

## THE ALGEBRAIC CLOSURE IN FUNCTION FIELDS OF QUADRATIC FORMS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

HAMZA AHMAD

For a field  $k$  of characteristic not two, it is known that  $k$  is algebraically closed in the function field of any (non-degenerate) quadratic form in three or more variables. In this note we consider fields of characteristic two and decide when  $k$  is algebraically closed in a function field of a quadratic  $k$ -form. For quadratic forms in three variables this has recently been done by Ohm.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic not two. Then  $k$  is algebraically closed in the function field of any (non-degenerate) quadratic  $k$ -form in three or more variables. This is because such forms are absolutely irreducible (that is, they remain irreducible over the algebraic closure of  $k$ ) and therefore their function fields are regular (see [3, p.18, Theorem 5]).

In this note we take  $k$  to be a field of characteristic two, and  $Q$  to be an irreducible quadratic  $k$ -form. We answer the following.

QUESTION: When is  $k$  algebraically closed in the function field of  $Q$ ?

For function fields of conics, the question has been answered by Ohm in [2, 2.8-2.12].

TERMINOLOGY AND PRELIMINARIES: By a quadratic  $k$ -form  $Q(X)$  we mean a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in the variables  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with coefficients from  $k$ . If  $Q$  is irreducible, then by the function field  $k(Q)$  of  $Q$  over  $k$  we mean the field of fractions of the integral domain  $k[X]/(Q)$ , where  $(Q)$  denotes the ideal in  $k[X]$  generated by the polynomial  $Q$ . Therefore an extension  $K/k$  is (isomorphic to) the function field of  $Q(X_0, \dots, X_n)$  if and only if  $K = k(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $Q(x) = 0$  and the transcendence degree of  $K/k$ , abbreviated  $\text{dt}(K/k)$ , equals  $n$ . If  $Q'$  is obtained from  $Q$  by means of an invertible linear change of variables, then  $k(Q)$  and  $k(Q')$  are  $k$ -isomorphic.

---

Received 18th April, 1996.

The author wishes to thank Professor Ohm for his encouragement to work on the question and for many valuable discussions.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/97 \$A2.00+0.00.

Over a field  $k$  of characteristic two, any quadratic  $k$ -form can be written (after an invertible linear change of variables) as

$$(*) \quad Q(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i X_i^2 + X_i Y_i + b_i Y_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^s c_i Z_i^2$$

where  $a_i, b_i, c_i \in k$  and  $r, s \geq 0$  (see [1]).

### 2. THE RESULTS

REMARK 1. Let  $Q$  be as in (\*) above. Direct calculation shows that

- (1)  $Q$  is reducible if and only if either
  - i.  $r = 0$  and  $c_i/c_j \in k^2$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq s$  and  $c_j \neq 0$ , or
  - ii.  $s = 0$  and  $r = 1$  and  $a_1 T^2 + T + b_1$  is reducible in  $k[T]$ .
- (2)  $Q$  is absolutely irreducible if and only if either  $r \geq 2$ , or  $r = 1$  and  $c_i \neq 0$  for some  $i$ .

If  $Q$  is absolutely irreducible, then its function field is regular and therefore  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ . If  $r = 1$  and  $c_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , then  $Q = a_1 X^2 + XY + b_1 Y^2$  and therefore  $k$  is not algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ . It remains to discuss the question when  $r = 0$ ; that is, when  $Q$  is diagonal. This is done in our theorem below.

REMARK 2. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2, and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in k$ . Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be algebraically independent elements over  $k$ . Then the polynomial

$$Z^2 + (a_1 z_1^2 + \dots + a_n z_n^2)$$

in one variable  $Z$  over  $L := k(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is reducible if and only if  $\sqrt{a_i} \in k$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

PROOF: The  $L$ -polynomial  $Z^2 + (a_1 z_1^2 + \dots + a_n z_n^2)$  is reducible over  $L$  if and only if  $a_1 z_1^2 + \dots + a_n z_n^2$  is a square in  $L$ . That is,  $(a_1 z_1^2 + \dots + a_n z_n^2)g^2 = f^2$  where  $f$  and  $g$  and  $k$ -polynomials in (the algebraically independent elements)  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Comparing the leading coefficients of  $z_i$  in the last equation, we have  $a_i \in k^2$ . □

The following lemma will serve as the inductive step for the proof of our theorem and is due to Ohm (see [2, 2.12]).

LEMMA. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 and let  $Q(X, Y, Z) = X^2 + aY^2 + bZ^2$  be an irreducible  $k$ -form such that  $k$  is not algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ . Then  $[k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}) : k] = 2$ .

PROOF: The function field  $k(Q)$  equals  $k(y, z)(\sqrt{ay^2 + bz^2})$  with  $y, z$  algebraically independent over  $k$ . Set  $\alpha = \sqrt{ay^2 + bz^2}$ . By hypothesis there exists

$d \in k(Q)$  algebraic over  $k$  and  $d \notin k$ . Since  $k(y, z)$  is pure transcendental over  $k$ ,  $d \notin k(y, z)$ . Therefore  $k(Q) = k(y, z)(\alpha) = k(d)(y, z)$ . In particular,  $[k(d) : k] = 2$ . Also, the polynomial  $X^2 + (ay^2 + bz^2)$  is reducible over  $k(d)(y, z)$ . By Remark 2, we have  $\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \in k(d)$ ; hence  $[k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}) : k] = [k(d) : k] = 2$ . □

**THEOREM.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 and let  $Q(X) = X_0^2 + a_1X_1^2 + \dots + a_nX_n^2$  be an irreducible quadratic  $k$ -form. Then  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$  if and only if  $[k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n}) : k] \geq 4$ .*

PROOF: As in the introduction,  $k(Q) = k(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  such that

$$(1) \quad x_0^2 + a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2 = 0$$

and the transcendence degree of  $k(x_0, \dots, x_n)/k$  equals  $n$ . For the rest of the proof let  $L := k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$ .

For  $n = 1$ , the  $k$ -polynomial  $T^2 + a_1$  has a root in  $k(Q)$ , hence the theorem is true. Now, let  $n > 1$ . Assume first that  $[L : k] = 2$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $\sqrt{a_1} \notin k$ , and for  $i > 1$ ,  $\sqrt{a_i} = \alpha_i + \beta_i\sqrt{a_1}$  where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in k$ . Substituting in (1) we get

$$0 = (x_0 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \alpha_nx_n) + \sqrt{a_1}(x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \dots + \beta_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \beta_nx_n).$$

If  $x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \dots + \beta_nx_n = 0$ , then  $x_0 + \alpha_2x_2 + \alpha_3x_3 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n = 0$  and therefore  $x_1, x_2 \in k(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Hence  $\text{dt}(k(Q)/k) \leq n - 1$ ; a contradiction. So,  $x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \dots + \beta_nx_n \neq 0$ . Then the last displayed equation implies that

$$\sqrt{a_1} = \frac{x_0 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \alpha_nx_n}{x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \dots + \beta_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \beta_nx_n} \in k(Q).$$

Thus  $\sqrt{a_1} \in k(Q)$  and  $\sqrt{a_1} \notin k$ . Therefore  $k$  is not algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ .

Now assume that  $[L : k] \geq 4$ . We want to show that in this case  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ . We use induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 2$  follows from the Lemma above. So assume that  $n > 2$ .

We first treat the case  $[L : k] = 4$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $L = k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2})$ . Since  $n > 2$ , we have  $\sqrt{a_n} \in k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2})$ . Therefore  $\sqrt{a_n} = \alpha + \beta\sqrt{a_1} + \gamma\sqrt{a_2} + \delta\sqrt{a_1a_2}$ , which implies that  $a_n = \alpha^2 + \beta^2a_1 + \gamma^2a_2 + \delta^2a_1a_2$  where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k$ . Substituting in (1), we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= (x_0 + \alpha x_n)^2 + a_1(x_1 + \beta x_n)^2 + a_2(x_2 + \gamma x_n)^2 + a_3x_3^2 \\ &\quad + \dots + a_{n-1}x^2 + a_1a_2\delta^2x_n^2 \\ &= y_0^2 + a_1y_1^2 + a_2y_2^2 + a_3y_3^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}y_{n-1}^2 + a_1a_2\delta^2y_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_0 = x_0 + \alpha x_n, y_1 = x_1 + \beta x_n, y_2 = x_2 + \gamma x_n$ , and  $y_i = x_i, i \geq 3$ . Note that  $k(Q) = k(x_0, \dots, x_n) = k(y_0, \dots, y_n)$ . In particular, the transcendence degree of the field  $K := k(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})/k \geq n - 1$ .

If  $\delta = 0$ , then from equation (2) we conclude that  $dt(K/k) = n - 1$  and that  $K$  is the function field of the quadratic form  $Y_0^2 + a_1 Y_1^2 + a_2 Y_2^2 + a_3 Y_3^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} Y_{n-1}^2$ . Therefore by the inductive hypothesis,  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $K$  since  $[k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_{n-1}}) : k] = 4$ . Now since  $k(Q) = K(y_n)$  and  $dt(k(Q)/k) = 1 + dt(K/k)$ ,  $y_n$  is transcendental over  $K$ . Therefore  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $K(y_n) = k(Q)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\delta \neq 0$ , then from (2) we have

$$(3) \quad 0 = y_0^2 + a_1 y_1^2 + a_2 (y_2^2 + a_1 \delta^2 y_n^2) + a_3 y_3^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} y_{n-1}^2.$$

If  $y_2^2 + a_1 \delta^2 y_n^2 = 0$ , then  $y_2$  is algebraic over  $k(y_n)$ , and equation (3) implies that  $y_0$  is algebraic over  $k(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ . Hence  $y_0$  and  $y_2$  are algebraic over  $k(y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1})$ . This implies that  $dt(k(y_0, \dots, y_n)/k) \leq n - 1$ . But  $k(Q) = k(y_0, \dots, y_n)$  has transcendence degree  $n$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $y_2^2 + a_1 \delta^2 y_n^2 \neq 0$ . Now by setting  $z_n = \delta y_n / y_2, z_0 = (y_0 + a_1 y_1 z_n) / (1 + a_1 z_n^2), z_1 = (y_1 + y_0 z_n) / (1 + a_1 z_n^2), z_2 = y_2$ , and for  $3 \leq i < n, z_i = y_i$  and  $A_i = a_i / (1 + a_1 z_n^2) \in k(z_n)$ , we have from equation (3)

$$0 = (1 + a_1 z_n^2)(z_0^2 + a_1 z_1^2 + a_2 z_2^2 + A_3 z_3^2 + \dots + A_{n-1} z_{n-1}^2),$$

and therefore

$$0 = z_0^2 + a_1 z_1^2 + a_2 z_2^2 + A_3 z_3^2 + \dots + A_{n-1} z_{n-1}^2.$$

Also note that  $k(Q) = k(z_n)(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})$  and the transcendence degree of  $k(Q)/k(z_n)$  equals  $n - 1$ . Therefore  $k(Q)/k(z_n)$  is the function field of the  $k(z_n)$ -quadratic form

$$Z_0^2 + a_1 Z_1^2 + a_2 Z_2^2 + A_3 Z_3^2 + \dots + A_{n-1} Z_{n-1}^2.$$

Since  $[k(z_n)(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{A_3}, \dots, \sqrt{A_{n-1}}) : k(z_n)] \geq 4$ , the inductive hypothesis implies that  $k(z_n)$  is algebraically closed in  $k(z_n)(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) = k(Q)$ . In particular,  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ . This concludes the case  $[L : k] = 4$ .

To finish the proof of the theorem, it is left to show that  $K$  is algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$  when  $[L : k] \geq 8$ . In this case we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $\sqrt{a_2} \notin k(\sqrt{a_1}) \neq k$ . Let  $x = x_0 - \sqrt{a_1} x_1 \in k(Q)(\sqrt{a_1})$ . Then from equation (1) we have

$$0 = x^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + \dots + a_n x_n^2,$$

and  $dt(k(\sqrt{a_1})(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)/k(\sqrt{a_1})) = n - 1$ . Thus  $k(\sqrt{a_1})(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is the function field of the  $k(\sqrt{a_1})$ -form  $X^2 + a_2 X_2^2 + \dots + a_n X_n^2$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $k(\sqrt{a_1})$  is algebraically closed in  $k(\sqrt{a_1})(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  because

$\left[ k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n}) : k(\sqrt{a_1}) \right] \geq 4$ . Since  $k(\sqrt{a_1})(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)(x_1) = k(\sqrt{a_1})(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  and  $x_1$  is transcendental over  $k(\sqrt{a_1})(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , it follows that  $k(\sqrt{a_1})$  is algebraically closed in  $k(\sqrt{a_1})(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ . By symmetry,  $k(\sqrt{a_2})$  is also algebraically closed in  $k(\sqrt{a_2})(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ . Now if  $d \in k(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  is algebraic over  $k$  (and thus algebraic over  $k(\sqrt{a_1})$  and  $k(\sqrt{a_2})$ ), then  $d \in k(\sqrt{a_1})$  and  $d \in k(\sqrt{a_2})$ . Thus  $d \in k$ . Thus  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(Q)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Arf, 'Untersuchungen über quadratische Formen in Körpern der Charakteristik 2', *J. Reine Angew Math.* **183** (1941), 148–167.
- [2] J. Ohm, 'Function fields of conics, a theorem of Amitsur-MacRae, and a problem of Zariski', in *Algebraic geometry and its applications*, (C. Bajaj, Editor) (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1994), pp. 333-363.
- [3] A. Weil, *Foundations of algebraic geometry*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **29** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1962).

Department of Mathematics  
Yarmouk University  
Irbid  
Jordan