

HALL SUBGROUPS AND 2-COCYCLE REGULARITY

R. J. HIGGS 

(Received 12 January 2023; accepted 13 February 2023; first published online 24 March 2023)

Abstract

Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G and let α be a complex-valued 2-cocycle of G . Conditions are found to ensure there exists a nontrivial element of H that is α -regular in G . However, a new result is established allowing a prime by prime analysis of the Sylow subgroups of $C_G(x)$ to determine the α -regularity of a given $x \in G$. In particular, this result implies that every α_H -regular element of a normal Hall subgroup H is α -regular in G .

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 20C25.

Keywords and phrases: Hall subgroups, 2-cocycles, α -regularity.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, G will denote a finite group.

DEFINITION 1.1. A 2-cocycle of G over \mathbb{C} is a function $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\alpha(x, 1) = 1$ and $\alpha(x, y)\alpha(xy, z) = \alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

The set of all such 2-cocycles of G forms a group $Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ under multiplication. Let $\delta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be any function with $\delta(1) = 1$. Then $t(\delta)(x, y) = \delta(x)\delta(y)/\delta(xy)$ for all $x, y \in G$ is a 2-cocycle of G , which is called a *coboundary*. Two 2-cocycles α and β are *cohomologous* if there exists a coboundary $t(\delta)$ such that $\beta = t(\delta)\alpha$. This defines an equivalence relation on $Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and the *cohomology classes* $[\alpha]$ form a finite abelian group, called the *Schur multiplier* $M(G)$.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let α be a 2-cocycle of G . Then $x \in G$ is α -regular if $\alpha(x, g) = \alpha(g, x)$ for all $g \in C_G(x)$.

Obviously, if $x \in G$ is α -regular, then it is α^k -regular for any integer k ; also setting $y = 1$ and $z = x$ in Definition 1.1 yields $\alpha(1, x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$ and hence 1 is α -regular. Let $\beta \in [\alpha]$. Then x is α -regular if and only if it is β -regular and any conjugate of x is also α -regular (see [5, Lemma 2.6.1]), so that one may refer to the α -regular conjugacy classes of G . Using this notation and $o(\cdot)$ for the order of a group element, we quote [3, Lemma 1.2(b)] for future reference.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



LEMMA 1.3. *Suppose $o(x)$ and $o([\alpha])$ are relatively prime. Then x is α -regular.*

Let H be a subgroup of G . Given a 2-cocycle α of G , one can define the 2-cocycle α_H of H by $\alpha_H(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in H$. The mapping from $Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow Z^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha_H$ maps coboundaries of G to those of H and consequently induces the *restriction* homomorphism $\text{Res}_{G,H} : M(G) \rightarrow M(H)$ defined by $[\alpha] \mapsto [\alpha_H]$. Clearly, an element $h \in H$ that is α -regular in G is α_H -regular, but the converse is in general false. The twin aims of this paper are to find conditions under which first there exists a nontrivial element of H that is α -regular in G and second that every α_H -regular element of H is α -regular in G .

There are some circumstances in which it is possible to produce a nontrivial element $x \in G$ that is α -regular for all $[\alpha] \in M(G)$. For example, this is true if $C_G(x) = \langle x \rangle$, since the Schur multiplier of a cyclic group is trivial (see [4, Proposition 2.1.1]). However, in general, α -regularity very much depends upon the choice of $[\alpha]$ as the next example demonstrates, using the *inflation* homomorphism. Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then the mapping from $Z^2(G/N, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$, $\beta \mapsto \alpha$, where $\alpha(x, y) = \beta(xN, yN)$ for all $x, y \in G$ maps coboundaries of G/N to those of G and hence induces $\text{Inf} : M(G/N) \rightarrow M(G)$, $[\beta] \mapsto [\alpha]$. Using this notation, it is clear that every element of N is α -regular.

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let $C_n^{(m)}$ denote the direct product of m copies of the cyclic group of order n . Let $G \cong C_{n_1} \times \dots \times C_{n_k}$, where $n_{i+1} \mid n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $k \geq 2$. Then $M(G) \cong C_{n_2}^{(2)} \times C_{n_3}^{(2)} \times \dots \times C_{n_k}^{(k-1)}$ (see [4, Corollary 2.2.12]). Also, the group of elements that are α -regular for all $[\alpha] \in M(G)$ is isomorphic to C_{n_1/n_2} (see [5, Theorem 11.8.19]). Let $R \cong C_2^{(2)}$, then $M(R) \cong C_2$ and so only the trivial element of $C_2^{(2)}$ is α -regular for $[\alpha]$ nontrivial. However, if $H \neq R$ is a subgroup of R , then every element of H is α_H -regular. Now let $S \cong C_2^{(3)}$, so that $M(S) \cong C_2^{(3)}$. Let x be a nontrivial element of S . Then $\text{Inf} : M(S/\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow M(S)$ is an injective map (see [4, Theorem 2.3.10]) that produces a subgroup $\langle [\alpha] \rangle$ of order 2 of $M(S)$ in which 1 and x are the only α -regular elements. Thus, for any two different nontrivial elements $[\alpha], [\beta] \in M(S)$, the intersection of the set of α -regular elements and β -regular elements of S contains only the identity element.

2. Subgroups and regularity

DEFINITION 2.1. Let α be a 2-cocycle of G . Then an α -representation of G of dimension n is a function $P : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $P(x)P(y) = \alpha(x, y)P(xy)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

An α -representation P is also called a *projective* representation of G with 2-cocycle α , its trace function ξ is its α -character and $\xi(1)$, which is the dimension of P , is called the *degree* of ξ .

To avoid repetition, all α -representations of G in this section are defined over \mathbb{C} . Let $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ denote the set of all irreducible α -characters of G , the relationship between $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ and α -representations is much the same as that between $\text{Irr}(G)$

and (ordinary) representations of G (see [5, page 184] for details) so, for example, $\sum_{\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)} \xi(1)^2 = |G|$ (see [6, Lemma 1.4.4]). Next, $x \in G$ is α -regular if and only if $\xi(x) \neq 0$ for some $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ (see [6, Proposition 1.6.3]) and $|\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)|$ is the number of α -regular conjugacy classes of G (see [6, Theorem 1.3.6]).

For $[\beta] \in M(G)$, there exists $\alpha \in [\beta]$ such that $o(\alpha) = o([\beta])$ and α is *class-preserving*, that is, the elements of $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ are class functions (see [6, Corollary 4.1.6]). Henceforward, it will be assumed, without loss of generality, that the initial choice of 2-cocycle α has these two properties. Under these assumptions, the ‘standard’ inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ may be defined on α_H -characters of subgroups H of G and the ‘normal’ orthogonality relations hold (see [6, Section 1.11.D]).

The main result in this section is the following simple observation.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let α be a 2-cocycle of G and let H be a subgroup of G . Let $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ and $\gamma \in \text{Proj}(H, \alpha_H)$. Suppose that either $\langle \xi_H, \gamma \rangle = 0$ or $|H| \nmid \xi(1)\gamma(1)$. Then there exists a nontrivial $h \in H$ such that $\xi(h)\gamma(h) \neq 0$ and, in particular, all such elements are α -regular in G .*

PROOF. The inner product of ξ_H and γ , which is a nonnegative integer, is defined by

$$\langle \xi_H, \gamma \rangle = \frac{1}{|H|} \left(\xi(1)\gamma(1) + \sum_{h \in H - \{1\}} \xi(h)\overline{\gamma(h)} \right).$$

Thus, under the two specified conditions, the summation on the right-hand side must be nonzero. \square

Using Frobenius reciprocity, similar results can be obtained to those in Lemma 2.2 using induction instead of restriction and replacing $|H|$ by $|G|$.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let α be a 2-cocycle of G and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G .*

- (a) *Suppose that G contains a nontrivial α -regular element. Then G contains a nontrivial α -regular element of prime power order.*
- (b) *Suppose that P contains a nontrivial α_P -regular element. Then P contains a nontrivial α -regular element of G .*

PROOF. Let $c_\alpha(G)$ denote the greatest common divisor of the degrees of the elements of $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$. Then $(c_\alpha(G))_p = \min\{\gamma(1) : \gamma \in \text{Proj}(P, \alpha_P)\}$ (see [6, Lemma 1.4.11]), where n_p denotes the p th part of n .

For item (a), $|\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)| > 1$ and so there exists a prime number q such that $(c_\alpha(G))_q^2 < |Q|$, where Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Let $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ and let $\gamma \in \text{Proj}(Q, \alpha_Q)$ with $(\xi(1))_q = \gamma(1) = (c_\alpha(G))_q$. Then Q contains a nontrivial α -regular element of G from Lemma 2.2.

For item (b), $|\text{Proj}(P, \alpha_P)| > 1$ and the proof is the same as for item (a). \square

These results give little control over the nontrivial α -regular element of G produced, so in the next section, we will seek conditions under which a given element of G is α -regular.

3. Hall subgroups and regularity

Let H be a subgroup of G and let α be a 2-cocycle of H . Then for $g \in G$, one can define the 2-cocycle α^g of $Z^2(gHg^{-1}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ by $\alpha^g(x, y) = \alpha(g^{-1}xg, g^{-1}yg)$ for all $x, y \in gHg^{-1}$. The mapping from $Z^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow Z^2(gHg^{-1}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^g$ maps coboundaries of H to those of gHg^{-1} and therefore induces a homomorphism called *conjugation* by g , $\text{Con}_H^g : M(H) \rightarrow M(gHg^{-1})$ defined by $[\alpha] \mapsto [\alpha^g]$. So, in particular, $h \in H$ is α -regular if and only if ghg^{-1} is α^g -regular in gHg^{-1} . Next, $[\alpha]$ is G -stable if for all $g \in G$,

$$\text{Res}_{H, H(g)}([\alpha]) = \text{Res}_{gHg^{-1}, H(g)}(\text{Con}_H^g([\alpha])),$$

where $H(g) = H \cap gHg^{-1}$. The G -stable elements of $M(H)$ form a subgroup $M(H)^G$ of $M(H)$. In the next result, another homomorphism is mentioned, this is *corestriction* from $M(H)$ into $M(G)$, but as it will not subsequently be used, the reader is referred to [4, page 10] for details.

Next, some notation and definitions. Let π denote a set of prime numbers and let n be a positive integer. Then n_π denotes the π th part of n and n is a π -number if $n_\pi = n$. An element $x \in G$ and a (sub)group H of G are a π -element and π -(sub)group if $o(x)$ and $|H|$ are respectively π -numbers. Also let x_π and $x_{\pi'}$ be the unique elements in $\langle x \rangle$ such that $x = x_\pi x_{\pi'}$ with $o(x_\pi)$ a π -number and $o(x_{\pi'})$ a π' -number, where π' is the complement to π in the set of all prime numbers. A *Sylow π -subgroup* S of G is a maximal π -subgroup of G ; S is a *Hall π -subgroup* of G if, in addition, $|G : S|$ is relatively prime to $|\pi|$. The first result generalises to Hall subgroups a theorem on the connection between the Schur multiplier of G and those of its Sylow subgroups (see [4, Theorem 2.1.2]).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose H is a Hall π -subgroup of G . Then:*

- (a) *corestriction from $M(H)$ into $M(G)$ maps $M(H)^G$ isomorphically onto the Hall π -subgroup of $M(G)$;*
- (b) *restriction from $M(G)$ into $M(H)$ induces an injective homomorphism, res , from the Hall π -subgroup of $M(G)$ into $M(H)$;*
- (c) *$M(H)^G$ is a direct factor of $M(H)$ and $M(H)^G$ is the image of res .*

The proof is the same as for the aforementioned theorem with a few very minor modifications, but it relies on the fact that $|H|$ and $|G : H|$ are relatively prime. Consequently, Proposition 3.1 does not hold in general for a Sylow π -subgroup of G . However, the next result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1(a).

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose H_1 and H_2 are Hall π -subgroups of G . Then $M(H_1)^G$ and $M(H_2)^G$ are isomorphic.*

Despite this corollary, it is possible for two Hall π -subgroups to possess nonisomorphic Schur multipliers as the following example illustrates.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Using the nomenclature and results from [2], the Mathieu group M_{23} has trivial Schur multiplier and has two conjugacy classes of Hall π -subgroups for

$\pi = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Also, these Hall π -subgroups are either isomorphic to $L_3(4) : 2_2$ or $2^4 : A_7$ and the first of these groups has a cyclic Schur multiplier of order 4, whereas for the second, it is cyclic of order 6 using Magma [1].

Given the close relationship between the Schur multiplier of a Hall π -subgroup H of G and the Hall π -subgroup of $M(G)$, one might expect a corresponding relationship between the α_H -regular elements of H and the α -regular π -elements of G .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let α be a 2-cocycle of G . Let $x \in G$ and let π be the set of prime numbers that divide $o(x)$. For each $p_i \in \pi$, let P_i be a Sylow p_i -subgroup of $C = C_G(x)$ and suppose that $\alpha(g, x) = \alpha(x, g)$ for all $g \in P_i$. Then x is α -regular in G .*

PROOF. Using the assumption that $o(\alpha) = o([\alpha])$, x is α -regular if and only if it is α_π -regular and $\alpha_{\pi'}$ -regular. Now, x is $\alpha_{\pi'}$ -regular from Lemma 1.3, so we may assume $\alpha = \alpha_\pi$. Now, $\alpha' : C \times \langle x \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, defined by $\alpha'(g, x^i) = \alpha(g, x^i)/\alpha(x^i, g)$ for all $g \in C$ and all integers i , is a pairing (see [4, Lemma 2.3.8]). The kernel K of the linear character $\alpha'(g, x)$ for all $g \in C$ has order divisible by $|P|$ for all Sylow p -subgroups P of C , by supposition for $p \in \pi$ and by Lemma 1.3 otherwise. (Alternatively, $|K|$ is divisible by $|P_i|$ for all $p_i \in \pi$ by supposition and the group generated by the pairing α' is isomorphic to a subgroup of $C/K \otimes \langle x \rangle$. This tensor product is trivial since the first group is a π' -group whereas the second is a π -group.) \square

Two applications of Theorem 3.4 are recorded in the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let α be a 2-cocycle of G and let $x \in S$ be α_S -regular for S , a Sylow π -subgroup of G . For each prime number $p_i \in \pi$, let P_i be a Sylow p_i -subgroup of $C_S(x)$ and suppose that P_i is a Sylow p_i -subgroup of $C_G(x)$. Then x is α -regular in G .*

PROOF. The set of prime numbers that divide $o(x)$ is a subset of π and so x is α -regular in G from Theorem 3.4. \square

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let α be a 2-cocycle of G and let S be a Sylow π -subgroup of G . If S is normal in G , then every α_S -regular element of S is α -regular in G .*

PROOF. Let $x \in S$ be α_S -regular. Then $C_S(x) = C_G(x) \cap S$ is a normal Sylow π -subgroup of $C_G(x)$ and Corollary 3.5 applies. \square

References

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, 'The Magma algebra system. I. The user language', *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24**(3–4) (1997), 235–265.
- [2] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of Finite Groups* (Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985).
- [3] R. J. Higgs, 'Degree equality of projective characters', *Comm. Algebra* **45**(10) (2017), 4275–4282.
- [4] G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur Multiplier*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 2 (The Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press, New York, 1987).

- [5] G. Karpilovsky, *Group Representations*, Vol. 2, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 177 (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993).
- [6] G. Karpilovsky, *Group Representations*, Vol. 3, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 180 (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1994).

R. J. HIGGS, School of Mathematics and Statistics,
University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
e-mail: russell.higgs@ucd.ie