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The notion of projective Banach module was defined by Helemskii in [1]-the paper which properly founded the homological theory of Banach algebras. The same author introduced the definition of the (relatively) flat Banach module in [2]. Recently M. C. White [3] modified both of those definitions, introducing so called $C$-projective and $C$-flat Banach modules.

For a given constant $C>0$ the Banach module $X$ over a Banach algebra $A$, (abbreviated below as "module"), is called $C$-projective [3] if for arbitrary modules $Y, Z$ and morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Z$, epimorphism $\sigma: Y \rightarrow Z$, and bounded linear operator $j: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $\sigma j=1$, there exists a morphism $\psi: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $\sigma \psi=\phi$ and $\|\psi\| \leq C\|\phi\|\|j\|$. As well as [1], the paper [3] gives us the more useful equivalent definition of $C$-projectivity. Namely, a module $X$ is $C$-projective if and only if the morphism of external multiplication $\pi: A \hat{\otimes} X \rightarrow X$, defined by the formula $\pi(a \otimes x)=a x$, has a right inverse morphism $\rho$ such that $\|\rho\| \leq C$. Here the symbol $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the projective tensor product of Banach spaces [4]. If $\|\rho\|=C$ and there is no right inverse with a norm smaller than $C$, then it is natural to say that $X$ is exactly $C$-projective. In this paper we give answers to two questions that (directly or not) were put in [3]. First, for arbitrary $C>1$, we give an example of an exactly $C$-projective Banach $A$-module. (Moreover, it is a maximal ideal in a uniform algebra A.) Note that $C$-projectivity is impossible for $C<1$ and for $C=1$ there exist trivial examples: consider for example any maximal ideal in the disc-algebra, corresponding to an inner point of the disc. Second, we shall show that $C$-projectivity does not possess the same "continuity property" as $C$-flatness [3]: that is, there exists a module (again a maximal ideal in the uniform algebra) that is $(C+\epsilon)$-projective for all $\epsilon>0$ but not $C$-projective.

As usual, we denote by $A(E)$ the uniform algebra of functions that are continuous on the given compact subset $E \subset \mathbf{C}$ and analytic in its interior.

Example 1. Consider the compact subset $K=D \cup E$ of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R}$, where $D=\{(z, 0)$ : $|z| \leq 1\}$ is the closed disc and $E=\left\{(z, t):|C|^{-1} \leq|z| \leq 1,0<t \leq 1\right\}$ is the cylindric annulus. (We denote by $E_{t}$ its section, where $t$ is constant.) Consider the uniform algebra

$$
A=\left\{f \in C(K), f(z, 0) \in A(D), f(z, t) \in A\left(E_{t}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(0,1]\right\}
$$

Proposition 1. For the maximal ideal $M \subset A$, corresponding to the point $O=(0,0)$,
(1) $M$ is a C-projective Banach A-module,
(2) $M$ is not $k$-projective Banach $A$-module for any $k<C$.

Proof. (1) Consider two functions: $h \in M$ such that $h(z, t)=z$ for $(z, t) \in K$ and $f(z, t)=1 / z$ for $(z, t) \in K \backslash O$. Note that $\|h\|=1$ and, for each $m \in M, f m$ is defined on $K \backslash O$ and we extend the definition to $K$ by continuity. We have $\|f m\| \leq C\|m\|$, because $|f| \leq C$ on
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$E_{t}$ and multiplication by $z$ preserves the uniform norm in $A(D)$. Now define the morphism $\rho: M \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} M$ by the formula $\rho(m)-m f \otimes h$. Obviously $\pi(\rho(m))=m f h=m$ and $\|\rho(m)\|=$ $\|m f\|\|h\| \leq C\|m\|$. Hence part (1) is proved.
(2) Assume the contrary; then for the epimorphism $\omega: A \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ of evaluation at the point $O$ (which has a right inverse operator $j$ given by the natural injection) and morphism $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by the formula $\phi(f)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(O)$ there exists a morphism $\psi: M \rightarrow A$ such that $\omega \psi=\phi$ and $\|\psi\| \leq k\|\phi\|\|j\|=k$. But each morphism $\psi: M \rightarrow A$ is a multiplication by some function $g \in C(K \backslash O)$. See, for example, the standard argument in [5]. Since $\|g m\| \leq k\|m\|$ we can conclude that $|g| \leq k$ on each annulus $E_{l}$. Since $\omega \psi=\phi$ it is evident that $g=\frac{1}{z}+a$ on $D \backslash O$, where $a \in A(D)$. By continuity $\left|\frac{1}{z}+a\right| \leq k$ on $E_{0}$ and hence on the circle $T=\{(z, 0):|z|=1 / C\}$. Therefore $|1+a z|=|g z| \leq k|z|=k / C<1$ on $T$. This contradicts the maximum modulus principle, and so $M$ is an exactly $C$-projective $A$-module.

Example 2. Consider the compact subset $K=D \cup\left(\cup_{n>C+1} E_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R}$, where $D$ is the same disc and $E_{n}=\left\{\left(z, \frac{1}{n}\right): \frac{1}{C}-\frac{1}{n} \leq|z| \leq 1+\frac{1}{n}\right\}$ is the closed annulus. Then

$$
A=\left\{f \in C(K): f(z, 0) \in A(D), f\left(z, \frac{1}{n}\right) \in A\left(E_{n}\right), f\left(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{1}{n}\right)=f\left(\frac{1}{C}, 0\right), \forall n>C+1\right\}
$$

is a uniform algebra. Let $M$ be the maximal ideal, corresponding to the point $O=(0,0)$.
Proposition 2. For the maximal ideal $M \subset A$, corresponding to the point $O=(0,0)$,
(1) $M$ is a $(C+\epsilon)$-projective Banach $A$-module for all $\epsilon>0$,
(2) $M$ is not a $C$-projective Banach $A$-module.

Proof. (1) Fix $n>C+1$ and let two functions $h \in M$ and $f \in C(K \backslash O)$ be defined by $h(z, t)=1$ on $E_{k},(C<k \leq n-1)$, but $h(z, t)=z$ on $E_{k},(k \geq n)$, and $f(z, t)=1$ on $E_{k}$, ( $C<k \leq n-1$ ), but $f(z, t)=\frac{1}{z}$ on $E_{k},(k \geq n)$ and on $D \backslash O$. Note that $\|h\|=1+\frac{1}{n}$ and, for each $m \in M$, we have $\|f m\| \leq n C /(n-C)\|m\|$, because $|f| \leq n C /(n-C)$ on $E_{n}$ and the multiplication by $z$ preserves the uniform norm in $A(D)$. Now define the morphism $\rho: M \rightarrow$ $A \hat{\otimes} M$ by the formula $\rho(m)=m f \otimes h$. Obviously $\pi(m)=m f h=m$ and $\|\rho(m)\|=\|f m\|\|h\| \leq$ $n C /(n-C)\|m\|\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)=C[1+(C+1) /(n-C)]\|m\|$. Since $n$ is arbitrarily large part (1) is proved.
(2) Repeating the argument from Proposition 1 we obtain a function $g \in C(K \backslash O)$ such that $|g| \leq C$ on each annulus $E_{n}$ and $g=\frac{1}{2}+a$ on $D \backslash O$, where $a \in A(D)$. As the inner circles $T_{n}$ of $E_{n}$ tend to the circle $T$ of radius $\frac{1}{C}$ from $D$, by continuity we obtain $|g| \leq C$ on $T$. Hence $|1+z a|=|g z| \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{C}=1$ on $T$. Using the maximum modulus principle we conclude that $a \equiv 0$. Thus $g \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ on $D$ and so $g\left(\frac{1}{C}, 0\right) \equiv C$; also by definition of the algebra $A, g\left(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{1}{n}\right)=C$, for all $n$. Applying the maximum modulus principle to each annulus $E_{n}$, we conclude that $g \equiv C$ on $E_{n}$. By continuity $g \equiv C$ on $T$ giving a contradiction.

Note that both examples represent so-called non-idempotent maximal ideals; (that is $M \neq \overline{M^{2}}$ ). We know almost nothing about the exact estimates of $C$-projectivity in the idempotent case. If we analyse Helemskii's original proof of the projectivity of the algebra of convergent sequences $c_{0}$ (and the algebra $l_{1}$ of summable sequences) one can see that both these algebras are 1-projective [1]. The author can generalize this result to the algebra $C(K)$, where $X$ is a semi-discrete compact set. Let $X$ be a compact set; denote by $X^{\prime}$ the set of its
accumulation points and $X^{(n+1)}=X^{(n)^{\prime}}(n \in \mathbf{N})$. If $X^{(n)}$ is empty for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we say $X$ is a semidiscrete compact set. As for the algebra $C[0 ; 1]$ we can only see from [1] that the maximal ideals in it are 2-projective, but the constant 2 seems not to be the best possible.
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