

## Letter to the Editor

**Cite this article:** Baider L, Wein S, Goldzweig G (2023). Facing discrimination in research. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **21**, 186–187. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951522000761>

Received: 29 May 2022  
Accepted: 7 June 2022

**Author for correspondence:**

Simon Wein, Palliative Care, Davidoff Cancer Center, Petach Tikvah, Israel.  
E-mail: [simonwe@clalit.org.il](mailto:simonwe@clalit.org.il)

The *Palliative & Supportive Care* journal challenges us to recognize and to fight racism in research (Goldzweig et al., 2021). We suggest broadening the perspective of racism to include prejudice. Prejudice is recognized in many dimensions of difference including class, culture, language, gender, religion, sexual orientation, disability, and intellectual.

Prejudice against a given intellectual stance may be more difficult to identify and overcome. Herein are examples of intellectual prejudice:

1. Academics from a different race who might have different ways of analyzing and thinking may find it more difficult to be published in higher impact factor journals.
2. Researchers from countries which are the target of international discrimination may be collectively labeled and have their papers discriminated against.
3. Strictly quantitative data are also subject to interpretation (Coryn, 2007; Lakew, 2017), hence different understanding and methodologies can produce divergent and even opposing results. This disparity will grow as big data, artificial intelligence, and computerized algorithms are increasingly used in risk factor identification and clinical decision-making.
4. Where research shows that race is a risk factor for unhealthy or unacceptable behavior, should this finding be ignored since it might promote race-based disparities, or should these findings be used to improve care?

Social psychology research identifies several approaches to reducing prejudice and discrimination. They include:

- Increasing intergroup contact and dialogue to dispel stereotypes (Wells et al., 2016).
- Fostering greater empathy and perspective.
- Minimizing boundaries by emphasizing commonalities (Pettigrew and Tropp, 2006, 2008; Beelmann and Heinemann, 2014; American Psychological Association, 2017).
- Creating specific anti-discrimination and anti-harassment policies.
- Transforming academic publishing norms to express the value of a plurality of identities and modes of identity expression.

These broad guidelines should find their place in medical and nursing schools, healthcare institutions, and national and international healthcare infrastructures.

The purpose of addressing discrimination and prejudice is to enlighten and to remedy — and where better than in science?

“That is what science is: that it is worthwhile rechecking, by new direct experience, and not necessarily trusting... experience from the past... Science is the belief in the ignorance of experts... It teaches the value of rational thought as well as the importance of freedom of thought. There is the beauty and the wonder of the world that is discovered through the results of these new experiences” (Feynman, 1968).

As scientist and palliative care physician, William Breitbart wrote: “I am... in awe of the material world but I am also a human being who will continue to wonder and explore what lies within, without and beyond” (Breitbart, 2005).

**Author contribution.** All authors contributed equally to the paper’s design and writing.

**Financial support.** No external funding was used for writing this article.

**Conflict of interest.** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- American Psychological Association** (2017) Multicultural Guidelines: An Ecological Approach to Context, Identity, and Intersectionality.
- Beelmann A and Heinemann KS** (2014) Preventing prejudice and improving intergroup attitudes: A meta-analysis of child and adolescent training programs. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* **35**, 10–24.

- Breitbart W** (2005) God and science: Can we believe in both? *Palliative and Supportive Care* **3**, 167–169.
- Coryn CL** (2007) The ‘holy trinity’ of methodological rigor: A skeptical view. *Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation* **4**(7), 26–31.
- Feynman R** (1968) What is science? *The Physics Teacher* **7**, 313–320.
- Goldzweig G, Applebaum A, Borasio G, et al.** (2021) Fighting racism in research. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **19**(5), 513–514.
- Lakew Y** (2017) Statistical tales: Bringing in reflexivity to make sense of quantitative data. In Tosoni S, Carpentier N, Murru MF, et al. (eds.), *Present Scenarios of Media Production and Engagement*, Bremen: edition Lumiere, pp. 225–238.
- Pettigrew TF and Tropp LR** (2006) A meta-analytic test of intergroup contact theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **90**(5), 751–783.
- Pettigrew TF and Tropp LR** (2008) How does intergroup contact reduce prejudice? Meta-analytic tests of three mediators. *European Journal of Social Psychology* **38**, 922–934.
- Wells AS, Fox L and Cordova-Cob D** (2016) How Racially Diverse Schools and Classrooms Can Benefit All Students. The Century Foundation, Report K-12.