# SOME RESULTS ON SPIRAL-LIKE FUNCTIONS 

TƯRKÂN BAGŞÖZE

We begin with the following definitions.
Defintion. Let $f(z)$ be regular near $z=0$ and let $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Let $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ be two real numbers such that $|\alpha|<\pi / 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$. Then $R_{\alpha, \lambda}$ is the largest value of $r$ such that the following conditions are satisfied for $|z|<r$ :
(i) $f(z)$ is regular,
(ii) $f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \neq 0$,
(iii) $\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]>\lambda$.

In particular with $\lambda=0, R_{\alpha, 0}$ coincides with the radius of spiral-likeness; with $\alpha=0, R_{0, \lambda}$ gives the radius of starlikeness of order $\lambda$; and with $\alpha=\lambda=0, R_{0,0}$ gives the radius of starlikeness.

Definition. Let $f(z)$ be regular near $z=0$ and let $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Let $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ be two real numbers such that $|\alpha|<\pi / 2$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$. Then $r_{\alpha, \lambda}$ is the largest value of $r$ such that the following conditions are satisfied for $|z|<r$ :
(i) $f(z)$ is regular,
(ii) $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$,
(iii) $\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]>\lambda$.

For $\alpha=0, r_{0, \lambda}$ gives the radius of convexity of order $\lambda$ and for $\alpha=\lambda=0, r_{0,0}$ gives the radius of convexity.

The purpose of this note is to determine $R_{\alpha, \lambda}$ and $r_{\alpha, \lambda}$ for certain classes of analytic functions. To do this we will require the following lemmas.

Lemma $A^{(1)}$. Let $z=r \exp (i \theta), z_{1}=R \exp (i \phi)$ where $0<r<R$, and let $\alpha$ be a real number. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{r(R+r \cos \alpha)}{R^{2}-r^{2}} \leq \mathscr{R}\left[\frac{\exp (i \alpha) z}{z-z_{1}}\right] \leq \frac{r(R-r \cos \alpha)}{R^{2}-r^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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${ }^{(1)}$ This result is an extension of the Lemma we obtained in [2, p. 140]. Without the conditions under which the equality signs hold in (1) it is first proved in [1, p. 8-9]. The proof is simplified in the above form by Professor F. R. Keogh.

Equality holds in the first inequality of (1) if and only if

$$
z=\frac{r}{R} z_{1} \frac{R+r \exp (i \alpha)}{r+R \exp (i \alpha)}
$$

and in the second inequality if and only if

$$
z=\frac{r}{R} z_{1} \frac{R-r \exp (i \alpha)}{r-R \exp (i \alpha)}
$$

Proof. The transformation $w=\exp (i \alpha) z /\left(z-z_{1}\right)$ maps the circle $|z|=r$ onto a circle in the $w$ plane with centre $\left(-r^{2} \cos \alpha /\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right),-r^{2} \sin \alpha /\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right)\right)$ and radius $r R /\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right)$, which gives the required result.

In our proofs we also use the following two inequalities [1, p. 10], which we state as lemma B.

Lemma B. For $0<r<1 \leq R$, we have
(i) $\frac{R+r \cos \alpha}{R^{2}-r^{2}} \leq \frac{1+r \cos \alpha}{1-r^{2}}$,
(ii) $\frac{R-r \cos \alpha}{R^{2}-r^{2}} \leq \frac{1-r \cos \alpha}{1-r^{2}}$.

Equality holds in both inequalities if and only if $R=1$.
Now we can prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n>0$ all of whose zeros are outside or on the unit circle. Then for $f(z)=z[P(z)]^{\beta / n}$, where $\beta$ is real and non-zero, and for $\alpha \neq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\alpha, 0} \geq \cos \alpha \text { if } \beta=-1 \\
& R_{\alpha, 0} \geq \frac{-|\beta|+\left[\beta^{2}+4(1+\beta) \cos ^{2} \alpha\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(1+\beta) \cos \alpha} \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equality holds in both inequalities if and only if all the zeros of $P(z)$ are concentrated at the same point on the unit circle. ${ }^{(2)}$

Proof. Let $P(z)=a_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$; then

$$
\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-z_{k}}
$$

and since

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+\frac{\beta}{n} z \frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]=\cos \alpha+\frac{\beta}{n} \mathscr{R}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\exp (i \alpha) z}{z-z_{k}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Case 1. $\beta>0$. Let $z=r \exp (i \theta), z_{k}=R_{k} \exp \left(i \phi_{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n$. By Lemma A and by part (i) of Lemma B from (2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right] & \geq \cos \alpha-\frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r\left(R_{k}+r \cos \alpha\right)}{R_{k}^{2}-r^{2}} \\
& \geq \cos \alpha-\frac{\beta\left(r+r^{2} \cos \alpha\right)}{1-r^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this gives $R_{\alpha, 0} \geq\left(-\beta+\left[\beta^{2}+4(1+\beta) \cos ^{2} \alpha\right]^{1 / 2}\right) / 2(1+\beta) \cos \alpha$, with equality if and only if all the zeros of $P(z)$ are concentrated at the same point on the unit circle.

Case 2. $\beta<0$. By Lemma $A$ and by part (ii) of Lemma B fro $m$ (2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right] & \geq \cos \alpha+\frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r\left(R_{k}-r \cos \alpha\right)}{R_{k}^{2}-r^{2}} \\
& \geq \cos \alpha+\beta \frac{r(1-r \cos \alpha)}{1-r^{2}}=B(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

say. The condition that $B(r)>0$ gives the required results.
The following theorem reduces to the theorem given in [3, p. 16] for $\alpha=\lambda=0$ and to Theorem 3 in [2] for $\alpha=0$.

Theorem 2. Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n>0$ all of whose zeros are outside or on the unit circle. If $\cos \alpha>\lambda$, then for $f(z)=z P(z)$ we have

$$
R_{\alpha, \lambda} \geq \frac{n-\left[4 \lambda^{2}+n^{2}+(4 n+4) \cos ^{2} \alpha-(4 n \lambda+8 \lambda) \cos \alpha\right]^{1 / 2}}{2[\lambda-(n+1) \cos \alpha]}
$$

where equality holds if and only if all the zeros of $P(z)$ are concentrated at the same point on the unit circle.

Proof. Let $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ be the zeros of $P(z)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]=\cos \alpha+\mathscr{R}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\exp (i \alpha) z}{z-z_{k}}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z=r \exp (i \theta), z_{k}=R_{k} \exp \left(i \phi_{k}\right)$ then by Lemma A and by part (i) of Lemma B from (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right] & \geq \cos \alpha-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r\left(R_{k}+r \cos \alpha\right)}{R_{k}^{2}-r^{2}} \\
& \geq \cos \alpha-n \frac{r(1+r \cos \alpha)}{1-r^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence
$\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right] \geq \lambda \quad$ if $\quad r \leq \frac{n-\left[4 \lambda^{2}+n^{2}+(4 n+4) \cos ^{2} \alpha-(4 n \lambda+8 \lambda) \cos \alpha\right]^{1 / 2}}{2[\lambda-(n+1) \cos \alpha]}$
with equality if and only if all the $z_{k}$ are concentrated at one point on the unit circle.
The next theorem reduces to the theorem given in [3, p. 16] for $n=\alpha=0$ and to Theorem 4 in [2] for $\alpha=0$.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z)=z M(z) / N(z)$, where $M, N$ are polynomials of degree $m \geq 1, n \geq 0$ respectively, all of whose zeros are outside or on the unit circle. If $n-m-1>0$ then $R_{\alpha, 0} \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is the smaller root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r)=(n-m-1) r^{2} \cos \alpha-(n+m) r+\cos \alpha=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n-m-1 \leq 0$ then $R_{\alpha, 0} \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is now the positive root of this equation. In both cases we have $R_{\alpha, 0}=r_{0}$ if and only if the zeros of $M$ are concentrated at a point $\exp \left(i \theta_{1}\right)$ and those of $N$ are concentrated at a point $\exp \left(i \theta_{2}\right)$ such that $\exp \left(i\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right)=$ $\left(1-r_{0} \exp (i \alpha)\right)\left(r_{0}+\exp (i \alpha)\right) /\left(1+r_{0} \exp (i \alpha)\right)\left(r_{0}-\exp (i \alpha)\right)$.

Proof. Denoting the zeros of $M$ by $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m}$ and the zeros of $N$ by $z_{m+1}$, $z_{m+2}, \ldots, z_{m+n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]=\cos \alpha+\mathscr{R}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\exp (i \alpha) z}{z-z_{k}}\right]-\mathscr{R}\left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} \frac{\exp (i \alpha) z}{z-z_{k}}\right] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z=r \exp (i \theta), z_{k}=R_{k} \exp \left(i \phi_{k}\right)$ then, by Lemma $A$ and Lemma $B$ from (5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}\left[\exp (i \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right] & \geq \cos \alpha-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{r\left(R_{k}+r \cos \alpha\right)}{R_{k}^{2}-r^{2}}-\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} \frac{r\left(R_{k}-r \cos \alpha\right)}{R_{k}^{2}-r^{2}} \\
& \geq \cos \alpha-\frac{m r(1+r \cos \alpha)}{1-r^{2}}-\frac{n r(1-r \cos \alpha)}{1-r^{2}}=G(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

say. The condition that $G(r)>0$ is equivalent to $F(r)>0$, so $R_{\alpha, 0} \geq r_{0}$. Now, if $R_{\alpha, 0}=r_{0}$ then $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m}$ are concentrated at a point $\exp \left(i \theta_{1}\right)$ and $z_{m+1}$, $z_{m+2}, \ldots, z_{m+n}$ are concentrated at a point $\exp \left(i \theta_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(i\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{\left(1-r_{0} \exp (i \alpha)\right)\left(r_{0}+\exp (i \alpha)\right)}{\left(1+r_{0} \exp (i \alpha)\right)\left(r_{0}-\exp (i \alpha)\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the converse is also true. If $\alpha=0$ then by (6), $R_{0,0}=r_{0}$ if and only if $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$, $z_{m}$ are concentrated at one end of a diameter of the unit circle and $z_{m+1}, z_{m+2}, \ldots$, $z_{m+n}$ are concentrated at the opposite end of this diameter. When $R_{0,0}=r_{0}, f^{\prime}(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=r_{0}$, so $R_{0,0}$ gives also the radius of univalence.

Now let $f(z)=z g^{\prime}(z)$ then $r_{\alpha, \lambda}$ for $g(z)$ is the same as $R_{\alpha, \lambda}$ for $f(z)$. Therefore from the above theorems the following results can be deduced.

Theorem 1'. Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n>0$ all of whose zeros are outside or on the unit circle, and let $f(z)$ be the function such that $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(z)=[P(z)]^{\beta / n}$, where $\beta$ is real and non-zero. Then for $f(z)$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\alpha, 0} \geq \cos \alpha \text { if } \beta=-1 \\
& r_{\alpha, 0} \geq \frac{-|\beta|+\left[\beta^{2}+4(1+\beta) \cos ^{2} \alpha\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(1+\beta) \cos \alpha} \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Equality holds in both inequalities if and only if all the zeros of $P(z)$ are concentrated at the same point on the unit circle.

Theorem $2^{\prime}$. Let $f^{\prime}(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n>0, f(0)=0$, and suppose that all the zeros of $f^{\prime}(z)$ are outside or on the unit circle. If $\cos \alpha>\lambda$, then for $f(z)$ we have

$$
r_{\alpha, \lambda} \geq \frac{n-\left[4 \lambda^{2}+n^{2}+(4 n+4) \cos ^{2} \alpha-(4 n \lambda+8 \lambda) \cos \alpha\right]^{1 / 2}}{2[\lambda-(n+1) \cos \alpha]}
$$

with equality if and only if the zeros of $f^{\prime}(z)$ are concentrated at the same point on the unit circle.

Theorem 3'. Let $f(z)$ be a function such that $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(z)=M(z) / N(z)$, where $M, N$ are polynomials of degree $m \geq 1, n \geq 0$ respectively, all of whose zeros are outside or on the unit circle. If $n-m-1>0$ then $r_{\alpha, 0} \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is the smaller root of the equation (4). If $n-m-1 \leq 0$ then $r_{\alpha, 0} \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is the positive root of the equation. In both cases we have equality under the same conditions on $M, N$ as in Theorem 3.

By using arguments similar to those in the proofs of the preceding theorems we can easily obtain results for $R_{\alpha, \lambda}$ and $r_{\alpha, \lambda}$ for other cases considered in [1] and [2].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{(2)}$ For $\alpha=0$ the inequalities given in Theorem 1 hold, but the distributions of zeros which give the equalities are different and for those distributions $R_{0}, 0$ gives the radius of univalence [2, Theorem 1].

