Phytanic acid: measurement of plasma concentrations by gas–liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis and associations with diet and other plasma fatty acids
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Epidemiological data suggest that a diet rich in animal foods may be associated with an increased risk of several cancers, including cancers of the prostate, colorectum and breast, but the possible mechanism is unclear. It is hypothesised that phytanic acid, a C20 branched-chain fatty acid found predominantly in foods from ruminant animals, may be involved in early cancer development because it has been shown to up regulate activity of α-methylacyl-coenzyme A racemase, an enzyme commonly found to be over-expressed in tumour cells compared with normal tissue. However, little is known about the distribution of plasma phytanic acid concentrations or its dietary determinants in the general population. The primary aim of the present cross-sectional study was to determine circulating phytanic acid concentrations among ninety-six meat-eating, lacto-ovo-vegetarian and vegan women, aged 20–69 years, recruited into the Oxford component of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). Meat-eaters had, on average, a 6.7-fold higher geometric mean plasma phytanic acid concentration than the vegans (5·77 v. 0·86 μmol/l; P, 0·0001) and a 47 % higher mean concentration than the vegetarians (5·77 v. 3·93 μmol/l; P = 0·016). The strongest determinant of plasma phytanic acid concentration appeared to be dairy fat intake (r 0·68; P < 0·00001); phytanic acid levels were not associated with age or other lifestyle factors. These data show that a diet high in fat from dairy products is associated with increased plasma phytanic acid concentration, which may play a role in cancer development.


The possible role of diet in the aetiology of cancer was highlighted in the 1970’s when it was noted that Western countries that have diets high in animal products have high rates of cancers of the breast, colorectum and prostate¹. The mechanism through which a high consumption of animal foods may increase the risk of these cancers is not known, although an increase in insulin-like growth factor-l²,³ has been suggested. A recent hypothesis is that a diet high in animal products may be associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer due to its phytanic acid content⁴, and such a mechanism might also conceivably affect cancers of the breast and colorectum.

Interest in phytanic acid (3,7,11,15-tetramethylhexadecanoic acid), a C20 branched-chain fatty acid found predominantly in red meat and dairy products, originated from the finding of extremely high plasma and tissue concentrations in individuals with certain genetic metabolic disorders, such as Refsum disease⁵. It has been proposed that moderately elevated concentrations of phytanic acid, within the normal range, may be associated with cancer development. Phytanic acid has been shown to up regulate activity of α-methylacyl-coenzyme A racemase (AMACR)⁶,⁷, an enzyme required for the peroxisomal β-oxidation of phytanic acid, and which has been shown to be over-expressed in cancers of the prostate, breast and colon and their early precursor lesions, compared with normal tissue⁷–¹⁴. This has led to the hypothesis that AMACR over-expression may be a common mechanism through which a high intake of phytanic acid from animal foods increases the early stage of cancer development.

Phytanic acid is derived from phytol, a breakdown product of chlorophyll. Phytol is not produced in the human gut, but micro-organisms present in the gastrointestinal system of ruminants can break down chlorophyll to release phytol, which is then converted into phytanic acid¹⁵. The sources of phytanic acid in the UK diet are therefore thought to be derived almost exclusively from ruminant animals (beef, lamb and products containing the milk fats of cows, sheep and goats), although some fatty fish whose food chain includes large amounts of plankton also contain phytanic
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acid\textsuperscript{16}. In man, phytanic acid is thought to be a minor component of total fatty acids (< 0.3 \%)\textsuperscript{16} and has not been investigated in large-scale studies. Further, little is known about the distribution of phytanic acid concentrations in the general population, or which foods contribute most to circulating levels.

Here, we report on the association between dietary intake and plasma phytanic acid concentration in ninety-six British women meat-eaters, lacto-ovo-vegetarians and vegans recruited into the Oxford component of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). A secondary aim is to examine the associations between phytanic acid and dietary intake of dairy and ruminant foods and to compare these associations with those for plasma phospholipid levels of pentadecanoic (15: 0) and heptadecanoic acid (17: 0), two other fatty acids which are also synthesised in the rumen of cows and sheep and which are already used as biomarkers of dairy and ruminant food intake. We also describe the details of the assay developed by us to be suitable for measuring plasma phytanic acid concentrations in the context of large-scale epidemiological studies.

**Methods**

**Study design**

Between 1993 and 1999, 58 000 individuals aged 20 years and above and living in the UK were recruited into EPIC-Oxford\textsuperscript{17,18}. Participants were recruited through collaborating general practitioners, vegetarian and vegan societies, health food magazines, and from friends and relatives of the participants. All participants completed a questionnaire that included details of age, anthropometric, smoking and other lifestyle factors as well as a detailed semi-quantitative FFQ. Participants gave written informed consent to the study, and the study protocol was approved by the Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee for Scotland.

A 30 ml non-fasting blood sample was obtained from approximately 30 \% of volunteers, on average 4 months after completion of the questionnaire. Whole blood was sent through the mail to the laboratory at ambient temperature, where it was processed and stored in twelve 0·5 ml straws of serum, plasma, erythrocytes and buffy coat fractions at \(-196^\circ\text{C}\). Where available, any remaining plasma was stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) in 2 ml vials and these plasma samples were used for the present study. All dates of blood sample and questionnaire processing, time of day at blood collection, time since last meal at blood collection and medication taken on day of blood collection were recorded.

The present study includes ninety-six women comprising approximately equal numbers of women in each of five 10-year age groups, from age 20 to 69 years. In order to maximise the variation in dietary intake, we selected forty-six vegan women (defined as those who reported not eating any animal products), twenty-five lacto-ovo-vegetarians (defined as those who reported not eating meat or fish but did consume dairy products and/or eggs) and twenty-five meat-eaters. Subjects were not eligible if they had a self-reported history of cancer or diabetes, or were pregnant or taking oral contraception or hormone replacement therapy at the time of recruitment.

**Dietary assessment**

In the FFQ, subjects were asked to state how frequently they ate each of a range of foods over the past 1 year, based on nine frequency categories, ranging from never or less than once per month to six or more times per d. These questions covered 130 foods and beverages, and also allowed subjects to add food products that were not specified on the questionnaire. The foods considered for this analysis included total meat products (beef and beefburgers, lamb, poultry, pork, bacon, ham, Spam, sausages, meat pie and liver), fish and fish products (white fish, oily fish, fried fish, fish cakes, shellfish and fish roe products) and dairy products (milk, cheese, cottage cheese, yoghurt, dairy desserts, cream and quiche). Estimated daily nutrient intakes were calculated by multiplying the nutrient content of each food of a specific portion size by the frequency of consumption as stated on the FFQ\textsuperscript{18,19}. Average portion sizes were based on those designated by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food\textsuperscript{20}.

**Statistical analysis**

Plasma concentrations of phytanic acid and other fatty acids were logarithmically transformed to approximate normal distributions. The associations between diet group and baseline characteristics were analysed using one-way ANOVA for continuous variables and the \(x^2\) test of association for categorical variables. The associations between plasma phytanic acid concentration and lifestyle factors (age: 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69 years; BMI in quartiles: < 20·1, 20·1–21·7, 21·8–24·1, 24·2+ kg/m\(^2\); smoking: never, former, current), diet group (meat-eaters, lacto-ovo-vegetarian, vegan) and variables relating to the collection and processing of the blood samples, which included time of day at blood collection (00·00–09·29, 09·30–10·44, 10·45–13·29, 13·30–23·59 hours), time since last meal at blood collection (< 1·15, 1·15–1·59, 2·00–3·29, 3·30+ h), days between blood collection and blood processing (1, 2, 3, 4) and assay batch (1, 2, 3, 4) was also examined using ANOVA and the fitted mean concentrations and their corresponding 95 \% CI are presented as back-transformed values. All \(P\) values refer to tests of heterogeneity between the group means, using the \(F\) statistic from the ANOVA table, unless otherwise stated; \(t\) tests were used to compare the mean phytanic acid concentration between pairs of diet groups.

The associations between phytanic acid, pentadecanoic and heptadecanoic acid and animal foods and nutrient intakes were examined using the Spearman rank correlation among meat-eaters and vegetarians, where appropriate. A \(P\) value of less than 0·05 was considered statistically significant and all significance tests were two-sided. Analyses were performed using Stata version 9 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

**Laboratory assay**

Plasma samples (2 ml) for all study participants were shipped on dry ice to HFL Ltd (Fordham, Cambs, UK) for analysis. Extraction and quantification of total phytanic acid in plasma (i.e. the sum of phytanic acid in free and conjugated...
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Determination of plasma phytic acid

Plasma (50 μl) was allowed to thaw to room temperature before adding [3-methyl-2H3]phytanic acid (Dr H. J. ten Brink, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) to toluene (50 μl; 1·3 μg/ml). In order to determine the optimal method for releasing free phytic acid in the greatest concentration, samples from pooled plasma samples were subjected to acidic hydrolysis or saponification using four different procedures: (i) ethanolic potassium hydroxide (5 % (w/v), 1 ml) for 1 h at 60°C; (ii) hydrochloric acid in acetonitrile (0·5 M, 2 ml) for 45 min at 110°C; (iii) sodium hydroxide in methanol (1 M, 2 ml) for 45 min at 110°C; (iv) a combination of (ii) and (iii)22. The mean concentration of phytic acid found in samples from a single plasma sample were: 3·35 (SD 0·016), 1·85 (SD 0·067), 3·26 (SD 0·048) and 3·12 (SD 0·064) μmol/l, for each of the four methods, respectively. As ethanolic potassium hydroxide yielded the highest concentrations of free phytic acid, this procedure was used for all samples in this analysis. Water (1 ml) and hexane (4 ml) were added and the samples shaken for 20 min before discarding the organic layer. Glacial acetic acid (100 μl) and hexane (4 ml) were added and the samples shaken for a further 20 min before the hexane layer was removed and dried in a centrifugal vacuum evaporator. The dried samples were derivatised to the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ethers by adding pyridine (50 μl) and N-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-N-methyltrifluoroacetamide (50 μl) and heating at 80°C for 30 min.

Gas–liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis

A Trace 2000 Series gas chromatograph (ThermoQuest, Waltham, MA, USA) interfaced to a Voyager mass spectrometer (ThermoQuest) was used for GLC–MS analyses. Data acquisition and processing were carried out using Xcalibur 1.1 and LCQuan 1.1 software (ThermoQuest). A BPX5 column (25 m × 0·25 mm, 0·22 μm film thickness; SGE, Ringwood, Victoria, Australia) was used for all analyses. Operating conditions were as follows: injector port temperature 300°C; injection volume 1 μl in splitless mode; carrier gas He at a constant flow of 1·1 ml/min; oven temperature program 100°C held for 0·5 min then increased at 30°C/min to 210°C and held for 1 min, then at 5°C/min to 300°C and held for 1 min; source temperature 220°C; ionisation by electron ionisation (70 eV); emission current 150 μA. Analysis was performed in the selected ion monitoring mode. Ions arising from the loss of the tert-buty1 group, [M-57]−, were monitored for phytanic acid and the internal standard [3-methyl-2H3]phytanic acid, at m/z 369 and 372, respectively.

Other studies using GLC–MS analysis of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ethers of fatty acids have added bromine to prevent co-elution of phytanic acid with linoleic acid, as brominated linoleic acid elutes at a later retention time22. In the present study, GC conditions were optimised such that baseline chromatographic resolution was achieved between linoleic acid and phytic acid, with retention times of 11·9 and 11·5 min respectively, with no need for the addition of bromine.

The detection limit of 0·032 μmol/l was sufficient to enable quantification of all samples tested. Calibration standards were prepared by spiking known concentrations of phytic acid into 50 μl samples of PBS. Six calibration levels were used (0, 0·032, 0·16, 0·32, 3·2 and 9·6 μmol/l), with two injections being made at each concentration. Calibration standards were injected both before and after analyses of the samples and the final calibration curves were constructed from both series of injections. A linear regression line was used, weighted 1/x, and coefficients of determination (R2) were > 0·999 for all batches.

Quality control and stability

Three drug-free plasma samples, well characterised for their phytic acid concentration, were used for quality control (QC) purposes (Richmond Pharmacology Ltd. Tooting, London, UK). The CV were based on the analysis of QC samples across six batches, with at least two replicates in each batch. The mean intra- and inter-assay CV were 1·8 and 3·2 %, respectively.

In order to assess the stability of phytic acid when left at room temperature, concentrations were compared in five replicates of each of two QC samples; one which had been left at room temperature for 4 h before extraction, and one which was extracted immediately after thawing in the normal way. The phytic acid concentration for the samples left for 4 h varied from those that were extracted immediately by an average of 5·0 and 5·8 %, for each of the two sets of samples. This is much less than the 15 % variation generally considered acceptable for 4 h stability and suggests that phytic acid concentrations are stable at room temperature over the timescale used for the extraction process.

To evaluate the stability of phytic acid concentrations in samples that may have undergone several freeze–thaw cycles, five replicates of each of two QC samples were subjected to zero, one, two, or three freeze–thaw cycles (at −80°C), with at least 24 h between each thawing period. The range of deviations of phytic acid concentration in the samples subjected to freeze–thaw cycles from those not subjected to freeze–thaw cycles was 0·4 to 3·3 % across both samples, suggesting that repeated freezing and thawing of samples does not substantially affect phytic acid concentrations. However, all samples in the present analysis had undergone no previous freeze–thaw cycle before the phytic acid assay.

Samples with phytic acid concentrations above the upper detection threshold of 9·6 μmol/l (4 % of all samples) were diluted 1:1 with PBS (25 μl of each) before re-extraction and analysis. When tested with three well-characterised QC samples, this procedure was found to produce deviations from the target values of 0·2, 0·8 and 3·6 %, and was therefore deemed appropriate.

Determination of plasma pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid

After addition of butylated hydroxy toluene (100 μl; 1 mg/ml in methanol) and di-palmitoyl-D31-phosphatidylcholine (40 μl; 0·5 mg/ml in chloroform) to plasma (200 μl), lipids were extracted using chloroform–methanol (2:1; 4 ml) plus methanol (0·5 ml). The upper layer was removed and mixed with aqueous
sodium chloride solution (0.83 %; 1 ml). After mixing, the lower phase was evaporated to dryness in a centrifugal vacuum evaporator. Dried extracts were redissolved in chloroform–methanol (2:1; 200 µl), before isolation of phospholipids on an LC-Si silica SPE cartridge (500 mg/6 ml; Supelco, Poole, Dorset, UK). After elution of the phospholipids in methanol, the extracts were dried and transmethylated using MethPrep II (25 µl; Alltech, Carnforth, Lancs, UK) in dichloromethane (80 µl). Fatty acid methyl esters were isolated via a water–hexane extraction. Samples were then evaporated to dryness in a centrifugal vacuum evaporator before dissolving in isooctane (700 µl).

Gas chromatography–flame ionisation detector analysis

A 6890N gas chromatograph (Agilent, Winnersh Triangle, Berks, UK), fitted with an on-column injector, was used for GC–flame ionisation detector analyses. Data acquisition was carried out using Chemstation Rev.B.01.03 software (Agilent) and data processing was carried out using Chromeleon 6.70 software (Dionex, Leeds, UK). An SP2340 column (30 m × 0.32 mm, 0.2 µm film thickness; Supelco) plus a further 2 m of the same column as a sacrificial column and 2 m deactivated silica retention gap (Alltech) were used for all analyses. Operating conditions were as follows: injection volume 0.5 µl; carrier gas H2 at a constant pressure of 7 pounds per square inch; oven program temperature 65°C held for 1 min then increased at 5°C/min to 135°C, then ramped at 2°C/min to 200°C and finally at 10°C/min to 250°C, held for 5 min (total run time 57.5 min); flame ionisation detector temperature 220°C. Flame ionisation detector gas flows were: H2, 30 ml/min; air, 350 ml/min; constant column plus makeup (N2) flow, 25 ml/min.

Results

Anthropometric and dietary characteristics of each diet group are shown in Table 1. Vegan women weighed, on average, 5 kg less than meat-eaters and vegetarians and had a correspondingly lower BMI. Smoking patterns were not significantly different between the diet groups (results not shown). Consumption of milk was significantly higher in the meat-eaters than the vegetarians, although intakes of other dairy foods including cheese, yoghurt and butter were similar between the two diet groups. Intakes of most nutrients differed significantly between the diet groups; meat-eaters had the highest intakes of energy.

Table 1. Lifestyle factors and dietary intakes in ninety-six women meat-eaters, vegetarians and vegans in EPIC-Oxford

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Meateaters (n=25)</th>
<th>Lacto-ovo-vegetarians (n=25)</th>
<th>Vegans (n=46)</th>
<th>Test of heterogeneity (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intakes (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total meat‡</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminant meat‡</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish‡</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oily fish</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoghurt</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>8740</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>7420</td>
<td>1730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat from meat products*</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat from ruminant meat products†</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat from fish products‡</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat from dairy products§</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipid fatty acid concentrations (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentadecanoic acid (15:0)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptadecanoic acid (17:0)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total meat includes beef and beefburgers, lamb, pork, poultry, bacon, ham, Spam, sausages, meat pie and liver.
† Ruminant meat products include beef, beefburgers and lamb.
‡ Fish products include white fish, oily fish, fried fish, fish cakes, shellfish and fish roe products.
§ Dairy products include milk, cheese, cottage cheese, yoghurt, dairy desserts, cream and quiche.
saturated fat, monounsaturated fat and protein (each as percentage energy), and the lowest intakes of PUFA and carbohydrate (each as percentage energy). With the exception of carbohydrate and monounsaturated fat intake, vegetarians had intermediate values for these nutrient intakes. Daily intakes of total fat and alcohol (percentage energy) were similar in the three diet groups. There were significant differences between the diet groups in the mean plasma concentrations of pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid (both \( P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.0001 \), with meat-eaters having, on average, a 30–50 % higher mean concentration than that of vegans.

Table 2 shows the associations between lifestyle factors and plasma phytanic acid concentration. There was no association between age, BMI or smoking and phytanic acid levels in these women. However, plasma phytanic acid concentration was significantly different between meat-eaters, vegetarians and vegans (\( P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.0001 \)); meat-eaters had a 6.7-fold higher geometric mean plasma phytanic acid concentration than vegans (5.77 v. 0.86 mmol/l; \( P < 0.0001 \)) and a 47 % higher concentration than the vegetarians (5.77 v. 3.93 mmol/l; \( P = 0.016 \)); the mean phytic acid concentration was also significantly higher in vegetarians compared with vegans (\( P < 0.0001 \)). After taking into account these differences by dietary group, plasma phytanic acid concentration was not associated with time of day of blood collection, time since last eaten at blood collection, days between blood collection and processing or assay batch (data not shown).

Pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid were strongly correlated with each other (\( r = 0.60 \)) and with plasma phytanic acid concentrations (\( r = 0.61 \) and 0.55 for pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid, respectively). Table 3 shows the Spearman correlation coefficients between dietary intake of animal foods and fats and each of these fatty acids among meat-eaters and vegetarians, where appropriate. Overall, the strongest dietary correlate of plasma phytanic acid was intake of dairy fat (\( r = 0.68; P < 0.0001 \)). Ruminant meat or fat from ruminant meat was not significantly associated with phytanic acid concentration (\( r = 0.21 \) and 0.20, respectively). Pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid were both positively correlated with butter intake. Pentadecanoic acid was correlated with fat from dairy products (\( r = 0.48 \)), but heptadecanoic acid was not (\( r = 0.06 \)).

Discussion

The findings from the present study show that plasma phytic acid concentrations are higher in meat-eaters than in vegetarians, and that concentrations in both groups are substantially higher than in vegans. The very low circulating phytanic acid concentration in vegans, who consume no animal products, provides direct evidence that, at least in the UK, phytic acid is derived almost solely from the dietary intake of animal products. The strongest dietary determinant appeared to be the intake of dairy fat.

The main strength of the present study is the large variation in diet between the dietary groups. Weaknesses are that the FFQ may not provide very accurate estimates of the intake of fat, and that the sample size was only moderate. Furthermore, in the analyses of plasma fatty acids in relation to consumption of certain foods, the number of subjects for examining meat and fish is small because the vegetarians and vegans are excluded from this analysis. Thus the conclusions concerning the relative importance of ruminant meat, fish and dairy products as sources of phytanic acid are tentative.

The circulating concentration of phytanic acid among meat-eaters in the present study is comparable with that in other studies conducted in Western populations. However, very few studies have examined the association between dietary intake and phytanic acid concentrations and, as far as we are aware, the present study is the first to assess phytanic acid concentrations in non-meat-eaters. Our finding that phytic acid levels are more strongly correlated with the intake of fat from dairy products than with the intake of meat or ruminant meat products is consistent with one previous study that found a correlation of 0.16 for meat and 0.24 for dairy intake and perhaps reflects the higher proportion of fat intake derived from dairy products than from ruminant meat products. Indeed, among meat-eaters, only 4 % of total fat intake was derived from meat products, 76 % of whom stated they ate as little as possible of the visible fat on meat. This is substantially lower than the national average of 20 % of fat intake derived from meat products for UK women estimated in the National Diet and Nutrition Survey and most probably reflects the health-conscious behaviour of our participants.

The present results suggest that total phytic acid concentration in plasma samples can be reliably measured in large-scale epidemiological studies using the GLC–MS techniques; the assay procedure used in the present study had a low intra- and inter-batch variation, exhibited 4 h stability at room temperature and was robust to several freeze–thaw cycles. Further, lifestyle and demographic factors including age, anthropometry and smoking were not strongly associated with circulating phytanic acid concentrations, and phytanic
acid concentrations appeared to be influenced largely by dietary intake of dairy products.

The high correlation observed between dairy fat intake and phytanic acid concentration in this population (r 0.68) is perhaps surprising given the well-known inaccuracies of measuring food and nutrient intake from FFQ. However, a previous validation study found that nutrient intakes estimated from the FFQ were strongly correlated with estimates from 16 d weighed records, with correlations of 0.52 and 0.55 for energy and fat intake, respectively. Further, the correlations observed between dairy fat intake and the concentrations of heptadecanoic and pentadecanoic acid are consistent with other studies that have measured these fatty acids in serum and adipose tissue, suggesting that the FFQ has similar validity to those used by other researchers in assessing dietary fat intake.

Like phytanic acid, pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid are synthesised by bacterial flora in the rumen of ruminants and, because they are not produced endogenously, have been proposed as suitable biological markers of dairy and other ruminant fat intake. However, in the present study, phytanic acid concentration was more strongly associated with dairy fat intake and the concentrations of heptadecanoic and pentadecanoic acid are consistent with other studies that have measured these fatty acids in serum and adipose tissue, suggesting that the FFQ has similar validity to those used by other researchers in assessing dietary fat intake.

Like phytanic acid, pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid are synthesised by bacterial flora in the rumen of ruminants and, because they are not produced endogenously, have been proposed as suitable biological markers of dairy and other ruminant fat intake. However, in the present study, phytanic acid concentration was more strongly associated with dairy fat intake and the concentrations of heptadecanoic and pentadecanoic acid are consistent with other studies that have measured these fatty acids in serum and adipose tissue, suggesting that the FFQ has similar validity to those used by other researchers in assessing dietary fat intake.

In conclusion, the results from the present study show that plasma phytanic acid concentrations can be reliably measured in large-scale epidemiological studies and that circulating phytanic acid level is strongly associated with dietary intake of fat from dairy products. Phytanic acid is of potential importance because of the hypothesis that a high intake of dietary phytanic acid may be related to up regulation of the enzyme AMACR in cancer development.
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