# THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP III: HARMONIC MEASURE OF A CERTAIN HALF-SPACE 

## BERNARD GAVEAU AND JACQUES VAUTHIER

0. Introduction. In this short note we give an explicit computation of the harmonic measure of a half space $x>0$ in the 3 -dimensional Heisenberg group in terms of a degenerate hypergeometric function. A probabilistic argument reduces the whole problem to a Hermite-type equation on a half line, that we can solve in terms of the function $G\left(1 / 4,1 / 2 ; x^{2}\right)$.

A preliminary attempt to compute this kernel was done in [1] p. 107 and, cited by Huber [4]. Unfortunately a small mistake was made in [1] and the problem was still open until now. The first author is very grateful to Prof. Huber for having pointed out the weak argument of [1]. Since that time, other harmonic measures and even Green functions have been explicitly computed (see [2]).

1. Notations. a) As usual, $H_{3}$ is the Heisenberg group of dimension 3 with the coordinates $g=(z, t) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R}, z=x+i y$ and the product law

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z, t) \cdot\left(z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\left(z+z^{\prime}, t+t^{\prime}+2 \operatorname{Im} z \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left invariant vector fields are

$$
X=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad T=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}
$$

and the subelliptic laplacian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=X^{2}+Y^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+4 y \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial t}-4 x \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y \partial t}+4|z|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1] )
b) the diffusion process starting time $s=0$ from $g=0$ with generator $\frac{1}{2} \Delta$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\omega}(s)=\left(X_{\omega}(s)+i Y_{\omega}(s), \quad 2 \int_{0}^{s}(Y d X-X d Y)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $X, Y$ are independent brownian notions and the last term is a stochastic integral.

The diffusion process starting from $g^{0}=\left(z^{0}, t^{0}\right)$ at time $s=0$ is just

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{0} \cdot g_{\omega}(s)=\left(x^{0}+X_{\omega}(s)+i\left(y^{0}+Y_{\omega}(s)\right)\right.  \tag{4}\\
& \left.\quad t^{0}+2 \int_{0}^{s}(Y d X-X d Y)+2 y^{0} X(s)-2 x^{0} Y(s)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(see also [1] for details).
2. The harmonic measure of $x>0$. Let $D$ be the half space $x>0$. We want to solve the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta f=0 & \text { on } D  \tag{5}\\ f=\varphi & \text { on } \partial D=\{x=0\}\end{cases}
$$

Now, it is obvious that no point on $\partial D$ is characteristic for the operator $\Delta$, so that each point on $\partial D$ is very regular in the senseof Bony ( [3] ) and also regular in the usual sense of stochastic processes ([1], [3]). If $g^{0}$ is a point in $D$, the solution of (5) is given by
(6) $\quad f\left(g^{0}\right)=E\left(\varphi\left(g^{0} \cdot g_{\omega}(S)\right)\right.$
where the expectation is the expectation in the probability space of (3) and $S$ is the first exit time from $D$ of $g^{0} \cdot g_{\omega}(s)$.

We shall prove that (6) has an explicit expression
(7) $f\left(g^{0}\right)=\int_{\partial D} k\left(g^{0}, g\right) \varphi(g) d y d t$
where $k\left(g^{0}, g\right)$ is a certain kernel defined on $D \times \partial D$ which is called the harmonic measure of $g^{0}$ in $D$.
3. The Fourier transform of the kernel $k$. Because $g^{0}$ is in $D, x^{0}$ is positive. Now $S$ is exactly the first time such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}+X(S)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have to compute the law of the process at the boundary, which is, using (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{0}+Y(S), t^{0}+2 \int_{0}^{S}(Y d X-X d Y)+2 y^{0} X(S)-2 x^{0} Y(S)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $X(S)=-x^{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{S} Y d X & =-\int_{0}^{S} X d Y+X(S) Y(S) \\
& =-\int_{0}^{S} X d Y-x^{0} Y(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{0}+Y(S), t^{0}-2 y^{0} x^{0}-4 \int_{0}^{S} X d Y-4 x^{0} Y(S)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the characteristic function of (10), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(g^{0} ; \eta, \tau\right) & =E\left[\operatorname { e x p } i \left\{\eta\left(y^{0}+Y(S)\right)\right.\right.  \tag{11}\\
& \left.\left.+\tau\left(t^{0}-2 y^{0} x^{0}-4 \int_{0}^{S} X d Y-4 x^{0} Y(S)\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\exp i\left(\eta y^{0}+\tau\left(t^{0}-2 y^{0} x^{0}\right)\right) \\
& \times E\left[\exp i\left\{\left(\eta-4 x^{0} \tau\right) Y(S)-4 \tau \int_{0}^{S} X d Y\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that on the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(g^{0} ; \eta, \tau\right)=\int_{\partial D} k\left(g^{0} ; y, t\right) e^{i(\eta y+\tau t)} d y d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, to compute (11), we remark that $S$ is a random variable independant of $Y$, and in the expectation in (11), we can integrate out $Y$ : in fact the expectation in (11) is given by

$$
E\left[\exp i \int_{0}^{S}\left(-4 \tau X(s)+\eta-4 x^{0} \tau\right) d Y(s)\right]
$$

and because of the exponential martingal of Mc Kean [6] and because $S$ and $X(S)$ are certain variables with respect to $Y$, this is exactly:

$$
\psi\left(x^{0}, \tau, \eta\right) \equiv E\left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{S}\left(-4 \tau X(s)+\eta-4 x^{0} \tau\right)^{2} d s\right)\right]
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(g^{0} ; \eta, \tau\right) & =\exp i\left(\eta y^{0}+\tau\left(t^{0}-2 y^{0} x^{0}\right)\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \times E\left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{S}\left(4 \tau\left(X(s)+x^{0}\right)-\eta\right)^{2} d s\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now the expectation on the right hand side of (13) is an expectation on the brownian motion $X(s)+x^{0}$ starting at $s=0$ from $x^{0}$, until its exit time of the right half line $\mathbf{R}^{+}$at time $S$. Call now $u$ the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}-2 \beta^{2}(x+\alpha)^{2} u=0 \text { on }\left[-x_{0},+\infty[ \right.  \tag{14}\\
u(+\infty)=0 \\
u\left(-x_{0}\right)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \beta^{2}=8 \tau^{2}, \quad \alpha=-\frac{\eta}{4 \tau} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have then the well known lemma:
Lemma. We have
(16) $\psi\left(x^{0}, \tau, \eta\right)=u(0)$
(which comes from [5]).
The only point is to solve (14). Call $x^{\prime}=x+\alpha, u(x)=v\left(x^{\prime}\right)$; then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} v}{d x^{\prime 2}}-2 \beta^{2} x^{\prime 2} v=0 \text { on }\left[-x_{0}+\alpha,+\infty[ \right.  \tag{17}\\
v\left(-x_{0}+\alpha\right)=1 \\
v(+\alpha)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we compare the differential equation with the Hermite equation
(18) $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x_{1}^{2}}-2 x_{1} \frac{d y}{d x_{1}}+2 \nu y=0$.

If we write

$$
z=y \exp \left(-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\frac{d^{2} z}{d x_{1}^{2}}+z\left(2 v+1-x_{1}^{2}\right)=0
$$

Writing $x_{1}=\theta x^{\prime}$ this is transformed in

$$
\frac{d^{2} z}{d x^{\prime 2}}+\theta^{2} z\left(2 \nu+1-\theta^{2} x^{\prime 2}\right)=0
$$

which can be compared to (17) if we write

$$
v=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \theta=+\sqrt{2|\beta|}
$$

But (18) has two solutions given in terms of the degenerate hypergeometric $G$

$$
G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, x_{1}^{2}\right) \sim x_{1}^{1 / 2}
$$

if $x_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
e^{x_{1}^{2}} G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},-x_{1}^{2}\right) \sim e^{x_{1}^{2}} x_{1}^{-1 / 2}
$$

(see [7] ).
So the corresponding $z$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},+x_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \exp \left(+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},-x_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we can only retain the first one because we look for a solution vanishing at infinity.

We then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =v\left(x^{\prime}\right)=z\left(\sqrt{2|\beta|} x^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-|\beta| x^{\prime 2}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} ; 2|\beta| x^{\prime 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and because we want that $u\left(-x_{0}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
u(x)=\frac{\exp \left(-|\beta|(x+\alpha)^{2}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} ; 2|\beta|(x+\alpha)^{2}\right)}{\exp \left(-|\beta|\left(x_{0}-\alpha\right)^{2}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} ; 2|\beta|\left(x_{0}-\alpha\right)^{2}\right)}
$$

Because of (16) and (15) we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(x^{0}, \tau, \eta\right)=\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\eta^{2}}{8|\tau|}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{\eta^{2}}{4|\tau|}\right)}{\exp \left(-2|\tau|\left(x_{0}+\frac{\eta}{4 \tau}\right)^{2}\right) G\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} ; 2|\tau|\left(x_{0}+\frac{\eta}{4 \tau}\right)^{2}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now because of (12), (13) and (19) we obtain by taking the inverse Fourier transform

$$
\begin{align*}
k\left(y^{0} ; y, t\right) & =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int \exp \left[-i\left(\eta y+\tau t-\eta y^{0}-\tau\left(t^{0}-2 y^{0} x^{0}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{20}\\
& \times \psi\left(x^{0}, \tau, \eta\right) d \tau d \eta
\end{align*}
$$
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