THE NUMBER OF PAIRS OF GENERALIZED INTEGERS WITH L.C.M. $\leq x$

D. SURYANARAYANA and V. SIVA RAMA PRASAD

(Received 4 February 1970)

Communicated by E. S. Barnes

1. Introduction

Generalized integers are defined in [2] as follows: Suppose there is given a finite or infinite sequence $\{p\}$ of real numbers which are called generalized primes such that $1 < p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$. Form the set $\{l\}$ of all possible *p*-products, i.e. the products of the form $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots$ are integers ≥ 0 of which all but a finite number are 0. Call these numbers generalized integers and suppose that no two generalized integers are equal if their α 's are different. Then arrange $\{l\}$ in an increasing sequence $1 = l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \cdots < l_n < \cdots$.

Let x be any real number ≥ 1 and let [x] denote the number of generalized integers $\le x$. We assume throughout the paper that

(1.1)
$$[x] = x + 0(x^{\alpha}), \text{ where } 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

This assumption is fundamental and under this assumption it has been shown by Horadam ([4], theorem 1) that the number of generalized primes is infinite. Generalized primes were first introduced by Beurling [1], who proved using an assumption equivalent to (1.1) that

(1.2)
$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1-p_r^{-s}}\right)$$
, where $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l_n^s}$, $(s > 1)$.

A generalized integer d is called a divisor of l_n if there exists a $\delta \in \{l\}$ such that $d\delta = l_n$. Let (l_r, l_s) and $[l_r, l_s]$ respectively denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of l_r and l_s . A divisor d of l_n is called unitary if $d\delta = l_n$ and $(d, \delta) = 1$. Let $\tau^*(l_n)$ and $t(l_n)$ denote respectively the number of unitary divisors of l_n and number of ordered pairs of generalized integers l_r and l_s with $[l_r, l_s] = l_n$. It is clear that $\tau^*(l_n) = 2^r$, where r is the number of distinct generalized prime divisors of l_n . Also, $t(l_n) = \sum_{d \mid l_n} \tau^*(d)$ as indicated in [6], so that $t(l_n) = \tau(l_n^2)$, where $\tau(l_n)$ is the number of divisors of l_n . Let $\theta(x)$ denote the number of ordered pairs of generalized integers with l.c.m. $\leq x$. Clearly $\theta(x) = \sum_{l_n \leq x} t(l_n)$. It has been recently shown by Horadam [6] using an estimate for $\sum_{l_n \leq x} \tau^*(l_n)$ obtained by her in [5] that

411

D. Suryanarayana and V. Siva Rama Prasad

(1.3)
$$\theta(x) = \frac{x \log^2 x}{2\zeta(2)} + \left(\frac{3\gamma_1 - 1}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)}\right) x \log x + O(x),$$

where γ_1 is the constant given in (2.1) below and $\zeta'(s)$ is the derivative of $\zeta(s)$.

The object of the present paper is to give a more exact estimation of $\theta(x)$ (see theorem 3.2 below) with an error term equal to $0(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x)$.

2. Auxiliary results

The following elementary estimates given by Horadam in [3] and [6] are needed in our present discussion. These estimates can be proved by using Abel's transformation as described in [3] and (1.1).

(2.1)
$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} \frac{1}{l_n} = \log x + \gamma_1 + O(x^{\alpha - 1}),$$

where γ_1 is a constant.

(2.2)
$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} \frac{1}{l_n^{\beta}} = O(x^{1-\beta}), \text{ if } \beta < 1$$

(2.3)
$$\sum_{l_n > x} \frac{1}{l_n^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

(2.4)
$$\sum_{l_n > x} \frac{\log l_n}{l_n^2} = O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right)$$

(2.5)
$$\sum_{l_n > x} \frac{\log^2 l_n}{l_n^2} = O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{x}\right)$$

(2.6)
$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} \frac{\log l_n}{l_n} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + \delta_1 + O(x^{\alpha - 1} \log x),$$

where δ_1 is a constant.

Further, we need the following:

LEMMA 2.1. ([3], lemma 2.2). If $f(l_n) = \sum_{d\delta = l_n} g(d)h(\delta)$ and $G(x) = \sum_{l_n \le x} g(l_n)$, $H(x) = \sum_{l_n \le x} h(l_n)$, then for all x_1, x_2 satisfying $x_1 x_2 = x$,

$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} f(l_n) = \sum_{l_n \leq x_1} g(l_n) H\left(\frac{x}{l_n}\right) + \sum_{l_n \leq x_2} h(l_n) G\left(\frac{x}{l_n}\right) - G(x_1) H(x_2)$$

Lемма 2.2.

(2.7)
$$T(x) = \sum_{l_n \le x} \tau(l_n) = x(\log x + 2r_1 - 1) + O(x^{(1+\alpha)/2}).$$

PROOF. Taking $g(l_n) = h(l_n) = 1$, $x_1 = x_2 = \sqrt{x}$ in lemma 2.1, we get (2.7) by making use of (1.1), (2.1) and (2.2).

412

Lemma 2.3.

(2.8)
$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} \frac{\tau(l_n)}{l_n} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + 2\gamma_1 \log x + \gamma_1^2 - 2\delta_1 + O(x^{(\alpha-1)/2} \log x).$$

PROOF. Taking $g(l_n) = h(l_n) = 1/l_n$, $x_1 = x_2 = \sqrt{x}$ is lemma 2.1, we get (2.8) by making use of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.6).

LEMMA 2.4. If $\tau_3(l_n)$ denotes the number of ordered triads (l_r, l_s, l_t) of generalized integers such that $l_r l_s l_t = l_n$, then

(2.9)
$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} \tau_3(l_n) = \frac{x}{2} \log^2 x + (3\gamma_1 - 1)x \log x + (3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1)x + O(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x).$$

PROOF. We have $\tau_3(l_n) = \sum_{l_r l_s l_t = l_n} \sum_{d\delta = l_n} \tau(d)$. Taking $g(l_n) = \tau(l_n)$, $h(l_n) = 1$, $x_1 = x^{\frac{3}{2}}$, $x_2 = x^{\frac{3}{2}}$ in lemma 2.1 we get by lemma 2.2, (2.1) and (1.1),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l_n \leq x} \tau_3(l_n) &= \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau(l_n) \left[\frac{x}{l_n} \right] + \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} T\left(\frac{x}{l_n} \right) - T(x^{\frac{3}{2}}) [x^{\frac{1}{2}}] \\ &= \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau(l_n) \left\{ \frac{x}{l_n} + O\left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{l_n^{\alpha}} \right) \right\} + \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{x}{l_n} \left(\log \frac{x}{l_n} + 2\gamma_1 - 1 \right) + O\left(\frac{x^{(1+\alpha)/2}}{l_n^{(1+\alpha)/2}} \right) \right\} \\ &- \left\{ x^{\frac{3}{2}} (\frac{2}{3} \log x + 2\gamma_1 - 1) + O(x^{(1+\alpha)/3}) \right\} \{x^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(x^{\alpha/3}) \} \\ &= x \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\tau(l_n)}{l_n} + O\left(x^{\alpha} \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\tau(l_n)}{l_n^{\alpha}} \right) + x(\log x + 2\gamma_1 - 1) \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{l_n} \\ &- x \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\log l_n}{l_n} + O\left(x^{(1+\alpha)/2} \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{l_n^{(1+\alpha)/2}} \right) \\ &- x(\frac{2}{3} \log x + 2\gamma_1 - 1) + O(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x). \end{split}$$

We have by (2.2) and (2.1),

$$\sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\tau(l_n)}{l_n^{\alpha}} = \sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{d\delta = l_n} \frac{1}{d^{\alpha} \delta^{\alpha}} = \sum_{d\delta \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{d^{\alpha} \delta^{\alpha}} = \sum_{d \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{d^{\alpha}} \sum_{\delta \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}/d}} \frac{1}{\delta^{\alpha}}$$
$$= O\left(\sum_{d \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{d^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{x^{\frac{3}{2}}}{d}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right) = O\left(x^{(2-2\alpha)/3} \sum_{d \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{d}\right)$$
$$= O(x^{(2-2\alpha)/3} \log x).$$

Also, by (2.2)

$$\sum_{l_n \leq x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{l_n^{(1+\alpha)/2}} = O(x^{(1-\alpha)/6}).$$

Hence by lemma 2.3 and (2.6),

[3]

$$\sum_{l_n \leq x} \tau_3(l_n) = x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\frac{2}{3})^2 \log^2 x + \frac{4\gamma_1}{3} \log x + \gamma_1^2 - 2\delta_1 + O(x^{(\alpha - 1)/3} \log x) \right\}$$

+ $x (\log x + 2\gamma_1 - 1) \{ \frac{1}{3} \log x + \gamma_1 + O(x^{(\alpha - 1)/3}) \}$
- $x \{ \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{3})^2 \log^2 x + \delta_1 + O(x^{(\alpha - 1)/3} \log x) \}$
- $x (\frac{2}{3} \log x + 2\gamma_1 - 1) + O(x^{(2 + \alpha)/3} \log x)$
= $\frac{x}{2} \log^2 x + (3\gamma_1 - 1)x \log x + (3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1)x + O(x^{(2 + \alpha)/3} \log x).$

Hence lemma 2.4 follows.

LEMMA 2.5. If $g(l_n)$ and $h(l_n)$ are multiplicative functions, then

$$f(l_n) = \sum_{d^2|l_n} g(d)h\left(\frac{l_n}{d^2}\right)$$

is also multiplicative.

PROOF. This can be proved exactly in the same way as the corresponding result for natural numbers proved in ([7], lemma 2.4 for k = 2).

3. Asymptotic formula for $\theta(x)$

Let $\mu(l_n)$ be the Möbius function for generalized integers defined by Horadam [2] as follows: $\mu(l_n) = 0$ if l_n has a square factor; $\mu(l_n) = (-1)^r$, where r is the number of distinct generalized prime factors of l_n and l_n has no square factor; $\mu(1) = 1$. It is clear that $\mu(l_n)$ is multiplicative.

LEMMA 3.1. ([3], (2.1)). If s > 1,

(3.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(l_n)}{l_n^s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. If s > 1,

(3.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(l_n) \log l_n}{l_n^s} = -\eta'(s),$$

where $\eta'(s)$ is the derivative of $\eta(s) = 1/\zeta(s)$.

PROOF. Since the series in (3.2) is uniformly convergent for $s \ge 1 + \varepsilon > 1$, we obtain (3.2) by term-wise differentiation of the series in (3.1) with respect to s.

LEMMA 3.3. If s > 1,

(3.3)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(l_n) \log^2 l_n}{l_n^s} = \eta''(s),$$

where $\eta''(s)$ is the second derivative of $\eta(s)$.

414

PROOF. This follows by term-wise differentiation of the series in (3.2). We now prove the following:

THEOREM 3.1.

$$t(l_n) = \sum_{d^2 \mid l_n} \mu(d) \tau_3 \left(\frac{l_n}{d^2}\right).$$

PROOF. Since $\mu(l_n)$ is multiplicative and $\tau_3(l_n) = \sum_{d \mid l_n} \tau(d)$ is multiplicative, it follows by lemma 2.5 that the function on the right side of the theorem is multiplicative. Also, $t(l_n) = \tau(l_n^2)$ is multiplicative. Hence, it is enough, if we prove the theorem for $l_n = p^{\nu}$, where p is a generalized prime and this can be done by making use of

$$\tau_{3}(p^{\nu}) = \sum_{d \mid p^{\nu}} \tau(d) = \frac{(\nu+1)(\nu+2)}{2}$$

and $t(p^{\nu}) = 2\nu + 1$.

THEOREM 3.2.

(3.4)
$$\theta(x) = \frac{x \log^2 x}{2\zeta(2)} + \left(\frac{3\gamma_1 - 1}{\zeta(2)} + 2\eta'(2)\right) x \log x \\ + \left\{\frac{3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1}{\zeta(2)} + 2(3\gamma_1 - 1)\eta'(2) + 2\eta''(2)\right\} x \\ + O(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x),$$

where γ_1 and δ_1 are constants given in (2.1) and (2.6), $\eta'(2)$ and $\eta''(2)$ are the values of the first and second derivatives of $\eta(s) = 1/\zeta(s)$ at s = 2.

PROOF. We have by theorem 3.1 and lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x) &= \sum_{l_n \leq x} t(l_n) = \sum_{l_n \leq x} \sum_{d^2 \delta = l_n} \mu(d) \tau_3(\delta) = \sum_{d^2 \delta \leq x} \mu(d) \tau_3(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(d) \sum_{\delta \leq x/d^2} \tau_3(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{x}{2d^2} \log^2\left(\frac{x}{d^2}\right) + (3\gamma_1 - 1) \frac{x}{d^2} \log\frac{x}{d^2} + (3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1) \frac{x}{d^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{(2+\alpha)/3}}{d^{(4+2\alpha)/3}} \log\frac{x}{d^2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{x}{2} \log^2 x + (3\gamma_1 - 1)x \log x + (3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1)x \right\} \sum_{l_n \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(l_n)}{l_n^2} \\ &- 2x(\log x + 3\gamma_1 - 1) \sum_{l_n \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(l_n) \log l_n}{l_n^2} \\ &+ 2x \sum_{l_n \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(l_n) \log^2 l_n}{l_n^2} + O(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 for s = 2 and (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x) &= \left\{ \frac{x}{2} \log^2 x + (3\gamma_1 - 1)x \log x + (3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1)x \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \right\} \\ &- 2x(\log x + 3\gamma_1 - 1) \left\{ -\eta'(2) + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \right\} \\ &+ 2x \left\{ \eta''(2) + O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \right\} + O(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x) \\ &= \frac{x \log^2 x}{2\zeta(2)} + \left(\frac{3\gamma_1 - 1}{\zeta(2)} + 2\eta'(2)\right) x \log x \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{3\gamma_1^2 - 3\gamma_1 - 3\delta_1 + 1}{\zeta(2)} + 2(3\gamma_1 - 1)\eta'(2) + 2\eta''(2) \right\} x \\ &+ O(x^{(2+\alpha)/3} \log x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus theorem 3.2 is proved.

References

- [1] A. Beurling, 'Analyse de la loé asymptotique de la distribution des nombres premiers généralisés', Acta Mathematica, 68 (1937), 255-291.
- [2] E. M. Horadam, 'Arithmetical functions of generalized primes', Amer. Math. Monthly, 68 (1961), 626-629.
- [3] E. M. Horadam, 'The Euler φ -function for generalized integers', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14 (1963), 754-762.
- [4] E. M. Horadam, 'The order of Arithmetical functions of generalized integers', Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 506-512.
- [5] E. M. Horadam, The number of unitary divisors of a generalized integer', Amer. Math. Monthly, 71 (1964), 893-895.
- [6] E. M. Horadam, 'On the number of pairs of generalized integers with least common multiple not exceeding x', Amer. Math. Monthly, 74 (1967), 811-812.
- [7] D. Suryanarayana, 'The number of k-ary divisors of an integer', Monatsh. Math., 72 (1968), 445---450.

Department of Mathematics Andhra University Waltair, A.P. India