Comparison of Genome-Wide Association Scans for Quantitative and Observational Measures of Human Hair Curvature
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Abstract

Previous genetic studies on hair morphology focused on the overall morphology of the hair using data collected by self-report or researcher observation. Here, we present the first genome-wide association study (GWAS) of a micro-level quantitative measure of hair curvature. We compare these results to GWAS results obtained using a macro-level classification of observable hair curvature performed in the same sample of twins and siblings of European descent. Observational data were collected by trained observers, while quantitative data were acquired using an Optical Fibre Diameter Analyser (OFDA). The GWAS for both the observational and quantitative measures of hair curvature resulted in genome-wide significant signals at chromosome 1q21.3 close to the trichohyalin (TCHH) gene, previously shown to harbor variants associated with straight hair morphology in Europeans. All genetic variants reaching genome-wide significance for both GWAS (quantitative measure lead single-nucleotide polymorphism [SNP] rs12130862, p = 9.5 × 10−09; observational measure lead SNP rs11803731, p = 2.1 × 10−17) were in moderate to very high linkage disequilibrium (LD) with each other (minimum r2 = 0.45), indicating they represent the same genetic locus. Conditional analyses confirmed the presence of only one signal associated with each measure at this locus. Results from the quantitative measures reconfirmed the accuracy of observational measures.
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Human scalp hair has a notoriously variable morphology. The shape and degree of the curl in hair fibers are associated with the number of neocortical cells and the distribution and types of keratins (Thibaut et al., 2007). Previous research has shown that hair follicle morphogenesis is affected by a complex interaction between growth and homeostasis hormone pathways, as well as regulatory pathways (Vogt et al., 2008). The basic structure of hair (cuticle, cortex and medulla) is common to all humans; however, the dimensional shape of the hair fiber and ultrastructure varies considerably among and within populations (Koch et al., 2019; Loussouarn et al., 2007).

The heritability of self-reported hair characteristics has typically been found to be high; hair color was reported to show a 73−99% broad-sense heritability in a Dutch sample (Lin et al., 2015), while hair curliness was found to be 85−95% heritable in an Australian cohort (Medland, Nyholt et al., 2009). Moreover, a previous analysis using the same sample utilized in this present study showed that hair curvature is a heritable trait with strong genetic influence (h2 = 52−64; Ho et al., 2016).

Previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) discovery and meta-analyses have identified 12 loci (located near the trichohyalin [TCHH], ectodysplasin A receptor [EDAR], WNT10A, FRAS1, OFCC1, TRAF2, PRSS53, PADI3, LOC105373470, S100A11, LCE3E and LOC391485 genes) associated with hair morphology and structure (Adhikari et al., 2016; Fujimoto et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2018; Medland, Nyholt et al., 2009; Pospiech et al., 2018; Westgate et al., 2017). These studies found that the TCHH gene has a major effect on hair morphology in European and Latin American populations, but no effect in East Asian populations (Eriksson et al., 2010; Medland, Nyholt et al., 2009). By contrast, the EDAR gene, on chromosome 2, has a strong association and high prediction accuracy for straight hair in East Asians (Tan et al., 2013) and a minor (less than 0.2%) effect on hair structure in Europeans (Liu et al., 2018).

The studies cited above utilized macro-level categorical measures of hair curvature (e.g., straight, wavy or curly), assessed via self-report or researcher classification. While these studies demonstrated that simple classification schemes can be used to identify genetic variants influencing hair curvature, the present study sought to assess whether the use of micro-level quantitative assessments of hair curvature would increase the power to identify...
additional genomic loci influencing this trait. A GWAS utilizing
digital quantification of continuous variation in human eye color
found three new loci in comparison to the use of categorical eye
color measures in the same individuals (Liu et al., 2010). This indi-
cates that using quantitative measures may be one way of finding
novel genetic variants associated with human morphological traits.

With the aim of identifying additional loci associated with hair
morphology using a quantitative measure, we follow-up on an ear-
lier study by our group that evaluated the heritability and genetic
correlations of a quantitative micro-level measure of hair curvature
in a sample of twins and siblings of European descent (Ho et al.,
2016). In the current study, we evaluate whether a GWAS of the
quantitative micro-level hair curvature measure replicates the
GWAS results based on observational macro-level measures of hair
morphology in the same sample.

Methods

Sample

Details of the sample used in this study are reported in Ho et al.
(2016). Briefly, measures of hair curvature were obtained for
3057 twins and their siblings, within the context of the Brisbane
Longitudinal Twin Study (BLTS; Wright & Martin, 2004). The
BLTS is an ongoing study initiated in 1992 in Brisbane,
Australia. Its initial focus was research on melanoma; however,
the study has collected information on a wide range of traits
and, over the years, many parallel studies have been derived from
it. Participants in the BLTS provide information on many traits
such as height, skin color and wellbeing. Participants also provided
a blood sample that is used for biochemical and DNA analyses. For
hair studies, clippings of 2–4 cm were taken from the scalp of
participants.

Written informed consent was obtained from participants and
their parents or guardians. Ethics approval for the study was
obtained from the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute
Human Research Ethics Committee.

Measures

Quantitative measure of hair curvature. The quantitative micro-
level measures of hair curvature were obtained using an Optical
Fibre Diameter Analyzer (OFDA 2000, BSC Electronics, Myaree,
WA, Australia). The OFDA is an industry-standard technology
used for measuring wool fiber diameter and quality (Wortmann
& Schwan-Jonczyk, 2006). For this study, we used hair segments
of 200 μm in length. The OFDA measured the angle of curvature
of the hair in degrees; this measure was multiplied by 5 to represent
the angle of a 1 mm segment of the hair strand. The data provided
by the OFDA was the number of snippets (hairs) per bin (with bins
ranging from 0 to 360°). In a previous publication (Ho et al., 2016),
we show that the distribution of this data is approximately log-
normal, therefore for this study, the data were log-normalized.
Measurements beyond three standard deviations above and below
the mean were removed from analyses.

Observational measure of hair curvature. The observational
macro-level measure of hair curvature was conducted by trained
research nurses who scored participants’ hair according to a
3-point scale: straight, wavy or curly. The data were collected at
up to three time points for each individual included in the study,
at ages 12, 14 and 16 years. For the present study, we used the data
collected at age 12.

Structural equation modeling (SEM). To determine possible
common genetic effects underlying the correlation between the
quantitative and observational measures of hair curvature, we used
a bivariate Cholesky twin model (Neale & Cardon, 1992). Previous
univariate twin models for this cohort have found that the genetic
variance of hair curvature is better explained by a model that
includes additive, dominant and environmental components
(AD; Ho et al., 2016; Medland, Zhu et al., 2009). In the current
study, we started by fitting a bivariate Cholesky ADE model with-
out sex limitation. Simplified AE and E models were tested for
goodness of fit to determine whether parameters could be dropped
from the full model. The change in model fit was tested using
likelihood ratio chi-square tests. The distribution of twice the dif-
ference in log-likelihood (−2LL) approximates Δχ², with the
degrees of freedom (df) for this test equal to the difference in df
between the models. SEM analyses were performed using the
R package OpenMx version 2.6.7 (Boker et al., 2011; Neale et al., 2016).

Genotyping and GWAS. Genotyping data were available for 2975
individuals (twins and siblings) with hair measurement data. After
quality control, data for 2225 individuals were included in the
GWAS analyses. Participants were previously genotyped using the
Illumina 610K genotyping array and imputed to the Haplotype
Reference Consortium reference (McCarthy et al., 2016, Medland,
Nyholt et al., 2009). Genome-wide association analyses were
conducted using RARERMETALWORKER (Feng et al., 2014).
This program assesses the evidence for association at each
variant using the imputed dosage format, parameterized as

\[ x_{ij} = \beta_{\text{dos}} + \beta_{\text{sex}} + \beta_{\text{age}} + \mu \]

where \( j \) denotes the trait value for an individual within family \( i \), while accounting for familial relatedness
and zygosity. Univariate GWAS analyses were performed for each
measure. Sex and age were included as covariates in both GWAS.
Following each GWAS analysis, we applied standard quality con-
tral procedures using thresholds of quality score \( R^2 > .5 \) and minor
allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05, including only those single-nucleo-
tide polymorphisms (SNPs) reaching quality control thresholds in
subsequent analyses (\( N_{\text{SNP}} = 7,533,529 \)).

Conditional association analyses on the most significant SNP
for each GWAS was performed with COJO, a utility included in
the GCTA software package (Yang et al., 2011). The conditional
analysis determines whether the significant signals from multiple
SNPs in the same genomic region were due to high linkage disequi-
librium (LD) between SNPs (i.e., SNPs in high LD representing one
association signal) or due to the presence of multiple causal var-
ants. GWAS \( p \) values were used to perform gene-based association
analyses with fastBAT (Bakshi et al., 2016). This analysis takes into
account the aggregated effect of a set of SNPs defined by the degree
of LD between them. The 1000 Genomes Build37 (hg19; 1000
Genomes Project Consortium et al., 2015) was used as a reference
genoce for both the conditional analyses and gene association
analyses. Bioinformatic analyses to investigate possible functional
effects of the most likely candidate SNPs were conducted using
FUMA (Watanabe et al., 2017), SIFT (Schneider et al., 2012) and
PolyPhen-2 (Adzhubei et al., 2010).

Results

The distributions of quantitative measurements and the observa-
tional measures of straight, wavy and curly hair are presented in
Figure 1. Means were not significantly different (\( p > .05 \)), and
boxplots whiskers overlap between categories (\( M_{\text{straight}} = 0.68 \)
\( SD = 0.07, M_{\text{Wavy}} = 0.71, SD = 0.07, M_{\text{Curly}} = 0.80, SD = 1.10 \)).
Table 1. Results of bivariate modeling of the genetic variance of hair curvature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Proportion of total variance</th>
<th>Model fit&lt;br&gt;</th>
<th>$-2LL$</th>
<th>$df$</th>
<th>$\Delta df$</th>
<th>$\Delta \chi^2$</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>ADE</td>
<td>0.510 [0.26,0.62] 0.090 [1.48E-24,0.33] 0.416 [0.35,0.47]</td>
<td>15,537</td>
<td>3803</td>
<td>7930.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.687 [0.53,0.99] 0.124 [3.5E-20,0.45] 0.008 [0.00,0.02]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>AE</td>
<td>0.574 [0.51,0.63] 0.425 [0.36,0.49]</td>
<td>15,539</td>
<td>3806</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>7927 .43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.991 [0.97,0.1] 0.008 [0.002,0.02]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16,106</td>
<td>3809</td>
<td>566.24</td>
<td>8487.5 &lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Variance was decomposed into additive (A), no-additive (D) and unique environmental (E) factors for the quantitative micro-level and observational macro-level measures of hair curvature. The 95% confidence intervals are given within square brackets, with the best fitting model in bold.

$^b$−2LL minus twice the log-likelihood of the model, $df$, degrees of freedom; $\Delta df$, difference of degree of freedom; $\Delta \chi^2$, change in chi-squared; AIC, Akaike information criterion.

Fig. 1. Distribution of the hair curvature data. Note: Quantitative micro-level measures are shown on the $y$-axis and observational macro-level measures on the $x$-axis. The plot includes data for 2225 individuals for whom there were genotype data and observational and quantitative hair curvature measures available.

Results for the bivariate ADE decomposition are presented in Table 1. The goodness of fit of the model did not decrease significantly if the component D was omitted from the model ($p = .43$). In contrast, the fit changed significantly when A and D were dropped ($p < .001$). The AE model was adopted as the best fit. Path coefficients from the AE model are presented in Figure 2. The estimated genetic variance suggests a very strong genetic component for hair curvature, 90% for the observational measures, and 58% for the quantitative measurements (Table 1). The cross-heritage genetic correlations from the AE model were $r_{ga} = .41$ (95% CI [0.35, 0.46]).

Genome-wide Association Analysis

The GWAS of quantitative hair curvature measurements resulted in one genome-wide significant (defined as $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$) association signal on chromosome 1q21.3 (Figure 3A; Supplementary Table S1). This signal is represented by five significantly associated SNPs (lead SNP rs12130862, $\beta = -0.16, p = 9.5 \times 10^{-10}$) that are in very high LD with each other (minimum $r^2$ between SNPs = .93; Supplementary Table S2).

The GWAS of observed measures of hair curvature also resulted in one genome-wide significant association signal, also on chromosome 1q21.3 (Figure 3B; Supplementary Table S1), with 12 significantly associated SNPs (lead SNP rs11803731, $\beta = -0.24, p = 2.1 \times 10^{-17}$) in moderate to very high LD with each other (minimum $r^2 = .45$; Supplementary Table S2). This result replicates previous findings (Medland, Nyholt et al., 2009) of the influence of rs11803731 on observable hair curvature. The two lead SNPs from the current analyses, rs12130862 and rs11803731, are in very high LD with each other ($r^2 = .95$). Association analyses conditioning on the top SNPs for each of the hair curvature measures revealed no additional significant SNPs for either measure at this locus, indicating these SNPs represent one association signal.

We plotted the effect sizes for the top 1000 SNPs (Figure 4) for both GWAS. The effect sizes correlate significantly ($r^2 = .815, p < .01$). Gene-based analyses of both hair curvature GWAS supported the individual SNP findings of significant association signal (with a Bonferroni-corrected gene-based $p < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ to account for tests on 24,036 genes) on chromosome 1q21.3. For the observational measure, there were six significantly associated genes: TCHH1, S100A11, TCHHL1, NBPF18p, RPTN and S100A10. All six genes
are located within a region of approximately 400 Mb on chromosome 1q23.1. For the quantitative measure, there were no significant gene associations: the highest association was for TCHH \( (p = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}) \) (Table 2, Figure 5).

The SNP rs11803731 is an exonic SNP that would result in the replacement of the amino acid lysine by methionine in the TCHH protein (p.L790M). This change is predicted to be ‘damaging’ by SIFT and ‘probably damaging’ by PolyPhen, and it has previously been suggested that rs11803731 could be the functional SNP at this locus (Medland, Nyholt et al., 2009). Functional analyses indicate that rs11803731 and SNPs in high LD with rs11803731 are also eQTLs that influence the expression of other nearby genes, including the hornerin \( (HRNR) \) and thioesterase superfamily member 4 \( (THEM4) \) genes in human skin (Figure 3C and 3D, Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).

**Discussion**

The curvature of human hair has been shown to be a highly heritable trait. While previous association studies have identified some genetic variants influencing hair curvature using observational macro-level measures, this is the first study to use quantitative micro-level measures of hair curvature.

We first reconfirmed the moderated cross-trait genetic correlation \( (r_g = .41) \) between the quantitative and observational measures of hair curvature. The estimates of heritability for each measure (quantitative = 57%, observational = 95%) agree with previous estimations of the heritability of hair shape (Ho et al., 2016; Medland, Zhu et al., 2009).

The comparison of the quantitative and observational measures of hair curvature suggests that the quantitative assessment of
Table 2. Gene association for quantitative and observational measures of hair curvature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Location chr:bp</th>
<th>No. SNPs</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Top SNP</th>
<th>Top SNP p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantitative measure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHH</td>
<td>1:152,078,792</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.41E-05</td>
<td>rs55668963</td>
<td>9.88E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIR6871</td>
<td>20:39,797,662</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.10E-05</td>
<td>rs118116797</td>
<td>1.37E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRFAP1</td>
<td>4:6,641,817</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>3.32E-05</td>
<td>rs10937747</td>
<td>7.94E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCG1</td>
<td>20:39,766,160</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.07E-05</td>
<td>rs6129760</td>
<td>6.53E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP83</td>
<td>12:94,702,055</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>5.16E-05</td>
<td>rs1915335</td>
<td>5.32E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC101927117</td>
<td>20:39,726,633</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5.81E-05</td>
<td>rs6129760</td>
<td>6.53E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC100132891</td>
<td>8:72,755,357</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>9.45E-05</td>
<td>rs9694605</td>
<td>5.59E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHHL1</td>
<td>1:152,056,619</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.08E-04</td>
<td>rs12130862</td>
<td>9.45E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMO9P</td>
<td>1:166,573,152</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.10E-04</td>
<td>rs6670674</td>
<td>1.46E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC</td>
<td>8:72,753,776</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.12E-04</td>
<td>rs9694605</td>
<td>5.59E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observational measure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHH</td>
<td>1:152,078,792</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.24E-10</td>
<td>rs11803731</td>
<td>2.14E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A11</td>
<td>1:152,004,981</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.00E-09</td>
<td>rs12130862</td>
<td>6.32E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHHL1</td>
<td>1:152,056,619</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.38E-09</td>
<td>rs11803731</td>
<td>2.14E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBPF18P</td>
<td>1:151,990,753</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.27E-09</td>
<td>rs12130862</td>
<td>6.32E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPTN</td>
<td>1:152,126,070</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.79E-08</td>
<td>rs11803731</td>
<td>2.14E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A10</td>
<td>1:151,955,385</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.50E-07</td>
<td>rs34974291</td>
<td>2.67E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCERG1L</td>
<td>10:132,890,654</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>1.98E-06</td>
<td>rs10765044</td>
<td>3.78E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPDFF</td>
<td>20:62,152,132</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1.07E-05</td>
<td>rs78117224</td>
<td>2.47E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRMS</td>
<td>20:62,171,276</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1.23E-05</td>
<td>rs78117224</td>
<td>2.47E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTK6</td>
<td>20:62,159,775</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.31E-05</td>
<td>rs78117224</td>
<td>2.47E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: fastBat gene association analysis of quantitative micro-level and observational macro-level measures of hair curvature. Gene, location in chromosome (Chr) and starting position of the gene in base pairs (bp), number of SNPs associated with each gene, gene association p value and top SNP p value. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

Fig. 5. Gene association analysis results for hair curvature. The top plot shows the gene association results for the observational macro-level measure and the bottom plot the gene association results for the quantitative micro-level measure of hair curvature. Plots show the location and association values for the top three associated genes for each data set.
curvature provided by the OFDA analysis is a good predictor of hair curvature categorized by observation alone. High correlation between the quantitative and observational effect sizes (Figure 4) shows these are predictive of each other, indicating that quantitative measures are comparable to observational measures in the detection of genetic variants predicting hair curvature.

Genome-wide association analyses for the two measures resulted in one genome-wide significant signal on chromosome 1q21.3. Association between variants at 1q21.3 and hair shape was previously reported by Medland, Nyholt et al. (2009) and reconfirmed by a meta-analysis that evaluated a quantitative measure of hair curvature in 28,964 individuals that included cohorts of non-European ancestry (Liu et al., 2018). In the current analysis, a set of five SNPs that includes rs11803731 and rs12130862, in high LD with each other and shown to represent the same signal in conditional GWAS analyses, surpassed the genome-wide significant threshold for both GWAS. These five SNPs are considered as the most likely candidate causal SNPs driving the association signal at the 1q21.3 locus, and are located in the region of the TCHH and TCHHL1 genes. In the gene-based analyses, both genes were significantly associated with observational measures of hair curvature. TCHH and TCHHL1 encode the TCCH and TCCH-like 1 proteins, respectively. TCCH binds to keratin and is expressed in the inner root sheath of the hair follicle and in the hair strand. SNP rs11803731, a nonsynonymous SNP that replaces a lysine with a methionine in the TCHH protein, was previously associated with the straightness of hair (Medland, Nyholt et al., 2009). Our bioinformatic analysis also indicates rs11803731, rs12130862 and other SNPs in LD with these influence the expression of other nearby genes, including HRNR expressed in the epidermis and with a suggested role in keratinocyte differentiation (Henry et al., 2011). Further studies are, however, required to determine whether these additional genes have roles in influencing hair morphology, and TCHH remains the best candidate gene in this chromosomal region. In sheep, genetic variation in the TCHH gene, as well as differing mRNA expression levels over the lifetime, are known to influence wool straightness in various sheep breeds (Gong et al., 2018, Jie et al., 2019). Several studies of human hair have also indicated a role for TCHH in the biology and evolution of straight hair (Koch et al., 2019) and in the straightening of the hair follicle (Steinert et al., 2003, Wu et al., 2011).

The extent of support for the TCHH signal was stronger for the observational measure than for the quantitative measure of hair curvature. We hypothesize that this is due to either the difference between the macro (observational) and micro (quantitative) scales of measurement, or to the sampling of only a relatively small number of hairs taken from a single area on the head for the quantitative measure. Nevertheless, the quantitative measure GWAS did detect the signal previously observed at the TCHH locus, matching the results of the observational measures in the same individuals and serving as a positive control to reconfirm the accuracy of observational measures.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/thg.2020.78.
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