Xylo-oligosaccharides alone or in synbiotic combination with *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* induce bifidogenesis and modulate markers of immune function in healthy adults: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised, factorial cross-over study
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Abstract

Prebiotics, probiotics and synbiotics are dietary ingredients with the potential to influence health and mucosal and systemic immune function by altering the composition of the gut microbiota. In the present study, a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (*Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bi-07, 10⁹ colony-forming units (CFU)/d) or synbiotic (8 g XOS + 10⁹ CFU Bi-07/d) was given to healthy adults (25–65 years) for 21 d. The aim was to identify the effect of the supplements on bowel habits, self-reported mood, composition of the gut microbiota, blood lipid concentrations and immune function. XOS supplementation increased mean bowel movements per d (*P*= 0.009), but did not alter the symptoms of bloating, abdominal pain or flatulence or the incidence of any reported adverse events compared with maltodextrin supplementation. XOS supplementation significantly increased participant-reported vitality (*P*= 0.003) and happiness (*P*= 0.034). Lowest reported use of analgesics was observed during the XOS + Bi-07 supplementation period (*P*= 0.004). XOS supplementation significantly increased faecal bifidobacterial counts (*P*= 0.008) and fasting plasma HDL concentrations (*P*= 0.005). Bi-07 supplementation significantly increased faecal *B. lactis* content (*P*= 0.007), lowered lipopolysaccharide-stimulated IL-4 secretion in whole-blood cultures (*P*= 0.055) and salivary IgA content (*P*= 0.040) and increased IL-6 secretion (*P*= 0.009). XOS supplementation resulted in lower expression of CD16/56 on natural killer T cells (*P*= 0.027) and lower IL-10 secretion (*P*= 0.049), while XOS and Bi-07 supplementation reduced the expression of CD19 on B cells (XOS × Bi-07, *P*= 0.009). The present study demonstrates that XOS induce bifidogenesis, improve aspects of the plasma lipid profile and modulate the markers of immune function in healthy adults. The provision of XOS + Bi-07 as a synbiotic may confer further benefits due to the discrete effects of Bi-07 on the gut microbiota and markers of immune function.
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A prebiotic is ‘a selectively fermented ingredient that results in specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota, thus conferring benefit(s) upon host health’(1). Xylo-oligosaccharides (XOS) are relatively stable under acidic conditions, which may endow protection from digestion when passing through the stomach(2). The degradation of xylobiose (XOS degree of polymerisation = 2) in the intestine has been studied *in vitro* and it has been found that XOS may be non-digestible and would reach the colon intact after oral intake(3). The preferential fermentation of XOS by bifidobacteria, including *B. lactis*, has been demonstrated *in vitro* through pure culture studies(4–9) and faecal batch and semi-continuous
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* Corresponding author: Dr C. E. Childs, fax +44 2381 204221, email c.e.childs@soton.ac.uk
mixed-culture fermentations. Animal studies have demonstrated that XOS stimulate the growth of caecal and faecal bifidobacteria at higher levels compared with the prebiotic fructo-oligosaccharide. In human studies, 2–5 g of XOS/d have been found to result in significant increases in the population of faecal bifidobacteria and faecal concentrations of SCFA and concomitant decreases in pH, proteolytic metabolite levels and enzyme activity and to have an ameliorating effect on constipation in women. Therefore, evidence for the prebiotic capacity and health benefits of XOS is promising, but further placebo-controlled studies are required, in particular, to evaluate a wide range of health parameters.

Prebiotics may influence immune function by altering the profile of pathogen-associated molecular patterns presented to the gut-associated lymphoid tissue or via indirect effects of microbial metabolic products, such as SCFA. Dietary XOS have been shown to significantly increase resistance to Listeria monocytogenes in a guinea pig model with in vitro studies confirming that XOS decrease the adherence of this pathogen to intestinal epithelial cells. Using 5 g/d XOS, a human study has identified a bifidogenic effect, but no significant effects upon the markers of immune function measured (lipopolysaccharide-induced cytokine production and faecal secretory IgA content) have been observed. The positive effects of probiotics on immune function have been observed in animal studies and trials in children, adults and the elderly, but influences are species and strain specific and variable. Placebo-controlled studies using synbiotics and/or in combination with Bi-07 will be advantageous over individual provision of prebiotics or probiotics or a synergistic effect of the combined supplement.

The present double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over study investigated the effect of XOS (provided as a prebiotic and/or in combination with Bi-07) in healthy adults. It is our hypothesis that the provision of XOS in combination with Bi-07 will be advantageous over individual provision of these supplements due to a synergistic effect arising from the preferential fermentation of XOS by B. lactis and that beneficial effects will include changes to the composition of the gut microbiota and immune function. The primary outcome measure was the effect of supplements on faecal bifidobacterial content. The secondary outcome measures were the effects of supplements on the gut microbiota and faecal SCFA concentrations, bowel habits, self-reported mood, plasma lipids and immune function markers. The assessment of immune function is complex, requiring multiple markers to be monitored to fully assess the potential impact of a nutritional intervention. In the present study, markers selected for analysis included those reflecting systemic and mucosal immunity, innate and acquired immunity, cellular activity and concentrations of soluble mediators.

Experimental methods

Subjects

The study group size required was estimated using G*Power 3.0.10. On the basis of 5% significance, 95% power and 0.5 correlation between the groups for dependent means, a sample size of 7 would be required to detect an effect of XOS supplementation on the primary outcome (increased levels of Bifidobacterium population in faeces). Data from human studies that assessed the effects of probiotics, prebiotics or synbiotics on immune function were used to determine the sample size required to detect significant effects on immune function including markers of phagocytosis, lymphocyte subsets and cytokine production. The effect sizes observed in these available human studies ranged from 0.3 to 1.7, with a variance of 0.2. On the basis of 5% significance, 95% power and 0.5 correlation between the groups for dependent means, the median sample size required for nine assessed immune outcomes was 27, with six/nine outcomes requiring n < 35. Therefore, we aimed to recruit forty volunteers to complete the study and recruited forty-four volunteers to allow for participant dropout to assess significant effects on both primary and secondary outcomes. Therefore, the minimum detectable effect size would be 0.58 (on the basis of 5% significance and 95% power).

The present study was conducted according to guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the University of Reading. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded. In total, forty-four volunteers were recruited from the Reading area between September 2008 and January 2009, and the study was completed in June 2009. Inclusion criteria included the following: a signed consent form; age 25–65 years; BMI 20–30 kg/m²; good general health, as determined by medical questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included the following: evidence of physical or mental disease requiring inpatient/outpatient treatment and/or use of prescription medication; planned major surgery; history of drug or alcohol abuse; severe allergies or a history of severe abnormal drug reaction; participation in an experimental drug trial 4 weeks before the study; participation in prebiotic or laxative trials in the previous 3 months; use of antibiotics in the previous 6 months; chronic constipation, diarrhoea or other chronic gastrointestinal complaints; use of other probiotics or prebiotics in the previous 4 weeks; drugs active on gastrointestinal motility, or a laxative of any class for 4 weeks before study; use of prescribed medication; regular use of aspirin or other anti-inflammatory drugs.

Study design

Dietary supplements were given to the volunteers in the present double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised, factorial cross-over study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01545219). The supplements were provided for 21 d, with a 28 d washout period. The supplements were XOS (8 g/d; Shandong Long-live Biotech), B. lactis (Bi-07 ATCC SD5220, 10⁹
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cytometric FACSCalibur system (BD Biosciences) as described previously (36). Frozen faecal samples were thawed, and bac-
teria were recovered from the faecal samples by diluting and washing the samples 1:30 with washing buffer (50 mM-sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8) on a reciprocating horizontal platform shaker at 200 rpm for 10 min and then centrifuging at 30 000 g for 30 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed three more times as described above. A subsample from the suspension was withdrawn before the last centrifugation, fixed with 4% formaldehyde and stained with a fluorescent, nucleic acid-binding dye, SYTO 24 (Molecular Probes). The total number of bacterial cells was determined by comparing the cellular events with the bead events in BD Trucount™ tubes (BD Biosciences).

Quantification of total bacteria by flow cytometry

Total bacteria in faecal samples were quantified using a flow cytometric FACSCalibur system (BD Biosciences) as described previously (38). Frozen faecal samples were thawed, and bacteria were recovered from the faecal samples by diluting and washing the samples 1:30 with washing buffer (50 mM-sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8) on a reciprocating horizontal platform shaker at 200 rpm for 10 min and then centrifuging at 30 000 g for 30 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed three more times as described above. A subsample from the suspension was withdrawn before the last centrifugation, fixed with 4% formaldehyde and stained with a fluorescent, nucleic acid-binding dye, SYTO 24 (Molecular Probes). The total number of bacterial cells was determined by comparing the cellular events with the bead events in BD Trucount™ tubes (BD Biosciences).

Determination of SCFA concentrations

Faecal slurry supernatants were used to determine the faecal concentrations of SCFA including acetic acid, propionic acid, i-butyric acid, n-butyric acid, i-valeric acid, n-valeric acid, n-caproic acid and d/L-lactic acid by GC (39). Thawed faecal slurry supernatants were centrifuged at 13 000 g for 10 min. To 0.5 ml aliquots of centrifuged faecal slurry supernatants, 25 µl of an internal standard (100 mM of 2-ethyl butyric acid), 25 µl of concentrated HCl and 1 ml of diethyl ether were added and centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min. Later, 400 µl of the resulting upper ether layer were combined with 50 µl of N-tetra-n-butyl(dimethyl)silyle-N-methyltrifluoroaceta-
mide, heated at 80°C for 20 min in a water-bath and then left at room temperature for 48 h to allow derivatisation.

The samples were run on a 5890 series II Hewlett Packard GC system (HP) using a dimethylpolysiloxane column (10 m × 0.18 mm × 0.20 µm film thickness; Thomas Restek)
and detected with a flame ionisation detector. Helium was used as the carrier gas, and samples (1 μl) were injected at a run time of 15 min. The detector was set to 275 °C, and the temperature of the oven was held at 60 °C for 3 min, then increased 10 °C/min to 150 °C and held for 3 min. Chemstation REV.A.10.01 software (Agilent Technologies) was used to integrate peaks. The concentrations of SCFA were determined by comparing their peak areas with external standards, relative to the internal standard. The concentrations of fatty acids are expressed as μmol/g of wet faeces.

**Volunteer bowel habit and mood questionnaires**

During the supplementation and washout periods, the volunteers were given a daily diary to record their bowel habits and mood, use of medication and adverse events. The volunteers recorded the number of bowel movements per d, Bristol stool scale score and any symptoms of bloating or abdominal discomfort (rated as none, mild, moderate or severe) (40). The volunteers were asked to rate their flatulence on a five-point scale (with a score of 0 for ‘normal’, 1 for ‘less than usual’, 2 for less than usual). Self-reported mood was also assessed using a five-point scale, with vitality, stress, happiness and alertness being recorded. Mean reported scores and the percentage of days during which ‘more than usual’ or ‘less than usual’ of any symptom were reported during each supplementation period were used for statistical analyses.

**Quantification of plasma lipid concentrations**

Plasma total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, TAG and NEFA concentrations were quantified with an automated clinical chemistry analyser (Instrumentation Laboratory Limited) using enzyme-based colorimetric kits supplied by Instrumentation Laboratory and Alpha Laboratories in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Determination of faecal and salivary IgA content**

Faecal and salivary IgA content was determined by ELISA (Immundiagnostik) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Measurement of phagocytosis and oxidative burst**

Phagocytosis and oxidative burst by monocytes and granulocytes in fresh blood samples was measured using PHAGOTEST® and BURSTTEST® (Orpegen Pharma) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Determination of immune cell counts and phenotypes**

Total leucocyte counts were determined using the Beckman Coulter Z1 automated cell counter and ZAP-OGLOBIN II Lytic reagent (Beckman Coulter). Immune cell phenotyping was carried out on fresh blood samples using stains for CD3 fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/CD4 phycoerythrin (PE), CD3 FITC/CD8 PE, CD3 FITC/CD16 PE + CD56 PE and CD3 FITC/CD19 PE obtained from BD Biosciences in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions to identify T, T-helper (Th), cytotoxic T, natural killer, natural killer T (NKT) and B cells. Erythrocytes were lysed using PharmLyse (BD Biosciences), and samples were washed twice with a buffer solution (PBS, 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.1% sodium azide) and resuspended in a fixing solution (2% paraformaldehyde in PBS) before analysis. A total of 10 000 events were collected on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data were analysed using FlowJo 7.6.5 (Tree Star, Inc.).

**Measurement of lipopolysaccharide-stimulated cytokine production in whole-blood cultures**

For 24 h, 1:10 diluted whole-blood samples were incubated in the presence of 1 μg/ml lipopolysaccharide (Sigma-Aldrich). Culture supernatants were assessed for cytokine production using a Th1/Th2 cytokine array (Bender MedSystems) comprising interferon-γ, IL-1 β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, TNF-α and TNF-β.

**Measurement of Concanavalin A-stimulated expression of CD69 on immune cells**

For 24 h, 1:10 diluted whole-blood samples were incubated in the presence of 50 μg/ml Concanavalin A (Sigma-Aldrich). CD69 (PE) expression on CD3-, CD4- and CD8 (FITC)-positive cells was determined using stains obtained from BD Biosciences in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Erythrocytes were lysed using PharmLyse (BD Biosciences), and samples were washed twice with a buffer solution (PBS, 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.1% sodium azide) and resuspended in a fixing solution (2% paraformaldehyde in PBS) before analysis. A total of 10 000 events were collected on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data were analysed using FlowJo 7.6.5.

**Statistical analyses**

For continuous measurements, change observed with the use of supplement (Δ) was considered (i.e. post-supplement value – pre-supplement value). Based on the residual analysis, some variables were log10-transformed before analysis. Data were analysed using linear mixed-effects models (2 × 2 factorial approach) in a repeated-measures manner having a random effect for the subject accounting for repeated measures, continuous covariates for BMI, age, and fibre intake, fixed-effects terms for the presence/absence of the prebiotic and probiotic and their interaction (XOS, Bi-07, XOS × Bi-07, a 2 × 2 factorial setting), and time point and starting point in the supplement sequence. Where significant effects of XOS, Bi-07 or XOS × Bi-07 were observed, pairwise post hoc comparisons with MDX were made using contrasts, adjusted using a single-step algorithm. Where significant carry-over effects were observed (fourteen/fifty-eight variables for time point and one/fifty-eight variables for starting point in the supplement sequence), only data from the first
supplementation period were included in the final analysis. The questionnaire data were analysed using the Friedman test followed by Bonferroni corrected post hoc test based on mean rank differences between the supplements. Statistical significance was determined as \( P<0.05 \).

The analyses were conducted with R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (version 2.14.2; R Development Core Team). The linear models were computed using the R package nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models (version 3.1-102; J Pinheiro, D Bates, computed using the R package nlm).

Mean rank differences between the supplements. Statistical significance was determined as \( P<0.05 \).

The questionnaire data were analysed using the Friedman test followed by Bonferroni corrected post hoc test based on mean rank differences between the supplements. Statistical significance was determined as \( P<0.05 \).

The analyses were conducted with R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (version 2.14.2; R Development Core Team). The linear models were computed using the R package nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models (version 3.1-102; J Pinheiro, D Bates, computed using the R package nlm).

### Results

#### Volunteer characteristics and compliance

The characteristics of the volunteers recruited to the study are given in Table 1. Of the forty-four volunteers recruited, forty-one completed the trial. The reasons for dropout were pregnancy (female: age 31 years), vasovagal reaction to blood sampling (male: age 57 years) and adverse reaction to the study product (female: age 64 years; probiotic). The adverse reaction to the probiotic occurred during the first supplementation period, with the volunteer reporting headache (days 1–7, 9, 11 and 12 of the supplementation period), abdominal pain, bloating and increased flatulence (days 6–12 of the supplementation period); the participant withdrew from the study on day 12 of the supplementation period.

There was good volunteer compliance with the study timetable, with 93% of the volunteers achieving supplement times of 21±1 d and 80% achieving washout periods of 28±1 d. At the end of each supplementation period, the volunteers were asked to return any unused sachets to estimate compliance with supplement use. Compliance was estimated at >90% among the thirty-five volunteers who returned unused sachets, with no significant differences being observed between the supplement groups. At the end of each supplementation period, the volunteers were asked whether they experienced any aftertaste, feelings of fullness or difficulty in taking the supplement provided. There were no significant differences between the supplement groups for aftertaste (reported by 14–29% of the participants) or feelings of fullness (reported by 6–14% of the participants). There was a significant supplement effect on reported difficulty in taking the product \( (P=0.006) \), with 32% of the participants reporting difficulty in taking Bi-07, compared with 6–17% reporting difficulty in taking the other supplements, with product insolubility being the predominant reported difficulty (twenty-two/twenty-six entries noted under additional comments).

#### Gut microbiota

XOS supplementation had a significant effect on faecal bifidobacterial content \( (P=0.008) \) (Table 2). There was no significant supplement effect on total bacterial counts or the other genus-level probes investigated (Table 2). Bi-07 supplementation had a significant effect on the faecal content of this strain \( \left( P=0.007 \right) \) (Table 2), indicative of volunteer compliance with supplement use. Data indicate an additive effect of the provision of XOS with Bi-07 on the change in faecal bifidobacterial content, as the post hoc test revealed that only the XOS + Bi-07 supplement significantly increased bifidobacterial content compared with the placebo. However, data do not support a specific synergistic effect of XOS in combination with Bi-07, as the levels of faecal \( B. \) lactis were not significantly higher when XOS + Bi-07 was provided compared with those observed when Bi-07 was given alone. No significant supplement effect was observed on faecal dry weight (Table 2). Significant supplement effects were observed on faecal SCFA concentrations (Table 2). XOS + Bi-07 supplementation had a distinct effect on faecal SCFA concentrations when provided in symbiotic combination than when provided individually (Table 2). When XOS or Bi-07 was provided individually, a reduction in acetic and butyric concentrations was apparent, which did not occur when XOS + Bi-07 was provided. By contrast, faecal isovaleric acid concentrations were increased during the XOS + Bi-07 supplementation period.

#### Volunteer bowel habit and mood questionnaires

A significant increase in the number of bowel movements per d was observed among the volunteers during the XOS supplementation period than during the MDX supplementation period \( (P=0.005; \) Table 3). No other significant supplement effects on bowel habits or measures of bowel discomfort were observed. XOS supplementation resulted in significantly higher average reported vitality scores \( (P=0.001) \) and happiness scores \( (P=0.05) \) compared with MDX supplementation (Table 4). The proportion of days during which the volunteers reported having less vitality than usual was lower during the XOS supplementation period \( (P=0.002) \), while the proportion of days during which the volunteers reported feeling less happy than usual was higher during the Bi-07 supplementation period than during the XOS supplementation period \( (P=0.02) \). No significant supplement effects were observed on the self-reported measures of alertness or stress.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study of a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (\( Bifidobacterium animalis \) subsp. \( lactis \), Bi-07, 10⁶ colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07) (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex (n)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (n)</td>
<td>6/44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitual Bristol stool scale score</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Gut microbiota counts, faecal dry weight and faecal SCFA concentrations of volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study during treatment with a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (*Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis*, Bi-07, 10^9 colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07) (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (n = 43)</th>
<th>MDX (n = 41)</th>
<th>DMPX (n = 42)</th>
<th>DMPX + Bi-07 (n = 41)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faecal dry weight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% fresh weight</td>
<td>25·9 ± 8·0</td>
<td>13 ± 1·3</td>
<td>9·8 ± 9·8</td>
<td>2·5 ± 2·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal IgA (mg/g wet-weight faeces)</td>
<td>693·1 ± 69·8</td>
<td>819·9 ± 147·5</td>
<td>782·9 ± 147·5</td>
<td>65·1 ± 65·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acetic acid (mmol/g wet-weight faeces)</strong></td>
<td>47·9 ± 24·0</td>
<td>26·9 ± 27·9</td>
<td>22·5 ± 27·9</td>
<td>2·5 ± 2·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propionic acid (mmol/g wet-weight faeces)</strong></td>
<td>15·2 ± 13·8</td>
<td>10·0 ± 4·5</td>
<td>6·9 ± 2·0</td>
<td>7·5 ± 2·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Butyric acid (mmol/g wet-weight faeces)</strong></td>
<td>8·2 ± 8·8</td>
<td>13·1 ± 12·9</td>
<td>9·4 ± 9·4</td>
<td>11·4 ± 8·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acetate (mM)</strong></td>
<td>2·1 ± 2·2</td>
<td>1·7 ± 1·3</td>
<td>1·3 ± 1·3</td>
<td>2·0 ± 1·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propionate (mM)</strong></td>
<td>4·1 ± 4·1</td>
<td>3·8 ± 3·8</td>
<td>4·1 ± 4·1</td>
<td>4·5 ± 4·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Butyrate (mM)</strong></td>
<td>0·8 ± 0·8</td>
<td>0·8 ± 0·8</td>
<td>1·3 ± 1·3</td>
<td>1·5 ± 1·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caproate (mM)</strong></td>
<td>1·2 ± 1·2</td>
<td>1·3 ± 1·3</td>
<td>1·3 ± 1·3</td>
<td>1·2 ± 1·3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Letters indicate significant differences from baseline (a, P < 0·05; b, P < 0·01; c, P < 0·001; d, P < 0·0001) or (i) treatment with the prebiotic (XOS) or probiotic (Bi-07) and (ii) interaction (XOS × Bi-07)
The self-reported incidence of medication use was significantly influenced by supplements (Table 5), but not the duration of medication use (data not presented). The use of analgesics during the XOS + Bi-07 supplementation period was significantly lower than during the MDX supplementation period (P=0·012), with a similar trend being observed during the XOS supplementation period (P=0·06). Further studies are required to identify the change in the incidence or severity of symptoms that underpins this reduced use of analgesic medication, as the present data do not suggest any changes to the incidence or duration of headache, gastrointestinal discomfort/abdominal pain or cold/flu-like symptoms. The self-reported incidence of adverse events or the duration of symptoms reported (data not presented) was not significantly influenced by the supplements (Table 4), though it should be noted that one volunteer withdrew from the study following an adverse reaction to the probiotic supplement.

### Plasma lipids

Fasting HDL concentrations were significantly higher among those volunteers who received the XOS supplement (P=0·005; Fig. 1), with an associated trend for a lower total cholesterol:HDL-cholesterol ratio (P=0·06). No significant changes in the concentrations of any other plasma lipids were observed (Supplementary Table SA, available online).

### Table 3. Self-reported bowel habit questionnaire data of volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study during supplementation with a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis, Bi-07, 10⁸ colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07) (Mean values and standard deviations during the 21 d supplementation period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MDX (n 39)</th>
<th>XOS (n 41)</th>
<th>Bi-07 (n 39)</th>
<th>XOS + Bi-07 (n 39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel movements/d</td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>1·5*</td>
<td>0·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol stool scale score</td>
<td>4·5</td>
<td>0·9</td>
<td>3·7</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 bowel movement/d</td>
<td>9·4</td>
<td>16·1</td>
<td>7·8</td>
<td>13·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 bowel movements/d</td>
<td>8·2</td>
<td>15·4</td>
<td>11·0</td>
<td>19·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloating</td>
<td>22·4</td>
<td>31·3</td>
<td>27·6</td>
<td>33·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>15·4</td>
<td>22·2</td>
<td>16·5</td>
<td>28·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased flatulence</td>
<td>15·6</td>
<td>28·0</td>
<td>11·1</td>
<td>22·5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values were significantly different from those of the MDX group (P=0·005).
†P-values reported are those obtained using the Friedman test with Bonferroni corrected post hoc test.

### Table 4. Self-reported mood questionnaire data of volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study during supplementation with a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis, Bi-07, 10⁸ colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07) (Mean values and standard deviations during the 21 d supplementation period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MDX (n 39)</th>
<th>XOS (n 41)</th>
<th>Bi-07 (n 39)</th>
<th>XOS + Bi-07 (n 40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>0·13</td>
<td>0·28</td>
<td>0·06*</td>
<td>0·24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>0·26</td>
<td>0·09*</td>
<td>0·22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness</td>
<td>0·00</td>
<td>0·29</td>
<td>0·22</td>
<td>0·34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>0·29</td>
<td>0·34</td>
<td>0·54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of days volunteered increased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>4·2</td>
<td>8·7</td>
<td>11·9</td>
<td>21·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>7·7</td>
<td>15·9</td>
<td>12·7</td>
<td>21·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness</td>
<td>3·3</td>
<td>8·4</td>
<td>4·8</td>
<td>10·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>11·2</td>
<td>16·5</td>
<td>9·7</td>
<td>13·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of days volunteers reported decreased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>15·2</td>
<td>21·1</td>
<td>6·1*</td>
<td>10·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>9·6</td>
<td>14·1</td>
<td>4·1</td>
<td>7·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness</td>
<td>11·2</td>
<td>20·4</td>
<td>4·1</td>
<td>9·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>8·9</td>
<td>21·9</td>
<td>7·6</td>
<td>18·2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values were significantly different from those of the MDX group (P<0·05; Bonferroni corrected post hoc test).
†Mean values were significantly different from those of the XOS group (P=0·02; Bonferroni corrected post hoc test).
‡P-values reported are those obtained using the Friedman test with Bonferroni corrected post hoc test.
The present placebo-controlled cross-over study investigated the acceptability and efficacy of XOS supplementation in a European population. XOS provided at 8 g/d for a 3-week period was well tolerated by healthy adults, with no significant effects being observed on the reported symptoms of abdominal pain, bloating or flatulence. XOS supplementation significantly increased self-reported vitality and happiness scores, and the synbiotic combination of XOS + Bi-07 resulted in significantly lower use of analgesics during the

**Immune parameters**

There were no significant supplement effects on the measures of phagocytosis or oxidative burst or total numbers of leukocytes (data not presented). XOS supplementation resulted in a significantly lower expression of the cell-surface markers CD16/56 on NKT cells ($P<0·027$; Fig. 2(a)). XOS + Bi-07 supplementation resulted in a lower expression of CD19 on B cells, which may be indicative of changes in B-cell subsets (XOS × Bi-07, $P<0·009$; Fig. 2(b)). No other significant changes in peripheral blood mononuclear cell phenotypes were observed (Supplementary Table SB, available online), though there was a strong trend for the effect of a XOS × Bi-07 interaction on the expression of CD3 on T cells ($P=0·050$). A lower expression of CD3 reduces the potential for T-cell activation, but it is also a normal response to antigen-induced T-cell activation. There were no significant supplement effects on the expression of the activation marker CD69 on T cells after culture with the mitogen ConA (data not presented).

Bi-07 supplementation significantly lowered salivary IgA content ($P=0·04$; Fig. 3). Faecal IgA content was not significantly altered by supplement use (Table 2).

There were significant supplement effects on cytokine secretion in whole-blood samples cultured ex vivo with lipopolysaccharide. Lower IL-4 production ($P=0·035$; Fig. 4(a)) and higher IL-6 production ($P=0·009$; Fig. 4(b)) were observed during the Bi-07 supplementation period, while lower IL-10 production was observed during the XOS supplementation period ($P=0·049$; Fig. 4(c)). No significant supplement effects were observed on the other cytokines assessed (Supplementary Table SC, available online).

**Discussion**

The present placebo-controlled cross-over study investigated the acceptability and efficacy of XOS supplementation in a...
supplementation period. It may be advantageous to provide XOS as a synbiotic with Bi-07 to simultaneously derive the benefits observed with Bi-07 supplementation while optimizing product acceptability, as solubility, in particular, was poor when Bi-07 was provided alone. Therefore, this synbiotic preparation is recommended due to additive effects rather than due to specific synergistic effects.

XOS supplementation resulted in modest, but significant, increases in faecal bifidobacterial counts when provided as either a prebiotic or a synbiotic, confirming the observations reported in studies carried out in Japanese volunteers (16,17). An increased faecal bifidobacterial content may improve colonic health, by competing with potential pathogenic organisms and/or interacting with gut-associated lymphoid tissue (23). Data do not support an additive or synergistic effect of XOS + Bi-07 supplementation on the gut microbiota, as the increase in faecal B. lactis content observed with Bi-07 treatment was not further enhanced by the co-administration of XOS and nor were the changes observed in bifidobacterial content after XOS + Bi-07 supplementation significantly different from those observed with XOS supplementation alone. The present study utilised fluorescence in situ hybridisation to characterise genus-level changes in the gut microbiota. In future studies, methods such as metagenomics could be utilised to more fully characterise changes in the microbiome and may reveal further changes associated with these dietary supplements. For example, the effects observed on faecal SCFA concentrations during the XOS + Bi-07 supplementation period may reflect a shift from carbohydrate fermentation to protein catabolism in the microbiota, which may be more fully characterised and explored using alternative techniques.

Volunteers who received the XOS supplement exhibited a significant increase in fasting plasma HDL concentrations, with an associated trend for a lower total cholesterol: HDL-cholesterol ratio. Lower plasma HDL concentrations have been identified as a significant risk factor for coronary disease (42). The average 0·07 mM increase in HDL concentrations observed in volunteers receiving the XOS supplement is

![Fig. 2. Significant changes in peripheral blood mononuclear cell phenotypes among volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study of a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis, Bi-07, 10^9 colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07). Data indicate change from baseline, with mean and 95 % CI (n 11, data from the first treatment period only) being represented by vertical bars. (a) Geometric mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD16/56 on natural killer T cells; mean baseline 32·2 (SD 8·9) MFI. (b) Geometric MFI of CD19 on B cells; mean baseline 180 (SD 36). * Mean values were significantly different from those of the maltodextrin (MDX) group (P<0·05; pairwise post hoc comparisons made using contrasts, adjusted using a single-step algorithm).](image)

![Fig. 3. Significant changes in the salivary IgA content of volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study during supplementation with a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis, Bi-07, 10^9 colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07). Data indicate change from baseline, with mean and 95 % CI (n 41–42) being represented by vertical bars. Mean baseline 1·4 (SD 0·8) mg/ml.](image)
modest, but a 0·1 mM increase has been estimated to induce a 10% reduction in CHD risk(43). The proposed mechanisms for the influence of dietary probiotics and prebiotics on plasma lipid concentrations have been generated based on data obtained from in vitro studies and animal models. These include the effect of probiotic bacteria on bile acids, the ability of probiotics to bind to cholesterol, the influence of circulating SCFA on hepatic cholesterol synthesis, the role of prebiotics in the reduction of cholesterol absorption, and the effects of fermentable carbohydrates on gastric emptying rates(44,45). In the present study, no correlations were observed between changes in faecal SCFA concentrations and those to plasma HDL concentrations (data not presented). Further studies are required to identify the mechanisms by which XOS exert this HDL-lowering effect and to determine whether changes in vascular function can be detected. However, the selection of an appropriate ‘placebo control’ is challenging for a study investigating probiotics and prebiotics. It is possible that the MDX control itself exerted effects on the parameters measured, and data indicate that changes occurring in the placebo group may be driving some of the treatment effects observed on HDL-cholesterol concentrations. This suggests that even a modest increase in daily sugar intake is sufficient to alter HDL-cholesterol concentrations. In addition, only data from the first treatment period were included in the analysis due to carry-over effects observed on this variable. The use of a completely counterbalanced study design, rather than an incompletely counterbalanced measure design, may have mitigated the risk of carry-over and increased the resulting power of this observation.

The effects of both XOS and Bi-07 on the measures of immune status and function were observed. The effects that both probiotics and prebiotics can exert on immune function have been well described in a range of studies including in vitro assessment studies, animal models and human trials(26,46). Probiotics can exert indirect effects on immunity, via mechanisms including alteration of the composition of the gut microbiota, competitive inhibition of potential pathogen-binding sites and improvement of the gut barrier function(60). Probiotics also directly influence signalling pathways in intestinal epithelial cells and dendritic cells, with the potential to induce downstream effects on immune function(47). Prebiotics may influence immune function indirectly, by altering the composition of the gut microbiota or via their own direct effects, such as changes in pathogen-associated molecular patterns presented to the gut-associated lymphoid tissue(23). The present study does not enable conclusions to be drawn about the mechanism of action of XOS and/or Bi-07, but instead provides information on the systemic and mucosal immune measures that have the potential to be modified by supplementation. XOS supplementation induced changes in the cell-surface markers on NKT cells and lowered IL-10 secretion. However, it cannot be excluded that the effects observed on NKT cells were in part influenced by the apparent increase in cell-surface marker expression during the MDX supplementation period. Bi-07 supplementation had significant effects on the systemic markers of immune function, leading to lower IL-4 secretion and salivary IgA content and higher IL-6 secretion. A XOS × Bi-07 interaction altered B-cell-surface marker expression. IL-4 secretion is associated with Th2 inflammatory conditions such as asthma and hay fever and promotes B-cell differentiation(47). NKT cells are an important link between innate immunity and adaptive immunity. IL-6 and IL-10 are cytokines with pro- and anti-inflammatory actions, respectively, and the relative balance of these cytokines is important to prevent excessive inflammation. Salivary IgA is a marker of mucosal immunity, with its secretion being lowered during psychological and physical stress and lower levels being associated with an increased risk of urinary tract infections(48). Taken together, these effects suggest that XOS and Bi-07 have immunostimulatory effects, promoting Th1 responses and lowering Th2 activity. Therefore, these effects may be of benefit to individuals with suppressed Th1 activity, e.g. the elderly, or those with excessive Th2 activity, such as that occurring in atopic disease. The functional consequences of these changes in the measures of immune function should be investigated in suitably designed human studies, preferably using in vivo

---

**Fig. 4.** Significant changes in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated cytokine production in whole-blood cultures among volunteers recruited to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study of a candidate prebiotic (xylo-oligosaccharide, XOS, 8 g/d), probiotic (*Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis*, Bi-07, 10⁷ colony-forming units/d) or synbiotic (XOS + Bi-07). Data indicate change from baseline, with mean and 95 % CI being represented by vertical bars. (a) IL-4, mean baseline 3·7 (so 7·9) pg/ml (n 41–42). (b) IL-6, mean baseline 3·5 (so 3·2) ng/ml (n 11, data from the first treatment period only). (c) IL-10, mean baseline 79·7 (so 88·8) pg/ml (n 11, data from the first treatment period only).
markers of immune function, such as the incidence of allergic rhinitis symptoms or influenza vaccination responses.

The synbiotic XOS + Bi-07 is a suitable nutritional supplement for healthy adults, leading to increased reported vitality and happiness and reduced use of analgesics, observed among the participants of the present study. Data indicate the potential benefits of both XOS and Bi-07, as a result of their bifidogenic properties, fasting HDL-elevating property and/or immunomodulatory activity. However, data do not support a specific synergistic effect of XOS + Bi-07 on the gut microbiota. Further studies are required to confirm whether the effects of Bi-07 observed on the markers of immune function translate into alterations in the functional or clinical measures of immune function such as the incidence of infection or allergic rhinitis or response to vaccination or whether providing a supplement containing XOS alone or in synbiotic combination with Bi-07 can benefit those with gastrointestinal disorders or inflammatory diseases.
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