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#### Abstract

A new proof is given of the following result: Let $m$ and $d$ be positive integers, and let a set of $m d+m-d$ points be given in $d$-dimensional space. Then the set can be partitioned into $m$ sets such that the $m$ convex polytopes spanned by the sets have a non-empty intersection.


Let $n$-set mean a set of $n$ points in $R^{d}$. We shall say that an $n$-set is $m$-divisible if it can be divided into $m$ sets in such a way that the convex hulls of the $m$ sets have a non-empty intersection. In 1964, [6], I proved the following:

THEOREM. Any $(m(d+1)-d)$-set is m-divisible.
The proof has been regarded as difficult and it is therefore a pleasure to be able to present a much simpler proof below. It is also a pleasure to acknowledge my debt to Imre Bárány, whose proof of Theorem 2.2 in [1] inspired the present one.

The proof is by induction on $m$. The case $m=1$ is trivial and so, assuming that the theorem holds for $m=k>0$, we are to prove that it holds for $m=k+1$. Put $K=(k+1)(d+1)-d$ and let a $K$-set $\Omega_{0}=\left\{p_{1}^{0}, \ldots, p_{K}^{0}\right\}$ be given. If the theorem is false for $\Omega_{0}$, then there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that it is also false for any set $\Omega=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{K}\right\}$,

[^0]where $\left|p_{i}-p_{i}^{0}\right|<\varepsilon, i=1, \ldots, K$. We choose $\Omega$ strongly independent, as defined by Reay [4], and prove the theorem for $\Omega$, which suffices. Strong independence of $\Omega$ means that for each $t$ and for any $t$ affine subspaces $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{t}$ of $R^{d}$, spanned by pairwise disjoint subsets of $\Omega, \operatorname{dim}\left(B_{1} \cap \ldots \cap B_{t}\right)=\max \left(-1, \operatorname{dim} B_{1}+\ldots+\operatorname{dim} B_{t}-(t-1) d\right)$.

Consider a partition in $\Omega$, that is a partition of a subset of $\Omega$, consisting of disjoint non-empty sets $\Omega_{0}, \ldots, \Omega_{k}$, having the property that conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{conv} \Omega_{k} \neq \emptyset$. Such partitions exist by the case $m=k$ of the theorem. Choose such a partition for which the distance from conv $\Omega_{0}$ to conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots \cap$ conv $\Omega_{k}$ is minimal. If this distance is zero, we are through, and so we assume it to be positive. A contradiction will now be obtained by showing that some other partition in $\Omega$ will make the distance considered smaller.

Let $q \in \operatorname{conv} \Omega_{0}$ and $r \in \operatorname{conv} \Omega_{1} \cap \ldots n$ conv $\Omega_{k}$ be a pair of points realizing the distance. By Carathéodory's theorem there is a simplex, with vertex set $\Omega_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega_{0}$, such that $q$ is in the relative interior of conv $\Omega_{0}^{\prime}$. Replacing $\Omega_{0}$ by $\Omega_{0}^{\prime}$, we may thus assume that $q$ is in the relative interior of conv $\Omega_{0}$. Similarly, we may assume that each conv $\Omega_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, is a simplex with $r$ in its relative interior. Putting $A_{i}=$ aff $\Omega_{i}$, the affine hull of $\Omega_{i}$, we then get $\operatorname{dim} A_{i}=\left|\Omega_{i}\right|-1, i=0, \ldots, k$. Furthermore, by the condition of strong independence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1} \cap \ldots \cap A_{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim} A_{1}+\ldots+\operatorname{dim} A_{k}-(k-1) d$

$$
=\left|\Omega_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{d}\right|-k+d-k d .
$$

We now want to prove that $\Omega_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{k}$ is a proper subset of $\Omega$, which will leave us some point $p_{j}$ to add to a suitable $\Omega_{i}$ so as to lower the distance in question. Consider the parallel hyperplanes $H_{q}$ through $q$ and $H_{r}$ through $r$, both orthogonal to $q-r$. The open
slab between them clearly separates conv $\Omega_{0}$ from
conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{conv} \Omega_{k}$. Furthermore $\Omega_{0} \subset H_{q}$, while
conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots n$ conv $\Omega_{k} \subset H_{r}$. The first of these inclusions holds true because $H_{q}$ is a supporting hyperplane of conv $\Omega_{0}$ in $q$ and $q$ is in the relative interior of the simplex conv $\Omega_{0}$. The second one holds because $r$ is in the relative interior of each simplex conv $\Omega_{1}, \ldots$, conv $\Omega_{k}$, so that some neighbourhood of $r$ in $A_{1} \cap \ldots \cap A_{k}$ is contained in conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots \cap$ conv $\Omega_{k}$, and is thus supported by $H_{r}$ in $R$. (If it was not, it would meet the open slab mentioned above.) These two inclusions show that $A_{0} \subset H_{q}$ and $A_{1} \cap \ldots \cap A_{k} \subset H_{r}$, which, by the strong independence in $\Omega$ can happen only if

$$
\operatorname{dim} A_{0}+\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1} \cap \ldots \cap A_{k}\right)<d .
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\Omega_{0}\right|-1+\left|\Omega_{1} \cup \ldots v \Omega_{k}\right|-k+d-k d<d
$$

so that

$$
\left|\Omega_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{k}\right|<k(d+1)+1=|\Omega| .
$$

It is no restriction to assume that $p_{1} \vDash \Omega_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{k}$.
The easier case is when $p_{1}$ is in that open halfspace, bounded by $H_{q}$, in which $H_{r}$ lies. The segment $q p_{1}$ will then be in $\operatorname{conv}\left(\Omega_{0} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$ and for any point $q^{\prime}$ on it sufficiently near $q$, but not equal to $q$, we will have $\left|q^{\prime}-r\right|<|q-r|$. Thus the distance from $\operatorname{conv}\left(\Omega_{0} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$ to conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots n$ conv $\Omega_{k}$ will be smaller than that from conv $\Omega_{0}$.

In the second, more difficult, case, $p_{1}$ is separated (weakly) from $H_{r}$ by $H_{q}$. We shall see that for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ there is a ray from $r$, contained in $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \ldots, A_{k}$, which passes through the simplex $\operatorname{conv}\left(\Omega_{i} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$ and also lies in the halfspace bounded by $H_{r}$
and containing $H_{q}$. Any point $r^{\prime}(\neq r)$ on this ray, sufficiently near $r$, will then satisfy $\left|q-r^{\prime}\right|<|q-r|$ and also be in conv $\Omega_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(\Omega_{i} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{conv} \Omega_{k}$, so that the desired contradiction will be obtained once again.

For the proof of the existence of the ray just mentioned, we introduce an affine coordinate system in which $r=(0, \ldots, 0), p_{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)$, $A_{1}$ is given by $x_{j}=0, j \in C_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ by $x_{j}=0, j \in C_{k}$. Here $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$, form a partition in $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ (with possibly some empty parts). The disjointness of the $C_{i}$ is a consequence of the strong independence in $\Omega$. Furthermore $H_{r}$ is given by $a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{d} x_{d}=0$, where $a_{j}=0$ for $j \notin\left(C_{1} \cup \ldots \cup C_{k}\right)$, as we have seen that $A_{1} \cap \ldots \cap A_{k} \subset H_{r}$. The $a_{j}$ 's are normalized so that $p_{1}$ (which is not in $H_{r}$ ) lies in the hyperplane $a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{d^{x}}=1$. Thus $H_{q}$ will have the equation $a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{d} x_{d}=a$, with $0<a \leq 1$.

Now consider the flat aff $\left(\Omega_{1} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right) \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{s}$. It is no restriction to assume that $C_{1}=\left\{1, \ldots,\left|C_{1}\right|\right\}$, $C_{2}=\left\{\left|C_{1}\right|+1, \ldots,\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|\right\}$ and so on. Then the point $p_{1}^{\prime}=(1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0) \quad\left(\left|C_{1}\right| 1\right.$ 's $)$ is in the flat, as it equals $p_{1}+(0, \ldots, 0,-1, \ldots,-1)$. Furthermore $p_{1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{1}$ are in the same open halfspace of $\operatorname{aff}\left(\Omega_{1} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$, bounded by $A_{1}$, as $(0, \ldots,-1, \ldots,-1)$ is in $A_{1}$. Hence the ray from $r$ through $p_{1}^{\prime}$ passes through the simplex $\operatorname{conv}\left(\Omega_{1} \cup\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$. It will also lie in the halfspace bounded by $H_{r}$ and containing $H_{q}$, provided $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \cdot(1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)=a_{1}+\ldots+a_{\left|C_{1}\right|}>0$. Thus, if $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{\left|C_{1}\right|}>0$, we have what we want. Similarly, we shall be satisfied with $a_{\left|C_{1}\right|+1}+\ldots+a_{\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|}>0$, and so on. But one of these equalities must hold, as $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{\left|c_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|c_{k}\right|}=1$.

Doignon and Valette [2] have proved that our theorem remains valid in any affine space over an ordered division ring. The proof given above can be modified so as to show this. Finally I would like to call the reader's attention to the recent survey papers by Eckhoff [3] and Reay [5], which give a lot of information on Radon's theorem and related matters.
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