

# Existence of a bounded function of the maximal spectral type

V. M. ALEXEYEV

This paper was originally published in *Vestnik. Mosc. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astron. Fis. Khim.* No. 5 (1958) 13–15. It has been translated from the Russian by A. Katok.

Let us denote by  $L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  the Hilbert space of all functions on the measure space  $\Omega$ , square-integrable with respect to the measure  $\mu$ .

Let us consider in  $L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  the spectral measure  $E(M)$ , i.e. a family of projection operators depending in the  $\sigma$ -additive way on a Borel measurable subset of the real line. It is well known that in this case the set function

$$\sigma_f(M) = (E(M)f, f)$$

is a generalized measure.

In the study of certain questions connected to the spectral theory of dynamical systems and stationary random processes it is interesting to know whether there is a function in  $L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  which has the maximal spectral type. That means that, for every  $g \in L^2_\mu(\Omega)$ ,  $\sigma_g(M) \ll \sigma_f(M)$  where the sign  $\ll$  means the absolute continuity. The following theorem answers this question in the case of the separable space.

**THEOREM.** *If the space  $L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  is separable then for every function  $f \in L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a bounded function  $g \in L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  such that  $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sigma_f \ll \sigma_g$ . In particular, if  $f$  has the maximal spectral type then  $g$  has the same property.*

*Proof.* Let us remember several facts from the spectral theory of linear operators. It is well known (see [1, 2]) that in our case

$$L^2_\mu(\Omega) = \sum_n \oplus H_n$$

so that every  $H_n$  is isometric to a space  $L^2_\sigma(M_n)$  where  $\sigma$  is a measure belonging to the maximal spectral type and

$$(-\infty, +\infty) = M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \dots$$

Here the index  $n$  goes through a finite or countable set of values. In other words, every  $f(P) \in L^2_\mu(\Omega)$  determines a sequence  $\{\tilde{f}_n(\lambda)\}$  where  $f_n \in L^2_\sigma(M_n)$ . We shall denote this one-to-one correspondence by

$$f \leftrightarrow \{\tilde{f}_n\}. \tag{1}$$

Let us denote the set  $\bigcup_n [\{\lambda; |\tilde{f}_n(\lambda)| \neq 0\} \cap M_n]$ , by  $A_f$ . Then the relation  $\sigma_f \gg \sigma_g$  holds if and only if  $\sigma(A_g \setminus A_f) = 0$ .

Now let  $f$  be a given function and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us denote

$$f^{(a,b)}(P) = \begin{cases} f(P), & \text{if } a \leq |f(P)| < b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Let us choose  $K$  large enough so that the following inequality holds:

$$\|f^{(K,\infty)}\| < \varepsilon/2. \tag{3}$$

According to (1) we can write

$$f^{(0, K^m)} = f^m \leftrightarrow \{\tilde{f}_n^m\}.$$

Since correspondence (1) is isometric then if  $K^m \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\int_{M_n} |\tilde{f}_n(\lambda) - \tilde{f}_n^m(\lambda)|^2 \sigma(d\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since convergence in the mean implies the almost everywhere convergence for a subsequence we can construct by a diagonal process a sequence  $\{m_p\}$ ,  $m_0 = 1$  and  $m_p \rightarrow \infty$  and sets  $N_n \subseteq M_n$  such that

$$\tilde{f}_n^{m_p}(\lambda) \rightarrow f_n(\lambda) \text{ for every } \lambda \in N_n, \sigma(M_n \setminus N_n) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Let us now construct the following function

$$f(z, P) = f^{(0, K)} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} z^{m_p} f^{(K_{m_{p-1}}, K_{m_p})}(P). \tag{5}$$

The orthogonality of the functions  $f^{(K_{m_{p-1}}, K_{m_p})}$  and inequality (3) imply that series (5) converges in the mean for  $|z| < 1$  and moreover,

$$\|f(z, P) - f^{(0, K)}(P)\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Comparing the last inequality with (3) we obtain

$$\|f(z, P) - f(P)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } z, |z| < 1. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, for  $|z| < 1/K$  we shall have

$$|f(z, P)| < \max [K, 1]. \tag{7}$$

We are now going to investigate the spectral type of functions  $f(z, P)$ . Let

$$f(z, P) \leftrightarrow \{\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z)\} \text{ and } A_{n,z} = \{\lambda : \tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z) \neq 0\}.$$

It follows from formula (5) that

$$\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z) = \tilde{f}_n^0(\lambda) + \sum_{p=1}^m z^{m_p} [\tilde{f}_n^{m_p} P(\lambda) - \tilde{f}_n^{m_{p-1}}(\lambda)] \tag{8}$$

and the series converges in the mean. We deduce from (4) that for  $\lambda \in N_n$  and for  $z = 1$  the series converges in the usual sense. Hence by the Abel convergence theorem we conclude that  $\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z)$  is an analytic function in the open disk  $|z| < 1$ . Hence, for  $\lambda \in N_n$  one of two possibilities holds: either  $\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z) \equiv 0$  or this function has at most a countable number of zeroes in the disk  $|z| < 1$ . Let us denote by  $B_n$  the set of all  $\lambda \in N_n$  for which the second possibility holds. Since the identity  $\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z) \equiv 0$  implies that all the coefficients of series (8) vanish, then  $f_n^{m_p}(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $m_p$  and  $\lambda \notin B_n$  so that

$$\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, 1) = \tilde{f}_n(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for every } \lambda \in N_n \setminus B_n.$$

This means that  $B_n \supset A_{n,1}$ . Thus, for all  $\lambda \in A_{n,1}$  the second possibility holds.

Let us consider now the Cartesian product  $A_{n,1} \times S$  of the set  $A_{n,1}$  with the measure  $\sigma$  and the disk  $S = \{z, |z| < 1\}$  with Lebesgue measure  $m$ . In that product the set  $\{(\lambda, z): \tilde{f}_n(z, \lambda) = 0\}$  has  $(\sigma \times m)$ -measure 0 because every  $\lambda$ -section of that set has  $m$ -measure zero. In fact, such a section consists of at most countably many points. Therefore, for almost every  $z$  we have  $\tilde{f}_n(\lambda, z) \neq 0$  for almost every  $\lambda \in A_{n,1}$ . That implies that for almost all  $z$

$$\sigma(A_{n,1} \setminus A_{n,z}) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Choosing a  $z_0, |z_0| < 1/K$  such that (9) holds for all  $n$  and using the fact that  $A_{n,z} \subseteq N_n \subseteq M_n$  and  $\sigma(M_n \setminus N_n) = 0$  we obtain that

$$\sigma\left(\bigcup_n A_{n,1} \setminus \bigcup_n A_{n,z_0}\right) = 0.$$

That in turn implies that  $\sigma_f = \sigma_{f(1,P)} \ll \sigma_{f(z_0,P)}$ . It follows from (7) that  $f(z_0, P)$  is a bounded function. This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

One can see from the proof of the theorem that in general the measures  $\sigma_f$  and  $\sigma_{f(z_0,P)}$  are non-equivalent. It would be interesting to answer the following questions.

- (1) Does there exist a bounded function of any given spectral type?
- (2) Does there exist a bounded function in any subspace invariant with respect to  $E(M)$ ?
- (3) Does there exist a sequence  $f_q$  such that:
  - (a) all  $f_q$  are bounded;
  - (b)  $(E(M)f_q, f_{q'}) = 0$  for  $q \neq q'$  for all  $M$ ;
  - (c) if  $(E(M)f_q, g) = 0$  for all  $q$  and  $M$ , then  $g \equiv 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. I. Plesner & V. A. Rochlin. Spectral theory of linear operators, II. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **1** No. 1 (1946). (In Russian.)
- [2] P. R. Halmos. *Introduction to Hilbert Spaces and the Theory of Spectral Multiplicity*. New York Chelsea Publ. Co.: New York, 1951.