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#### Abstract

Using Moore's ergodicity theorem, S.G. Dani and S. Raghavan proved that the linear action of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is topologically ( $n-1$ )-transitive; that is, topologically transitive on the Cartesian product of $n-1$ copies of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In this paper, we give a more direct proof, using the prime number theorem. Further, using the congruence subgroup theorem, we generalise the result to arbitrary finite index subgroups of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$.


## 1. Introduction

Recall that a continuous action of an abstract group $G$ on a topological space $X$ is topologically transitive if for all non-empty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. (By continuous action we mean that for each group element $g$, the corresponding map $g: X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism). For many spaces (for example, second countable Baire spaces), this is equivalent to the existence of a dense orbit. For a natural number $k$, the action is said to be topologically $k$-transitive if the induced action of $G$ on the $k$-fold Cartesian product $X^{k}$ is topologically transitive. So topologically 1 -transitive $=$ topologically transitive, and topologically $i$-transitive $\Rightarrow$ topologically $j$-transitive for all $j<i$. Topological 2-transitivity is also called weak topological mixing.

The linear action of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in not topologically $n$-transitive, since the determinant is an invariant function on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{n}$. S. G. Dani and S. Raghavan proved the following:

THEOREM. ([2]) For all $n \geqslant 2$, the linear action of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is topologically ( $n-1$ )-transitive.

Underlying the Dani-Raghavan result is Moore's ergodicity theorem. The object of this paper is to give an alternate, more direct proof of the Dani-Raghavan theorem, and to generalise it as follows:

Theorem. For all $n \geqslant 2$, the linear action on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of every finite index subgroup of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is topologically $(n-1)$-transitive.
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Our proof uses the prime number theorem modulo $m$ (see Section 2) and the congruence subgroup theorem; recall that the principal congruence subgroups of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ are the kernels of the natural homomorphisms $S L(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow S L\left(n, \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$, and a congruence subgroup is a subgroup which contains a principal congruence subgroup. The congruence subgroup theorem ( $[1,6]$ ) says that for $n>2$, every finite index subgroup of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup. The main point in our proof is as follows.

Lemma 1. For all $n \geqslant 2$, the linear action of every principal congruence subgroup of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is topologically $(n-1)$-transitive.

The orbits on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ of the principal congruence subgroups are examined in Section 3 and Lemma 1 is established in Section 4. Lemma 1, together with the congruence subgroup theorem, establishes the theorem for all $n>2$. The proof of the theorem is concluded in Section 5, where we show that the action of every finite index subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is topologically transitive.

We assume throughout the paper that $n \geqslant 2$. We use the same symbol $\rho$ for each of the canonical projections $\mathbb{Z}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and for the natural homomorphism $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ $\rightarrow S L\left(n, \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$. We use $I_{n}$ for the $n \times n$ identity matrix in both $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $S L\left(n, \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$.

## 2. A Little number theory

Recall that the set of numbers of the form $q / p$, where $p$ and $q$ are prime, is dense in the positive reals; this was proved by Sierpiński in [7, p. 155] and Hobby and Silberger in [3]. In the Math Review of [3], Mendès France gave the following simple proof: it is a well known consequence of the prime number theorem that as $k$ goes to infinity, the $k$-th prime $p_{k}$ is approximately $k \log k$; more precisely, $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{k} /(k \log k)=1$. Thus for $x>0$, one has

$$
1=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{\lfloor k x\rfloor}}{\lfloor k x\rfloor \log \lfloor k x\rfloor}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{\lfloor k x\rfloor}}{k x \log k x},
$$

and so

$$
x=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{\lfloor k x\rfloor}}{k \log k x}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{\lfloor k x\rfloor}}{k \log x+k \log k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{\lfloor k x\rfloor}}{k \log k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{\lfloor k x\rfloor}}{p_{k}},
$$

where $\lfloor y\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $y$.
We shall require an extension of Sierpinski's result. First recall the following result which was established by de la Vallée-Poussin (see for example [5]). For an integer $m \geqslant 2$, let $\pi_{m}(x, a)$ denote the number of primes $\leqslant x$ which are congruent to $a$ modulo $m$.

Prime Number Theorem Modulo $m$. For all $m \geqslant 2$, if $a$ and $m$ are relatively prime, then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_{m}(x, a) \log x}{x}=\frac{1}{\varphi(m)}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the Euler totient function.

Fix relatively prime integers $m \geqslant 2$ and $a$. Let $p(k, a)$ denote the $k$-th prime that is congruent to $a$ modulo $m$. Setting $x=p(k, a)$ (so $\pi_{m}(x, a)=k$ ), the Prime Number Theorem Modulo $m$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(m) k \log p(k, a)}{p(k, a)}=1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(m)+\log k+\log \log p(k, a)-\log p(k, a)}{\log p(k, a)} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log p(k, a)}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using (1) again,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(k, a)}{k \log k}=\varphi(m) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y>0$. Imitating Mendès France's argument, equation (2) gives

$$
1=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor k y\rfloor, a)}{\varphi(m) k y \log k y}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor k y\rfloor, a)}{\varphi(m) k \log k+\varphi(m) k \log y} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor k y\rfloor, a)}{\varphi(m) k \log k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To draw the conclusion that we shall require later, we need some notation. For each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, let $X_{i}$ be the set of points $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that:

1. $x_{i}$ is congruent to 1 modulo $m$,
2. $x_{j}$ is congruent to 0 modulo $m$ for all $j \neq i$,
3. $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ are relatively prime.

Lemma 2. For each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, the set of points of the form $(x, y) / s$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in X_{i}$, is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

Proof: Let $z_{1}, z_{2}$ be nonzero reals. Set $w_{1}=z_{1}, w_{2}=z_{2} / m$, and for $i=1,2$ set

$$
a_{i, k}= \begin{cases}p\left(\left\lfloor k w_{i}\right\rfloor, 1\right) ; & \text { if } w_{i} \geqslant 0 \\ -p\left(\left\lfloor-k w_{i}\right\rfloor,-1\right) ; & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Arguing as above,

$$
\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(m) k \log k}\left(a_{1, k}, a_{2, k}\right),
$$

and so

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(m) k \log k}\left(a_{1, k}, m a_{2, k}\right)
$$

Here $a_{1, k}$ is congruent to 1 modulo $m$, and $m a_{2, k}$ is congruent to 0 modulo $m$. Moreover, provided $\left|w_{1}\right|$ and $\left|w_{2}\right|$ are distinct, $a_{1, k}$ and $m a_{2, k}$ are relatively prime. Thus, it is not difficult to see that since the denominator $\varphi(m) k \log k$ is independent of $z_{1}, z_{2}$, the required result holds in the case $n=2$. The result for arbitrary $n$ then follows easily. $\square$

## 3. The orbits of the principal congruence subgroups

We remark that, although we won't use this fact, it is not difficult to show that the greatest common divisor function is a complete invariant for the linear action of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. The description of the orbits of the principal congruence subgroups require more work; we limit ourselves here to giving a result that we need for the proof of the theorem (see [4, Chapter 17] for more details). Let $m$ be a natural number and consider the natural homomorphism $\rho: S L(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow S L\left(n, \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$. Let $G_{n, m}$ denote the principal congruence subgroup ker $\rho$. Put $S_{1}=S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and for each $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, let $S_{k}$ be the subgroup of $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ of elements having the block form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k-1} & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $C \in S L(n-k+1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $B$ is arbitrary. For each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, let $G_{k}=S_{k} \cap G_{n, m}$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be the usual basis for $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Lemma 3. Let $m \geqslant 2$ and let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. If $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X_{i}$, then there exists $A \in G_{i}$ such that $A x=e_{i}$.

Proof: First consider the case $n=2$, with $i=1$. We have $\operatorname{gcd}(x)=1$ and $\rho x=(1,0)$. Let

$$
C^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
-x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a x_{1}+b x_{2}=1$. In $S L\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right), \rho C^{\prime}$ has the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \widehat{b} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ where $0 \leqslant \widehat{b} \leqslant m-1$. So

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\widehat{b} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

satisfies $\rho\left(B C^{\prime}\right)=I_{2}$. Denote $B C^{\prime}$ by $C_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}$; it belongs to $G_{1}$ and takes $x$ to $e_{1}$, as required.

For $n>2$, consider the matrix

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{i-1} & & 0 \\
& C_{\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)} & \\
0 & & I_{n-i-1}
\end{array}\right) \in G_{i}
$$

$C$ takes $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ to $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 1,0, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Let $F=\left(f_{j k}\right)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix with

$$
f_{j k}= \begin{cases}-x_{j} ; & k=i, j \neq i, i+1 \\ 0 ; & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and let $E=I_{n}+F$ and $A=E C$. Clearly, $E \in G_{i}$, so $A \in G_{i}$. And by construction, $A x=e_{i}$.

## 4. Proof of Lemma 1

Consider nonempty open sets $U_{i}, V_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By Lemma 2 , the open set $U_{1} \times V_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ contains a point of the form $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) / s_{1}$, where $s_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{1}, y_{1} \in X_{1}$. So by Lemma 3, there are $A_{1}, B_{1} \in G_{1}$ with $A_{1} x_{1}=B_{1} y_{1}=e_{1}$. That is,

$$
e_{1} / s_{1} \in\left(A_{1} U_{1}\right) \cap\left(B_{1} V_{1}\right) .
$$

Next, by Lemma 2, pick $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) / s_{2} \in A_{1} U_{2} \times B_{1} V_{2}$ so that $s_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{2}, y_{2} \in X_{2}$. Applying Lemma 3 again, there are $A_{2}, B_{2} \in G_{2}$ with

$$
e_{2} / s_{2} \in\left(A_{2} A_{1} U_{2}\right) \cap\left(B_{2} B_{1} V_{2}\right) .
$$

Continue until we have

$$
e_{n-1} / s_{n-1} \in\left(A_{n-1} \ldots A_{2} A_{1} U_{n-1}\right) \cap\left(B_{n-1} \ldots B_{2} B_{1} V_{n-1}\right)
$$

Since $A_{j}, B_{j} \in G_{j}$ for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ all fix $e_{i}$, for all $j>i$. Therefore, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have

$$
e_{i} / s_{i} \in A_{n-1} \ldots A_{i+1}\left(A_{i} \ldots A_{2} A_{1} U_{i}\right) \cap B_{n-1} \ldots B_{i+1}\left(B_{i} \ldots B_{2} B_{1} V_{i}\right) .
$$

Multiplying on the left by $B_{1}^{-1} \ldots B_{n-1}^{-1}$ we see that $D U_{i} \cap V_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, where

$$
D=B_{1}^{-1} B_{2}^{-1} \ldots B_{n-1}^{-1} A_{n-1} \ldots A_{2} A_{1} \in G_{n, m} .
$$

Hence the action of $G_{n, m}$ is ( $n-1$ )-transitive.

## 5. Proof of theorem for $n=2$

Let $G$ be a finite index subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and let $U_{1}, U_{2}$ be nonempty open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We shall show that there exists $g \in G$ such that $g\left(U_{1}\right) \cap U_{2} \neq \emptyset$. The idea is to construct parabolic matrices $P_{1}, P_{2} \in G$ and a point $v$ close to the origin such that $P_{i}(v) \in U_{i}$ for each $i$. Then the matrix $g=P_{2} P_{1}^{-1}$ does the job. See Figure 1 .

First note that replacing $G$ by its core if necessary, we may assume that $G$ is a normal subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Second, since $G$ has finite index, there exists a positive


Figure 1
integer $m$ such that the matrix $P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & m \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ belongs to $G$. Let $L_{x}$ denote the $x$-axis in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and for $r>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $D_{r}(c)$ denote the open disc of radius $r$ centred at $c$. We shall require the following simple geometric result, which we state without proof:

Lemma 4. Let $W$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and suppose that $W$ contains a point $w \in L_{x}$. Then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $z \in D_{\varepsilon}(0) \backslash L_{x}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $P^{k}(z) \in W$.

By Lemma 2, there exists a point in $U_{1}$ of the form $(x, y) / s$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y$ are relatively prime. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a x+b y=1$ and set

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
-y & x
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $B \in S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and as $G$ is normal, $A_{1}=B^{-1} P B \in G$. The matrix $A_{1}$ is parabolic and fixes pointwise the line $L_{1}$ passing through the origin and the point $(x, y)$. Notice that $B\left(U_{1}\right)$ contains the point $(1,0) / s \in L_{x}$. Applying Lemma 4 to $W=B\left(U_{1}\right)$, we obtain an open neighbourhood $V_{1}$ of 0 such that for all $v \in V_{1} \backslash L_{1}$, there exists $k(v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $A_{1}^{k(v)}(v) \in U_{1}$. Similarly, there is a line $L_{2}$ passing through the origin and a point in $U_{2}$, a matrix $A_{2} \in G$, and an open neighbourhood $V_{2}$ of 0 such that for all
$v \in V_{2} \backslash L_{2}$, there exists $l(v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $A_{2}^{l(v)}(v) \in U_{2}$. Let

$$
v \in V_{1} \cap V_{2} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right), P_{1}=A_{1}^{k(v)}, P_{2}=A_{2}^{l(v)}
$$

and set $u=P_{1}(v) \in U_{1}$. Choosing $g=P_{2} P_{1}^{-1}$, we have $g(u) \in U_{2}$; so $g\left(U_{1}\right) \cap U_{2} \neq \emptyset$, as required.
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