## NONZERO SYMMETRY CLASSES OF SMALLEST DIMENSION

G. H. CHAN AND M. H. LIM

**1. Introduction.** Let U be a k-dimensional vector space over the complex numbers. Let  $\bigotimes^m U$  denote the *m*th tensor power of U where  $m \ge 2$ . For each permutation  $\sigma$  in the symmetric group  $S_m$ , there exists a linear mapping  $P(\sigma)$  on  $\bigotimes^m U$  such that

$$P(\sigma)(x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_m) = x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}$$

for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  in U.

Let G be a subgroup of  $S_m$  and  $\lambda$  an irreducible (complex) character on G. The symmetrizer

$$T(G, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda(1)}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda(\sigma) P(\sigma)$$

is a projection of  $\bigotimes^m U$ . Its range is denoted by  $U_{\lambda}^m(G)$  or simply  $U_{\lambda}(G)$  and is called the symmetry class of tensors corresponding to G and  $\lambda$ .

The problem of characterizing all groups G and irreducible characters  $\lambda$  and G for which  $U_{\lambda}(G) = 0$  was considered in [10], [27] and [7, 8]. The main result of this paper characterizes those  $U_{\lambda}(G)$  with dimension equal to  $\lambda(1)$  when m = 2k (Theorem 13). Its proof relies on the results concerning (k)-groups studied by the first author [4, 5, 6]. It was proved in [9] that for  $m \leq 2k - 2$ , dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = 1$  if and only if m = k,  $G = S_k$  and  $\lambda$  is the sign character  $\epsilon$ .

**2.** Some preliminaries. Let  $\Gamma_{m,k}$  be the set of all functions from  $M = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  into  $K = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ . Let  $e_1, ..., e_k$  be a basis of U. Then

 $\{e_{\alpha}^{\otimes} = e_{\alpha(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{\alpha(m)} : \alpha \in \Gamma_{m,k}\}$ 

is a basis of  $\bigotimes^m U$ . It follows that  $\{e_{\alpha}^* = T(G, \lambda)e_{\alpha}^{\otimes} : \alpha \in \Gamma_{m,k}\}$  spans  $U_{\lambda}(G)$ .

We define an equivalence relation on  $\Gamma_{m,k}$  as follows: For  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{m,k}$ ,  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{G}$  if and only if there exists a  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\alpha \sigma = \beta$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the system of distinct representatives for the equivalence relation formed by taking the element in each equivalence class which is first in lexi-

Received November 9, 1978 and in revised form October 13, 1979.

cographic order. For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,k}$  let  $G_{\alpha}$  be the stabilizer subgroup of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $G_{\alpha} = \{\sigma \in G : \alpha\sigma = \alpha\}$ . Then it is well-known that  $e_{\alpha}^* = 0$  if and only if

$$\sum_{\sigma\in G_{\alpha}}\lambda(\sigma) = 0.$$

Let

$$\bar{\Delta} = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : e_{\alpha}^* \neq 0 \}.$$

Then it was proved in [24] that

(1) 
$$U_{\lambda}(G) = \sum_{\alpha \in \overline{\Delta}} \langle e_{\alpha \sigma}^* : \sigma \in G \rangle$$

the sum being direct.

For each  $\alpha \in \overline{\Delta}$ , the subspace  $\langle e_{\alpha\sigma}^* : \sigma \in G \rangle$  is called the *orbital subspace* of  $U_{\lambda}(G)$  corresponding to  $\alpha$ . In [13], Freese proved that

(2) dim 
$$\langle e_{\alpha\sigma}^* : \sigma \in G \rangle = \frac{\lambda(1)}{|G_{\alpha}|} \sum_{\sigma \in G_{\alpha}} \lambda(\sigma)$$

Thus if  $U_{\lambda}(G) \neq 0$  then dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) \geq \lambda(1)$ . It is known [17, p. 79] that

(3) dim 
$$U_{\lambda}(G) = \frac{\lambda(1)}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda(\sigma) k^{c(\sigma)}$$

where dim U = k and  $c(\sigma)$  denotes the number of cycles in the disjoint cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$  (including cycles of length 1).

A character  $\chi$  of G is called a (k)-character of G if, for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,k}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\sigma\in G_{\alpha}}\chi(\sigma) = 0.$$

If  $\chi$  is a (k)-character of G then  $G_{\alpha} \neq \{1\}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,k}$  and this is precisely another version of the definition of (k)-group [6]. Clearly  $U_{\lambda}(G) = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a (k)-character where dim U = k.

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be permutation groups on  $M = \{1, \ldots, m\}$  and  $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  respectively. Each permutation of the wreath product  $G = G_1 \wr G_2$  can be uniquely expressed in the form  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n; h)$  where  $g_i \in G_1$ ,  $h \in G_2$ . If  $\lambda_i$  is a linear character on  $G_i$ , i = 1, 2, the mapping  $\lambda$  defined by

$$\lambda(g_1,\ldots,g_n;h) = \lambda_1(g_1)\ldots\lambda_1(g_n)\lambda_2(h)$$

is a linear character on  $G_1 \wr G_2$  and is denoted by  $\lambda_1 \wr \lambda_2$ . In [**28**, Theorem 2], Williamson proved that

(4) dim 
$$U_{\lambda}(G_1 \wr G_2) = \dim [(U_{\lambda_1}(G_1))_{\lambda_2}(G_2)].$$

Let H be a normal subgroup of G of index 2. Let  $\lambda$  be an irreducible

character of G. Then the irreducible character  $\lambda'$  on G such that

$$\lambda'(\sigma) = \lambda(\sigma), \quad \sigma \in H$$
  
 $\lambda'(\sigma) = -\lambda(\sigma), \quad \sigma \notin H$ 

is called the associated character of  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , we say that  $\lambda$  is self-associated.

For each irreducible character  $\chi$  of H and a  $\pi \in G \setminus H$ , we can define an irreducible character  $\bar{\chi}$  of H as follows:

$$\bar{\chi}(\sigma) = \chi(\pi^{-1}\sigma\pi)$$

for all  $\sigma \in H$ . Note that  $\bar{\chi}$  is independent of the choice of  $\pi$  and is called the conjugate character of  $\chi$ .  $\chi$  is called self-conjugate if  $\bar{\chi} = \chi$ . The relation between associated characters of G and the conjugate characters of H is given in the following theorem [1].

THEOREM 1. (a) If  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  are associated irreducible characters of G and  $\chi \neq \chi'$ , then  $\chi|_H = \chi'|_H$  is a self-conjugate irreducible character of H. Conversely, every self-conjugate irreducible of H is the restriction of a pair of associated irreducible characters of G.

(b) If  $\chi$  is a self-associated irreducible character of G then  $\chi|_H = \lambda + \bar{\lambda}$ where  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are irreducible conjugate characters of H. Conversely, the sum of a pair of distinct conjugate irreducible characters of H is the restriction of a self-associated irreducible character of G.

The last line of the following theorem follows from Lemma 5 in [24], while the rest of the theorem is a special case of Theorems 3 and 4 in [20]. We remark that the theorem could also be proved easily by using formula (3).

THEOREM 2. Let G be a subgroup of  $S_m$  and H a normal subgroup of G of index 2.

(a) If  $\lambda$  is a self-conjugate irreducible character of H induced by the associated irreducible characters  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  of G, then

 $U_{\lambda}(H) = U_{\chi}(G) \oplus U_{\chi'}(G).$ 

(b) If  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  is a pair of conjugate irreducible characters of H such that  $\chi|_{H} = \lambda + \bar{\lambda}$  where  $\chi$  is a self-associated irreducible character of G, then

 $U_{\chi}(G) = U_{\lambda}(H) \oplus U_{\overline{\lambda}}(H)$ 

and

$$\dim U_{\lambda}(H) = \dim U_{\bar{\lambda}}(H).$$

We now describe irreducible characters on the wreath product  $G = S_n \wr S_2$ . Consider G as a permutation group on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$  with the complete block system  $N_1 = \{1, \ldots, n\}, N_2 = \{n + 1, \ldots, 2n\}$ . We

shall write every permutation in  $S_n \wr S_2$  as  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \pi$  where  $\sigma_1 \in S_{N_1}$ ,  $\sigma_2 \in S_{N_2}$  and  $\pi \in S_2$ . Let  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  be two irreducible characters corresponding to irreducible representations  $D_{\lambda}$  and  $D_{\rho}$  of  $S_{N_1}$  and  $S_{N_2}$  respectively. Then the character  $\lambda \# \rho$  corresponding to the outer tensor product  $D_{\lambda} \# D_{\rho}$  defined by

$$(D_{\lambda} \# D_{\rho})(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}) = D_{\lambda}(\sigma_{1}) \otimes D_{\rho}(\sigma_{2})$$

where  $\sigma_1 \in S_{N_1}$ ,  $\sigma_2 \in S_{N_2}$  is an irreducible character of the product  $S_{N_1} \cdot S_{N_2}$  (see [11], [15]). In fact

$$(\lambda \# \rho)(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \lambda(\sigma_1)\rho(\sigma_2).$$

If  $\lambda \neq \rho$ , then the induced character  $(\lambda \# \rho)^G$  is an irreducible character of  $G = S_n \wr S_2$ . If  $\lambda = \rho$ , then we first extend  $D_{\lambda} \# D_{\lambda}$  to an irreducible representation  $D_{\lambda} \# D_{\lambda}$  of  $S_n \wr S_2$  as follows: for each  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \pi \in S_n \wr S_2$ , if

$$D_{\lambda}(\sigma_{1}) = (a_{i_{1}j_{1}})$$

$$D_{\lambda}(\sigma_{2}) = (b_{i_{2}j_{2}})$$

$$D_{\lambda} \# D_{\lambda}(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}) = (a_{i_{1}j_{1}}b_{i_{2}j_{2}})$$

we put

$$\widetilde{D_{\lambda} \# D_{\lambda}}(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \pi) = (a_{i_1 j_{\pi(1)}} b_{i_2 j_{\pi(2)}}).$$

Now for each irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $S_2$  corresponding to irreducible representation  $D_{\chi}$  of  $S_2$  we can define a representation  $\tilde{D}_{\chi}$  of  $S_n \wr S_2$  as follows:

$$\widetilde{D}_{\chi}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\pi) = D_{\chi}(\pi).$$

Then the inner tensor product  $D_{\lambda} \# D_{\lambda} \otimes \tilde{D}_{\chi}$  is an irreducible representation of  $S_n \wr S_n$  and its corresponding character is the character  $\lambda \wr \chi$ . We shall need the following result (see [15]) concerning the irreducible characters of wreath product  $S_n \wr S_2$  in the next section.

THEOREM 3. Every irreducible character of the wreath product  $G = S_n \wr S_2$  is either equal to  $(\lambda \# \rho)^G$  or  $\lambda \wr \chi$  where  $\lambda$ ,  $\rho$  are distinct irreducible characters of  $S_n$  and  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $S_2$ .

THEOREM 4. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be permutation groups on  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$  and  $\{m + 1, \ldots, m + t\}$  respectively. Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be irreducible characters of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. If  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \# \lambda_2$  is the irreducible character on the product  $G_1 \cdot G_2$  corresponding to the outer tensor product representation, then

$$\dim U_{\lambda}^{m+t}(G_1 \cdot G_2) = \dim U_{\lambda_1}(G_1) \cdot \dim U_{\lambda_2}(G_2).$$

*Proof.* In view of (3),

$$\dim U_{\lambda}(G_1 \cdot G_2) = \frac{\lambda(1)}{|G_1 \cdot G_2|} \sum_{\sigma_i \in G_i} (\lambda_1 \# \lambda_2) (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) k^{c(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda_1(1)}{|G_1|} \frac{\lambda_2(1)}{|G_2|} \sum_{\sigma_i \in G_i} \lambda_1(\sigma_1) \lambda_2(\sigma_2) k^{c(\sigma_1) + c(\sigma_2)}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\lambda_1(1)}{|G_1|} \sum_{\sigma_1 \in G_1} \lambda_1(\sigma_1) k^{c(\sigma_1)}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_2(1)}{|G_2|} \sum_{\sigma_2 \in G_2} \lambda_2(\sigma_2) k^{c(\sigma_2)}\right)$$
$$= \dim U_{\lambda_1}(G_1) \cdot \dim U_{\lambda_2}(G_2).$$

When  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  are linear, Theorem 4 was proved in [26] by a different method.

THEOREM 5. Let  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  be distinct irreducible characters on  $S_n$ . Let  $G = S_n \wr S_2$  and  $\chi = (\lambda \# \rho)^G$ . Then

 $\dim U_{\chi}(G) = 2 \dim U_{\lambda}(S_{N_1}) \dim U_{\rho}(S_{N_2})$ 

where  $N_1 = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $N_2 = \{n + 1, \ldots, 2n\}.$ 

*Proof.* Since  $(\lambda \# \rho)^G$  is self-associated with respect to  $S_{N_1} \cdot S_{N_2}$  and

 $\chi|_{S_{N_1}\cdot S_{N_2}} = \lambda \# \rho + \overline{\lambda \# \rho},$ 

it follows from Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 that

 $\dim U_{\chi}(G) = 2 \dim U_{\lambda \neq \rho}(S_{N_1} \cdot S_{N_2})$  $= 2 \dim U_{\lambda}(S_{N_1}) \dim U_{\rho}(S_{N_2}).$ 

COROLLARY 1 [8]. Let  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  be distinct irreducible characters of  $S_n$ . Then  $(\lambda \# \rho)^G$  is a (k)-character of  $G = S_n \wr S_2$  if and only if either  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  is a (k)-character of  $S_n$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 5.

3. Nonzero symmetry classes of smallest dimension. In this section we shall determine those subgroups G of  $S_m$  and those irreducible characters  $\lambda$  on G such that dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(1)$  when m = 2k where  $k = \dim U$ .

Throughout the rest of the paper we assume that dim U = k,  $M = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  and  $K = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ .

THEOREM 6. Let  $0 \neq \dim U_{\lambda}(G) < k\lambda(1)$ . If  $\alpha \in \overline{\Delta}$  then  $|\alpha(M)| = k$ and  $|\alpha^{-1}(i)| = m/k$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k.

*Proof.* If k > m, let Q be the set of all mappings  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma_{m,k}$  such that

 $\alpha(1) < \alpha(2) < \ldots < \alpha(m).$ 

Then  $Q \subseteq \overline{\Delta}$  and hence from (1) and (2) we have

dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) \ge |Q|\lambda(1) = {}_{m}C_{k}\lambda(1) \ge k\lambda(1)$ 

a contradiction. Hence  $k \leq m$ .

Suppose now  $|\alpha(M)| = s \neq k$ . Then for each  $i \in \alpha(M)$  and  $j \notin \alpha(M)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , let  $\sigma_{ij} = (ij)$  be the transposition in  $S_k$ . Then

 $\{e^*_{\sigma_ij\alpha}: i \in \alpha(M), j \notin \alpha(M), 1 \leq j \leq k\} \cup \{e_{\alpha}^*\}$ 

is a set with s(k - s) + 1 elements and different elements of the set belong to different orbital subspaces of  $U_{\lambda}(G)$ . Hence

dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) \ge [s(k-s)+1]\lambda(1) \ge k\lambda(1),$ 

a contradiction. Hence  $|\alpha(M)| = k$ .

Let  $D = \{j: |\alpha^{-1}(j)| = |\alpha^{-1}(1)|\}$ . Suppose that  $|D| = t \neq k$ . Then for each  $i \in D$  and  $j \in K \setminus D$ , let  $\tau_{ij}$  be the transposition (*ij*) in  $S_k$ . Then

 $\{e^*_{\tau_ij\alpha}: i \in D, j \in K \setminus D\} \cup \{e_{\alpha}^*\}$ 

is a set with t(k - t) + 1 elements and different elements of the set belong to different orbital subspaces of  $U_{\lambda}(G)$ . Hence

dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) \ge [t(k-t)+1]\lambda(1) \ge k\lambda(1),$ 

a contradiction. Hence  $|\alpha^{-1}(1)| = |\alpha^{-1}(i)|$  for i = 1, ..., k. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2. If dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(1)$ , then k is a divisor of m.

The following result was proved in [2, Corollary 1].

THEOREM 7. Let  $\lambda$  be the irreducible character of  $S_m$  corresponding to a Young diagram  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$ . Then dim  $U_{\lambda}(S_m) = 0$  if and only if t > k.

The following result follows from the Proposition in [12, p. 20] and Theorem 1 in [25].

THEOREM 8. Let  $\lambda$  be the irreducible character of  $S_m$  corresponding to a Young diagram  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$ . Then dim  $U_{\lambda}(S_m) = \lambda(1)$  if and only if t = k and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_k$ .

We remark that the necessity of the above theorem also follows easily from the Theorem in [21] and Theorem 6.

Let  $A_m$  denote the alternating group of degree m.

THEOREM 9. Let  $\lambda$  be an irreducible character of  $A_m$ . Let m = ks and  $k \ge s$ . Then dim  $U_{\lambda}(A_m) = \lambda(1)$  if and only if s = k and  $\lambda$  is the restriction of the self-associated irreducible character of  $S_m$  corresponding to the Young diagram  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)$  where  $\lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_s = k$ .

*Proof.* If  $\lambda$  is the self-conjugate irreducible character induced by the associated characters  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  on  $S_m$ , then by Theorem 2,

$$U_{\lambda}(A_m) = U_{\chi}(S_m) \bigoplus U_{\chi'}(S_m).$$

If dim  $U_{\lambda}(A_m) = \lambda(1)$  then we may assume without loss of generality that dim  $U_{\chi}(S_m) = \lambda(1)$  and dim  $U_{\chi'}(S_m) = 0$ . Hence by Theorem 8,  $\chi$ corresponds to the Young diagram  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$  where t = k,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  $= \ldots = \lambda_t = s$ . Hence  $\chi'$  corresponds to a Young diagram with *s* rows. However Theorem 7 implies that s > k, a contradiction.

If  $\lambda$  is not self-conjugate then, by Theorem 1,  $\lambda + \overline{\lambda} = \chi|_{A_m}$  for some self-associated irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $S_m$  where  $\overline{\lambda}$  is the conjugate of  $\lambda$ . In view of Theorem 2,

$$\dim U_{\lambda}(A_m) = \lambda(1) \Leftrightarrow \dim U_{\overline{\lambda}}(A_m) = \lambda(1)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \dim U_{\chi}(S_m) = \chi(1)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \chi$  corresponds to the Young diagram  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$  with t = k and  $\lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_t = s$ .

Since  $\chi$  is self-associated, we must have s = k. This completes the proof.

Two permutation groups  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  on  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively are said to be of the same *type* if there exists an injection  $\phi: N_1 \to N_2$  and an isomorphism  $f: H_1 \to H_2$  such that

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(i)) = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})(\boldsymbol{\phi}(i))$$
 for all  $i \in N_1, \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in H_1$ .

The following result is useful in the sequel.

THEOREM 10 [8]. Suppose  $m \leq 2k = 2 \dim U$ . Then  $U_{\lambda}(G)$  is trivial if and only if one of the following holds:

1. G contains a subgroup of type  $S_n$  with n > k and  $\lambda|_{S_n}$  is a multiple of an irreducible character of  $S_n$  corresponding to a Young diagram  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$  where t > k.

2. G contains a subgroup of type  $S_k \wr S_2$  and

 $\lambda|_{S_k}|_{S_2} = \lambda(1)\rho \langle \chi$ 

where  $\rho$  is the sign character of  $S_k$  and  $\chi$  is the sign character of  $S_2$ .

THEOREM 11. If G has t orbits  $O_1, O_2, \ldots O_t$  such that  $|O_1| = \ldots = |O_t| = k$ , then dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(1)$  if and only if  $G = S_{0_1} \ldots S_{0_t}$  and  $\lambda = \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency follow from Theorem 4. To prove the necessity, let  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Given distinct elements  $s, j \in O_i$ , let  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,k}$  such that

$$|\alpha(O_n)| = k$$
 for  $n \neq i$ ,  
 $|\alpha(O_i)| = k - 1$  and  $\alpha(s) = \alpha(j)$ .

By Theorem 6,  $e_{\alpha}^* = 0$ . Hence  $G_{\alpha} \neq \{1\}$  and therefore  $(sj) \in G$ . Hence  $S_{0i} \subseteq G$ . This shows that  $G = S_{01} \dots S_{0i}$ . Hence  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \# \dots \# \lambda_i$  for some irreducible characters  $\lambda_i$  of  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, i$ . By Theorem 4,

$$\dim U_{\lambda}(G) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \dim U_{\lambda_{i}}(S_{0_{i}}) = \lambda(1).$$

Hence dim  $U_{\lambda_i}(S_{0_i}) = \lambda_i(1)$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ . Since  $|O_i| = k$  and dim U = k it follows from Theorem 8 that  $\lambda_i = \epsilon$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 1. Let G be a subgroup of  $S_6$  containing neither 2-cycles nor 3-cycles. If dim U = k = 3, then dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) > \lambda(1)$  for any irreducible character  $\lambda$  of G.

*Proof.* Suppose that dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) \leq \lambda(1)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{6,3}$  such that

$$\alpha^{-1}(1) = \{1, 2\}, \alpha^{-1}(2) = \{3, 4, 5\}, \alpha^{-1}(3) = \{6\}$$

By Theorem 6,  $e_{\alpha}^* = 0$  and hence  $G_{\alpha} \neq \{1\}$ . Suppose  $|G_{\alpha}| > 2$ . Then *G* contains a 2-cycle or a 3-cycle, a contradiction. Hence  $|G_{\alpha}| = 2$ . We may assume that  $(12)(34) \in G_{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G_{\alpha}} \lambda(\sigma) = \lambda(1) + \lambda((12)(34)) = 0$$

and hence  $\lambda((12)(34)) = -\lambda(1)$ . Similarly, we can show that for  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Gamma_{6,3}$  defined by

$$\beta_1^{-1}(1) = \{3, 4\}, \beta_1^{-1}(2) = \{1, 5, 6\}, \beta_1^{-1}(3) = \{2\}$$
  

$$\beta_2^{-1}(1) = \{1, 2\}, \beta_2^{-1}(2) = \{4, 5, 6\}, \beta_2^{-1}(3) = \{3\},$$
  

$$G_{\beta_1} = \{1, (34)(56)\}, G_{\beta_2} = \{1, (12)(56)\} \text{ and}$$
  

$$\lambda((34)(56)) = \lambda((12)(56)) = -\lambda(1).$$

Now for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{6,3}$  defined by

$$\gamma^{-1}(1) = \{1, 2\}, \gamma^{-1}(2) = \{3, 4\}, \gamma^{-1}(3) = \{5, 6\},\$$

we have  $G_{\gamma} = \{1, (12)(34), (12)(56), (34)(56)\}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G_{\alpha}} \lambda(\sigma) = \lambda(1) - 3\lambda(1) = -2\lambda(1),$$

which contradicts the fact that  $|G_{\gamma}|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G_{\gamma}} \lambda(\sigma)$  is a non-negative integer. Hence dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) > \lambda(1)$ .

**LEMMA** 2. If dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(1)$  then for any (k - 1)-dimensional subspace W of U,  $W_{\lambda}(G) = 0$ .

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.

THEOREM 12. If dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(1)$  and  $\lambda$  is not linear, then G is a (k)-group.

*Proof.* Since dim  $U_{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(1)$ ,  $\overline{\Delta} = \{\alpha\}$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and by (2) we have

$$1 = \frac{1}{|G_{\alpha}|} \sum_{\sigma \in G_{\alpha}} \lambda(\sigma).$$

If  $G_{\alpha} = \{1\}$  then  $1 = \lambda(1)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G_{\alpha} \neq \{1\}$ . This implies that G is a (k)-group.

THEOREM 13. For  $m = 2k = 2 \dim U$ , dim  $U_{\chi}(G) = \chi(1)$  if and only if one of the following holds:

(a)  $G = S_{0_1} \cdot S_{0_2}$  where  $|O_1| = |O_2| = k, \chi = \epsilon$ .

(b)  $G = S_m$  and  $\chi$  corresponds to the Young diagram  $(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_k)$  where  $\chi_1 = \ldots = \chi_k$ .

(c) G is of type  $S_k \wr S_2$ ,  $\chi = \epsilon \wr 1$ .

(d) G is of type  $S_2 \wr S_3$ ,  $\chi = \epsilon \wr \epsilon$ , k = 3.

(e)  $G = A_4$ ,  $\chi \neq 1$ ,  $\chi$  is linear, k = 2.

*Proof.* The sufficiency follows from Theorems 11, 8 and 9 and formula (4). The proof of the necessity is divided into three cases:

Case 1. *G* is intransitive. Suppose *G* has an orbit *O* such that |O| < k. Let  $\alpha \in \overline{\Delta}$  and  $\pi = (12 \dots k) \in S_k$ . Then  $\alpha \not\equiv \pi \alpha \pmod{G}$ ,  $e_{\pi \alpha}^* \not\equiv 0$ . Hence dim  $U_{\chi}(G) \geq 2\chi(1)$ , a contradiction. Hence *G* has only two orbits  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  with  $|O_1| = |O_2| = k$ . By Theorem 11, we obtain (a).

Case 2. G is primitive.

(1) If k = 1, then  $G = S_2$ ,  $\chi \equiv 1$  and we obtain (b).

(2) If k = 2, then  $G = A_4$  or  $S_4$ . In the first case, by Theorem 9, we have (e). In the second case, by Theorem 8, we have (b).

(3) If k = 3, then G is of the type  $A_6$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $\langle (126)(354)$ , (12345),  $(2345) \rangle$  or  $\langle (126)(354)$ , (12345),  $(25)(34) \rangle$  (see [3]). The first case cannot occur by Theorem 9. The second case implies (b) by Theorem 8. The third and fourth cases cannot happen by Lemma 1.

(4) k = 4. If  $\chi$  is not linear then by Theorem 12 G is a (4)-group. Since G is primitive, by Theorem 3.6 in [6],  $G \supseteq A_8$ . If  $\chi$  is linear then by Lemma 2,  $W_{\chi}(G) = 0$  for some 3-dimensional subspace W of U. Hence by the theorem in [7],  $G \supseteq A_8$  since G is primitive. Thus by Theorems 8 and 9 we obtain (b).

(5) k > 4. Since dim  $U_{\chi}(G) = \chi(1)$ , by Lemma 2, G is (k - 1)-group. Hence by Theorem 6.3 in [6], G contains  $A_m$ . Appealing to Theorems 8 and 9 we obtain (b).

Case 3. *G* is imprimitive transitive. Let  $\{N_1, \ldots, N_t\}$  be a complete block system of *G*. Suppose t = 2. For each function  $\beta_1$  from  $N_1$  to  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\}$ , let  $\beta \in \Gamma_{m,k}$  be defined by

$$eta(i)=eta_1(i) ext{ for } i\in N_1, \ |eta^{-1}(j)\cap N_2|=1 ext{ for } j=1,\ldots,k.$$

Since  $|\beta^{-1}(j)| \neq 2$  for some j, by Theorem 6,  $e_{\beta}^* = 0$  and hence

$$\sum_{\sigma\in G_{\beta}}\chi(\sigma) = 0.$$

Hence  $G_{N_2} = \{g \in G : g(i) = i, i \in N_2\}$  is a (k-1)-group and  $\chi|_{G_{N_2}}$  is a (k-1)-character. By Theorem 10,

$$G_{N_2} = S_{N_1}$$
 and  $\chi|_{G_{N_2}} = \chi(1)\epsilon$ .

Similarly, we can show that

 $G_{N_1} = S_{N_2} \text{ and } \chi|_{G_{N_2}} = \chi(1)\epsilon.$ 

Hence  $G = S_k \wr S_2$ . By Theorem 3,  $\chi$  is of the form  $(\lambda \# \rho)^G$ ,  $\lambda \wr 1$  or  $\lambda \wr \epsilon$ where  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  are distinct irreducible characters of  $S_k$ .

If  $\chi = (\lambda \# \rho)^{G}$ , then Theorem 5 implies that

dim 
$$U_{\lambda}(S_{N_1}) = \lambda(1)$$
, dim  $U_{\rho}(S_{N_2}) = \rho(1)$ .

By Theorem 4,  $\lambda = \epsilon$  and  $\rho = \epsilon$ , a contradiction. If  $\chi = \lambda \wr 1$  or  $\lambda \wr \epsilon$ , we have

$$\chi|_{S_{N_i}} = \lambda(1)\lambda = \chi(1)\epsilon, i = 1, 2.$$

Hence  $\lambda = \lambda(1)\epsilon$ . By the irreducibility of  $\lambda$  we have  $\lambda(1) = 1$ . Hence  $\chi(1) = 1$ . Using formula (4), we have  $\chi = \epsilon \wr 1$ . This gives (c).

We now consider individual values of k.

For k = 2, we have t = 2 and this implies that we have (c).

For k = 3, we have t = 2 or 3. We need only to consider t = 3. Let  $N_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}, N_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}$  and  $N_3 = \{z_1, z_2\}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{6,3}$  be defined by

$$\alpha^{-1}(1) = \{x_1, y_1\}, \, \alpha^{-1}(2) = \{y_2, z_2\}, \, \alpha^{-1}(3) = \{z_1, x_2\}.$$

Then  $G_{\alpha} = \{1\}$  and hence G is not a (3)-group. By Theorem 12,  $\chi$  is linear. Now let  $\beta \in \Gamma_{6,3}$  be defined as follows:

$$\beta^{-1}(1) = \{x_1, x_2, y_1\}, \beta^{-1}(2) = \{y_2, z_2\}, \beta^{-1}(3) = \{z_1\}, \beta^{-1}(3) = \{z_$$

Since dim  $U_{\chi}(G) = \chi(1)$ , by Theorem 6 we have  $e_{\beta}^* = 0$ . Hence  $(x_1x_2) \in G$  and

$$0 = \sum_{\sigma \in G_{\beta}} \chi(\sigma) = 1 + \chi((x_1 x_2)).$$

Hence  $\chi((x_1x_2)) = -1$ . Similarly we can show that  $(y_1y_2)$ ,  $(z_1z_2) \in G$  and

 $\chi((y_1y_2)) = \chi((z_1z_2)) = -1.$ 

It follows that  $S_{N_1} \cdot S_{N_2} \cdot S_{N_3} \subseteq G$  and  $\chi|_{S_{N_i}} = \epsilon$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Next, let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{6,3}$  be defined by

$$\gamma^{-1}(1) = \{x_1, y_1, z_1\}, \gamma^{-1}(2) = \{x_2, y_2\}, \gamma^{-1}(3) = \{z_2\}.$$

In view of Theorem 6,  $e_{\gamma}^* = 0$ . Hence

$$(x_1y_1)(x_2y_2) \in G \text{ and } \chi((x_1y_1)(x_2y_2)) = -1.$$

Similarly we can show that

$$(x_1z_1)(x_2z_2) \in G \text{ and } \chi((x_1z_1)(x_2z_2)) = -1;$$
  
 $(y_1z_1)(y_2z_2) \in G \text{ and } \chi((y_1z_1)(y_2z_2)) = -1.$ 

Hence  $G = S_2 \wr S_3$  and  $\chi = \epsilon \wr \epsilon$ .

For  $k \ge 4$  we have 2k < 3(k-1). Since G is a (k-1)-group, G is of type  $S_2 \wr S_4$  where k = 4 or t = 2 (see Lemma 8.7 and Corollary 6.2 in [6]). The second case implies (c). Suppose that G is of the type  $S_2 \wr S_4$ . Let  $\delta \in \Gamma_{8,4}$  be defined by

$$\begin{split} |\delta^{-1}(1) \cap N_i| &= 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ |\delta^{-1}(2) \cap N_i| &= 1, \quad i = 1, 4, \\ |\delta^{-1}(3) \cap N_i| &= 1, \quad i = 2, 4, \\ |\delta^{-1}(4) \cap N_i| &= 1, \quad i = 3. \end{split}$$

Then  $G_{\delta} = \{1\}$  and hence  $e_{\delta}^* \neq 0$ . By Theorem 6, we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof.

## References

- 1. H. Boerner, *Representations of groups* (North Holland/American Elsevier, Amsterdam-London/New York, 1970).
- 2. R. Brauer, Investigations on group characters, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), 926-985.
- 3. W. Burnside, Theory of groups of finite order (Reprinted by Dover, New York, 1955).
- 4. G. H. Chan, On a class of permutation groups, Nanta Math. 6 (1973), 100-105.
- 5. —— Construction of minimal (k)-groups, Nanta Math. 7 (1974), 30-35.
- **6.** A characterization of minimal (k)-groups of degree  $n \leq 3k$ , Linear and Multilinear Algebra 4 (1977), 285-305.
- 7. ——— On the triviality of symmetry class of tensors, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 6 (1978), 73-82.
- 8. (k)-character and the triviality of symmetry classes, Linear Algebra Appl. (to appear).
- 9. A note on symmetrizers of rank one, Nanta Math. 11 (1979), 130-133.
- 10. S. C. Chang, On the vanishing of a  $(G,\sigma)$  space, Chinese J. Math. 4 (1976), 1-7.
- 11. C. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras (Interscience, New York-London, 1962).
- J. Dieudonné and J. B. Carrell, Invariant theory, old and new (Academic Press, New York, 1971).
- 13. R. Freese, Inequalities for generalized matrix functions based on arbitrary characters, Linear Algebra Appl. 7 (1973), 337-345.
- R. Grone, A note on the dimension of an orbital subspace, Linear Algebra Appl. 17 (1977), 283-286.
- 15. A. Kerber, *Representations of permutation groups I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 240 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971).
- 15. M. H. Lim, Regular symmetry classes of tensors, Nanta Math. 8 (1975), 42-46.

- M. Marcus, Finite dimensional multilinear algebra, Part 1 (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973).
- Finite dimensional multilinear algebra, Part II (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975).
- R. Merris, The dimensions of certain symmetry classes of tensors II, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 4 (1976), 205–207.
- 20. —— Relations among generalized matrix functions, Pacific J. Math. 62 (1976), 153–161.
- Nonzero decomposable symmetrized tensors, Linear Algebra Appl. 17 (1977), 287-292.
- On vanishing decomposable symmetrized tensors, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 5 (1977), 79-86.
- 23. ——— Recent advances in symmetry classes of tensors, preprint.
- 24. R. Merris and S. Pierce, Elementary divisors of higher degree associated transformations, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 1 (1973), 241–250.
- 25. R. Merris and M. A. Rashid, *The dimensions of certain symmetry classes of tensors*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 2 (1974), 245-248.
- **26.** K. Singh, On the vanishing of a pure product in a  $(G,\sigma)$  space, Ph.D. Thesis, University of British Columbia (1967).
- 27. R. Westwick, A note on symmetry classes of tensors, J. Algebra, 15 (1970), 309-311.
- 28. S. G. Williamson, Symmetry operators of Kranz products, J. Comb. Theory 11 (1971), 122–138.

Nanyang University, Singapore; University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia