iterations, incorporating G × E analyses may prove beneficial in resolving uncertainty about the precise neurocognitive architecture of psychopathy and psychopathic personality in women. The ENIGMA consortium, as discussed above, provides a further opportunity for large-scale investigation of components of psychopathy using a transdiagnostic approach.

Multimodal measurement techniques and computational modelling

A combination of investigative techniques allows for introduction of a broader systems approach to neurocognitive questions. One particular approach that may be of benefit is multimodal neuroimaging. This combines datasets obtained using two or more unimodal modalities to yield more informative, consistent, and reliable results than can be obtained using unimodal neuroimaging.173,174 There have been a small number of multimodal imaging studies relevant to psychopathy and psychopathic personality to date, albeit in male-only samples. In one study, using both fMRI and positron emission tomography (PET) in healthy individuals, impulsive-antisocial psychopathic traits selectively predicted nucleus accumbens dopamine release and reward anticipation-related neural activity in response to pharmacological and monetary reinforcers175. In another study, in 19 men with antisocial personality disorder,176 ventral striatal monoamine oxidase-A volume of distribution (an index of MAO-A density) measured by PET correlated with functional coupling of the ventral striatum with bilateral dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. This functional coupling was in turn negatively correlated with the Neuroticism.
Extraversion Openness Personality Inventory-Revised impulsivity, providing a potential mechanistic link between ventral striatal neurochemical dysfunction and pathological impulsivity. In a sample of male prisoners, a combined DTI/functional MRI study showed reduced white matter connectivity between amygdala and temporal lobes (i.e., in the uncinate fasciculus) and reduced functional connectivity between, in a sample of adult male prisoners, a combined sMRI/fMRI study showed enlarged striatal subnuclei and aberrant functional connectivity between the striatum and other brain regions.\(^{177}\) Another study\(^{178}\) combined EEG and sMRI in male prisoners. This study used machine-learning model to predict re-arrest with 83% accuracy, showing that offenders with increased P3 amplitude and decreased ACC activation—suggesting abnormal error-processing—were at greatest risk of re-arrest.

These studies represent progress toward mechanistic insights into psychopathic traits. However, to date, multimodal studies have not been conducted in samples with clearly defined psychopathy and psychopathic personality. Moreover, female samples have been neglected. Repeating a PET-fMRI in a large sample of violent men and women with psychopathy and psychopathic personality would help to clarify if the deficits outlined above are (a) present in psychopathy and psychopathic personality, (b) more severe compared to other offenders, and (c) specific to males, or also evident in females. Combining machine-learning models to predict which individuals with psychopathy and psychopathic personality are at most risk of recidivism, or most likely to respond to specific treatment programs, may also be of benefit.

Computational modeling is the use of mathematics, physics, and computer science to study the behavior of complex systems. In psychiatry, computational modeling has emerged due to the need to bridge the large explanatory gap between a sound biological understanding of genetics, neural circuitry, and cellular activity on the one hand, and complex behaviors on the other. One promising approach for computational approaches relevant to psychopathy is decision-making, especially reinforcement learning. There is increasing evidence that specific impairments in reinforcement learning may represent cognitive endophenotypes across diagnostic boundaries.\(^{79,180}\) As phasic activity of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area has been shown to signal reward prediction error (RPE),\(^{181,182}\) a computational approach to calculating RPE has emerged. Specifically, this is \(\delta t = r - V t\), where \(r\) is the actual reward and \(V t\) is the expected reward, at time \(t.\(^{183}\)\) Put simply, the mismatch between the actual reward and the expected reward generates an “error signal” that informs learning. This provides a basis for bridging reward-related learning with a specific underlying brain circuit, in this case, the dopaminergic system.

Another recent study applied a computational model approach to the study of four types of hostility biases—a type of cognitive distortion linked to aggression.\(^{184}\) The study used an approach known as hierarchical Gaussian filter, a generic hierarchical Bayesian model of learning under perceptual uncertainty and environmental changes using time-series data. This model is based on the idea that the brain continuously generates a generative (i.e., predictive) model of its sensory inputs and tries to optimize this model by reducing uncertainty (i.e., increasing the accuracy) about the beliefs of the world. Applying this approach to neuroscientific data from a systematic review, a clearly defined mathematical translation of how the corresponding cognitive computations take place and interact was provided.\(^{184}\) Applying such an approach in a sample of violent offenders would allow for a clearer mechanistic understanding of shared and differential reinforcement-learning deficits and hostility bias in males and females with psychopathy.

**Gene × environment (G × E) influence**

Studies to date—predominantly in male only samples—suggest a complex interplay between genetic and environmental variables in the development of antisocial behavior throughout the lifespan. For example, studies in youths demonstrate that conduct disorder symptom levels influence peer deviance.\(^{185}\) Studies of parenting environments show that permissive environments increase the genetic contribution to CD-related behaviors,\(^{186}\) whereas more supportive environments reduce the genetic contribution.\(^{187}\) In adults, the most consistent G × E effect on adult outcomes emerges from the MAO-A phenotype. Across 20 male cohorts, early adversity presaged antisocial outcomes more strongly for low, relative to high, activity MAO-A genotype.\(^{188,189}\) Most of these studies have included male-only or mostly-male samples. However, in an all-female sample (\(n = 721\)), a specific interaction of MAO-A VNTR and childhood adversity on the risk for CD was identified.\(^{190}\)

A meta-analysis of MAOA studies\(^{190}\) demonstrated that across 11 female cohorts, MAO-A did not interact with combined early life adversities, whereas maltreatment alone predicted antisocial behaviors preferentially, but weakly, in female subjects of high-activity MAO-A genotype (\(P = .02\)). To date, however, studies examining G × E effects have not focused specifically on samples of psychopathic personality or psychopathy in males or females. Consideration of such potentially critical effects will be an important aspect of future work. Here, again, the FemNat consortium provides a potential model for future such studies, in establishing a standardized measurement battery for environmental risk factors such as pre-, peri-, postnatal risk factors, history of trauma, acute life events, parenting measures, socio-economic factors and peer influences alongside collection and extraction of DNA samples.\(^{149}\) The ACTION consortium (Aggression in Children: Unravelling gene–environment interplay to inform Treatment and Intervention strategies; http://www.action-euproject.eu/) also seeks to address G × E effects. This project will include both genome-wide association meta-analysis of longitudinal aggression and attention problems in twin and population cohorts and epigenetic genome-wide association meta-analysis of aggression in children and adults, and employ phenotype harmonization in related genotype–environment studies.\(^{191}\)

**Conclusions**

Despite increasing awareness of the impact of female psychopathy and psychopathic personality on healthcare and criminal justice systems, research into the condition lags behind that of much of contemporary psychiatry and neuroscience. Particular problems are lack of consideration of the differences between males and females and of the neurodevelopmental nature of the condition, and studies in females with small samples and inconsistent methodologies. Consideration of psychopathy and psychopathic personality as a potential neurodevelopmental disorder with a dimorphic behavioral expression, and developing larger scale collaborative projects with multimodal approaches are key steps toward modernizing a research framework for this important and debilitating condition. Investigation of the impact of genes and environment will be a further important consideration.
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