ARTICLE



Association of habitual intake of probiotic supplements and yogurt with characteristics of the gut microbiome in the multiethnic cohort adiposity phenotype study

Weiwen Chai¹, Gertraud Maskarinec², Unhee Lim², Carol J. Boushey², Lynne R. Wilkens², V. Wendy Setiawan³, Loïc Le Marchand², Timothy W. Randolph⁴, Isaac C. Jenkins⁴, Johanna W. Lampe⁴ and Meredith A. J. Hullar⁴

¹Department of Nutrition and Health Sciences, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

²University of Hawai'i Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI, USA

³Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁴Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA

Corresponding author: Weiwen Chai; Email: wchai2@unl.edu

(Received 23 October 2022; revised 27 June 2023; accepted 01 July 2023)

Abstract

Consumption of probiotics and/or yogurt could be a solution for restoring the balance of the gut microbiota. This study examined associations of regular intake of probiotic supplements or yogurt with the gut microbiota among a diverse population of older adults (N=1,861; 60–72 years). Faecal microbial composition was obtained from 16S rRNA gene sequencing (V1–V3 region). General linear models were used to estimate the associations of probiotic supplement or yogurt intake with microbiome measures adjusting for covariates. Compared to non-yogurt consumers (N=1,023), regular yogurt consumers (\geq once/week, N=818) had greater *Streptococcus* (β =0.29, P=0.0003) and lower *Odoribacter* (β =-0.33, P<0.0001) abundance. The directions of the above associations were consistent across the five ethnic groups but stronger among Japanese Americans (*Streptococcus*: β =0.56, P=0.0009; *Odoribacter*: β =-0.62, P=0.0005). Regular intake of probiotic supplements (N=175) was not associated with microbial characteristics (i.e., alpha diversity and the abundance of 152 bacteria genera). *Streptococcus* is one of the predominant bacteria genera in yogurt products, which may explain the positive association between yogurt consumption and *Streptococcus* abundance. Future studies may investigate whether these microbial genera and their sub-level species mediate potential pathways between yogurt consumption and health.

Keywords: probiotic supplements; yogurt; gut microbiome; multiethnic cohort

Introduction

The human microbiota composed of complex and diverse microbial communities, is now recognised as likely playing an important role in human health (Clemente et al., 2012; Miele et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2017). Convincing evidence suggests aberrations in the gut microbiota composition and function are associated with several chronic disease conditions, such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and inflammatory bowel diseases (le Chatelier et al., 2013; Tran et al., 2015; Cani and Everard, 2016; Choi et al., 2017). Thus, the relationships between gut microbiota and health highlight the importance of developing dietary strategies targeting the microbial community of the human gut.

Consumption of probiotics from supplements and foods could be key to restoring a healthy balance to the gut microbiota (Kim et al., 2019) and, thus, reducing the risk of developing chronic diseases. As

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press in association with The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

defined by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations and World Health Organization, probiotics are "live strains of strictly selected microorganisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host" (Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO], 2002). Previous research has documented the potential benefits of probiotics for weight-loss (Crovesy et al., 2017), glycaemic control (Ruan et al., 2015), and improved metabolic health profile (Aggarwal et al., 2013; Ivey et al., 2015). One large-scale cross-sectional analysis using data nationally representative of the U.S. found that ingesting probiotic supplements or yogurt was associated with a lower prevalence of obesity and hypertension, higher HDL cholesterol, and lower triglyceride levels (Lau et al., 2019).

Yogurt is a popular probiotic food because of its wide availability. Data from epidemiological studies and randomised clinical trials suggest yogurt improves metabolic health (Dumas et al., 2017) and reduces colorectal cancer risk (Pala et al., 2011). It is hypothesised that the potential beneficial effects of probiotic supplement or yogurt ingestion on human health may be mediated through a favourable modification of the gut microbiota. A recent study using an animal model reported gut microbiota and fermentationderived branched chain hydroxy acids mediated health benefits of yogurt consumption in obese mice (Daniel et al., 2022). While a growing body of evidence has suggested yogurt consumption could potentially alter gut microbiota (Suzuki et al., 2017; Redondo-Useros et al., 2019; le Roy et al., 2022), the broad impact of yogurt consumption on the gut microbial community remains unclear. Due to high inter-person variability of gut microbiota (Derrien and van Hylckama Vlieg, 2015), larger studies, particularly those with a diverse population, are necessary to elucidate the role of dietary ingestion of probiotic products such as probiotic supplements and yogurt in shaping the ecosystem of gut microbiota and host heath. Thus, in the current analysis utilising data from adiposity phenotype study (APS), we assessed associations of habitual intake of probiotic supplements and yogurt with the gut microbiota in a subset of the multiethnic cohort (MEC) study with five ethnic groups. In addition, we examined whether these associations, if present, were consistent across ethnic groups. This study focuses on the above objectives as details of the APS design and its microbiome analysis (one of the main domains of APS) were described previously (Fu et al., 2016; Lim et al., 2019; Hullar et al., 2021).

Methods

Study population

The MEC is an ongoing, longitudinal study of more than 215,000 participants aged 45–75 years from five ethnic groups including Japanese American, white, Latino, African American, and Native Hawaiian. The cohort was assembled in Hawaii and Los Angeles from 1993 to 1996; details on recruitment and baseline information were reported previously (Kolonel et al., 2000). Briefly, participants were identified primarily through drivers' license files, supplemented with voter registration lists in Hawaii and Medicare files in California, and completed a self-administered, 26-page questionnaire at cohort entry (1993–1996) assessing diet by semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (QFFQ), socio-demographic factors, anthropometric measures, medical history, family history of cancer, and lifestyle factors.

A subset of MEC members, aged 60–72 years as of January 2013 and living in the catchment area of the study clinics, were recruited for the APS conducted in 2013–2016, as described previously (Maskarinec et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2019). Participants were recruited within 60 sex/ethnicity/body mass index (BMI) strata with a participation rate of 25.6% after excluding the ineligible (N = 4,624) and too ill/deceased (N = 706) out of the 12,602 contacted MEC members. Blood and stool samples, anthropometric measures, questionnaire data, and MRI and DXA scans were obtained during the clinic visit. Individuals with the following characteristics were excluded from APS: current BMI outside 18.5–40 kg/m², current or recent (<2 years) smoking, soft or metal implants (other than knee or hip replacement), or serious health conditions. Individuals who experienced weight change of >9 kg, or treatments or procedures with the potential to modify outcomes of interest were deferred for 6 months when their eligibility was reconsidered (Maskarinec et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2019). Institutional review boards at the University of Hawaii (CHS#17200) and University of Southern California (#HS-12–00623) approved the protocol. All

participants provided written informed consent. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Faecal sample collection and processing

Stool samples were collected at home using a collection tube containing 5 mL RNAlater (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and sterile 5 mm glass beads (Ambion, Waltham, MA, USA) to facilitate sample dispersion in RNAlater (Fu et al., 2016). Participants kept their samples in their freezers and brought them to the study clinic. Stool samples were stored in RNAlater at -80° C at study centres and shipped in bulk on dry ice to Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center. Stool samples were thawed and homogenised, and genomic DNA was extracted (Fu et al., 2016). Briefly, to optimise bacterial genomic DNA extraction, we did bead beating at 45 s (2×) each with samples placed on ice in between. Quality control samples, duplicate participant samples, and processing blanks were used to assess variation in library preparation and sequencing batches.

For paired-end sequencing of the V1–V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene, the 27F mod forward PCR primer sequence was 5°-AGRGTTNGATCMTGGCTYAG-3°. The 519 R reverse PCR primer sequence was 5°-GTNTTACNGCGGCKGCTG-3°. Three PCR (20 μ l; 20 ng genomic DNA) reactions were performed using the HotStarTaq Plus Master Mix Kit (QIAGEN, Venlo, Netherlands) under the following conditions: 94°C for 3 min, followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 1 min, after which a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min was performed. After amplification, quality of the PCR products was checked in 2% agarose gel. The three PCR products were pooled together in equal proportions based on their molecular weight and DNA concentrations. Sequencing was performed at Molecular Diagnostics, LLP (Shallowater, TX, USA) on the MiSeq using MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 following the manufacturer's guidelines to obtain 2 × 300 bp paired-end reads (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

Microbiome bioinformatics data processing

To classify bacterial taxonomy, sequences were processed using QIIME v.1.8 (Caporaso et al., 2010) as previously described but updated in comparison to the previous study (Hullar et al., 2021). The filtering strategy for operational taxonomic units (OTUs) included parameters in QIIME to exclude low abundant sequences, singletons, and chimeras (Langille et al., 2013). The QIIME-processed sequences were aligned to the SILVA v132 database (release 111) as the reference library for 16S rRNA gene classification (Pruesse et al., 2007) using the PyNAST algorithm (Caporaso et al., 2010). Sequences were joined with the fastq-join method, using min_overlap = 15 and perc_max_diff = 12. After filtering sequences, the Nelson two-step method was used for OTU generation at 97% similarity with the SILVA database for closed reference OTU picking following the UCLUST algorithm (Edgar, 2010). Specifically, the sequences were classified using the matching SILVA taxonomy for OTUs found in the first step of the Nelson method, and using MOTHUR's naïve Bayesian Classifier (Wang et al., 2007; Schloss et al., 2009) trained against the SILVA database for OTUs found in the second step. Sequences that did not align to the appropriate 16S rRNA gene region were removed.

Sequence counts in each sample for the phylum and genus level were generated without rarefaction. Sequence reads ranged at 9,831–178,452 (mean = 38,029, SD = 19,034; median = 34,008). Alpha diversity measures (phylogenetic diversity [Faith and Baker, 2007]; Shannon Index [Shannon and Weaver, 1998]; and Chao1 Index [Chao and Shen, 2003]) were calculated in QIIME based on the average of 10 sub-samples with rarefaction to 10,000 sequences per sample.

Probiotic supplements and yogurt intake

Information on dietary probiotic supplements and yogurt intake was primarily obtained from the Stool Collection Questionnaire (Fu et al., 2016) used in the APS. This questionnaire inquired on the sampling

details (date, time, overnight freezing, and any collection problems), overall health (body weight and health concerns), past year history of antibiotic or antifungal medication use, gastric procedures, probiotic pill or laxative use, rural or urban childhood (birth to the age of 3 years) environment, and consumption of yogurt (see below for detailed description) and other probiotic foods (kefir, kimchi, home-made pickles, miso, tempeh, and natto), special diets or artificial sweeteners (Fu et al., 2016). As for yogurt or probiotic supplement intake, this questionnaire included one question on habitual yogurt consumption "*Have you in the past year consumed yogurt regularly (once a week or more)?*" and one question on habitual probiotic supplement intake "*Have you in the past year taken any probiotic pills regularly (once a week or more)?*" However, we were not able to determine how frequently participants consumed yogurt or probiotic supplements, such as "how many times per week" since this was not included in the stool collection questionnaire and APS QFFQ (see below).

Additional information on dietary intake was obtained from APS QFFQ derived from the original QFFQ. The development and calibration of the original QFFQ has been detailed previously (Stram et al., 2000). The original QFFQ was updated for APS to modify the food lists, amounts, and examples or names given for the food items without substantial change. APS QFFQ has information on how many servings (cup equivalents) of yogurt participants consumed per day, which was used to determine whether the information on daily yogurt servings from APS QFFQ was comparable to the information on habitual yogurt intake from the APS Stool Collection Questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

All statistical modelling was conducted with SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All genus and OTU variables had undergone ComBat-adjustment (Zhang et al., 2020) to correct values across laboratory batches, followed by centred log-ratio transformation (CLR) to account for their compositional nature as previously described (Hullar et al., 2021). To capture the association between yogurt or probiotic supplement consumption and the microbiome, we compared regular yogurt consumption (once per week or more) (Y) versus non-regular yogurt consumption (NY) and regular probiotic supplement (any kind, once per week or more) use (P) versus non-regular probiotic supplementation (NP) using linear models regressed outcome variables (alpha diversity, genera abundance, etc.) on P (with NP as the reference category) or Y (with NY as the reference category). The regression coefficients (β) in these models indicate the difference in the relative abundance of a gut microbiome component per category as compared to the reference category. We conducted sensitivity tests by comparing P versus neither P nor Y (NPY) and comparing Y versus NPY. Due to the small number of participants who consumed both yogurt and probiotic supplements, we did not assess the associations of consumption of both dietary items (probiotic supplements + yogurt) with microbiota. Bonferronicorrected p-value of 0.05/152 = 0.00033 was applied to the analysis of 152 genera to maintain a nominal type-I error of 0.05 and reduce the likelihood of chance associations.

We also performed sub-analyses for associations of P or Y with select OTUs. We included OTUs belonging to the specific genera which are commonly found in probiotic supplements and/or yogurt (Kok and Hutkins, 2018), such as *Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus*, and *Streptococcus* and to the genera for which significant associations were detected (P < 0.00033 after Bonferroni correction). In our study, among those who reported taking probiotic supplements regularly, most were taking *Bifidobacterium* and/or *Lactobacillus* supplements (73%), supporting our OTU selection criteria mentioned above. All models were adjusted for ethnicity (African American, Japanese American, Latino, Native Hawaiian, or white), sex, age at stool collection, BMI at stool collection, physical activity (hour(s) of moderate/vigorous activity per day), smoking status (never or former), having antibiotic treatment(s) within the last year, total energy intake (log-transformed), and dietary fibre intake (log-transformed). The above analyses were repeatedly stratified by ethnicity. Additionally, we repeated analyses further adjusting for other parameters relevant to metabolic health such as viscera to subcutaneous fat ratio and serum insulin, glucose, total, HDL and LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides levels. We also assessed the associations

between daily servings of yogurt intake from the APS QFFQ with microbiota variables for confirmation of the effects of yogurt on the gut microbiota. Since 21.6% of the participants had been treated with antibiotics during the past year, we also repeated the main analyses by excluding those previously treated with antibiotics.

Results

A total of 1,861 generally healthy, older participants were included in the analyses. About 9.4% reported taking probiotic supplements (P) and 44.0% reported consuming yogurt regularly (Y). In addition, 6.0% reported intake of both dietary items, while 51.1% reported neither (NPY). Missing information on probiotic supplements and yogurt intake were 1.8% (N = 34) and 1.1% (N = 20), respectively. The mean age of study participants was 69.2 ± 2.7 years, with men (49.6%) and women (50.4%) evenly distributed. Of these, 17.0% were African Americans, 23.3% were Japanese Americans, 21.1% were Latinos, 16.5% were Native Hawaiians, and 22.1% were whites. The mean BMI was 28.0 ± 4.8 kg/m². Among those taking antibiotics during the past year (21.6%), 53.9% consumed P or Y while the proportion for P or Y intake was 45.5% among non-antibiotic users. Women were more likely to consume P or Y compared to men (P < 0.0001). Dietary fibre intake was higher for those consuming P or Y than NPY (P < 0.0001). In addition, although there was no difference in BMI between P or Y compared to NPY (P < 0.05). P or Y had higher HDL but also higher total and LDL cholesterol levels relative to NPY (P < 0.05; Table 1). In the APS QFFQ, regular Y consumers reported higher daily yogurt consumption (0.31 ± 0.32 serving/day [\approx 75.9 ± 78.4 g/day]) than NY users (0.04 ± 0.06 serving/day [\approx 9.8 ± 14.7 g/day]; P < 0.0001).

The results of associations of P (vs. NP) and Y (vs. NY) with gut microbiota composition (152 analysed bacteria genera) are presented in Supplementary Table 1. Table 2 summarises the key results. Compared to NY, Y had a significantly higher abundance of *Streptococcus* genus ($\beta = 0.29$, P = 0.0003) and a lower abundance of *Odoribacter* ($\beta = -0.33$, P < 0.0001). No significant associations were found between P and abundance of 152 bacteria genera studied, including Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus which are commonly consumed as probiotic supplements. Additionally, Y or P did not affect α diversity measures. For OTUs, one Streptococcus related OTU (Streptococcus; uncultured bacterium) was positively associated with Y versus NY (β = 0.40, *P* < 0.0001). Daily servings of yogurt consumption from APS QFFQ were also positively associated with the relative abundance of *Streptococcus* ($\beta = 0.63$, P < 0.0001) and the aforementioned OTU of Streptococcus ($\beta = 0.93$, P < 0.0001) as well as inversely associated with *Odoribacter* abundance ($\beta = -0.41$, P = 0.003). However, the latter did not reach statistical significance after Bonferroni correction. Sensitivity tests by comparing P versus NPY and Y versus NPY did not change the results significantly. Results did not change materially after further adjusting for parameters relevant to metabolic health (viscera to subcutaneous fat ratio, insulin, glucose, total, HDL and LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides). In addition, results did not change significantly after repeating the primary analyses with the exclusion of participants who were treated with antibiotics during the past year. After performing the analyses across all 152 bacteria genera (Supplementary Table 1), we focused on Streptococcus and Odoribacter since these two bacteria genera showed significant associations with yogurt consumption. We selected Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus genera because these are commonly found in probiotic supplements and commercial yogurt products.

Results of associations of habitual yogurt intake with the abundance of 152 bacteria genera and *Streptococcus* OTU (uncultured) by five ethnic groups are presented in Supplementary Table 2. For *Streptococcus* and *Odoribacter* as well as one uncultured *Streptococcus* OTU, the associations with Y users (vs. NY) were stronger among Japanese Americans (*Streptococcus* $\beta = 0.56$, P = 0.0009; *Odoribacter*: $\beta = -0.62$, P = 0.0004; *Streptococcus* OTU [uncultured]: $\beta = 0.70$, P < 0.0001) than in other ethnic groups. However, the directions of the associations were consistent across the five ethnic groups. Based on the APS QFFQ, white participants had the highest daily yogurt consumption (0.21 ± 0.26 serving/day [$\approx 51.5 \pm 63.7$ g/ day]) and Japanese Americans had the lowest (0.11 ± 0.19 serving/day [$\approx 27.0 \pm 46.5$ g/day]) among the

Weiwen Chai et al. 6

	All	P or Y	NPY	P ^a
N ^b	1,861	882	951	
Age at stool collection (year)	69.2 ± 2.7	69.1 ± 2.7	69.3 ± 2.8	0.16
Sex, N (%)				<0.0001
Male	923 (49.6)	324 (37.2)	583 (61.3)	
Female	938 (50.4)	548 (62.8)	368 (38.7)	
Ethnicity, N (%)				<0.0001
African American	317 (17.0)	142 (16.3)	169 (17.8)	
Japanese American	434 (23.3)	181 (20.8)	253 (26.6)	
Latino	392 (21.1)	190 (21.8)	181 (19.0)	
Native Hawaiian	307 (16.5)	126 (14.5)	174 (18.3)	
White	411 (22.1)	233 (26.7)	174 (18.3)	
BMI at stool collection (kg/m ²)	28.0 ± 4.8	27.9 ± 4.9	27.9 ± 4.8	0.86
Metabolic health parameters				
Ratio of visceral to subcutaneous fat	0.88 ± 0.52	0.79 ± 0.48	0.96 ± 0.52	<0.0001
Insulin (mg/dL)	7.2 ± 4.8	6.8 ± 4.5	7.4 ± 5.2	0.01
Glucose (mg/dL)	108.5 ± 31.1	105.7 ± 27.0	110.7 ± 33.5	0.0004
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	58.4 ± 24.8	59.7 ± 24.7	57.4 ± 24.6	0.045
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	127.2 ± 50.0	130.3 ± 51.5	123.6 ± 48.6	0.005
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	207.9 ± 55.5	212.1 ± 56.7	203.5 ± 54.4	0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	112.2 ± 59.1	111.4 ± 60.7	112.4 ± 57.8	0.73
Smoking status, N (%)				0.001
Never	1,112 (60.7)	569 (65.3)	551 (57.9)	
Former	719 (39.3)	303 (34.7)	400 (42.1)	
Current	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Moderate/vigorous activities (hour/day)	1.5 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 1.4	0.96
Alcohol intake (g/day)	7.8 ± 17.1	7.1 ± 16.4	8.5 ± 17.7	0.09
Antibiotic use, N (%)	401 (21.6)	216 (24.8)	182 (19.4)	0.01
Total energy intake (kcal/day)	1,882 ± 946	1,907 ± 966	1,853 ± 922	0.23
Dietary fibre intake (g/day)	23.5 ± 14.3	25.1 ± 14.3	22.0 ± 14.0	<0.0001

Abbreviations: APS, adiposity phenotype study; NPY, consuming neither probiotic supplements nor yogurt regularly; P, regularly consuming yogurt.

^aP-values for differences between participants categorised as P or Y and participants categorised as NPY using t-test for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. ^bIn total, 34 participants had missing values of P; 20 participants had missing values of Y; and 28 participants had missing values of both P and Y.

	Yogurt ^a (serving/day) N = 1,861 ^b	P (vs. NP) N = 175 (vs. 1,652) ^b	Y (vs. NY) N = 818 (vs. 1,023) ^b		
Measures	β (<i>P</i>) ^{c,d}	β (<i>P</i>) ^{c,d}	β (<i>P</i>) ^{c,d}		
Genera common in probiotic supplements and/or yogurt					
Bifidobacterium	-0.07 (0.59)	-0.08 (0.47)	-0.02 (0.78)		
Lactobacillus	-0.04 (0.66)	-0.06 (0.47)	-0.01 (0.81)		
Streptococcus	0.63 (<0.0001)	0.13 (0.29)	0.29 (0.0003)		
Other genera					
Odoribacter	-0.41 (0.003)	-0.07 (0.55)	-0.33 (<0.0001)		
OTUs					
Streptococcus; uncultured_bacterium	0.93 (<0.0001)	0.17 (0.21)	0.40 (<0.0001)		

Table 2. Associations of probiotic supplement use and yogurt consumption with selected gut microbiota variables in APS

Abbreviations: APS, adiposity phenotype study; NP, not consuming probiotic supplements regularly; NY, not consuming yogurt regularly; P, regularly consuming probiotic supplements; Y, regularly consuming yogurt.

^aDaily yogurt consumed (serving/day) from APS QFFQ. ^bA total of 1,861 participants were included in the analyses: P (N = 175), NP (N = 1,652), 34 participants had missing data of P or NP; Y (N = 818), NY (N = 1,023), 20 participants had missing data of Y or NY.

⁶Beta coefficient (β) and *P*-value were estimated using proc GLM adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, antibiotic intake, smoking status, daily moderate/vigorous physical activity hours, body mass index, dietary fibre intake, and total calories.

^dBonferroni-corrected *p*-value of 0.05/152 = 0.00033 was applied. (Bolded values indicate statistical significance after Bonferroni-correction, p-value < 0.00033).

five ethic groups. The remaining ethnic groups, African Americans (0.16 ± 0.32 serving/day [$\approx 39.2 \pm 78.4$ g/ day]), Native Hawaiians (0.16 ± 0.31 serving/day [$\approx 39.2 \pm 75.9$ g/day]), and Latinos (0.14 ± 0.23 serving/day [34.3 ± 56.3 g/day]), had similar daily yogurt intake.Figure 1A,B shows daily yogurt intake and relative abundance of *Streptococcus* genus by status of yogurt consumption (Y vs. NY) across the five ethnic groups. The daily yogurt intake, whites had the lowest abundance of *Streptococcus* genus (-0.15 ± 0.09). The difference in *Streptococcus* genus abundance between Y and NY was most prominent among Japanese Americans (Y: 0.39 ± 1.54 vs. NY: -0.05 ± 1.60 , P = 0.0009).

Discussion

This study included a subset of MEC volunteers from a multiethnic, older study population and observed that, compared to those who did not consume yogurt regularly, habitual yogurt intake was positively associated with the abundance of *Streptococcus* and inversely associated with the abundance of *Odoribacter*. These associations were stronger among Japanese Americans than in other ethnic groups; however, the directions were consistent across the five ethnic groups.

Emerging evidence suggests that the microorganisms associated with fermentation, along with probiotics added to fermented foods may contribute to human health (Marco et al., 2017; Kok and Hutkins, 2018). Yogurt is made with a culture containing strains of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*. In addition, commercial yogurt products are commonly supplemented with probiotic bacteria, such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* strains, for added benefits (Kok and Hutkins, 2018). Previous studies found a diet rich in yogurt was associated with a reduced risk of metabolic syndrome (Sonestedt et al., 2011) and colorectal cancer (Pala et al., 2011). Evidence from randomised clinical trials suggests that consumption of yogurt containing *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* had either favourable or neutral effects on markers of metabolic risk



Figure 1. (A) Daily yogurt intake (g/day) for all participants (all), regular yogurt consumers (Y) and non-yogurt consumers (NY) by five ethnic groups. (B) Relative abundance of *Streptococcus* genus for regular yogurt consumers (Y) and non-yogurt consumers (NY) by five ethnic groups. AA, African American; JA, Japanese American; NH, Native Hawaiian; L, Latino; W, White. The bottom and top lines of the box represent lower and upper ends of 95% confidence interval of the mean, respectively. The middle line of the box and "X" marker represent mean value. The lowest and highest points of each graph represent 25 and 75 percentiles, respectively. *P*-values for associations of regular yogurt consumption with relative abundance of *Streptococcus* genus for the five ethic groups were: AA: P = 0.13; JA: P = 0.32; L: P = 0.32; L: P = 0.32; C: P = 0.32.

compared to the control treatment (Dumas et al., 2017). Additionally, several randomised trials show that yogurts with added probiotic bacterial strains were more effective than conventional yogurts in improving blood glucose (Ejtahed et al., 2012) and insulin resistance (Asemi et al., 2013; Madjd et al., 2016). Furthermore, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, used in yogurt production and other fermented milk products along with *Bifidobacterium* strains, has been shown to protect the gastrointestinal epithelium from *Escherichia coli*, improve somatic growth and reduce the severity and duration of acute diarrhoea

in infants (Thibault et al., 2004; Corrêa et al., 2005). More recent research reported *Streptococcus thermophilus* inhibited colorectal tumorigenesis in animal models (Li et al., 2021).

In the current study, we found that habitually consuming yogurt (at least once a week) was positively associated with the relative abundance of Streptococcus. Additionally, the results of sub-analyses of OTUs indicated that intake of yogurt was also associated with one of the Streptococcus strains, although we were not able to identify the specific strain because of its uncultured status. Our findings were consistent with two recent studies that reported yogurt consumption was related to higher levels of yogurt starter Streptococcus thermophilus in 260 participants aged between 25 and 50 years (Redondo-Useros et al., 2019) and in 1,103 older adult participants from LifeLines-DEEP cohort (le Roy et al., 2022). A crosssectional study conducted in 293 young adults in Japan reported that yogurt and fermented dairy product consumption showed positive associations with Lactobacillus and Lactobacillus gasseri subgroup and negative associations with Staphylococcus in both male and female subjects (Suzuki et al., 2017). Additionally, an increase in *Bifidobacterium* species was observed in *Bifidobacterium*-containing fermented milk consumers in 260 adult participants (Redondo-Useros et al., 2019). A positive association between the frequency of a specific fermented milk product consumption and gut microbiota diversity was also detected in more than 1,000 adult subjects (Zhernakova et al., 2016). However, we did not observe associations of yogurt intake with other two common genera, Lactobacillus (found in conventional yogurt and/or commercial yogurt products) and Bifidobacterium (the strains of Bifidobacterium are often added to commercial yogurt products). We also did not observe associations between regular probiotic supplement or yogurt intake with higher alpha diversity in our study.

We did not observe associations of probiotic supplement use with the 152 studied genera in the study, including *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, frequently found in probiotic supplements. In our study, compared to yogurt consumption (43.9%), considerably fewer participants reported taking probiotic supplements regularly (9.4%), which may, in part explain the null associations between probiotic supplement use and microbiome genera. In addition, due to the small number of participants who reported consuming both yogurt and probiotic supplements regularly (N = 111, 6%), we were not able to examine the synergic effects of yogurt intake and use of probiotic supplements on gut microbiota.

The current study also found that regular yogurt intake was inversely associated with Odoribacter abundance. It has been suggested that the potential beneficial effects of Odoribacter as part of a healthy, balanced human gut microbiota are primarily attributed to its capacity to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Hiippala et al., 2020). However, the role of Odoribacter and SCFAs in metabolic health remains unclear. One study reported Odoribacter abundance was inversed associated with systolic blood pressure in obese and overweight pregnant women, suggesting the possible influence on host blood pressure by this SCFA-producing microbiome genus (Gomez-Arango et al., 2016). Several studies also found that Odoribacter may benefit metabolic health coupled with other SCFA-producing genera, such as Akkermansia (Brahe et al., 2015; Etxeberria et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2017; Lai et al., 2018). In contrast, one study revealed that individuals with hypercholesterolemia were characterised by a higher prevalence of Odoribacter compared to those without hypercholesterolemia (Granado-Serrano et al., 2019). Another study observed a positive correlation between abundance of Odoribacter and fasting plasma glucose (Bellikci-Koyu et al., 2019). The inverse association between yogurt intake and Odoribacter observed in the current study should be confirmed by future investigations. We are unsure whether the lower abundance of Odoribacter with yogurt consumption was directly attributable to yogurt intake or mediated by the change of other microbial contents in the gut. Besides Streptococcus and Odoribacter, we did not observe significant associations of yogurt intake with other microbiota genera. We additionally adjusted for Streptococcus in the regression model between yogurt consumption and Odoribacter abundance, and results did not change significantly. This suggests the independence of Streptococcus and Odoribacter in relation to yogurt consumption. Thus, the relationships between yogurt consumption, Odoribacter, and metabolic health need to be further investigated in the context of the dynamic gut microbiota community.

In previous reports, gut microbiota composition varied by ethnicity, diet, and lifestyle (de Filippo et al., 2010; Kau et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2016). One study compared gut microbiota

between Japanese Americans and native Japanese and found a lower Odoribacter abundance in Japanese Americans, possibly due to adopting more Westernised lifestyles (Yamashita et al., 2019). In the current study, we observed a stronger association of yogurt consumption with Streptococcus (positive association) and Odoribacter (inverse association) among Japanese Americans than in other ethnic groups. However, the directions of the above associations were consistent across the ethnic groups in the study. Hullar et al. (2021) assessed gut microbiome with non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases (NAFLDs) in the MEC APS (the same study population used in the current study). They found 69 genera were significantly associated with NAFLD in at least one ethnic group but no single genus was significantly associated with NAFLD across all ethnicities (Hullar et al., 2021), suggesting that ethnic-specific microbial composition and pathophysiologic pathways may provide the basis for targeted therapies for NAFLD and other metabolic diseases. The associations of habitual yogurt intake with Streptococcus genus in ethnic-specific patterns observed in our study are of interest. Generally speaking, Streptococcus abundance was greater in yogurt consumers relative to non-consumers across the five ethnic groups; however, the difference was more significant among Japanese Americans. Furthermore, despite the highest percentage of regular yogurt consumers (53.7%) and the highest daily yogurt intake observed in whites compared to other ethnic groups, whites had the lowest relative abundance of Streptococcus genus even for the regular yogurt consumers. Thus, the above results suggest that the relation between yogurt consumption and greater abundance of Streptococcus genus appeared to be ethnic-specific, which may provide new insight into understanding the potential pathway of dietary yogurt intake-alteration of gut microbiome-improvement of metabolic health once replicated in other studies.

Our study is the first large-scale, population-based study with multiple ethnic groups that examined relationships between probiotic supplement or yogurt intake and gut microbiota. There are several limitations of the current study. The small number of participants who reported taking probiotic supplements may have limited our statistical power to detect the potential associations of probiotic supplement intake with the gut microbiota. We only had one stool sample per participant with which to characterise an individual's microbiome; however, previous studies have shown that a single assessment adequately captures the interindividual variation (Claesson et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2019). In addition, the stool collection questionnaire and APS QFFQ did not specifically ask participants about how often they consumed yogurt or probiotic supplements, for example "how many times per week". Therefore, we may not wholly capture participants' dietary habits related to yogurt or probiotic supplement intake. However, participants' responses to the habitual yogurt consumption question were comparable to the data on their daily servings of yogurt intake from APS QFFQ, and similar results were observed for associations of daily yogurt servings from APS QFFQ with microbial variables (*Streptococcus, Odoribacter*, and *Streptococcus* OTU). Lastly, the study was limited to microbiome analysis which relied only on 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

In conclusion, the results from the current study suggest that regular consumption of yogurt was related to a greater abundance of *Streptococcus* and lower abundance of *Odoribacter* among generally healthy, older adults. The associations of yogurt with *Streptococcus* and *Odoribacter* were stronger in Japanese Americans than in other ethnic groups; however, the directions of the associations were consistent across ethnicity. No associations were found between probiotic supplement intake and gut microbial variables; Alpha diversity was not affected by yogurt or probiotic supplement consumption. Future studies need to confirm the current results and further identify the specific species of *Streptococcus* that was associated with yogurt intake. In addition, investigating whether microbial genera such as *Streptococcus* and *Odoribacter* and their sub-level species could mediate the potential pathway between dietary yogurt consumption and human health, in terms of improving metabolic outcomes is warranted.

Acknowledgements. We thank the participants for their time to participate in the study. We thank study staff members at the University of Hawai'i Cancer Center, the University of Southern California, and Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center whose excellent performance made this research possible.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/gmb.2023.10.



Author contribution. Conceptualisation: W.C., G.M., M.A.J.H., U.L., L.L.M., J.W.L., and C.J.B.; Data curation: I.C.J.; Formal analysis: W.C.; Funding acquisition: L.L.M., U.L., J.W.L., and L.R.W.; Methodology: G.M., M.A.J.H., J.W.L., L.R.W., and T.W.R.; Writing-original draft: W.C.; Writing-review and editing: G.M., M.A.J.H., L.L.M., J.W.L., C.J.B., L.R.W., and V.W.S.

Funding. This work was supported by the following grants that were funded by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH)/ National Cancer Institute (NCI): P01CA168530, 3P01CA168530-04S1, UM1/U01CA164973, P30CA071789, and P30CA015704; and by the US NIH/National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS; Grant No. UL1TR000130).

Data availability statement. The data underlying this study cannot be made publicly available because they contain patient identifying information. Data are available from the multiethnic cohort study (http://www.uhcancercenter.org/research/the-multiethnic-cohort-study-mec/data-sharing-mec) for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. All sequencing data are publicly available at the NIH SRA (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accessions PRJNA804208, PRJNA629344, and PRJNA723466.

Competing interest. The authors declare no competing interests exist.

References

- Aggarwal J, Swami G and Kumar M (2013) Probiotics and their effects on metabolic diseases: An update. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 7(1), 173–177. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2012/5004.2701
- Asemi Z, Samimi M, Tabassi Z, Naghibi Rad M, Rahimi Foroushani A, Khorammian H and Esmaillzadeh A (2013) Effect of daily consumption of probiotic yoghurt on insulin resistance in pregnant women: A randomized controlled trial. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 67(1), 71–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2012.189
- Bellikci-Koyu E, Sarer-Yurekli BP, Akyon Y, Aydin-Kose F, Karagozlu C, Ozgen AG, Brinkmann A, Nitsche A, Ergunay K, Yilmaz E and Buyuktuncer Z (2019) Effects of regular kefir consumption on gut microbiota in patients with metabolic syndrome: A parallel-group, randomized, controlled study. *Nutrients* 11(9), 2089. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11092089
- Brahe LK, le Chatelier E, Prifti E, Pons N, Kennedy S, Hansen T, Pedersen O, Astrup A, Ehrlich SD and Larsen LH (2015) Specific gut microbiota features and metabolic markers in postmenopausal women with obesity. *Nutrition & Diabetes* 5, e159. https://doi.org/10.1038/nutd.2015.9
- Cani PD and Everard A (2016) Talking microbes: When gut bacteria interact with diet and host organs. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 60(1), 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201500406
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J and Knight R (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* 7(5), 335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Chao A and Shen TJ (2003) Nonparametric estimation of Shannon's index of diversity when there are unseen species in sample. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics* 10, 429–443.
- Chen L, Zhang YH, Huang T and Cai YD (2016) Gene expression profiling gut microbiota in different races of humans. *Scientific Reports* 6, 23075. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23075
- Choi S, Hwang YJ, Shin MJ and Yi H (2017) Difference in the gut microbiome between ovariectomy-induced obesity and dietinduced obesity. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 27(12), 2228–2236. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1710.10001
- Claesson MJ, Cusack S, O'Sullivan O, Greene-Diniz R, de Weerd H, Flannery E, Marchesi JR, Falush D, Dinan T, Fitzgerald G, Stanton C, van Sinderen D, O'Connor M, Harnedy N, O'Connor K, Henry C, O'Mahony D, Fitzgerald AP, Shanahan F, Twomey C, Hill C, Ross RP and O'Toole PW (2011) Composition, variability, and temporal stability of the intestinal microbiota of the elderly. *The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS) USA* 108 Suppl 1, 4586–4591. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000097107
- Clemente JC, Ursell LK, Parfrey LW and Knight R (2012) The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: An integrative view. *Cell* 148(6), 1258–1270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035
- Corrêa NBO, Péret Filho LA, Penna FJ, Lima FMLS and Nicoli JR (2005) A randomized formula controlled trial of Bifidobacterium lactis and Streptococcus thermophilus for prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in infants. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology 39(5), 385–389. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mcg.0000159217.47419.5b
- Crovesy L, Ostrowski M, Ferreira DMTP, Rosado EL and Soares-Mota M (2017) Effect of lactobacillus on body weight and body fat in overweight subjects: A systematic review of randomized controlled clinical trials. *International Journal of Obesity* **41**(11), 1607–1614. https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2017.161
- Daniel N, Nachbar RT, Tran TTT, Ouellette A, Varin TV, Cotillard A, Quinquis L, Gagné A, St-Pierre P, Trottier J, Marcotte B, Poirel M, Saccareau M, Dubois MJ, Joubert P, Barbier O, Koutnikova H and Marette A (2022) Gut microbiota and fermentation-derived branched chain hydroxy acids mediate health benefits of yogurt consumption in obese mice. *Nature Communications* 13, 1343. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29005-0
- de Filippo C, Cavalieri D, di Paola M, Ramazzotti M, Poullet JB, Massart S, Collini S, Pieraccini G and Lionetti P (2010) Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS) USA* **107**(33), 14691–14696. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005963107

- Derrien M and van Hylckama Vlieg JE (2015) Fate, activity, and impact of ingested bacteria within the human gut microbiota. *Trends in Microbiology* 23(6), 354–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.03.002
- Dumas AA, Lapointe A, Dugrenier M, Provencher V, Lamarche B and Desroches S (2017) A systematic review of the effect of yogurt consumption on chronic diseases risk markers in adults. *European Journal of Nutrition* 56(4), 1375–1392. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00394-016-1341-7
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26(19), 2460–2461. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461
- Ejtahed HS, Mohtadi-Nia J, Homayouni-Rad A, Niafar M, Asghari-Jafarabadi M and Mofid V (2012) Probiotic yogurt improves antioxidant status in type 2 diabetic patients. *Nutrition* 28(5), 539–543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2011.08.013
- Etxeberria U, Hijona E, Aguirre L, Milagro FI, Bujanda L, Rimando AM, Martínez JA and Portillo MP (2017) Pterostilbeneinduced changes in gut microbiota composition in relation to obesity. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 61, 1–12. https:// doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201500906
- Faith DP and Baker AM (2007) Phylogenetic diversity (PD) and biodiversity conservation: Some bioinformatics challenges. Evolutionary Bioinformatics 2, 121–128.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2002) *Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food.* Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Working Group on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food. London, ON: FAO, 30 April– 1 May 2002.
- Fu BC, Randolph TW, Lim U, Monroe KR, Cheng I, Wilkens LR, le Marchand L, Hullar MAJ and Lampe JW (2016) Characterization of the gut microbiome in epidemiologic studies: The multiethnic cohort experience. Annals of Epidemiology 26(5), 373–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.02.009
- Fu BC, Randolph TW, Lim U, Monroe KR, Cheng I, Wilkens LR, le Marchand L, Lampe JW and Hullar MAJ (2019) Temporal variability and stability of the fecal microbiome: The multiethnic cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers* & Prevention 28(1), 154–162. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-18-0348
- Gomez-Arango LF, Barrett HL, McIntyre H, Callaway LK, Morrison M, Dekker Nitert M and SPRING Trial Group (2016) Increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure is associated with altered gut microbiota composition and butyrate production in early pregnancy. *Hypertension* 68(4), 974–981. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.07910
- Granado-Serrano AB, Martín-Garí M, Sánchez V, Riart Solans M, Berdún R, Ludwig IA, Rubió L, Vilaprinyó E, Portero-Otín M and Serrano JCE (2019) Faecal bacterial and short-chain fatty acids signature in hypercholesterolemia. *Scientific Reports* 9(1), 1772. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38874-3
- Hiippala K, Barreto G, Burrello C, Diaz-Basabe A, Suutarinen M, Kainulainen V, Bowers JR, Lemmer D, Engelthaler DM, Eklund KK, Facciotti F and Satokari R (2020) Novel Odoribacter splanchnicus strain and its outer membrane vesicles exert immunoregulatory effects in vitro. Frontiers in Microbiology 11, 575455. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.575455
- Hullar MAJ, Jenkins IC, Randolph TW, Curtis KR, Monroe KR, Ernst T, Shepherd JA, Stram DO, Cheng I, Kristal BS, Wilkens LR, Franke A, le Marchand L, Lim U and Lampe JW (2021) Associations of the gut microbiome with hepatic adiposity in the multiethnic cohort adiposity phenotype study. *Gut Microbes* 13(1), 1965463. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 19490976.2021.1965463
- Ivey KL, Hodgson JM, Kerr DA, Thompson PL, Stojceski B and Prince RL (2015) The effect of yoghurt and its probiotics on blood pressure and serum lipid profile; a randomised controlled trial. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases* 25 (1), 46–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2014.07.012
- Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, Goodman AL and Gordon JI (2011) Human nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system. Nature 474(7351), 327–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10213
- Kim SK, Guevarra RB, Kim YT, Kwon J, Kim H, Cho JH, Kim HB and Lee JH (2019) Role of probiotics in human gut microbiome-associated diseases. *Journal of Microbiology Biotechnology* 29(9), 1335–1340. https://doi.org/10.4014/ jmb.1906.06064
- Kok CR and Hutkins R (2018) Yogurt and other fermented foods as sources of health-promoting bacteria. *Nutrition Reviews* 76 (Suppl 1), 4–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuy056
- Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Hankin JH, Nomura AMY, Wilkens LR, Pike MC, Stram DO, Monroe KR, Earle ME and Nagamine FS (2000) A multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles: Baseline characteristics. American Journal of Epidemiology 151(4), 346–357
- Lai ZL, Tseng CH, Ho HJ, Cheung CKY, Lin JY, Chen YJ, Cheng FC, Hsu YC, Lin JT, el-Omar EM and Wu CY (2018) Fecal microbiota transplantation confers beneficial metabolic effects of diet and exercise on diet-induced obese mice. *Scientific Reports* 8(1), 15625. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33893-y
- Langille MG, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, Clemente JC, Burkepile DE, Vega Thurber RL, Knight R, Beiko RG and Huttenhower C (2013) Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nature Biotechnology* 31(9), 814–821. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2676
- Lau E, Neves JS, Ferreira-Magalhães M, Carvalho D and Freitas P (2019) Probiotic ingestion, obesity, and metabolic-related disorders: Results from NHANES, 1999–2014. Nutrients 11(7), 1482. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11071482
- le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, Prifti E, Hildebrand F, Falony G, Almeida M, Arumugam M, Batto JM, Kennedy S, Leonard P, Li J, Burgdorf K, Grarup N, Jørgensen T, Brandslund I, Nielsen HB, Juncker AS, Bertalan M, Levenez F, Pons N,



Rasmussen S, Sunagawa S, Tap J, Tims S, Zoetendal EG, Brunak S, Clément K, Doré J, Kleerebezem M, Kristiansen K, Renault P, Sicheritz-Ponten T, de Vos WM, Zucker JD, Raes J, Hansen T, MetaHIT consortium, Guedon E, Delorme C, Layec S, Khaci G, van de Guchte M, Vandemeulebrouck G, Jamet A, Dervyn R, Sanchez N, Maguin E, Haimet F, Winogradski Y, Cultrone A, Leclerc M, Juste C, Blottière H, Pelletier E, LePaslier D, Artiguenave F, Bruls T, Weissenbach J, Turner K, Parkhill J, Antolin M, Manichanh C, Casellas F, Boruel N, Varela E, Torrejon A, Guarner F, Denariaz G, Derrien M, van Hylckama Vlieg JET, Veiga P, Oozeer R, Knol J, Rescigno M, Brechot C, M'Rini C, Mérieux A, Yamada T, Bork P, Wang J, Ehrlich SD and Pedersen O (2013) Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature* 500(7464), 541–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12506

- le Roy CI, Kurilshikov A, Leeming ER, Visconti A, Bowyer RCE, Menni C, Falchi M, Koutnikova H, Veiga P, Zhernakova A, Derrien M and Spector TD (2022) Yoghurt consumption is associated with changes in the composition of the human gut microbiome and metabolome. *BMC Microbiology* 22(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-021-02364-2
- Li Q, Hu W, Liu WX, Zhao LY, Huang D, Liu XD, Chan H, Zhang Y, Zeng JD, Coker OO, Kang W, Ng SSM, Zhang L, Wong SH, Gin T, Chan MTV, Wu JL, Yu J and Wu WKK (2021) Streptococcus thermophilus inhibits colorectal tumorigenesis through secreting beta-galactosidase. *Gastroenterology* 160(4), 1179–1193.e14. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.09.003
- Lim U, Monroe KR, Buchthal S, Fan B, Cheng J, Kristal BS, Lampe JW, Hullar MA, Franke AA, Stram DO, Wilkens LR, Shepherd J, Ernst T and le Marchand L (2019) Propensity for intra-abdominal and hepatic adiposity varies among ethnic groups. *Gastroenterology* 156(4), 966–975.e10. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.11.021
- Lim MY, You HJ, Yoon HS, Kwon B, Lee JY, Lee S, Song YM, Lee K, Sung J and Ko GP (2017) The effect of heritability and host genetics on the gut microbiota and metabolic syndrome. *Gut* 66(6), 1031–1038. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311326
- Madjd A, Taylor MA, Mousavi N, Delavari A, Malekzadeh R, Macdonald IA and Farshchi HR (2016) Comparison of the effect of daily consumption of probiotic compared with low-fat conventional yogurt on weight loss in healthy obese women following an energy-restricted diet: A randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **103**(2), 323–329. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.115.120170
- Marco ML, Heeney D, Binda S, Cifelli CJ, Cotter PD, Foligné B, Gänzle M, Kort R, Pasin G, Pihlanto A, Smid EJ and Hutkins R (2017) Health benefits of fermented foods: Microbiota and beyond. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 44, 94–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.11.010
- Maskarinec G, Lim U, Jacobs S, Monroe KR, Ernst T, Buchthal SD, Shepherd JA, Wilkens LR, le Marchand L and Boushey CJ (2017) Diet quality in midadulthood predicts visceral adiposity and liver fatness in older ages: The multiethnic cohort study. Obesity (Silver Spring) 25(8), 1442–1450. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21868
- Miele L, Giorgio V, Alberelli MA, de Candia E, Gasbarrini A and Grieco A (2015) Impact of gut microbiota on obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease risk. Current Cardiology Reports 17(12), 120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-015-0671-z
- Pala V, Sieri S, Berrino F, Vineis P, Sacerdote C, Palli D, Masala G, Panico S, Mattiello A, Tumino R, Giurdanella MC, Agnoli C, Grioni S and Krogh V (2011) Yogurt consumption and risk of colorectal cancer in the Italian European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition cohort. *International Journal of Cancer* 129(11), 2712–2719. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26193
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J and Glockner FO (2007) SILVA: A comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35(21), 7188–7196. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm864
- Redondo-Useros N, Gheorghe A, Díaz-Prieto L, Villavisencio B, Marcos A and Nova E (2019) Associations of probiotic fermented milk (PFM) and yogurt consumption with *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* components of the gut microbiota in healthy adults. *Nutrients* 11(3), 651. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11030651
- Ruan Y, Sun J, He J, Chen F, Chen R and Chen H (2015) Effect of probiotics on glycemic control: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *PLoS One* **10**(7), e0132121. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132121
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, van Horn DJ and Weber CF (2009) Introducing mothur: Open-source, platformindependent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75(23), 7537–7541. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09
- Shannon CE and Weaver W (1998) *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Champaign, IL: The University of Illinois Press.
- Sonestedt E, Wirfält E, Wallström P, Gullberg B, Orho-Melander M and Hedblad B (2011) Dairy products and its association with incidence of cardiovascular disease: The Malmo diet and cancer cohort. *European Journal Epidemiology* **26**(8), 609–618. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-011-9589-y
- Stram DO, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Pike MC, Monroe KR, Park S, Henderson BE, Nomura AMY, Earle ME, Nagamine FS and Kolonel LN (2000) Calibration of the dietary questionnaire for a multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 151(4), 358–370.
- Suzuki Y, Ikeda K, Sakuma K, Kawai S, Sawaki K, Asahara T, Takahashi T, Tsuji H, Nomoto K, Nagpal R, Wang C, Nagata S and Yamashiro Y (2017) Association between yogurt consumption and intestinal microbiota in healthy young adults differs by host gender. Frontiers in Microbiology 8, 847. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00847

- Thibault H, Aubert-Jacquin C and Goulet O (2004) Effects of long-term consumption of a fermented infant formula (with Bifidobacterium breve c50 and Streptococcus thermophilus 065) on acute diarrhea in healthy infants. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* **39**(2), 147–152. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005176-200408000-00004
- Thomas S, Izard J, Walsh E, Batich K, Chongsathidkiet P, Clarke G, Sela DA, Muller AJ, Mullin JM, Albert K, Gilligan JP, DiGuilio K, Dilbarova R, Alexander W and Prendergast GC (2017) The host microbiome regulates and maintains human health: A primer and perspective for non-microbiologists. *Cancer Research* 77(8), 1783–1812. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2929
- Tran CD, Grice DM, Wade B, Kerr CA, Bauer DC, Li D and Hannan GN (2015) Gut permeability, its interaction with gut microflora and effects on metabolic health are mediated by the lymphatics system, liver and bile acid. *Future Microbiology* 10 (8), 1339–1353. https://doi.org/10.2217/FMB.15.54
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM and Cole JR (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73(16), 5261–5267. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07
- Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen YY, Keilbaugh SA, Bewtra M, Knights D, Walters WA, Knight R, Sinha R, Gilroy E, Gupta K, Baldassano R, Nessel L, Li H, Bushman FD and Lewis JD (2011) Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. Science 334(6052), 105–108. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208344
- Yamashita M, Okubo H, Kobuke K, Ohno H, Oki K, Yoneda M, Tanaka J and Hattori N (2019) Alteration of gut microbiota by a westernized lifestyle and its correlation with insulin resistance in non-diabetic Japanese men. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation* 10(6), 1463–1470. https://doi.org/10.1111/jdi.13048
- Zhang Y, Parmigiani G and Johnson WE (2020) ComBat-seq: Batch effect adjustment for RNA-seq count data. NAR Genomics & Bioinformatics 2(3), lqaa078. https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqaa078
- Zhernakova A, Kurilshikov A, Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Schirmer M, Vatanen T, Mujagic Z, Vila AV, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Wang J, Imhann F, Brandsma E, Jankipersadsing SA, Joossens M, Cenit MC, Deelen P, Swertz MA, LifeLines cohort study, Weersma RK, Feskens EJM, Netea MG, Gevers D, Jonkers D, Franke L, Aulchenko YS, Huttenhower C, Raes J, Hofker MH, Xavier RJ, Wijmenga C and Fu J (2016) Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition and diversity. *Science* 352(6285), 565–569. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3369

Cite this article: Chai W, Maskarinec G, Lim U, Boushey CJ, Wilkens LR, Setiawan VW, Le Marchand L, Randolph TW, Jenkins IC, Lampe JW and Hullar MAJ 2023. Association of habitual intake of probiotic supplements and yogurt with characteristics of the gut microbiome in the multiethnic cohort adiposity phenotype study. *Gut Microbiome*, **4**, e14, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1017/gmb.2023.10



