https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100019997 Published online by Cambridge University Press

WORLD POLITICS

A Quarterly Journal of International Relations

> Volume 52 October 1999–July 2000

UNDER THE EDITORIAL SPONSORSHIP OF

CENTER OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

PUBLISHED BY THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY PRESS

EDITORIAL BOARD MICHAEL W. Doyle, Chair

Suzanne Berger, Timothy J. Colton, Barry Eichengreen, Judith Goldstein, Charles Lipson, Edward Mansfield, Lisa L. Martin, Joel S. Migdal, James D. Morrow, Kevin J. O'Brien, T. J. Pempel, Henry Shue, Kathleen Thelen, Robert C. Tucker, Robert Wade, John Waterbury

Editorial Committee: Nancy Bermeo, Miguel Centeno, Richard D. Challener, George W. Downs, Michael W. Doyle, Richard Falk, Aaron L. Friedberg, Jeffrey Herbst (Review Articles), Harold James, Atul Kohli, Lynn T. White III

Associate Editors: Jeremy Adelman, Sheri E. Berman, Kent Calder,
Kent Eaton, Harold A. Feiveson, Gilbert F. Rozman, Kenneth A. Schultz,
Anna Seleny, Paul E. Sigmund, Robert L.Tignor, Deborah J. Yashar
Executive Editor: Ilene P. Cohen; Assistant Editor: Susan Bindig
Secretary: Patricia D. Zimmer

The editors invite submission of articles bearing upon problems in international relations and comparative politics. Manuscripts and notes should be double-spaced throughout and submitted in triplicate, together with an abstract. Word count should be indicated. Manuscripts may be up to 10,000 words, including notes; those that exceed the limit by more than 10 percent will *not* be considered. Address: WORLD POLITICS, Bendheim Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544.

Authors may expect to be notified of the editors' decision within four months. Procedures for reviewing manuscripts are based on the anonymity of the author and the confidentiality of readers' and editors' reports; hence, self-references should be removed. Manuscripts are referred by the editors and by other scholars at Princeton and at other institutions. WORLD POLITICS does not accept manuscripts that have already been published, are scheduled for publication elsewhere, or have been simultaneously submitted to another journal; this applies to both print and online formats. Statements of fact and opinion appearing in the journal are made on the responsibility of the authors alone and do not imply the endorsement of the editors or publisher. The journal does not publish communications to the editor or rejoinders to specific articles; scholars who believe they have been challenged are encouraged to submit an article that will advance the scholarly debate. The WORLD POLITICS home page is found at http://www.princeton.edu/world_politics.

Reprint permission: Permissions Department, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319.

Subscriptions: WORLD POLITICS, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319. Phone: (410) 516-6987; FAX: (410) 516-6968; toll-free (800) 548-1784. Individuals: \$28 per year; institutions: \$86 per year. Single copies: individuals, \$8.00; institutions, \$21.00. For postage and handling in Canada and Mexico, add \$4.00 per year; outside of North America, \$9.00.

Back Issues: WORLD POLITICS is available in microfilm or xerographic copies from University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, MI 48106. Two years after publication, complete volumes are available in microfiche form from KTO Microform, Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546. Individual issues in the current and two preceding volumes may be ordered from The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319.

Advertising: Journals Advertising Coordinator, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319.

WORLD POLITICS is indexed by ABC POL SCI, Book Review Index, Combined Retrospective Index Sets (CRIS), Combined Retrospective Index to Book Reviews in Scholarly Journals, 1886–1974 (RSJ), PAIS Bulletin Social Science Index, and Periodica Islamica; it is abstracted and indexed in United States Political Science Documents, International Bibliograpy of Periodical Literature (IBZ), International Bibliograpy of Book Reviews (IBR), and Current Military and Political Literature. Abstracts of articles also appear in Book Review Digest, Historical Abstracts, International Development Abstracts, International Political Science Abstracts, Political Science Abstracts, and Social Sciences Abstracts.

Copyright © 1999, 2000 by The Johns Hopkins University Press

WORLD POLITICS (ISSN 0043-8871). Published quarterly by The Johns Hopkins University Press. Vol. 52, October 1999–July 2000. Periodicals postage paid at Baltimore, MD, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to WORLD POLITICS, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319. Printed in the United States of America by Capital City Press.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100019997 Published online by Cambridge University Press

CONTENTS

No. 1—October 1999

Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations, 1885–1992	John R. Oneal and Bruce Russett	1		
The High Politics of IMF Lending	Strom C. Thacker	38		
Democracy, Indigenous Movements, and the Postliberal Challenge in Latin America	e <i>Deborah J. Yashar</i>	76		
Loyalty Dilemmas and Market Reform: Party-Union Alliances under Stress in Mexico, Spain, and Venezuela	Katrina Burgess	105		
No. 2—January 2000				
From Populism to Neoliberalism: Labor Unions and Market Reforms in Latin America	M. Victoria Murillo	135		
Contingent Democrats: Industrialists, Labor, and Democratization in Late-Developing Countries	Eva Bellin	175		
The Religious Roots of Modern International Relations	Daniel Philpott	206		
REVIEW ARTICLE				
The Many Voices of Political Culture: Assessing Different Approaches	Richard W. Wilson	246		
No. 3—April 2000	0			
Identity and Persuasion: How Nations Remember Their Pasts and Make Their Futures	Consuelo Cruz	275		
The Causes of Welfare State Expansion: Deindustrialization or Globalization?	Torben Iversen and Thomas R. Cusack	313		

Wage Inequality and Varieties of Capitalism	David Rueda and Jonas Pontusson	350
Research Note		
Reassessing the Three Waves of Democratization	Renske Doorenspleet	384
REVIEW ARTICLE		
The Study of Money	Jonathan Kirshner	407
No. 4—July 2000		
Partition as a Solution to Ethnic War: An Empirical Critique of the Theoretical Literature	Nicholas Sambanis	437
Degrees of Democracy: Some Comparative Lessons from India	Patrick Heller	484
The Ideological Determinants of Liberal Economic Reform: The Case of Privatization	Hilary Appel	520
REVIEW ARTICLES		
What's So Different about a Counterfactual?	Richard Ned Lebow	550
Understanding China's Reform: Looking beyond Neoclassical Explanations	Shu-Yun Ma	586

WORLD POLITICS

Vol. 52 • October 1999 • No. 1

CONTENTS

The Kantian Peace: The Pacific Benefits of Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations, 1885–1992	John R. Oneal and Bruce Russett	1
The High Politics of IMF Lending	Strom C. Thacker	38
Democracy, Indigenous Movements, and the Postliberal Challenge in Latin America	Deborah J. Yashar	76
Loyalty Dilemmas and Market Reform: Party-Union Alliances under Stress in Mexico, Spain, and Venezuela	Katrina Burgess	105
The Contributors		ii
Abstracts		iii

THE CONTRIBUTORS

JOHN R. ONEAL is Professor of Political Science at the University of Alabama at Tuscaloosa, and will spend spring term 2000 as a Fulbright Scholar and Fellow at the Norwegian Nobel Institute in Oslo. He is the author (with Bruce Russett) of *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations* (forthcoming).

BRUCE RUSSETT is Dean Acheson Professor of Political Science and Director of United Nations Studies at Yale University. He is the author (with John R. Oneal) of *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations* (forthcoming).

STROM C. THACKER is Assistant Professor of International Relations at Boston University. He is the author of *Big Business, the State, and Free Trade: Constructing Coalitions in Mexico* (forthcoming). He is currently conducting research on the polities of bilateral and multilateral aid and on neoliberalism and democracy in Latin America.

DEBORAH J. YASHAR is Assistant Professor of Politics and International Affairs at Princeton University. She is the author of *Demanding Democracy: Reform and Reaction in Costa Rica and Guatemala, 1870s–1990s* (1997) and of articles and chapters on political regimes, social movements, and ethnic politics. She is currently writing a book that examines the intersection of democracy, citizenship, and indigenous movements in Latin America.

KATRINA BURGESS is Assistant Professor of Political Science in the Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs at Syracuse University. She has published several articles on union politics in Spain and is coeditor (with Abraham F. Lowenthal) of *The California-Mexico Connection* (1993). Currently she is completing a book manuscript on party-union relations in Mexico, Spain, and Venezuela and is beginning a new comparative project on the adaptive capacities of mass-based parties faced with crises of representation.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Johns Hopkins University Press for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the fee of \$3.25 per article is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

0043-88 71/94 \$03.25

ABSTRACTS

THE KANTIAN PEACE

THE PACIFIC BENEFITS OF DEMOCRACY, INTERDEPENDENCE, AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, 1885–1992

By JOHN R. ONEAL and BRUCE RUSSETT

The authors test Kantian and realist theories of interstate conflict using data extending over more than a century, treating those theories as complementary rather than competing. As the classical liberals believed, democracy, economic interdependence, and international organizations have strong and statistically significant effects on reducing the probability that states will be involved in militarized disputes. Moreover, the benefits are not limited to the cold war era. Some realist influences, notably distance and power predominance, also reduce the likelihood of interstate conflict. The character of the international system, too, affects the probability of dyadic disputes. The consequences of having a strong hegemonic power vary, but high levels of democracy and interdependence in the international system reduce the probability of conflict for all dyads, not just for those that are democratic or dependent on trade.

THE HIGH POLITICS OF IMF LENDING BY STROM C. THACKER

Analysts have long suspected that politics affects the lending patterns of the International Monetary Fund (IMF), but none have adequately specified or systematically tested competing explanations. This paper develops a political explanation of IMF lending and tests it statistically on the developing countries between 1985 and 1994. It finds that political realignment toward the United States, the largest power in the IMF, increases a country's probability of receiving an IMF loan. A country's static political alignment position has no significant impact during this period, suggesting that these processes are best modeled dynamically. An analysis of two subsamples rejects the hypothesis that the IMF has become less politicized since the end of the cold war and suggests that the influence of politics has actually increased since 1990. The behavior of multilateral organizations is still driven by the political interests of their more powerful member states.

Democracy, Indigenous Movements, and the Postliberal Challenge in Latin America

By DEBORAH J. YASHAR

Scholars of democratic consolidation have come to focus on the links between political institutions and enduring regime outcomes. This article takes issue with the conceptual and analytical underpinnings of this literature by highlighting how new political institutions, rather than securing democratic politics, have in fact had a more checkered effect. It delineates why the theoretical expectations of the democratic consolidation literature have not been realized and draws, by example, on the contemporary ethnic movements that are now challenging third-wave democracies. In particular, it highlights how contemporary indigenous movements, emerging in response to unevenly institutionalized reforms, pose a postliberal challenge to Latin America's newly founded democracies. These movements have sparked political debates and constitutional reforms over community rights, territorial autonomy, and a multiethnic citizenry. As a whole, they have laid bare the weakness of state institutions, the contested terms of democracy, and the indeterminacy of ethnic accommodation in the region. As such, these movements highlight the need to qualify somewhat premature and narrow discussions of democratic consolidation in favor of a broader research agenda on democratic politics.

LOYALTY DILEMMAS AND MARKET REFORM

PARTY-UNION ALLIANCES UNDER STRESS IN MEXICO, SPAIN, AND VENEZUELA

By KATRINA BURGESS

Market reform has dealt a serious blow to traditional alliances between governing parties and labor unions. This article examines the fate of these alliances by applying a revised version of Albert Hirschman's schema of exit, voice, and loyalty to party-union relations in Mexico, Spain, and Venezuela. After refining the concept of loyalty, the author argues that it is embedded in the principles and norms on which these alliances are based. Market reform places party-affiliated labor leaders in a "loyalty dilemma" in which they have no choice but to behave disloyally toward one set of claimants. Their propensity to respond with either voice or exit depends on their vulnerability to reprisals for disloyal behavior and the party's capacity to retain their loyalty even in the face of sacrifices imposed on workers and unions. Both variables are linked to the authority structures in which labor and party leaders find themselves. In the short to medium run the alliances most likely to survive are those in which labor leaders have significant autonomy from their bases and/or in which the party is able and willing to challenge its own executive. In the long run, however, even these alliances may be vulnerable to collapse because of popular frustrations with the inadequacy of interest representation and the multiple pressures on political organizations to adapt to a more fluid and uncertain environment.