

were extracted. The numbers of the same International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9), codes before and after surgery were compared. If a number increased after surgery, this diagnosis was initially identified as a complication. All diagnoses with neoplasms were excluded. The incidence rates of complications for the three surgery groups were calculated. Chi-squared tests were conducted for the following nephrectomy comparisons: laparoscopic versus open; robot-assisted versus open; and robot-assisted versus laparoscopic.

RESULTS:

A total of 1,890 kidney cancer patients had partial nephrectomies. Among them, 1,080, 411, and 399 had open, laparoscopic, and robot-assisted nephrectomies, respectively. One patient who had two different nephrectomies on the same day was excluded from analysis. The robot-assisted group had lower rates of digestive complications (ICD-9: 537–578, 787, 789, 998.6) and infections (ICD-9: 004–041, 998.5) than the open group, and higher rates of genitourinary complications (ICD-9: 584–599, 788, 997.5) than the laparoscopy group. The robot-assisted group had lower rates than the open group for most of the complication categories, but the differences were not statistically significant.

CONCLUSIONS:

Robot-assisted surgery appears to be superior to open surgery, but no better than laparoscopic surgery, in terms of minimizing the risk of complications following partial nephrectomy.

.....

PP165 The Resurrection Of The Cost-Minimization Approach In England

AUTHORS:

Erika Turkstra (erika.turkstra@PAREXEL.com), George Duo Wang, Lok Wan Liu, Silvy Mardiguan

INTRODUCTION:

For almost 20 years (1999–2017), the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) focused primarily on cost utility analyses (CUA) for its health technology appraisals. This changed on the 01 April 2017, when a new fast track appraisal process was introduced for technologies that offer exceptional value for money.

Under this process, a cost-comparison analysis can be included for technologies that are likely to provide similar or greater health benefits at a similar or lower cost to comparator technologies already recommended by NICE. This is in contrast to other jurisdictions (e.g. Scotland and Australia) that have long accepted cost-comparison analyses such as cost-minimization analyses (CMA) when a technology has comparable efficacy to relevant comparators. This research aimed to investigate if this new approach will have an impact on future appraisals

METHODS:

Publicly available technology appraisal documents from NICE, Scottish Medicines Consortium (SMC), and Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee (PBAC) were screened (01/01/2016–01/12/2016), and the supportive economic analyses were identified and extracted.

RESULTS:

In 2016, the proportion of CMA submissions that formed the basis of technology appraisals were 0/53 (0 percent), 17/55 (31 percent) and 25/82 (30 percent) for NICE, SMC and PBAC, respectively. The likelihood that a technology was recommended (with or without restrictions) for those technologies that were assessed using a CUA was 60 percent, 66 percent and 33 percent for NICE, SMC and PBAC, respectively, while technologies that were assessed using a CMA were associated with higher positive recommendation rates: 76 percent and 76 percent for SMC and PBAC, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS:

Incorporating a cost-minimization approach may result in more technologies being recommended by NICE through the fast track appraisal process, whereby the likelihood of a technology having a positive recommendation is much greater than the standard appraisal process.

.....

PP166 RedETS: 10 Years Of Economic HTA (Medical Devices) In Spain, 2006–2016

AUTHORS:

Emmanuel Gimenez Garcia (epuigdomenech@gencat.cat), Mireia Espallargues, Toni Dedeu