

LEVELS OF DIVISION ALGEBRAS

by DAVID B. LEEP

(Received 7 June, 1989)

Introduction. In [7] the level, sublevel, and product level of finite dimensional central division algebras D over a field F were calculated when F is a local or global field. In Theorem 1.4 of this paper we calculate the same quantities if all finite extensions K of F satisfy $\tilde{u}(K) \leq 2$, where \tilde{u} is the Hasse number of a field as defined in [2]. This occurs, for example, if F is an algebraic extension of the function field $R(x)$ where R is a real closed field or hereditarily Euclidean field (see [4]).

We recall the main definitions here. The *level* of D , $s(D)$, is the least integer s such that -1 is a sum of s squares in D . The *sublevel* of D , $\underline{s}(D)$, is the least integer \underline{s} such that 0 is a sum of $\underline{s} + 1$ nonzero squares in D . The *product level* of D , $s_\pi(D)$, is the least integer s_π such that -1 is a sum of s_π elements which are products of squares in D . In each case, s , \underline{s} , or s_π is set equal to ∞ if no such representation exists. Clearly $s_\pi(D) \leq \underline{s}(D) \leq s(D)$ and if D is a field then all three quantities agree with the usual level of a field.

Section 1 deals with those properties of formally real fields that are useful in calculating levels of division algebras. In Sections 2 and 3 we restrict attention to the case of quaternion division algebras. Additional background to the problem of calculating levels of division algebras may be found in the introduction to [7]. The main references for Sections 2 and 3 are [9, 10].

We use standard terminology from the theory of quadratic forms and ordered fields as found in [6] and [11]. Let F^\times denote the nonzero elements of F . We shall assume throughout that $\text{char } F \neq 2$. We let $D_F(q)$ denote the nonzero elements of F represented by a quadratic form q over F . The topological space of orderings of a field F is denoted X_F . Basic properties of X_F and basic results on SAP fields can be found in [3] and [11].

1. Levels and sublevels of division algebras over formally real fields. In this section we shall assume $-1 \notin F^2$, since otherwise $s_\pi(D) = \underline{s}(D) = s(D) = 1$ for any division algebra D . We recall from [7] that for a cyclic extension K/F of odd degree, $t(K/F)$ is the least integer t for which there exist $a_1, \dots, a_t \in K$ such that $N_{K/F}(a_i) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $-1 \in D_K(\langle a_1, \dots, a_t \rangle)$. We will use the following two results from [7].

(1) [7, Proposition 2.4] If K/F is a cyclic extension of odd degree $n > 1$, then $t(K/F) \leq n - 1$.

(2) [7, Proposition 2.5, 2.6] Let D be a division algebra of odd degree over its center F . Then $2 \leq \underline{s}(D)$ and $\min\{3, s(F)\} \leq s(D)$. If, in addition, D is a cyclic division algebra and K is a maximal subfield cyclic over F , then $\underline{s}(D) \leq t(K/F)$ and $s(D) \leq t(K/F) + 1$.

Let K/F be a cyclic extension of degree $n > 1$ and let σ be an automorphism that generates $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. If P is an ordering on K , then $P, \sigma(P), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(P)$ are distinct orderings of K and if $a \in K^\times$, then $\sigma(a) \in P$ if and only if $a \in \sigma^{-1}(P)$.

Let $\epsilon_{K/F}: X_K \rightarrow X_F$ be the continuous map defined by restricting an ordering on K to F . Then there exists a clopen set Y in X_K such that $\epsilon_{K/F}|_Y$ is a homeomorphism onto $\epsilon_{K/F}(X_K)$. (See [1, p. 139] or [8, Theorem 1.10].)

We claim $X_K = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i(Y)$ is a disjoint union of clopen sets. Clearly each $\sigma^i(Y)$ is

clopen. If $P \in \sigma^i(Y) \cap \sigma^j(Y)$ then $P = \sigma^i(P') = \sigma^j(P'')$, $P', P'' \in Y$. It follows that $\epsilon_{K/F}(\sigma^{-i}(P)) = \epsilon_{K/F}(\sigma^{-j}(P))$ and this implies $\sigma^{-i}(P) = \sigma^{-j}(P)$ since each lies in Y . Therefore $i = j$. Finally, if $P \in X_K$, then $\epsilon_{K/F}(P) = \epsilon_{K/F}(P')$ for some $P' \in Y$. It follows that $P = \sigma^i(P')$ for some i , and $P \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i(Y)$.

1.1. LEMMA. *Using the notation above, assume also that K is a SAP field. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in K^\times$ such that $\left\langle 1, \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)}, \frac{\beta}{\sigma(\beta)} \right\rangle$ is totally indefinite over K .*

Proof. If n is even, the lemma is trivial since $N_{K/F}(-1) = 1$ implies $-1 = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)}$ for some $\alpha \in K^\times$ by Hilbert's Satz 90. Now assume n is odd. Let $D_1 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} \sigma^{2i}(Y)$ and let $D_2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} \sigma^{2i+1}(Y)$. Note that $X_K = D_1 \cup D_2$, $D_1 \cap D_2 = Y$ and D_1, D_2 are clopen sets. Using the SAP property of K , choose $\alpha, \beta \in K^\times$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha >_{\rho} 0 & \text{ if } P \in D_1 & \beta >_{\rho} 0 & \text{ if } P \in D_2, \\ \alpha <_{\rho} 0 & \text{ if } P \notin D_1 & \beta <_{\rho} 0 & \text{ if } P \notin D_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} <_{\rho} 0$ if $P \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma^i(Y)$ and $\frac{\beta}{\sigma(\beta)} <_{\rho} 0$ if $P \in \bigcup_{i=2}^n \sigma^i(Y)$. Therefore $\left\langle 1, \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)}, \frac{\beta}{\sigma(\beta)} \right\rangle$ is totally indefinite over K .

1.2. PROPOSITION. *Suppose K/F is a cyclic extension of odd degree $n > 1$. If K is a SAP field and K satisfies property A_m , $m \geq 2$, (every torsion m -fold Pfister form defined over K is hyperbolic), then $t(K/F) \leq \min\{n - 1, 2^{m-1}\}$.*

Proof. In general $t(K/F) \leq n - 1$ [7, Proposition 2.4]. Since K is a SAP field we may choose $\alpha, \beta \in K^\times$ as in Proposition 1.1. Then $q = \left\langle 1, \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)}, \frac{\beta}{\sigma(\beta)} \right\rangle$ is a totally indefinite quadratic form defined over K and $\tau = q \perp \left\langle \frac{\alpha\beta}{\sigma(\alpha\beta)} \right\rangle$ is a torsion 2-fold Pfister form over K . Therefore $2^{m-2}\tau$ is hyperbolic over K , since K satisfies A_m , and it follows that the subform $\langle 1 \rangle \perp 2^{m-3}\tau$ is isotropic over K if $m \geq 3$ and q is isotropic over K if $m = 2$. This implies $-1 \in D_K(2^{m-3}\tau)$ if $m \geq 3$ and $-1 \in D_K\left(\left\langle \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)}, \frac{\beta}{\sigma(\beta)} \right\rangle\right)$ if $m = 2$. In each case $t(K/F) \leq 2^{m-1}$.

1.3. COROLLARY. *Suppose K/F is a cyclic extension of odd degree $n > 1$. If $\bar{u}(K) < 2^m$, $m \geq 2$, then $t(K/F) \leq \min\{n - 1, 2^{m-1}\}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 1.2 since K satisfies property A_m , $m \geq 2$. Note that K is a SAP field by [4, Theorems B, C].

1.4. THEOREM. *Let $D \neq F$ be a finite-dimensional crossed product division algebra over a field F . Suppose K is a maximal subfield of D , K/F Galois, and $\bar{u}(K) \leq 2$. Then*

- (1) $s(D) = \underline{s}(D) = s_\pi(D) = 1$ if $\deg D$ is even,
- (2) $s(D) = \min\{3, s(F)\}$ if $\deg D$ is odd,
- (3) $\underline{s}(D) = s_\pi(D) = 2$ if $\deg D$ is odd.

(We are still assuming $-1 \notin F^2$.)

Proof. First assume $\deg D$ is even. Then $[K:F]$ is even and Galois theory implies there exists a subfield L with $[K:L] = 2$ and $\bar{u}(L) \leq 2$ by [5, Proposition 3.3]. The centralizer of L in D is then a quaternion algebra $(a, b)_L$ with $a, b \in L$. The quadratic form $q = \langle 1, a, b, -ab \rangle$ is isotropic over L since q is totally indefinite over L and $\bar{u}(L) \leq 2$. Therefore $-1 = a\alpha^2 + b\beta^2 - ab\gamma^2 = (\alpha i + \beta j + \gamma k)^2$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$ and where $i, j, k = ij$ is the standard basis of $(a, b)_L$. This shows $s(D) = 1$ and hence $\underline{s}(D) = s_\pi(D) = 1$.

Now assume $\deg D$ is odd. Then $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ has odd order and K contains a subfield L corresponding to a subgroup of prime order. Thus K/L is a cyclic extension of odd degree > 1 . The centralizer of L in D is a cyclic algebra E of odd degree over its center L . From [7, Proposition 2.6] we have $2 \leq \underline{s}(D)$ and $\min\{3, s(F)\} \leq s(D)$. From [7, Proposition 2.5] and Corollary 1.3 we have $\underline{s}(D) \leq \underline{s}(E) \leq t(K/L) \leq 2$ and $s(D) \leq s(E) \leq t(K/L) + 1 \leq 3$. Since $s(D) \leq s(F)$ we conclude that $s(D) = \min\{3, s(F)\}$ and $\underline{s}(D) = 2$. We have $2 \leq s_\pi(D) \leq \underline{s}(D)$ since $\deg D$ is odd [7, Proposition 1.1] and therefore $s_\pi(D) = 2$.

2. Levels and sublevels of quaternion algebras. Levels and sublevels of quaternion algebras were considered in [9] and [10]. We give several additional results in this section. For convenience we list some of Lewis's results below in Proposition 2.1.

Let $D = \left(\frac{a, b}{F}\right)$ be a quaternion algebra with standard basis $\{1, i, j, k = ij\}$ where $i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ji = -ij$. Following the notation in [9, 10], let $T_D = \langle 1, a, b, -ab \rangle$ and $T_P = \langle a, b, -ab \rangle$. We will consider the equation $c = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n (x_\lambda + y_\lambda i + z_\lambda j + w_\lambda k)^2$ with $c = 0$ or -1 . Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Then this equation is equivalent to $c = \sum x_\lambda^2 + a \sum y_\lambda^2 + b \sum z_\lambda^2 - ab \sum w_\lambda^2$ and $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{z} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{w} = 0$.

Note that $s_\pi(D) = 1$ for all quaternion algebras D since $i^2 j^2 (ij)^{-2} = -1$. Also note that if D is a split algebra, then we may assume $a = 1$. In this case T_P is isotropic and the next result shows $s(D) = 1$.

2.1. PROPOSITION. (1) [9, Lemmas 2, 4] *If $\langle 1 \rangle \perp nT_P$ is isotropic over F , then $s(D) \leq n$. The converse holds if $n = 2^k - 1, k \geq 2$. If $k = 1$, then $s(D) = 1$ if and only if either T_D is isotropic or $-1 \in F^2$.*

(2) [10, Proposition 2] *If either $\langle 1 \rangle \perp nT_P$ or $(n + 1)T_P$ is isotropic over F , then $\underline{s}(D) \leq n$. The converse holds if $n = 2^k - 1, k \geq 1$.*

Lewis proved the "only if" direction of the following result in [9, Lemma 3].

2.2. THEOREM. *For $k \geq 0, s(D) \leq 2^k$ if and only if either (1) or (2) below holds.*

- (1) $(2^k + 1)\langle 1 \rangle \perp (2^k - 1)T_P$ is isotropic over F .
- (2) $\langle 1 \rangle \perp 2^k T_P$ is isotropic over F .

Proof. We prove the “if” direction here. If (2) holds then $s(D) \leq 2^k$ by Proposition 2.1 (1). Now assume (1) holds. Then there exists $-A \in D_F(\langle 1 \rangle \perp (2^k - 1)T_P)$ for some nonzero $A \in D_F(2^k \langle 1 \rangle)$. Hence for some $\alpha \in F$ and $B, C, D \in D_F((2^k - 1)\langle 1 \rangle) \cup \{0\}$ we have

$$-A = \alpha^2 + aB + bC - abD, \text{ i.e., } -1 = \frac{1}{A^2}(\alpha^2 A + aAB + bAC - abAD).$$

Let $A = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2^k} x_\lambda^2$. We show now there exist $y_\lambda \in F$ such that $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2^k} y_\lambda^2 = AB$ and $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = 0$. If $B = 0$, let each $y_\lambda = 0$. If $B \neq 0$, then $\langle A, AB \rangle \cong A \langle 1, B \rangle$ is a subform of $A \cdot 2^k \langle 1 \rangle \cong 2^k \langle 1 \rangle$ since $B \in D_F((2^k - 1)\langle 1 \rangle)$ and $A \in D_F(2^k \langle 1 \rangle)$. Therefore such a \bar{y} exists. Similarly \bar{z}, \bar{w} exist such that $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2^k} z_\lambda^2 = AC, \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2^k} w_\lambda^2 = AD$ and $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{w} = 0$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2^k} \left(\frac{\alpha x_\lambda}{A} + \frac{y_\lambda}{A} i + \frac{z_\lambda}{A} j + \frac{w_\lambda}{A} k \right)^2 = \frac{1}{A^2}(\alpha^2 A + aAB + bAC - abAD) = -1.$$

Therefore $s(D) \leq 2^k$.

2.3. LEMMA. *Suppose $2^k T_P$ is isotropic, $k \geq 0$. Then $(1 + [\frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^k])T_P$ is isotropic. ($[\]$ is the greatest integer function.)*

Proof. If $2^k T_P$ is isotropic then $2^k \langle -a, -b, ab \rangle$ is isotropic and $2^k \langle \langle -a, -b \rangle \rangle$ is hyperbolic. After multiplying by -1 we see that any subform of $2^k \langle -1, a, b, -ab \rangle$ of dimension greater than $2 \cdot 2^k$ is isotropic. The conclusion follows since $3(1 + [\frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^k]) > 3(\frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^k) = 2 \cdot 2^k$.

2.4. PROPOSITION. *If $k \geq 2$, then $\underline{s}(D) \leq 2^k - 1$ implies $s(D) \leq 2^k - 1$.*

Proof. If $\underline{s}(D) \leq 2^k - 1$ then by Proposition 2.1(2), either $\langle 1 \rangle \perp (2^k - 1)T_P$ or $2^k T_P$ is isotropic. If $\langle 1 \rangle \perp (2^k - 1)T_P$ is isotropic, then $s(D) \leq 2^k - 1$ by Proposition 2.1(1). If $2^k T_P$ is isotropic, then $(1 + [\frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^k])T_P$ is isotropic by Lemma 2.3. Then Proposition 2.1(1) implies $s(D) \leq 1 + [\frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^k] \leq 2^k - 1$ since $k \geq 2$.

REMARK. This result is a slight improvement of [10, Proposition 4].

2.5. THEOREM. (1) *If $\underline{s}(D) = 1$, then $s(D) \leq 2$ and if $2 \leq \underline{s}(D) < \infty$, then $s(D) < 2\underline{s}(D)$.*

(2) *If $\underline{s}(D) = 2^k - 1, k \geq 2$, then $s(D) = 2^k - 1$.*

(3) *If $s(D) = 2^k, k \geq 2$, then $\underline{s}(D) = 2^k$.*

(4) *If $s(D) = 2^k + 1, k \geq 1$, then $\underline{s}(D) = 2^k$ or $2^k + 1$.*

Proof. (1) If $\underline{s}(D) = 1$, then $s(D) \leq 2$ by Proposition 2.1(2) and Theorem 2.2. If $2 \leq \underline{s}(D) < \infty$, then $s(D) < 2\underline{s}(D)$ by Proposition 2.4.

If $k \geq 2$, then (2), (3), (4) all follow from Proposition 2.4 and the estimate $\underline{s}(D) \leq s(D)$. If $k = 1$ in (4) and $s(D) = 3$, then $\underline{s}(D) \leq 3$. Since $\underline{s}(D) = 1$ implies $s(D) \leq 2$ by (1), it follows that $s(D) = 3$ implies $\underline{s}(D) = 2$ or 3 .

The next section deals with examples where the ordered pair $(\underline{s}(D), s(D))$ has been computed.

3. Examples of levels and sublevels of quaternion division algebras. We continue the notation of Section 2. If F is a local or global field then $(\underline{s}(D), s(D))$ was calculated in [7] and we had $\underline{s}(D) \leq s(D) \leq 2$ in all cases. If $\bar{u}(F) \leq 2$, then $(\underline{s}(D), s(D))$ was calculated in Theorem 1.4 and we found $(\underline{s}(D), s(D)) = (1, 1)$. (The maximal subfield K satisfies $\bar{u}(K) \leq 2$ by [5, Proposition 3.3].) In [12], $s(D)$ was calculated if $D = \left(\frac{a, t}{F((t))}\right)$ where a is a nonsquare in F^\times and $F((t))$ is the field of formal power series over F . We review this result below and also calculate $\underline{s}(D)$.

If $a \in F^\times$, let $g(a)$ be the least integer such that $g(a)\langle 1, -a \rangle$ is isotropic over F and set $g(a) = \infty$ if no such integer exists.

3.1. THEOREM [12]. (1) Let $D = \left(\frac{a, t}{F((t))}\right)$ where a is a nonsquare in F^\times . Then $s(D) = \min\{g(a), s(F(\sqrt{a}))\}$.

(2) Let $F = \mathbb{R}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the rational function field in n variables over the real numbers, and assume $n \geq 2$. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ and let $D = \left(\frac{a, t}{F((t))}\right)$, $D' = \left(\frac{-a, t}{F((t))}\right)$. Then $s(D) = 2^k + 1$ if $2^k < n \leq 2^{k+1}$ and $s(D') = 2^k$ if $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$.

It was shown in [12] that $g(a)$ always has the form $2^k + 1$ if $g(a)$ is finite. Since the level of a field is always a power of 2 if finite, we see that for D as in Theorem 3.1(1), $s(D) = 2^k$ or $2^k + 1$ if $s(D) < \infty$.

3.2. THEOREM. (1) Let D be as in Theorem 3.1(1). Then

$$\underline{s}(D) = \min\{g(a) - 1, s(F(\sqrt{a}))\}.$$

(2) Let D, D' be as in Theorem 3.1(2). Then $\underline{s}(D) = 2^k$ if $2^k < n \leq 2^{k+1}$ and $\underline{s}(D') = 2^k$ if $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$.

We omit the proof of Theorem 3.2 since it is so similar to the proof in [12]. Note that the result for D' in (2) with $k \geq 2$ follows from Theorems 2.5(3) and 3.1(2).

The examples mentioned here show that the following values of the ordered pair $(\underline{s}(D), s(D))$ can occur when D is a quaternion algebra:

$$(\underline{s}(D), s(D)) = (2^k, 2^k) \quad \text{or} \quad (2^k, 2^k + 1), \quad k \geq 0.$$

The main questions to consider on levels and sublevels of quaternion algebras D are the following.

- (1) Is it always true that $\underline{s}(D) = 2^k$?
- (2) Is it always true that $s(D) = 2^k$ or $2^k + 1$?
- (3) Is it always true that $s(D) \leq \underline{s}(D) + 1$?

Added in proof. Krüskemper and Wadsworth have constructed a quaternion division algebra D with $\underline{s}(D) = 3$. By Theorem 2.5(2), it follows that $s(D) = 3$. Thus the answer to question (1) is no.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bos, *Quadratic forms, orderings and abstract Witt rings*, Dissertation (Rijksuniversiteit te Utrecht, 1984).

2. R. Elman, Quadratic forms and the u -invariant III, in G. Orzech, editor, *Quadratic forms conference, 1976*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics **46** (1977), 422–444.
3. R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, Quadratic forms over formally real fields and Pythagorean fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 1155–1194.
4. R. Elman, T. Y. Lam and A. Prestel, On some Hasse principles over formally real fields, *Math. Z.* **134** (1973), 291–301.
5. R. Elman, T. Y. Lam and A. Wadsworth, Quadratic forms under multiquadratic extensions, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **42** (1980), 131–145.
6. T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms* (Benjamin, 1973).
7. D. B. Leep, J.-P. Tignol and N. Vast, The level of division algebras over local and global fields. *J. Number Theory* **33** (1989), 53–70.
8. D. B. Leep and A. R. Wadsworth, The transfer ideal of quadratic forms and a Hasse norm theorem mod squares, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **315** (1989), 415–431.
9. D. W. Lewis, Levels of quaternion algebras, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **19** (1989), 787–792.
10. D. W. Lewis, Levels and sublevels of division algebras, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **87** (1987), 103–106.
11. W. Scharlau, *Quadratic forms and hermitian forms* (Springer, 1985).
12. J.-P. Tignol and N. Vast, Représentation de -1 comme somme de carrés dans certaines algèbres de quaternions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305** (1987), 583–586.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON KY 40506
USA