High-resolution transmission spectrum of the Earth’s atmosphere-seeing Earth as an exoplanet using a lunar eclipse
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Abstract: With the rapid developments in the exoplanet field, more and more terrestrial exoplanets are being detected. Characterizing their atmospheres using transit observations will become a key datum in the quest for detecting an Earth-like exoplanet. The atmospheric transmission spectrum of our Earth will be an ideal template for comparison with future exo-Earth candidates. By observing a lunar eclipse, which offers a similar configuration to that of an exoplanet transit, we have obtained a high-resolution and high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) transmission spectrum of the Earth’s atmosphere. This observation was performed with the High Resolution Spectrograph at Xinglong Station, China during the total lunar eclipse in December 2011. We compare the observed transmission spectrum with our atmospheric model, and determine the characteristics of the various atmospheric species in detail. In the transmission spectrum, O2, O3, O2·O2, NO2 and H2O are detected, and their column densities are measured and compared with the satellites data. The visible Chappuis band of ozone produces the most prominent absorption feature, which suggests that ozone is a promising molecule for the future exo-Earth characterization. Due to the high resolution and high SNR of our spectrum, several novel details of the Earth atmosphere’s transmission spectrum are presented. The individual O2 lines are resolved and O2 isotopes are clearly detected. Our new observations do not confirm the absorption features of Ca II or Na I which have been reported in previous lunar eclipse observations. However, features in these and some other strong Fraunhofer line positions do occur in the observed spectrum. We propose that these are due to a Raman-scattered component in the forward-scattered sunlight appearing in the lunar umbral spectrum. Water vapour absorption is found to be rather weak in our spectrum because the atmosphere we probed is relatively dry, which prompts us to discuss the detectability of water vapour in Earth-like exoplanet atmospheres.
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Introduction

Almost 1800, exoplanets have been detected so far1. Among them, 1133 are transiting planets, which allow follow-up studies of their atmospheres during primary transit and secondary eclipse. Restricted by detection limits of current available instruments, most of such studies were done for hot Jupiter (e.g. Charbonneau et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2013). For a review on the atmospheres of exoplanets, please read Seager & Deming (2010). This subject of the characterization of exoplanets developed quickly after this review, including a dramatic increase of the number of planets with their atmospheres been studied, and massive studies on the super-Neptune GJ 436b, and the super-Earth GJ 1214b in both observational and theoretical aspects (e.g. Charbonneau et al. 2009; Howe & Burrows, 2012; Kreidberg et al. 2014).

After the first announcement of the discovery of two Earth-sized exoplanets (Kepler-20e and Kepler-20f) by Fressin et al. (2012), nearly a hundred Earth-sized or smaller exoplanets have now been detected. Dumusque et al. (2012) discovered an Earth-mass planet orbiting a Centauri B – a member of the Sun’s closest neighbouring system. Recently, Quintana et al. (2014) detected an Earth-sized exoplanet in the Habitable Zone of its host star. The statistics of detected planetary systems and the knowledge of the selection effects indicate that the planet occurrence rate increases towards smaller planet radii (Howard et al. 2012), which means rocky planets are expected to be common in the universe. It is of great astrophysical interests and importance to study their atmospheres and habitabilities, however, it might only be done in

1 see http://exoplanet.eu/catalog.php, updated on May 9, 2014.
the future using the next generation telescopes such as E-ELT 
(Hedelt et al. 2013).

Appropriate observations of the Earth’s atmosphere can be 
used to guide the exoplanet atmosphere models and refine 
observing strategies, providing valuable insights into the future 
exo-Earth atmosphere characterization. Lunar eclipses provide 
unique opportunities to acquire the transmission spectrum of 
the Earth’s atmosphere in an appropriate geometry. Therefore, 
we observed the total lunar eclipse in December 2011 with a 
high resolution spectrophotograph to obtain the high-resolution 
and high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) transmission spectrum of the 
Earth’s atmosphere.

Several previous investigations have paved the way for this 
work. Pallé et al. (2009, hereafter P09) observed a partial lunar 
eclipse in August 2008 and acquired the transmission spectrum 
from the umbral spectra with a resolving power of $R \sim 960$. 
They detected $\text{O}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{O}_2$, $\text{Ca}$ II, $\text{Na}$ I in the optical 
as well as $\text{CH}_4$, $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{N}_2$ in the infrared. Vidal-Madjar 
et al. (2010) observed the same partial lunar eclipse and found $\text{O}_2$, $\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Na}$ I based on the transmission spectrum obtained 
from the penumbral spectra. Ugolnikov et al. (2013, hereafter 
U13) observed the total lunar eclipse in December 2011 with a 
resolving power of $R \sim 30000$ and an SNR of 45 near the $\text{H}_\alpha$ 
line and quantitatively analysed the atmospheric species, 
including $\text{O}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{O}_2$ and $\text{NO}_2$.

The transmission spectrum obtained from our umbral 
eclipse observation is of high resolution and high SNR 
compared to the previous results, which is a significant 
improvement in the study of Earth’s transmission spectrum. 
Several novel details of the Earth’s transmission spectrum are 
presented in this paper. These include the clear detection of 
oxygen isotopes; the confirmation of the non-detection of $\text{Na}$ I 
or $\text{Ca}$ II absorptions which have been reported in previous 
lunar eclipse observations; the ‘emission’ features at the 
Fraunhofer lines which are regarded as the indication of Raman 
scattering in the forward-scattered skylight component. The water vapour absorption is found to be weak in our 
spectrum compared to that in P09’s spectrum, and we further 
discuss the water vapour detectability in exo-Earth atmospheres. 
The quantitative calculation of $\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{O}_2$ absorption bands also shows differences with previous results, and so 
several different factors which affect the $\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{O}_2$ absorption bands measurement are discussed.

The paper is organized as follows. Section ‘Observations 
and data reduction’ is a description of our observations. In 
the section ‘Data analysis’, the transmission spectrum is 
presented and analysed. In the section ‘Model and quantita-
tive calculation’, a one-dimensional (1D) telluric atmospheric 
model is built with which the atmospheric species are 
quantitatively calculated. In the ‘Discussion’ and 
‘Conclusions’, we present the discussion and a summary.

**Observations and data reduction**

The total lunar eclipse took place on 10 December 2011 
(Fig. 1). The observations were made with the fibre-fed echelle 
high-resolution spectrophotograph (HRS) mounted on the 2.16 m 
telescope at Xinglong Station, China. The slit width was set 
to 190 $\mu$m, corresponding to a resolving power ($\Delta \lambda/\Delta \lambda$) of $R \sim 45000$ with 3.2 pixel sampling. The single $4 \times 4$ K CCD 
covers the wavelength region of 4300–10000 Å. The telescope 
was pointed to the same part of the lunar surface – near the 
Tycho crater where the albedo is high – for all the exposures.

The two lunar spectra used for this study were taken when 
the Moon was in the umbra and out of the Earth’s shadow 
(which is referred to as the bright Moon), respectively. The details of the umbra spectrum (U1) and the bright Moon 
spectrum (B2) are shown in Table 1. The airmass of U1 
changed from 1.10 to 1.16 during the 30 min observation, 
while the airmass of B2 was 1.17 during the 6 s observation.

The standard data reductions, including bias correction, 
order definition, flat fielding and background subtraction, were 
performed using IRAF² and self-written Python scripts. The 
wavelength calibration was performed by comparing the 
positions of the emission lines of the Th–Ar halogen lamp and has a typical uncertainty of 0.3 km s$^{-1}$. Flux calibration 
was not necessary, since we only used the ratio of the umbral to 
the bright Moon for the analysis. The 1D spectra were 
extracted and all of the 77 spectral orders were combined. The 
SNR for U1 is $\sim 500$ at 8000 Å with a pixel size of 0.035 Å and is $\sim 60$ at 5000 Å with a pixel size of 0.022 Å.

**Data analysis**

**Transmission spectrum determination**

During a total lunar eclipse, the Moon moves into the Earth’s 
umbra and the light reflected from the lunar surface is

---

² IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomical Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
Table 1. Detail information of the observed spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Observation start time (UT)</th>
<th>Observed position</th>
<th>Exposure time (s)</th>
<th>Average airmass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U1</td>
<td>14:08:25</td>
<td>Umbra</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>18:10:24</td>
<td>Bright Moon</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. A schematic diagram of the lunar eclipse. The atmospheric thickness and the refraction angle $\psi$ have been exaggerated. Some small contribution will arise from forward-scattering by the illuminated sky at the terminator.

Geometric calculation

In order to interpret the transmission spectrum, we need to establish which part of the Earth’s atmosphere contributes to the refracted sunlight at the observed umbra position. The Geometric Pinhole Model (Vollmer & Gedzelman 2008) is applied to calculate the altitude in the atmosphere at the tangent point. This model assumes the terminator of the atmosphere is consisted of different tangent pinholes with minimum altitude $z_0$ and refraction angle $\psi$ (as shown in Fig. 2). As the observation proceeds for a specific position on the lunar surface, the position and minimum altitude of the pinhole forms a two-dimensional (2D) locus at the Earth’s terminator. This 2D locus is the refracted solar image as seen from the lunar surface.

During the observation of U1, Tycho’s position in the umbra is changing, which makes the 2D image change. For spectrum U1, the altitude range is 4.2–17.8 km for the minimum distance between Tycho’s position and the umbra centre, and is 4.6–20.0 km for the maximum distance. Figure 5 shows the 2D images of the sun, in which the variation of the altitude range over time is not significant during the umbra exposure.

The geometric longitude and latitude at the low altitude point (point A in Fig. 5) are calculated with the JPL Ephemeris. Figure 6 shows the coordinate trajectory during U1 exposure, which is above the coast of Antarctica with the mid-point at 156°E, 67°S.

An overall picture of the transmission geometry is described in the following: the path of the sunlight through the Earth’s terminator is above the Antarctica ocean, with an altitude range of 4–20 km.

Radial velocity correction

The detailed RV in the transmission spectrum is complicated due to the motion of the Earth–Moon system. For the solar Fraunhofer lines, the RVs are the combination of Earth’s rotation, orbital motion and the lunar motion components, and the RV difference between U1 and B2 is 0.4 km s$^{-1}$. For the vertical Earth atmospheric absorption lines, however, the RVs are all zero. In order to eliminate the vertical atmospheric absorption in the transmission spectrum as much as possible, we did not correct the RVs of the Fraunhofer lines. This non-correction of RV will only slightly affect our transmission spectrum at the Fraunhofer line positions as shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, our quantitative calculations in the section ‘Model and quantitative calculation’ will not be altered.

$^3$ http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/?ephemerides
Besides, the entire transmission spectrum exhibits a non-
zero RV, which corresponds to the RV of the horizontal
atmosphere. According to the theoretical calculation with
JPL Ephemeris, the RV is 0.35 km s\(^{-1}\). Considering that the
Earth’s atmospheric absorption lines in B2 have a zero RV, we
used B2 spectrum as a template to perform a cross-correlation
between the transmission spectrum and B2 spectrum and got
the RV of the oxygen lines as 0.45 km s\(^{-1}\). This result is
considered in the quantitative calculations in the section
‘Model and quantitative calculation’.

**Molecular features**

The atmospheric spectral features are marked in Fig. 3. Two of
the principal contributors to the continuous spectrum are due
to the molecular Rayleigh scattering and aerosol scattering.
The most prominent sharp features in the transmission spectrum are the results of the O\(_2\) lines absorption in the three
O\(_2\) bands (around 0.63, 0.69 and 0.76 \(\mu\)m). Thanks to the high
resolution of our spectra, the O\(_2\) lines are clearly resolved and modelled in the section ‘Model and quantitative calculation’.
The H\(_2\)O lines are very weak in our transmission spectrum which results, presumably, from the well-known low water
vapour concentration in the atmosphere above Antarctica.
Water vapour detectability will be discussed in the section
‘Discussion’.

Ozone absorption in the Chappuis band is strong and acts as
the main absorption source between 0.55 and 0.65 \(\mu\)m (cf.
Fig. 7). The absorption is so significant that it leads to obvious
modifications of the eclipsed Moon’s colour (Fosbury et al.
2011).

Spectral features from the trace gas NO\(_2\) are visible at the
blue end of the spectrum. Since much of the blue light has been
scattered, only observations with a high SNR like ours are capable to detect NO2.

The O2 · O2 absorption in the Earth’s atmosphere is due to the collision-induced absorption (CIA) of two O2 molecules (Thalman & Volkamer 2013). O2 CIA may become of significant interest for terrestrial exoplanet studies since its pressure dependence can give information about the lower atmosphere (Misra et al. 2014). In our spectrum, the three O2 · O2 CIA bands (around 477, 577 and 630 nm) are clearly detected.

On the other hand, we do not detect any atomic or ionic absorption features (such as Ca I, Ca II and Na I). They are expected to be mainly presented in the upper mesosphere (see Plane et al. 1999; Gerding et al. 2001). We do, however, detect apparent ‘emission’ features in some strong Fraunhofer line positions in our transmission spectrum, which we propose are due to Raman scattering in the forward-scattered sunlight (see subsection ‘Skylight contribution’ for a detailed discussion).

**Model and quantitative calculation**

**Model description**

In the present work, a line-by-line 1D model is built, which contains O2, H2O, O3, NO2, O2 · O2, Rayleigh and aerosol scattering. The least-square method is used to derive the best fit to the obtained transmission spectrum, as shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 7, contributions of various species included in the model are shown.

Absorption of atmospheric species

The transmission spectrum is calculated based on the Beer–Lambert law:

\[ T = e^{-\sum \sigma u} \]  

where \( T \), \( u \) and \( \sigma \) are transmission, column density and cross-section of each atmospheric species, respectively.

The cross-sections of ozone and NO2 are taken from ‘Molecular Spectroscopy’ at IUP Bremen. The O2 · O2 absorption data are taken from GEISA database (Jacquinet-Husson et al. 2011). The O2 and H2O line lists are taken from HITRAN database (Rothman et al. 2009), and the absorption cross-sections are calculated line-by-line for each given temperature and pressure with Lorentz line profiles.

The atmospheric scattering consists of two parts: molecular scattering and aerosol scattering. The molecular Rayleigh scattering cross-section for the Earth’s atmosphere is taken from Bodhaine et al. (1999):

\[ \sigma_{\text{Rayleigh}} = \frac{1.0456 \times 341.29 \lambda^{-2} - 0.9023 \lambda^2}{1 + 0.002706 \lambda^{-2} - 85.9686 \lambda^2} \times 10^{-28} \text{cm}^2, \]

where \( \lambda \) is the vacuum wavelength in \( \mu \)m. According to Bucholtz (1995) this Rayleigh scattering cross-section is independent of temperature and pressure.

For the aerosol scattering, we assume that the aerosols are distributed uniformly in the atmosphere and assign the cross-section per molecule (Kaltenegger & Traub 2009). The aerosol scattering cross-section data for the Earth’s atmosphere is then taken from Allen (1976) and expressed as:

\[ \sigma_{\text{aerosol}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-27} \lambda^{-1.3} \text{cm}^2, \]

where \( \sigma_{\text{aerosol}} \) is the aerosol absorption cross-section corresponding to each air molecule. As this equation is suitable for normally clear atmospheric conditions, we introduce a factor \( A_{\text{aerosol}} \) which allows adjustment with respect to ‘clear’ conditions.

**Model geometry and atmospheric profiles**

As shown in Fig. 5, the light of the transmission spectrum comes from a specific atmospheric altitude range. In order to simplify our model, we use the ‘effective altitude’ instead of the altitude range to represent the mean atmosphere property for the observed transmission spectrum.

For a light path with the minimum height \( z_0 \) (as indicated in Fig. 2), the optical depth for each molecular species is integrated along the path under the assumption that the absorption cross-section \( \sigma \) does not vary with the temperature and pressure:

\[ \tau = u \cdot \sigma = \int n_z \cdot dz \cdot \sigma, \]

where \( n_z \) is the volume density of the molecular species at different altitude \( z \). The small effect of the atmospheric refraction along the light path is neglected.

The temperature–pressure (T–P) profile and the molecular volume density profiles used in the model are shown in Fig. 8. The T–P profile and dewpoint temperature data (which is used to calculate the water vapour pressure) are taken from the

---

\(^4\) http://www.iup.physik.uni-bremen.de/gruppen/molspec/index.html

---

Fig. 6. The geometric trajectory of the tangent-point for the transmission spectrum. The geographical map is taken from Australian Antarctic Data Centre. The position of Mt Erebus is circled.

---

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 54.70.40.11, on 14 Dec 2019 at 20:02:47, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms . https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550414000172

---
MODIS Atmosphere\(^5\) while the ozone and NO\(_2\) data are taken from the IUP/IFE-UB Sciamachy data\(^6\). All these atmospheric profiles are carefully chosen from satellites database, so that they represent the properties of the atmosphere at the positions close to the coordinates shown in Fig. 6 and at the time when the lunar eclipse happened. The O\(_2\) volume density is calculated with a mixing ratio of 21%.

With this geometry and the atmospheric profiles, we can model the transmission spectrum of the light path with different minimum altitude \(z_0\). By applying the model to the observed spectrum, we get the \(z_0\) from the best-fit model. The best-fit \(z_0\) is regarded as the 'effective altitude', which means that the light coming from the path with \(z_0\) determinates the corresponding property of the observed transmission spectrum. In the following, the model spectrum of each atmosphere species is calculated independently.

**Model calculation of lines**

**O\(_2\) column density and oxygen isotopes**

With the model described above, we calculated O\(_2\) transmission spectra for different atmospheric layers with the minimum altitude \(z_0\). Then, we fit the model spectrum to the observed spectrum with the least-squares method: the best-fit altitude is 12.5 km where the temperature is 218 K and the pressure is 0.18 atm (where atm is the standard atmospheric pressure).

The overall O\(_2\) column density is determined to be \(5.4 \times 10^{25}\) molecule cm\(^{-2}\) with an uncertainty of 1%. This uncertainty represents the statistical error from the model fitting and its low value indicates the robustness of the fit. However, the result also depends on the assumed theoretical model, which has its own uncertainty due to the input cross-section data and the geometry approximation. The model uncertainty is not included in our error estimation.

---

\(^5\) [http://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov](http://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov)

\(^6\) [http://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/sciamachy/](http://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/sciamachy/)
In Fig. 9, the model spectrum of oxygen isotopes $^{16}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$, $^{16}\text{O}^{17}\text{O}$ and $^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$ is plotted, along with the observed spectrum. The O$_2$ isotope abundances in the normal Earth’s atmosphere are employed in our model, i.e. 99.53% for $^{16}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$, 0.40% for $^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$ and 0.07% for $^{16}\text{O}^{17}\text{O}$.

H$_2$O quantitative calculation
For the water vapour absorption, we use the cross-section at the same temperature and pressure as calculated for the O$_2$. The observed spectrum is then fitted with the modelled H$_2$O transmission spectrum with different column densities. Figure 10 shows the best-fit spectrum with the H$_2$O column density of $2.0 \times 10^{21}$ molecule cm$^{-2}$ with a statistical uncertainty of 2%.

The model fit of the water vapour lines is not as good as that of the O$_2$ lines, mainly because the water absorption in the transmission spectrum is very weak, whereas the water absorption in the vertical absorption above the observatory is relatively strong and even saturated. Since the transmission spectrum is derived from the ratio of U1 and B2 which were obtained at slightly different vertical airmasses, the water absorption in the ratio spectrum retains a small contaminating component from the vertical absorption. This small vertical H$_2$O component makes the above calculated column density about one-third smaller than the actual horizontal H$_2$O column density.

Model calculation of the continuum
Scattering calculation
Scattering is calculated by equations (2) and (3). In the model spectrum in Fig. 3, the air molecular column density for Rayleigh scattering is $2.42 \times 10^{26}$ molecule cm$^{-2}$ with a statistical uncertainty of 0.3%, which coincides with the minimum altitude of 13.0 km and a pressure of 0.16 atm. The aerosol factor $A_{\text{aerosol}}$ is 1.36, suggesting that the aerosol amount is 1.36 times as large as that in the ‘clear’ air condition.

Ozone calculation
As indicated by Orphal (2003), the ozone cross-section has only small temperature dependence within the wavelength range of 4300–10000 Å. Therefore we take the cross-section at
210 K for the model calculation. The column density used in the best-fit model in Fig. 3 is $3.36 \times 10^{20}$ molecule cm$^{-2}$ with an uncertainty smaller than 0.1%.

From Fig. 7 it can be seen that around 6000 Å the ozone absorption is stronger than the scattering extinction and thus dominates the transmission spectrum. Indeed, ozone has the highest partial pressure in the atmosphere between 20 and 25 km, which can result in the eclipsed Moon appearing blue at the edge of the Earth’s umbra because of the strong absorption of orange light. A detailed explanation and a photograph of such a blue Moon can be found in Gedzelman & Vollmer (2008).

Oxygen CIA calculation
Using the geometric model described above, the optical depth of the O$_2$·O$_2$ CIA along the light path can be expressed as:

$$\tau = \int n_z(O_2) \cdot \sigma(O_4) \, dz,$$

where $n_z(O_2)$ is the O$_2$ volume density at altitude $z$ and $\sigma(O_4)$ is the O$_2$·O$_2$ absorption cross-section. This optical depth is then used to calculate the transmission spectrum of O$_2$·O$_2$ for different minimum altitudes $z_0$. For the best-fit spectrum shown in Fig. 12, the altitude used is 12.3 km, corresponding to a pressure of 0.18 atm and an O$_2$·O$_2$ integrated column density of $5.6 \times 10^{43}$ molecule$^2$ cm$^{-5}$ with a statistical uncertainty of 1%. However, with this minimum altitude, the 630 nm band does not fit as well as the 477 and 577 nm bands. This may be due to several possible reasons: the combination of O$_2$·O$_2$ and O$_2$ absorption at 630 nm which makes the measurement of O$_2$·O$_2$ not accurate, the use of improper cross-section for O$_2$·O$_2$ which is measured at 1 atm condition while the absorption of our spectrum happens at 0.18 atm, and the effect of the scattered sunlight.
atmosphere at the corresponding eclipses. For our spectrum, the different geometry and different location of the observed SCIAMCHY data.

In our transmission spectrum, the water vapour absorption bands are weak compared with the water absorption in Palle’s observation (see Fig. 13). The difference is due to the different geometry and different location of the observed atmosphere at the corresponding eclipses. For our spectrum, the location was above the summer Antarctic Ocean where the temperature is low and the atmosphere is dry. For Palle’s spectrum, the location was above the summer Arctic, where the water vapour concentration is higher than that of the summer Antarctica. Also, Palle’s observing position on the lunar surface is closer to the umbra’s centre than ours, which means that they probed a lower part of the Earth’s atmosphere where the water vapour is more abundant. This significant water vapour difference between the two observations indicates a large variability in water vapour detectability which is strongly tied to geometry.

Normally the concentration of water vapour in the Earth’s atmosphere drops quickly as the altitude increases. The normal mixing ratio of water vapour at sea level can be 10^4 ppm but drops below 10 ppm at an altitude of 15 km. For an Earth-like exoplanet it is likely that water vapour will be similarly confined to low altitudes. According to the atmospheric refraction study by García Muñoz et al. (2012), during the mid-transit of an exoplanet system like the Earth-Sun, the atmosphere below 12–14 km cannot be observed due to the refraction of stellar light. Also, clouds in the Earth’s atmosphere can act as an optically thick layer and block the atmospheric features below the cloud layer. Thus the detection of water vapour for an Earth-like exoplanet via transmission spectroscopy will not necessarily be an easy task even if the planetary surface is mainly covered with liquid water or ice. The detection will be even harder if the average atmospheric temperature is low, like that of Antarctica, which will make the atmosphere relatively dry. Detailed transmission models of an exo-Earth’s atmosphere including clouds, refraction and different temperature profiles are needed to better constrain the detectability of water vapour.

**Effective altitudes**

From the above model calculation, we have retrieved the effective altitudes by fitting the O_2 absorption lines, the O_2 · O_2 absorption bands and the molecular scattering independently, i.e. 12.5 km from O_2 model-fit, 12.3 km from O_2 · O_2 and 13.0 km from scattering.

Here we use an effective altitude of 12.5 km – corresponding to an effective pressure of 0.18 atm – to calculate the column densities of H_2O, ozone and NO_2 at the same effective altitude with the satellite data shown in Fig. 8. The H_2O column density at this effective altitude is 2.4 × 10^{17} molecule cm^{-2} which is consistent with the observed column density of 2.0 × 10^{17} molecule cm^{-2}. The corresponding ozone column density is 2.5 × 10^{20} molecule cm^{-2} which is a bit smaller than the observed column density of 3.63 × 10^{20} molecule cm^{-2}. Given the satellite data error of several tens of percent and the level of approximation in our model, the satellite and our observed data can be regarded as consistent with each other with respect to H_2O and O_3. However, the NO_2 column density at this effective altitude is 1.4 × 10^{12} molecule cm^{-2} – only ~ one-third of the value of 3.77 × 10^{17} molecule cm^{-2}, which is deduced from the observed transmission spectrum. The obvious discrepancy is very likely due to the diurnal variation of NO_2. Its concentration is higher during nighttime as compared to daytime, and peaks at sunset (Amezkundi et al. 2008). As the NO_2 SCIAMCHY data used here are taken during local daytime while the lunar eclipse data are necessarily taken at the Earth’s terminator, it is reasonable that our NO_2 column density is larger than that of SCIAMCHY data.

**Discussion**

**Water vapour detectability for Earth and exo-Earths**

In our transmission spectrum, the water vapour absorption lines are weak compared with the water absorption in Palle’s lunar eclipse observation (see Fig. 13). The difference is due to the different geometry and different location of the observed atmosphere at the corresponding eclipses. For our spectrum, the location was above the summer Antarctic Ocean where the temperature is low and the atmosphere is dry. For Palle’s spectrum, the location was above the summer Arctic, where the water vapour concentration is higher than that of the summer Antarctica. Also, Palle’s observing position on the lunar surface is closer to the umbra’s centre than ours, which means that they probed a lower part of the Earth’s atmosphere where the water vapour is more abundant. This significant water vapour difference between the two observations indicates a large variability in water vapour detectability which is strongly tied to geometry.

Normally the concentration of water vapour in the Earth’s atmosphere drops quickly as the altitude increases. The normal mixing ratio of water vapour at sea level can be 10^4 ppm but drops below 10 ppm at an altitude of 15 km. For an Earth-like exoplanet it is likely that water vapour will be similarly confined to low altitudes. According to the atmospheric refraction study by García Muñoz et al. (2012), during the mid-transit of an exoplanet system like the Earth-Sun, the atmosphere below 12–14 km cannot be observed due to the refraction of stellar light. Also, clouds in the Earth’s atmosphere can act as an optically thick layer and block the atmospheric features below the cloud layer. Thus the detection of water vapour for an Earth-like exoplanet via transmission spectroscopy will not necessarily be an easy task even if the planetary surface is mainly covered with liquid water or ice. The detection will be even harder if the average atmospheric temperature is low, like that of Antarctica, which will make the atmosphere relatively dry. Detailed transmission models of an exo-Earth’s atmosphere including clouds, refraction and different temperature profiles are needed to better constrain the detectability of water vapour.

**NO_2 sources**

In our transmission spectrum, NO_2 can be seen obviously, and its absorption is the main spectral feature at the blue end. In the overall Earth’s atmosphere, NO_2 is mainly produced by human activities such as vehicle exhaust. However, the NO_2 observed...
in our spectrum – which is located at the low stratosphere above the Antarctica – has diverse sources.

For the NO$_2$ in the summer stratosphere, the main source is nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) oxidation by the excited oxygen atoms (Crutzen 1979). What is interesting is that N$_2$O is produced by microbial oxidation–reduction reactions and is regarded as a biosignature (Seager 2010). Thus here we point out that the detection of NO$_2$ in the stratosphere can be regarded as a possible hint for the existence of the biosignature gas – N$_2$O.

NO$_2$ can also be transported from troposphere to stratosphere. For summer troposphere above the Antarctica, NO$_2$ comes from human activities as well as from the snowpack melting (Jones et al. 2001).

Mt. Erebus, a volcano with a lava lake which has been active for many decades, is located close to our transmission spectrum trajectory (the position is shown in Fig. 6). As indicated by Oppenheimer et al. (2005), Erebus volcano may be a significant source of the NO$_2$ in Antarctic atmosphere. This implies NO$_2$ may be detectable for a geological active exoplanet which may be pumping large amount of NO$_2$ into the atmosphere. Detailed geology and chemistry study will be required for this assumption.

**Skylight contribution**

In the model described above, we have only considered the transmitted sunlight. However, the sunlight scattered by the atmosphere at the Earth’s terminator (i.e. terminator skylight) also contributes to the brightness of the eclipsed Moon (Garcia Muñoz & Pallé 2011). In this section, we show the effect of the Raman scattering (the Ring Effect, Grainger & Ring 1962) which modifies the skylight spectrum and allows us to demonstrate the existence of scattered sunlight in the umbra spectrum (see Fig. 14). These Raman scattering features have never been shown before in the previous umbral lunar eclipse observations.

In the residual of the observed spectrum and our model spectrum, there are apparent ‘emission’ features in the positions of the strong Fraunhofer lines. These indicate that the solar Fraunhofer lines in U1 are shallower than in B2, resulting in an apparent emission in the ratio spectrum. This filling-in effect at the Fraunhofer lines is called Ring Effect and was initially discovered when comparing the diffuse skylight spectrum with a direct lunar spectrum. This effect in the skylight spectrum is believed to be caused by Raman scattering that transfers continuum photons into the solar absorption lines, making them shallower than in the original solar spectrum (Langford et al. 2007). Since our directly transmitted sunlight will not suffer the filling-in effect, we propose that these apparent ‘emission’ features are caused by a significant fraction of scattered sunlight appearing in the umbra spectrum.

Although these ‘emission’ features are relatively weak, they can still be clearly identified in the residual spectrum. In Fig. 14, almost all the strong solar Fraunhofer lines display the emission shape, such as $H\beta$, Mg lines, $H\alpha$ and Ca II lines. The ‘emission’ features appear stronger at the short wavelength range and $H\beta$ displays the strongest emission feature. This is because the transmitted sunlight is weak at short wavelengths due to strong Rayleigh and aerosol scattering so that the scattered light becomes prominent there. However, as shown in the top left figure of Fig. 14, we can barely find any emission features for the Na D lines as other strong Fraunhofer lines, which is possibly a result from the combination of the strong ozone absorption around Na D lines in the skylight (see the modelled skylight spectrum in Fig. 15) and Na resonance absorption in the Earth’s atmosphere.
Following the method provided by Link (1972), we built a simple skylight model for the atmospheric ring at the Earth’s terminator based on the U.S. Standard Atmosphere 1976. This skylight model is used together with the transmission model to fit the observed spectrum, and the result is shown in Fig. 15. The y-axis for the observed spectrum here is the ratio of U1 and B2 spectra divided by the integration time ratio of 300. Thus this y-axis can be regarded as the flux which is normalized to the direct solar spectrum as received at the lunar surface. For the best-fit model shown in Fig. 15, we used the same transmission model as in Fig. 3, adding a skylight model with a strength around $10^{-5}$ at 4500 Å. The residual in the figure reveals the model fits quite well at the blue end compared with the model without skylight. We emphasize here that the quantitative amount of the skylight calculated above is not robust because of model-fit degeneracies. Detailed model of the Ring Effect together with the skylight spectral model is necessary for accurate determination of skylight contribution.

**Comparing with other lunar eclipse observations**

It needs to be emphasized that our transmission spectrum is of high resolution and high SNR, which is the major improvement compared to previous studies. Such observations provide more detailed results of the Earth’s transmission spectrum, and lead to clear detections of O$_2$ isotopes and the Raman scattering, which have never been done in previous lunar eclipse observations. Below we show several specific differences comparing with previous observations.

From our high-resolution and high SNR spectrum, no absorption features from atoms or ions are detected. Here, we point out that the detection of Ca T (Ca II near-infrared triplet lines) in the Earth’s atmosphere is highly unlikely because they are not absorption lines from the ground state of Ca II. Thus the unexpected presence of Ca II in P09’s spectrum was probably not due to the absorption of Ca II in the Earth’s atmosphere.

Given that we observed the same lunar eclipse (10 December 2011) as U13 and the observing locations on the lunar surface are similar (i.e. around the Tycho crater), it is worthy to compare the two results quantitatively. In general, our results are consistent with U13’s for the strong absorption species such as O$_2$ and O$_3$. However, for the weak absorption species, i.e. NO$_2$, H$_2$O and O$_2$·O$_2$, there are differences between the two observations. The NO$_2$ column density calculated by us is twice of that calculated by U13. The water vapour column density in U13 is calculated in a different way and is about 3 times larger than our calculated H$_2$O column density. U13 shows that the retrieved effective altitude at the 477 nm O$_2$·O$_2$ CIA band is much lower than that at the 577 nm band and attributes the result to the lower path of scattered sunlight at 477 nm band; however, the effective altitude of these two CIA bands are almost the same in our results.

García Muñoz et al. (2011) analysed O$_2$·O$_2$ 577 and 630 nm bands of P09 spectrum and found that the integrated column density at 630 nm is about twice the column density at 577 nm. They further invoked scattered sunlight in the umbra spectrum as the main explanation. As the lunar eclipse of P09 is volcanically perturbed, their umbra spectrum may have more scattered sunlight due to aerosols (García Muñoz & Pallé 2011). Our result shows that the column density of 630 nm is ~1.5 times of the 577 nm, this may be partly due to the scattered sunlight. However, we do not attribute this mainly to the scattered sunlight because our lunar eclipse is not volcanically perturbed, which means the amount of the scattered sunlight should be significantly smaller than that of P09. Further observation and model calculation are needed to better understand these two O$_2$·O$_2$ CIA bands in the transmission spectrum.

**Conclusions**

We have shown and discussed the high-resolution and high SNR transmission spectrum of the Earth’s atmosphere.
observed during a total lunar eclipse. The effective absorption altitude for the observed spectrum is found to be about 12.5 km, which is derived by combining the scattering, O$_3$ and O$_2$·O$_2$ model calculations. The column densities of O$_3$, H$_2$O and NO$_2$ are compared with satellite data at this altitude. In the transmission spectrum, the most prominent absorption feature is the Chappuis band of ozone which will be an important feature for future exo-Earth characterizations. The O$_2$ absorption lines are resolved, and the different oxygen isotopes are clearly detected for the first time in a lunar eclipse observation. The water vapour absorption is found to be weak in our spectrum comparing with previous lunar eclipse observations because the atmosphere we probed is relatively dry. This indicates the large variability in water vapour concentration caused by NO$_2$ photochemistry. This demonstrated the importance of photochemistry process in transmission spectroscopy. We also detected the effects of Raman scattering in the strong Fraunhofer lines (the Ring Effect) – with the exception of the Na D lines – which can be regarded as an indicator for forward-scattered sunlight reflected from the Moon.

For the three unique biosignature gases of Earth (O$_3$, O$_2$ and N$_2$O) (Lovelock 1965; Seager et al. 2012), O$_3$ and O$_2$ show strong features in our spectrum while the presence of NO$_2$ in the stratosphere indicate the existence of N$_2$O indirectly.

Detailed studies of the transmission spectra obtained during lunar eclipses, provide not only a chance to study the Earth atmosphere, but also the excellent guidance for the studies of the atmospheres of terrestrial exoplanets. Our transmission spectrum can be used as a comparison for more complex terrestrial atmospheric models, which will yield better estimates of the detectable features for exo-Earth characterization. Further observations of lunar eclipses could provide a set of transmission spectra at various altitudes and at various weather/climate conditions.
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