# Preregular maps between Banach lattices 

## David A. Birnbaum

A continuous linear map from a Banach lattice $E$ into a Banach lattice $F$ is preregular if it is the difference of positive continuous linear maps from $E$ into the bidual $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$. This paper characterizes Banach lattices $B$ with either of the following properties:
(I) for any Banach lattice $E$, each map in $L(E, B)$ is preregular;
(2) for any Banach lattice $F$, each map in $L(B, F)$ is preregular.

It is shown that $B$ satisfies (1) (respectively (2)) if and only if $B^{\prime}$ satisfies (2) (respectively (1)). Several order properties of a Banach lattice satisfying (2) are discussed and it is shown that if $B$ satisfies (2) and if $B$ is also an atomic vector lattice then $B$ is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to $l^{l}(\Gamma)$ for some index set $\Gamma$.

## 1. Introduction

The following natural question arises in the theory of Banach lattices: Given Banach lattices $E$ and $F$, is each map in the space $L(E, F)$ of continuous linear maps from $E$ into $F$ the difference of positive (continuous) linear maps? It is known that if $F$ is a $C(X)$ for $X$ an extremally disconnected, compact Hausdorff space $X$ or if $E$ is an $A L$-space and $F$ has the monotone convergence property then the answer to
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this question is affirmative ([10], Chapter 4, (3.7) and (3.8)). On the other hand, $L\left(z^{2}, z^{2}\right)$ contains maps that are not the difference of positive linear maps, ([10], Chapter 4, (3.3)). Schlotterbeck [16] has shown that if $F$ is an $A M$-space or if $E$ is an $A L$-space then each map in $L(E, F)$ is the difference of positive linear maps into the bidual $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$.

The main goal of this paper is to characterize (in 53) Banach lattices $B$ with either of the following properties.

PROPERTY I. For any. Banach lattice $E$, each map in $L(E, B)$ is the difference of positive linear maps of $E$ into $B^{\prime \prime}$.

PROPERTY II. For any Banach lattice $F$, each map in $L(B, F)$ is the difference of positive linear maps of $B$ into $F^{\prime \prime}$.

The characterizations that we obtain indicate that such spaces are similar to $A M$ - and $A L$-spaces. In particular, we show that a Banach lattice has Property I (respectively Property II) if and only if $B^{\prime}$ has Property II (respectively Property I).

In $\S 4$, we study some order properties of a Banach lattice with Property II. We also show that $L^{p}[0,1], 1<p<\infty$, possesses neither Property I nor Property II. Finally in $\S 5$ we show that with the additional assumption that a Banach lattice $G$ is an atomic lattice, $G$ is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to $Z^{l}(\Gamma)$ for some index set $\Gamma$ whenever $G$ has Property II.

## 2. Preliminary material

For general terminology and notation concerning functional analysis we refer the reader to [15] while our reference for ordered locally convex spaces will be [10].

By a map between Banach spaces we will always mean a continuous linear map. A sequence in a Banach space is surmable (respectively absolutely summable) if it is unconditionally convergent (respectively absolutely convergent).

A map from a Banach lattice $E$ into a Banach space $F$ is order summable if it maps positive summable sequences into absolutely summable
sequences. $S_{+}(E, F)$ denotes the space of order summable maps from $E$ into $F$. A map from a Banach space into a Banach lattice is majorizing if its adjoint is order summable. Majorizing maps can also be defined as maps that take null sequences into order bounded sets; (see [16], Chapter I, and [4]).

A map from a Banach space $E$ into a Banach space $F$ is absolutely swmable if it maps summable sequences into absolutely summable sequences. The space of absolutely summable maps of $E$ into $F$ is denoted by $S(E, F)$. A map between Banach spaces is absolutely majorizing (hypermajorizing in [16]) if its adjoint is absolutely summable. The following results characterize these types of maps. For proofs see [16], (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7), or $[6],(6.6),(6.7)$, and (6.8).

PROPOSITION 2.1. If $E$ and $F$ are Banach spaces and if $T \in L(E, F)$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is absolutely summable;
(2) $T^{\prime}$ is absolutely majorizing;
(3) for every Banach Lattice $H$ and $S \in L(H, E), T \circ S$ is order sumable;
(4) for each $S \in L\left(c_{0}, E\right), T \circ S$ is order summable.

PROPOSITION 2.2. If $E$ and $F$ are Banach spaces and if $T \in L(E, F)$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is absolutely majorizing;
(2) $T^{\prime}$ is absolutely summable;
(3) for every Banach Zattice $H$ and $S \in L(F, H), S \circ T$ is majorizing;
(4) for every $S \in L\left(F, Z^{1}\right), S \circ T$ is majorizing.

Absolutely summable and absolutely majorizing maps can be factored through Hilbert spaces $([16],(3.8))$ and so are weakly compact.

We need the following four topologies on the tensor product $E \otimes F$ of two Banach spaces $E$ and $F$.
(i) $E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\pi} F$ is the completion of $E \otimes F$ for the norm

$$
\|u\|=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|: u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right\}
$$

(ii) $E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{E} F$ is the completion of $E \otimes F$ for the norm
$\|u\|=\sup \left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\left(y_{i}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|: x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1, y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime},\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1\right\}$, where $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$ is any representation of $u \in E \otimes F$.
(iii) $E \widetilde{\otimes}_{\sigma} F$ is the completion of $E \otimes F$ for the norm

$$
\|u\|=\inf \left\{\sup _{\left|c_{i}\right| \leq 1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} c_{i}\right\| y_{i}\| \|: u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right\}
$$

(iv) If $E$ is also a Banach lattice with cone $K$ then $E \widetilde{\mathbb{\theta}}_{|\sigma|} F$ is the completion of $E \otimes F$ for the norm
$\|u\|=\inf \left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\| y_{i}\| \|: u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i} \quad\right.$ and $x_{i} \in K$

$$
\text { for } i=1, \ldots, n\}
$$

Jacobs [4] has shown that $\tau_{\varepsilon} \leq \tau_{\sigma} \leq \tau_{|\sigma|} \leq \tau_{\pi}$, that $\left(E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\sigma} F\right)^{\prime}=S\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$, and that $\left(E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{|\sigma|} F\right)^{\prime}=S_{+}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, for maps $T \in S_{+}(E, F)$, the norm of $T$ in $\left(E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{|\sigma|} F\right)^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|\sigma|^{\prime}(T)=\inf \left\{M: \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T x_{i}\right\| \leq M \sup \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left\langle x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|\right. \\
\text { for all finite sets } \left.\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset K\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $E$ and $F$ be Banach lattices with cones $K$ and $H$ respectively. In $E \otimes F$ define the projective cone $K_{p}$ by $K_{p}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}: x_{i} \in K, y_{i} \in H\right\}$. Then it is easy to see that for the dual system $\left\langle E \tilde{\bigotimes}_{|\sigma|} F, S_{+}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle, \bar{K}_{p}^{\prime}$ equals the cone of positive maps
in $S_{+}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$. It follows from the definition of the dual norm $|\sigma|^{\prime}$ that this latter cone is normal in $S_{+}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$ for the $|\sigma|^{\prime}$-topology. Since this cone is also generating in $S_{+}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)([16],(2.2))$, it follows from [10], Chapter 2, (1.22), that $\bar{K}_{p}$ is normal and generating in $E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{|\sigma|} F$.

If $E$ and $F$ are Banach lattices and if $T \in L(E, F)$, then $T$ is regular (respectively preregular) if $T$ is the difference of positive linear maps of $E$ into $F$ (respectively $E$ into $F^{\prime \prime}$ ).

If $E$ and $F$ are Banach spaces and $T \in L(E, F)$ then $T$ is integral if the bilinear form $b_{T}$ defined on $E \times F^{\prime}$ by

$$
b_{T}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle T x, y^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

is an element of $\left(E \widetilde{\otimes}_{E} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Integral maps are both absolutely summable and absolutely majorizing. For more information see [16], Chapter 3, or [6], Chapters 5 and 6.

A Banach lattice $E$ is an $A M$-space if $x, y \in E, x, y \geq 0$, imply that $\|x \vee y\|=\|x\| \vee\|y\|$. A Banach lattice $E$ is an $A L$-space if $x, y \in E, x, y>0$ imply that $\|x+y\|=\|x\|+\|y\|$. If $F$ is an $A L$-space then $E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\sigma} F=E \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\varepsilon} F$ for all Banach spaces $E$ (for a proof of this result see $[6],(6.3)$ ).

The following characterizations of $A M$ - and $A L$-spaces (see [16], Chapters 1 and 4) are included so that we may compare them with our results, Theorems 3.3 and 3.7 .

PROPOSITION 2.3. The following statements about a Banach Zattice $E$ are equivalent:
(1) $E$ is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to an AM-space;
(2) every null sequence in $E$ is majomized;
(3) every order sumable map from $E$ into a Banach space is integral.

PROPOSITION 2.4. The following statements about a Banach Zattice $E$ are equivalent:
(1) $E$ is isomorphic as a Banach Zattice to an AL-space;
(2) every positive sumable sequence in $E$ is absolutely summable;
(3) every majorizing map from a Banach space into $E$ is integral.

If $E$ is a Banach lattice and $0 \leq x \in E$ we denote by $E_{x}$ the linear hull of the order interval $[-x, x]$ with $[-x, x]$ as unit ball. If we order $E_{x}$ by restricting the order on $E$ then $E_{x}$ is an $A M$-space.

## 3. Preregular maps

In this section we characterize Banach lattices with Property I or Property II and show that Property I and Property II are dual to each other.

DEFINITION. If $E$ is a Banach space, then $Z^{\perp}[E]$ will denote the space of summable sequences in $E$ with the norm

$$
\varepsilon\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\sup _{\left\|x^{r}\right\|_{\leq 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|
$$

Pietsch [14] has shown that $l^{l}[E]$ is isomorphic to $l^{l} \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\varepsilon} E$. If, in addition, $E$ is a Banach lattice, then this isomorphism is an order isomorphism where we consider the cone $C$ of positive summeble sequences in $Z^{1}[E]$ and the closure $\bar{K}_{p}$ of the projective cone in $\mathcal{l}^{\mathcal{l}} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} E$. In this case the norm

$$
\bar{E}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\sup _{\substack{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1 \\ x^{\prime} \geq 0}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|
$$

is equivalent to the $\varepsilon$ norm.
LEMMA 3.1. If $E$ is a Banach Lattice then $\left[2^{l}[E], C\right)$ is a vector Zattice if and only if every sumable sequence in $E$ is the difference of positive sumnable sequences. In this case, $\left(Z^{I}[E], C\right)$ is a Banach Zattice.

Proof. Necessity is clear, so suppose that for every $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{I}^{1}[E]$, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\left\{y_{n}\right\}-\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ where $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are positive summable sequences. Since $0 \leq x_{n}^{+} \leq y_{n}$, it easily follows that $\left\{x_{n}^{+}\right\}$is summable. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}^{+}\right\}=\left\{x_{n}\right\}^{+}$and $\mathcal{Z}^{1}[E]$ is a vector lattice.

When $l^{1}[E]$ is a vector lattice, the $\bar{\varepsilon}$ norm is clearly monotone on the cone $C$ and so $Z^{1}[E]$ is a Banach lattice by [8], (8.4).

EXAMPLES 3.2. $\tau^{1} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} \imath^{1}$ is not a vector lattice. For if it were, then every summable sequence in $Z^{\mathcal{I}}$ would be the difference of positive summable sequences. But then every summable sequence in $l^{1}$ would be absclutely summable (by Proposition 2.4) which would contradict the Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem.

If $X$ is a compact Hausdorff space, then $Z^{1}[C(X)]$ is a vector lattice. This is contained in Remarks 3.4, but can easily be shown directly by noting that $\tau^{1} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C(X)$ is order and topologically isomorphic to $C\left(X, 2^{1}\right)$, the space of continuous functions from $X$ into $Z^{l}$, and that the cone of positive functions in $C\left(X, l^{1}\right)$ is a lattice cone.

We now characterize Banach lattices with Property I.
THEOREM 3.3. If $H$ is a Banach Lattice with cone $K$ then the following assertions about $H$ are equivalent:
(1) every summable sequence in $H$ is the difference of positive summable sequences;
(2) $\left(\imath^{1} \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} H, K_{p}\right)$ is a vector Zattice;
(3) $H$ has Property I, that is, every map from a Banach Lattice into $H$ is preregular;
(4) each map in $\mathrm{L}\left(c_{0}, H\right)$ is preregular;
(5) every order sumable map from $H$ into a Banach space is absolutely summable.

Proof. That (1) is equivalent to (2) follows from Lemma 3.1 and that (3) implies (4) is clear.
(2) implies (3). Let $E$ be a Banach lattice and $T \in L(E, H)$. Since $H^{\prime \prime}$ is a Banach lattice all, positive linear maps from $E$ into $H^{\prime \prime}$ are continuous $([10]$, Chapter $2,(2.16)$ ), and so it suffices to show that $T^{+}: E \rightarrow H^{\prime \prime}$ exists. To do this we must show that for each $x \in E$, $x \geq 0$, the set

$$
B_{x}=\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(T x_{n}\right)^{+}: x=\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_{n}, x_{n} \in K \text { for all } n\right\}
$$

has a supremum in $H^{\prime \prime}$; (see [10], Chapter 2, Section 2, equation (9)).
By Lemma 3.1, $l^{1} \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\varepsilon} H$ is a Banach lattice and hence the map $\phi: \mathcal{L}^{\beth} \bigotimes_{\varepsilon} H \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{I}} \dddot{\bigotimes}_{\varepsilon} H$ defined by $\phi\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{y_{n}^{+}\right\}$is continuous. Let $\psi: Z^{I} \mho_{\varepsilon} H \rightarrow H$ be defined by $\psi\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}$. Then $\psi$ is continuous, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\psi\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)\right\| & =\sup _{\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle y_{n}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle y_{n}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\phi\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $0 \leq x \in E$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{x}=\left\{\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in l^{l}[E]: \text { for some } k, x_{n}=0 \text { for } n \geq k+1,\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad x_{n} \geq 0 \text { for all } n \text { and } \sum_{n=1}^{k} x_{n}=x\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in A_{x}$,

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\sup _{\substack{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1 \\ x^{\prime} \geq 0}} \sum_{n=1}^{k}\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\|x\|
$$

Therefore, the set $A_{x}$ is bounded in $\imath^{l} \widetilde{\theta}_{E} E$ and hence the set

$$
[\psi \circ \phi \circ(1 \otimes P)](A x)=\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(T x_{n}\right)^{+}:\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in A_{x}\right\}=B_{x}
$$

is topologically bounded in $H$. A standard argument using the decomposition lemma shows that $B_{x}$ is also directed ( $\leq$ ). By [10], Chapter 4, (1.8), $H^{\prime \prime}$ is boundedly order complete (that is, every topologically bounded, directed ( $\leq$ ) subset has a supremum) and so $B_{x}$ has a supremum in $H^{\prime \prime}$.
(4) implies (5). Suppose that $F$ is a Banach space, that $T: H \rightarrow F$ is order summable and that $S \in L\left(c_{0}, H\right)$. Then $S=S_{1}-S_{2}$ where $0 \leq S_{1}, S_{2} \in L\left(c_{0}, H^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Therefore, $T^{\prime \prime} \circ S=T^{\prime \prime} \circ S_{1}-T^{\prime \prime} \circ S_{2}$ is order summable and hence $T^{\prime \prime}$ is absolutely summable by Proposition 2.1. It follows from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 that $T$ is absolutely summable.
(5) implies (2). If $F$ is a Banach space, then

$$
\left(H \otimes_{\sigma} F\right)^{\prime}=S(H, F)=S_{+}(H, F)=\left(H \Theta_{|\sigma|} F\right)^{\prime}
$$

Since $\sigma$ and $|\sigma|$ are both norm topologies it follows that $\sigma=|\sigma|$. Hence, in particular,

$$
H \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_{|\sigma|} \imath^{1}=H \widetilde{\otimes}_{\sigma} \imath^{l}=H \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{E} \imath^{l}
$$

Therefore, by the discussion in $\S 2$, the cone $\bar{K}_{p}$ is generating in $\eta^{1} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} H$ and so the latter space is a vector lattice.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.
REMARKS 3.4. (I) It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that if $H$ is boundedly order complete (for example, if $H$ is a dual Banach lattice and satisfies any of the conditions of Theorem 3.3) then each map in $L(E, H)$ is regular and so $L(E, H)$ is a vector lattice for every Banach lattice $E$.
(2) If $H$ is an $A M$-space then $H$ has Property II. This follows from [10], Chapter 4, (3.7), and the fact that $H$ is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to a $C(X)$ for a stonean space $X$.
(3) In Theorem 3.3, (2) is equivalent to (3) in more general circumstances. In particular, if $H$ is a Fréchet lattice such that $H_{B}^{\prime}$ is barrelled or such that $H$ is boundedly order complete then this equivalence holds. If $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of such spaces with Property I then one can show that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{n}$ is also a Frechet lattice with Property I. Therefore, $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C\left(X_{n}\right)$, where each $X_{n}$ is a compact, Hausdorff space, is an example of a Fréchet lattice with Property I.
(4) If $H$ is a nuclear Fréchet lattice then $z^{1} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} H=z^{1} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} H$ which equals the space of absolutely summable sequences in $H$ ([14]). The latter space is a vector lattice and so $Z^{1} \widetilde{\otimes}_{E} H$ is a vector lattice. Since $H_{\beta}^{\prime}$ is barrelled, it follows that $H$ has Property I.
(5) The characterization in Proposition 2.3 of an $A M$-space indicates that a Banach lattice with Property I is similar to an $A M$-space.

We now show that Properties I and II are dual to each other.
PROPOSITION 3.5. A Banach lattice $B$ has Property II (respectively Property I) if and only if its dual $B^{\prime}$ has Property I (respectively Property II).

Proof. $B$ has Property II implies $B^{\prime}$ has Property I. Suppose that $E$ is a Banach lattice and that $T \in L\left(E, B^{\prime}\right)$. Then $T^{\prime}: B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ and the map $S=\left.T^{\prime}\right|_{B}: B \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is regular, since $B$ has Property II. Therefore, $S^{\prime}: E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is regular. If $x \in E$ and $y \in B$ then

$$
\left\langle S^{\prime} x, y\right\rangle=\langle x, S y\rangle=\left\langle x, T^{\prime} y\right\rangle=\langle T x, y\rangle .
$$

Therefore, $\left.S^{\prime}\right|_{E}=T$ and hence $T$ is regular.
$B^{\prime}$ has Property I implies $B$ has Property II. Suppose that $E$ is a Banach lattice and that $T \in L(B, E)$. Then $T^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is regular and so $T^{\prime \prime}: B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime}$ is regular. Therefore, $T=\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{B}$ is preregular.
$B$ has Property I implies $B^{\prime}$ has Property II. We first show that every majorizing map into $B^{\prime}$ is absolutely majorizing. Let $E$ be a

Banach space and $S \in L\left(E, B^{\prime}\right)$ be majorizing. Then $S^{\prime}: B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is order summable and so $T=\left.S^{\prime}\right|_{B}: B \rightarrow E^{\prime} \quad$ is order summable and hence absolutely summable since $B$ has Property $I$. Therefore, $T^{\prime}: E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is absolutely majorizing and so, by Proposition 2.2, $R \circ T^{\prime}$ is majorizing for all $R \in L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $\left.\left(R \circ T^{\prime}\right)\right|_{E}=R \circ\left(\left.T^{\prime}\right|_{E}\right)$ is majorizing. Since this is true for all $R \in L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{l}\right)$, Proposition 2.2 implies that $\left.T^{\prime}\right|_{E}=S$ is absolutely majorizing.

We now show that $B^{\prime \prime}$ has Property I. This will imply that $B^{\prime}$ has Property II by an earlier part of this theorem. By Theorem 3.3 it is enough to show that $L\left(c_{0}, B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a vector lattice and to do this it suffices to show that the projective cone in $c_{0} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} B^{\prime}$ is normal. Since $c_{0} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} B^{\prime}=B^{\prime} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} c_{0}$, this is equivalent to showing that $L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{1}\right)$ is a vector lattice. So, let $T \in L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{1}\right)$. To see that $T^{+}$exists in $L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{l}\right)$ let $x \in B^{\prime}, x \geq 0$, and let $B_{x}^{\prime}$ be the linear hull of $[-x, x]$ with unit ball $[-x, x]$. Let $I: B_{x}^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be the inclusion map. Since $B_{x}^{\prime}$ is an $A M$-space and $I$ is a positive map, $I$ is majorizing and hence absolutely majorizing by what we have just proved about $B^{\prime}$. Proposition 2.2 thus implies that $T$ o $I$ is majorizing. It follows that $T \circ I([-x, x])=T([-x, x])$ is bounded above in $Z^{l}$ (see [16], (1.5)). Therefore, $T^{+}$exists and is necessarily continuous. Since $T$ was chosen arbitrarily in $L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{l}\right)$, it follows that $L\left(B^{\prime}, Z^{l}\right)$ is a vector lattice.
$B^{\prime}$ has Property II implies $B$ has Property I. Suppose that $E$ is a Banach lattice and $T \in L(E, B)$. Then $T^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is regular and so $T^{\prime \prime}: E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime \prime}$ is regular. Therefore, $\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{E}=T$ is preregular.

COROLLARY 3.6. If $H$ is a Banach Zattice with Property I then $L\left(E, H^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an order complete vector Zattice for any Banach lattice $E$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.5, (3.4) of Chapter 4 in [10], and the fact that there is a positive continuous projection from $H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ into $B^{\prime \prime}$.

THEOREM 3.7. If $G$ is a Banach lattice then the following assertions about $G$ are equivalent:
(1) for any Banach lattice $F$, the closure of the projective cone $K_{p}$ is normal in $G \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} F$;
(2) $\bar{K}_{p}$ is normal in $G \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{\pi} c_{0}$;
(3) $G$ has Property II, that is, every map from $G$ into a Banach lattice is preregular;
(4) $L\left(G, Z^{1}\right)$ is a vector lattice;
(5) every majorizing map from a Banach space into $G$ is absolutely majorizing.

Proof. That (1) implies (2), and that (2) is equivalent to (4) is clear. If (2) holds then $L\left(c_{0}, G^{\prime}\right)$ is a vector lattice and so $G^{\prime}$ has Property I by Theorem 3.3. Therefore, $G$ has Property II by Proposition 3.5. (3) easily implies (1) by [10], Chapter 2, (1.22), and so (1), (2), (3), and (4) are equivalent.

Suppose that (3) holds, that $E$ is a Banach space, and that $T: E \rightarrow G$ is majorizing. Then $T^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ is order summable and hence absolutely summable since $G^{\prime}$ has Property I. Therefore, $T$ is absolutely majorizing and hence (5) holds. Finally, if (5) holds then one can show that $L\left(G, Z^{l}\right)$ is a vector lattice in a manner similar to the latter part of the proof of Proposition 3.5. This completes the proof.

We remark that Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.7 show that a Banach lattice with Property II has a characterization similar to that of an $A L$-space.

## 4. Order properties of a Banach lattice with Property II

PROPOSITION 4.1. A Banach Zattice $G$ with Property II has $\sigma\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ compact order intervals.

Proof. Let $x \geq 0$ in $G$. Since $G_{x}$ is an $A M$-space, the inclusion map $I: G_{x} \rightarrow G$ is majorizing, hence absolutely majorizing by Property II. Therefore $I([-x, x])=[-x, x]$ is $\sigma\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ compact in $G$.

DEFINITIONS. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a Banach space $E$ is called weakly summable if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|<\infty$ for all $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$.

It follows from the fact that weakly bounded sets in $E$ are norm bounded that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a weakly summable sequence then

$$
\varepsilon\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\sup _{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|
$$

is finite. Note that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is summable in $E$ if it is weakly summable and the net $\left\{\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \sigma}: \sigma\right.$ is a finite subset of $\left.N\right\}$, where $N$ is the set of natural numbers, converges to $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for the $\varepsilon$-topology on $\mathcal{L}^{1}[E]$. For more details see [12], Chapter 1.

A Banach lattice has the monotone convergence property if the filter of sections of every directed ( $\leq$ ) topologically bounded subset converges to its supremum.

PROPOSITION 4.2. For a Banach lattice $E$ the following statements are equivalent:
(1) E has the monotone convergence property;
(2) every positive weakly summable sequence is summable;
(3) every increasing, topologically bounded sequence converges to its supremum.

Proof. (1) implies (2). Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a positive weakly summable sequence in $E$. For each rinite subset $\sigma$ of $N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n \in \sigma} x_{n}\right\| & \sup _{\substack{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1 \\
x^{\prime} \geq 0}}\left\langle\sum_{n \in \sigma} x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \sup _{\substack{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1 \\
\\
x^{\prime}>0}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq \varepsilon\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left\{\sum_{n \in \sigma} x_{n}: \sigma\right.$ is a finite subset of $\left.N\right\}$ is a topologically bounded, directed $(\leq)$ subset of $E$ and so converges by the monotone
convergence property. Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is summable.
(2) implies (3). Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be an increasing, topologically bounded sequence in $E$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n$. Define $y_{n}=x_{n}-x_{n-1}$ (where $x_{0}=0$ ). Then $\sum_{n=1}^{k} y_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_{n}-x_{n-1}=x_{k}$ and so for $0 \leq x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left\langle y_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle x_{k}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq\left\|x_{k}\right\|\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This is true for all $k$ so that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is weakly summable and hence summable by (2). Since $E$ is complete, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}$ exists, that is, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}^{*}\right\}$ converges to some $x \in E$, and $x=\sup \left\{x_{n}\right\}$ since the cone in $E$ is closed.
(3) implies (1). Assume that $E$ does not have the monotone convergence property. Then there exists a topologically bounded, directed ( $\leq$ ) net $\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ such that $\alpha \geq \beta$ if and only if $x_{\alpha} \geq x_{\beta}$ and such that $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}$ does not converge. Hence there is a $\delta>0$ such that there is no $\alpha_{0} \in A$ with the property that $\left\|x_{\alpha}-x_{\beta}\right\|<\delta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_{0}$. Let $\alpha_{1} \in A$ and choose $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ such that $\left\|x_{\alpha_{2}}-x_{\alpha_{1}}\right\| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Now choose $\alpha_{3}>\alpha_{2}$ such that $\left\|x_{\alpha_{3}}-x_{\alpha_{2}}\right\| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Continuing in this way we get a monotone increasing, topologically bounded sequence $\left\{x_{\alpha_{n}}\right\}$ that does not converge.

PROPOSITION 4.3. A Banach Lattice $G$ with Property II has the monotone convergence property.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a weakly summable positive sequence in $G$. By (1.3.5) in [12], $\left(\lambda_{n} x_{n}\right)$ is summable for each $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \in c_{0}$ and so we can
define a map $T: c_{0} \rightarrow G$ by $T\left(\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} x_{n} \cdot T$ is continuous since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\left\|\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\right\| \leq 1}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} x x_{n}\right\| & =\sup _{\left\|\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\right\| \leq 1} \sup _{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1}\left|\left\langle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\varepsilon\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ is positive since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is positive, and hence $T$ is majorizing since $c_{0}$ is an $A M$-space. Therefore, by Property IT, $T$ is absolutely majorizing and hence weakly compact.

Let $U$ be the unit ball in $c_{0}$. Then $T(U)$ is weakly relatively compact and contains the net $A=\left\{\sum_{n \in \sigma} x_{n}: \sigma\right.$ is a finite subset of $\left.N\right\}$. Hence every subnet of $A$ contains a convergent subnet which necessarily must converge to $\sup A$ ([10], Chapter 2, (3.1)). By a standard property of net convergence this implies that $A$ converges to $\sup A$ for $\sigma\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ and hence for the norm topology of $G$ by [10], Chapter 2, (3.4). It follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is summable and so $G$ has the monotone convergence property by Proposition 4.2.

In an $A L$-space every summable sequence is absolutely summable. A Banach lattice with Property II has a weaker property. In order to describe this property we need the following definitions.

DEFINITIONS (see [13]). A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a normed space $E$ is called (weakly) p-summable $(p \geq 1)$ if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p}<\infty$ for all $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime} \cdot\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called absolutely $p$-sumable if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{p}<\infty . \mathrm{A}$ continuous linear map $T$ from a normed space $E$ into a normed space $F$ is called absolutely $p$-sumable if $T$ maps $p$-summable sequences into absolutely $p$-summable sequences.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Every positive summable sequence in a Banach
lattice $G$ with Property II is absolutely 2-summable.
Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a positive summable sequence in $G$, let $x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}$, and consider the $A M$-space $G_{x}$. The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is weakly summable in $G_{x}$. For, let $a \in G_{x}^{\prime}, a \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, a\right)\right| & =\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left\langle x_{n}, a\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_{n}, a\right\rangle \leq(x, a\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $k$ is arbitrary it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}, a\right\rangle\right|<\infty$ and so $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is weakly summable in $G_{x}$. Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is 2-summable in $G_{x}$.

Consider the inclusion map $I: G_{x} \rightarrow G$. As we have seen before in Proposition 4.2, $I$ is absolutely majorizing and hence $I$ can be factored through a Hilbert space $H$, that is, there exist continuous linear maps $I_{1}: G_{x} \rightarrow H$ and $I_{2}: H \rightarrow G$ such that the following diagram commutes;


By [5], (4.3), $I_{1}$ is absolutely 2-summable. Therefore $I$ is absolutely 2-summable and so $\left\{I\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}=\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is absolutely 2-summable in $G$.

EXAMPLE 4.5. $L^{p}[0,1], 1<p \leq \infty$, does not have Property II. For $p=\infty$ this follows immediately since $L^{\infty}[0,1]$ does not have the monotone convergence property. For $p<\infty$ we consider two cases. First assume that $p>2$. Let $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of disjoint sets of positive measure. Let $\phi_{n}(x)$ be that positive multiple of the characteristic function of $E_{n}$ such that $\int_{0}^{1}\left|\phi_{n}(x)\right|^{p}=1$. Choose a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$
such that $a_{n} \geq 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}=\infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{p}<\infty$. Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \phi_{n}(x)$ converges unconditionally in $L^{p}[0,1]$ but

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n} \phi_{n}(x)\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|a_{n} \phi_{n}(x)\right|^{p}\right)^{2 / p} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $L^{P}[0,1]$ cannot have Property II by Proposition 4.4.
Now assume that $1<p \leq 2$ and let $q$ be such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Suppose on the contrary that $L^{P}[0,1]$ does have Property II. Let $T: \ell^{2} \rightarrow z^{2}$ be a nonregular map (see [10], pp. 171-172). We note that for $r>1, Z^{2}$ is isomorphic to the complemented subspace of $L^{r}[0,1]$ generated by the Rademacher functions and, moreover, this isomorphism sends the $n$th unit vector in $i^{2}$ into the $n$th Rademacher function in $L^{r}[0,1]$ (see [9]). So, let $S: Z^{2} \rightarrow L^{q}[0,1]$ be this isomorphism and let $R: L^{p}[0,1] \rightarrow Z^{2}$ be a continuous projection. Then the map $T$ can be factored as

where $I$ is the continuous, positive injection of $L^{q}[0,1]$ into $I^{p}[0,1]$ which exists since $q \geq p$. Since we are assuming that $L^{p}[0,1]$ has Property II, $T \circ R$ is a regular map and $S$ is a regular map by Proposition 3.5. Hence $T=T \circ R \circ I \circ S$ is regular, a contradiction.

## 5. The atomic case

DEFINITIONS. A positive element $x$ in a vector lattice is called an atom if $0 \leq y \leq x$ implies that $y=\alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in[0,1]$. An order
complete vector lattice $E$ is called atomic if the band generated by the atoms is equal to $E$.

The ${ }_{2}{ }^{p}$ spaces, $1 \leq p<\infty$, are examples of atomic lattices.
In this section we prove that if $G$ is a Banach lattice with Property II, and, in addition, $G$ is atomic, then $G$ is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to $Z^{l}(\Gamma)$ for some index set $\Gamma$.

First, suppose that $G$ is any Banach lattice with Property II and that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive summable sequence in $G$ that is disjoint; that is, $x_{n} \wedge x_{m}=0$ for $n \neq m$. Define the functional $x_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ on L.H. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ (where L.H. denotes linear hull) by

$$
\left\langle x_{m}^{\prime}, \sum \alpha_{n} x_{n}\right\rangle=\alpha_{m}\left\|x_{m}\right\|
$$

where, of course, $\alpha_{n}=0$ for all but a finite number of $n$. Then $x_{m}^{\prime}$ is continuous on L.H. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle x_{m}, \sum \alpha_{n} x_{n}\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\alpha_{m}\right|\left\|x_{m}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left|\alpha_{m}\right| x_{m}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum\left|\alpha_{m}\right| x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left|\sum \alpha_{n} x_{n}\right|\right\|=\left\|\sum \alpha_{n} x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

the next to last equality resulting from the fact that the $x_{n}{ }^{\prime s}$ are disjoint. It follows that each $x_{m}^{\prime}$ can be extended to a continuous linear functional $x_{m}^{\prime}$ of norm 1 on $X=\overline{\text { L.H. }\left\{x_{n}\right\}}$.

If we define $z_{n}=x_{n} /\left\|x_{n}\right\|$, then $\left\{z_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a biorthogonal system (that is, $\left.\left\langle z_{n}, x_{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\delta_{n m}\right\}$ in $X$. Moreover, $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an unconditional basis for $X$ such that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z_{n}$ is convergent in $X$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}^{2}<\infty$. To see this define $U_{m}$ on $X$ by

$$
U_{m}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{n}^{\prime}, x\right\rangle z_{n}, \quad x \in X
$$

$U_{m}$ is continuous since $x_{n}^{\prime}$ is continuous for each $n$ and if $\sum \alpha_{n} z_{n} \in$ L.H. $\left\{z_{n}\right\}=$ L.H. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U_{m}\left(\sum \alpha_{n} z_{n}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m} \alpha_{n} z_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| z_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum\left|\alpha_{n}\right| z_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum \alpha_{n} z_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $U_{m}\left(z_{m}\right)=z_{m}$ it follows that $\left\|U_{m}\right\|=1$. It now follows from [7], Corollary 3, p. 31, that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for $X$.

$$
\text { If } x \in X \text { and } x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z_{n} \text { then } \alpha_{n}=\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { for all } n \text { and so }
$$

$x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle z_{n}$. Then the disjointness of the $z_{n}^{\prime}$ s and continuity of the lattice operations imply that $|x|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| z_{n}$. Hence, given $\delta>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left|\left(x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| z_{n}\right\|<\delta .
$$

If $\sigma$ is any finite subset of $N$ such that $\sigma$ is disjoint from $\left\{1,2, \ldots, n_{0}\right\}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n \in \sigma}\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle z_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{n \in \sigma}\left|\left(x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| z_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| z_{n}\right\| \\
& <\delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle z_{n}$ converges unconditionally to $x, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| z_{n}$ converges unconditionally to $|x|$, and it follows from Proposition 4.4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle z_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\alpha_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We make use of these results in the following proposition.
PROPOSITION 5.1. Suppose that $G$ is a Banach lattice with Property II and that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive, disjoint, summable sequence in $G$. If $X=\overline{\text { L.H. }\left\{x_{n}\right\}}$ is complemented in $G$ then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is absolutely surmable.

Proof. Let $P$ be a continuous projection of $G$ onto $X$. By the considerations preceding the statement of this proposition, we can define a map $T: X \rightarrow z^{2}$ by

$$
T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle z_{n}\right)=\left\{\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\} .
$$

A simple application of the Closed Graph Theorem shows that $T$ is continuous (since convergence implies coordinate convergence in $X$ and in $\left.z^{2}\right)$. Let $R: Z^{2} \rightarrow L^{1}[0,1]$ be the isomorphism that sends $e_{n}$ into the $n$th Rademacher function $\phi_{n}$. If $S=R \circ T \circ P$, then $S: G \rightarrow L^{1}[0,1]$ and $S\left(x_{n}\right)=\left\|x_{n}\right\| \phi_{n}$. Moreover, since $G$ has Property II, $S$ is a regular map and hence $S$ is order summable. Therefore, the positive sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ gets mapped into the absolutely surmable sequence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\| \phi_{n}\right\}$. Since $\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}=1$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\| \| x_{n}\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|<\infty
$$

and so $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is absolutely summable.
COROLLARY 5.2. Suppose that $G$ is a Banach Zattice with Property II
and that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive, disjoint, summable sequence of atoms in $G$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is absolutely sumable.

Proof. First note that in Banach lattices $E$ with the monotone convergence property the closure of a lattice ideal is a band. For, let $L$ be a lattice ideal in $E$ and let $x \geq 0$ be an element of the band generated by $L$. Then $x=\sup \{y \in L: 0 \leq y \leq x\}$. The set $\{y \in L: 0 \leq y \leq x\}$ is directed ( $\leq$ ), and so by the monotone convergence property its filter of sections converges to $x$. Therefore $x$ is in the closure of $L$.

If we now consider our original sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ then L.H. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a lattice ideal in $G$. For if $0 \leq y \leq \sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} x_{n}$, then $\alpha_{n} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y \wedge \sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} x_{n} \\
& =y \wedge\left(\alpha_{1} x_{1} \vee \alpha_{2} x_{2} \vee \ldots \vee \alpha_{k} x_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(y \wedge \alpha_{1} x_{1}\right) \vee\left(y \wedge \alpha_{2} x_{2}\right) \vee \ldots \vee\left(y \wedge \alpha_{k} x_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{k} \beta_{n} x_{n} \in \text { L. Н. }\left\{x_{n}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

since each $x_{n}$ is an atom. Therefore $X=\overline{\text { L.H. }\left\{x_{n}\right\}}$ is a band in $G$ and so is complemented in $G$ by [10], Chapter 2, (4.9). The result now follows from Proposition 5.1.

THEOREM 5.3. If $G$ is an atomic Banach Zattice with Property II then $G$ is order and topologically isomorphic to the Banach lattice $Z^{1}(\Gamma)$ for some index set $\Gamma$.

Proof. By Zorn's Lemma there exists a maximal, disjoint collection $\left\{z_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ of atoms of norm one. $G$ is equal to the band generated by the $\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}$ and, since L.H. $\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a lattice ideal, if $x \geq 0$ in $G$ then

$$
x=\sup \left\{y \in \text { L.H. }\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}: 0 \leq y \leq x\right\}
$$

By the monotone convergence property the filter of sections of $\left\{y \in\right.$ L.H. $\left.\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}: 0 \leq y \leq x\right\}$ converges to $x$ an $\bar{\alpha}$ so, since $G$ is a Banach
space, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in \operatorname{L.H.}\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that $y_{n} \rightarrow x$. It follows for each $x \geq 0$ in $G$, and hence for each $x$ in $G$, that $x$ is in the closure of the linear hull of a countable number of the $\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}$. Then, by the methods similar to those used in the remarks preceding Proposition 5.1, it is easy to see that $\left\{z_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ is an unconditional basis for $G$ and for each $x \in G, x=\sum_{\alpha \in A} a_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}$ where all but a countable number of the $a_{\alpha}$ 's are zero. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 5.2 that $\sum_{\alpha \in A} a_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}$ is absolutely surmable and so $\sum_{\alpha \in A}\left|a_{\alpha}\right|<\infty$. Hence we may define a map $T: G \rightarrow Z^{l}(A)$ by $T\left(z_{\alpha}\right)=e_{\alpha}$, where $e_{\alpha}$ is the ath unit vector in $Z^{1}(T) . T$ is clearly a positive, one-to-one, onto map. The continuity of $T$ follows from the Closed Graph Theorem and the fact that in $G$ and in $l^{1}(A)$ convergence implies coordinate convergence. Hence $T$ is an isomorphism by the Open Mapping Theorem.

COROLLARY 5.4. Suppose that $G$ is a Banach lattice with Property II. If $G$ has atoms then $G$ has a complemented subspace that is order isomorphic to an $L^{l}(\Gamma)$ for some index set $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let. $G_{1}$ be the band in $G$ generated by the atoms and let $P: G \rightarrow G_{1}$ be the canonical, positive, continuous band projection. Let $F$ be a Banach lattice and $T \in L\left(G_{1}, F\right)$. Then $T \circ P$ is preregular and so $T=T \circ P \circ I$ is preregular where $I: G_{1} \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion map. Therefore, $G_{1}$ has Property II. The result now follows from Theorem 5.3.

COROLLARY 5.5. $\tau^{p}, 1<p<\infty$ has neither Property I nor Property II.

We conjecture that any Banach lattice with Property II is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to an $A L$-space.
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