GUEST EDITORIAL

Randomized controlled trial of mibampator for behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia: comments on the trial and thoughts for future studies

Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) frequently arise in people with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and other dementias. They cause significant distress and confer risk to the person and others, in addition to presenting a complex clinical challenge for treatment (Ballard et al., 2009b). There is good evidence for the value of first-line management strategies such as psychological interventions and treatment of concurrent medical conditions, particularly pain, which are known to be effective (Ballard et al., 2009b). However, there are limited pharmacological treatment options for severe aggression, which causes significant risk, and for other severe BPSD which do not respond to first-line approaches. The only pharmacological intervention with an adequate evidence base is the prescription of atypical antipsychotics, where 18 placebo-controlled trials have evaluated the effect of treatment over 6–12 weeks. The literature indicates modest but significant benefits in the treatment of aggression and psychosis with risperidone and aripiprazole (Cohen’s d standardized effect size of 0.2), uncertain benefits with olanzapine, and no benefits with quetiapine (Ballard and Howard, 2006; Schneider et al., 2006a; Ballard et al., 2009b; Corbett et al., 2012). Unfortunately, the benefits of longer term prescribing are more limited (Schneider et al., 2006b). There has been even less focus on developing novel agents for repurposing as BPSD treatments and so far there has been no systematic effort to identify potential agents for repurposing as BPSD treatments and there has been even less focus on developing novel pharmacological treatments.

In this edition of International Psychogeriatrics, Trepacz and colleagues (Trepacz et al., 2013) report an RCT of the novel glutamatergic α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor modulator mibampator as a potential treatment for BPSD in AD. The
AMPA receptor plays a role in the regulation of the glutamatergic system, which is known to be damaged in people with AD. The RCT is well designed and adequately powered, comparing mibampator to placebo in 132 outpatients with clinically significant agitation and/or aggression in the context of AD of moderate severity over 12 weeks. The trial unfortunately demonstrated no efficacy in treating agitation, aggression, or other neuropsychiatric symptoms, but nevertheless should be applauded as an excellent example of evaluating a novel therapeutic agent for the treatment of key BPSD. Many more such trials are urgently needed but it is important to also consider potential reasons why the trial was unsuccessful in order to strengthen the design of future studies. Although the study followed a well-established design, there are several key points within the trial that should be noted, including the use of concurrent cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine, and the use of other psychotropic medication. Both cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine impact on the glutamatergic systems and it is therefore possible that this activity may have confounded the outcome. Another important point is the high placebo response rate observed in the trial, which is consistently reported in trials of this nature within this field. In order to ameliorate this effect, an improved design might have been the inclusion of an initial lead-in stage or simple non-pharmacological intervention to filter out placebo responders prior to randomization.

If not as a consequence of methodology, why else might mibampator have been ineffective in the current RCT? The rationale for taking mibampator forward as a treatment for agitation and aggression was based on a phase II trial of mibampator for cognition, which did not demonstrate significant benefits in terms of cognition, but did result in improvement in key BPSD. Whilst this seems very reasonable as a potential rationale for taking a treatment forward to a further trial, there must always be a degree of caution with respect to over-interpreting secondary outcome measures from an RCT in the context of multiple outcomes. Whilst the statistical rationale is clear, the root of the lack of effect may be the absence of a plausible biological rationale linking mibampator to agitation, aggression, or other key BPSD. Mibampator is an AMPA receptor potentiator, which plays a role in the regulation of the glutamatergic system. The AMPA system also has important functions in the regulation of synapses, synaptic regeneration, and neuroprotection and is therefore a good therapeutic target for treatments aiming to improve cognition and function or alter disease progression. There is however less of a clear pathway linking the AMPA system to agitation, aggression, or other BPSD.

In summary, we urgently need more therapeutic trials evaluating novel pharmacological treatments for agitation, aggression, psychosis, and other BPSD in order to improve the treatment of these common and distressing symptoms which significantly impact upon the lives of people with AD and those caring for them. New treatments will be key in avoiding an ongoing over-reliance of the use of antipsychotic agents as they are currently the only evidence-based pharmacological therapy. There are some methodological issues which could perhaps be usefully addressed by an expert consensus to optimize trial design but, most importantly, it is imperative that we have a strong emphasis on biological mechanisms and plausibility as a key component of further treatment development in this area. We already have good biological targets for repositioned and novel therapeutics, and preliminary evidence of efficacy for some candidate therapies. Further development and evaluation of the best candidates is an urgent treatment priority.
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