

# ON DIGITAL DISTRIBUTION IN SOME INTEGER SEQUENCES

B. D. CRAVEN

(Received 7 September 1964, revised 15 January 1965)

## 1. Introduction

Although the harmonic series

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots$$

diverges, there is a sense in which it "nearly converges". Let  $N$  denote the set of all positive integers, and  $S$  a subset of  $N$ . Then there are various sequences  $S$  for which

$$(1) \quad T = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n}$$

converges, but for which the "omitted sequence"  $N-S$  is, in an intuitive sense, sparse, compared with  $N$ . For example, Apostol [1] (page 384) quotes, without proof, the case where  $S$  is the set of all positive integers whose decimal representation does not involve the digit zero (e.g.  $7 \in S$  but  $101 \notin S$ ); then (1) converges, with  $T < 90$ .

It is shown in this paper that Apostol's example is a special case of a general theorem on a class of sequences  $S$  for which  $T$  converges. From this it follows that certain integer sequences — in particular the sequence of prime numbers — include, for each integer  $d$ , a term whose representation to a given base contains any given digit at least  $d$  times. For example, there exists a prime  $p$  whose decimal representation contains at least 100 zeros. Although the existence proof for  $p$  is not constructive, an asymptotic bound for  $p$  is obtained, using the prime number theorem of Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin.

## 2. Harmonically convergent sequences

An increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$ , for which the series of reciprocals

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3} + \dots$$

converges, will be called ‘‘harmonically convergent’’. The sum of the series (2) will then be called the ‘‘harmonic sum’’ of the sequence. A sequence for which the sum of reciprocals (2) diverges will be called ‘‘harmonically divergent’’.

A large class of harmonically convergent sequences is characterised by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *For integers  $b = 2, 3, 4, \dots, d = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, b-1$ , let  $S(b, d, t)$  denote the increasing sequence of all positive integers whose representation to base  $b$  involves the digit  $t$  at most  $(d-1)$  times. Then  $S(b, d, t)$  is harmonically convergent, and its harmonic sum is (strictly) less than  $b^d(1+d \log b)$ .*

**PROOF.** For each positive integer  $r$ , denote by  $D(r)$  the set of  $b^d$  consecutive integers whose least member is  $b^d r$ .

If  $r \notin S(b, d, t)$ , then  $D(r)$  contains no members of  $S(b, d, t)$ .

If  $r \in S(b, d, t)$ , then  $D(r)$  contains at most  $(b^d - 1)$  members of  $S(b, d, t)$ , since one member of  $D(r)$  has the digit  $t$  in each of its last  $d$  positions. Let  $C(r)$  denote the sum of the reciprocals of these at most  $(b^d - 1)$  integers. Then, for  $r \in S(b, d, t)$ ,  $C(r)$  is (strictly) less than  $(b^d - 1)(b^d r)^{-1}$ . In particular, if  $r_0$  is the least member of  $S(b, d, t)$ , then

$$\Delta \equiv (b^d - 1)(b^d r_0)^{-1} - C(r_0) > 0.$$

Denote also

$$C(0) = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{b^d - 1}.$$

Let  $T_q$  denote the sum of the reciprocals of the first  $q$  members of  $S(b, d, t)$ . Let  $\sum'_r$  denote summation only over values of  $r$  which belong to  $S(b, d, t)$ . Then, for all  $q > (r_0 + 1)b^d$ ,

$$T_q < C(0) + \sum'_{r=1}^q C(r);$$

since the right side includes all terms of  $T_q$ , plus additional positive terms. Therefore

$$T_q < \{1 + \log(b^d - 1)\} + \{(b^d - 1)b^{-d} T_q - \Delta\},$$

so that

$$T_q < b^d(1 + d \log b - \Delta).$$

Hence  $S(b, d, t)$  is harmonically convergent, and its harmonic sum  $T(b, d, t)$  satisfies the inequality

$$T(b, d, t) < b^d(1 + d \log b).$$

### 3. Harmonically divergent sequences

Let  $W = \{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$  denote any harmonically divergent sequence. For  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , define the functions

$$(3) \quad G(j) = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n_j}$$

$$(4) \quad H(j) = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n_r}$$

where  $n_r$  is the largest member of  $W$  not exceeding  $j$ .

Since  $G(j)$  and  $H(j)$  are increasing functions, their inverses  $G^{-1}(x)$  and  $H^{-1}(x)$  are defined for values of  $x$  which fall in the ranges of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively. For values of  $x$  which do not, let  $x'$  denote the largest number, not exceeding  $x$ , which lies in the range of  $G$ ; then define  $G^{-1}(x) = G^{-1}(x')$ . Similarly define  $H^{-1}(x)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $W$  be a harmonically divergent sequence. Let  $b \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 1$  be integers. Then for every choice of the integers  $b' = 2, 3, \dots, b$  and  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, b' - 1$ , there is a member  $n_i = n_i(b, d, t)$  of  $W$  whose representation to base  $b'$  contains the digit  $t$  at least  $d$  times, and such that*

$$(5) \quad n_i \leq H^{-1}(T(b, d, t))$$

$$(6) \quad i \leq G^{-1}(T(b, d, t)).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $b, d, t$  be given. Then by Theorem 1,  $W$  is not contained in the set  $S(b, d, t)$ , so  $W$  includes an integer  $n$  whose representation to base  $b$  contains the digit  $t$  at least  $d$  times. Again by Theorem 1, a partial sum (3) which exceeds  $T(b, d, t)$  must contain such a number  $n$ , so (5) is proved, for  $b' = b$ . A similar proof applies to (6).

For  $b' < b$ , (5) and (6) thus hold, if  $b'$  replaces  $b$ . Now if  $t$  and  $d$  are given,  $T(b, d, t)$  increases as  $b$  increases, because an increase of  $b$  replaces each integer in the "omitted sequence" by a greater integer. So (5) and (6) hold as stated, since  $H^{-1}$  and  $G^{-1}$  are increasing functions.

If  $b' = b$ , the bounds (5) and (6) are "best possible", in the sense that for any positive  $\epsilon$ , there is a harmonically divergent sequence for which

$$n_i > H^{-1}(T(b, d, t) - \epsilon)$$

and

$$i > G^{-1}(T(b, d, t) - \epsilon).$$

It suffices to take a harmonically divergent sequence  $W$  which contains only terms of  $S(b, d, t)$ , until the partial sum of the series of reciprocals exceeds  $T(b, d, t) - \epsilon$ .

Combining (5) and (6) with the bound of Theorem 1 proves the

COROLLARY. *With symbols as in Theorem 2,*

$$(7) \quad n_i \leq H^{-1}(b^a(1+d \log b))$$

$$(8) \quad i \leq G^{-1}(b^a(1+d \log b)).$$

### 4. Applications

As one application of theorem 2, let  $\alpha$  and  $c$  satisfy  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $c > 0$ . Then the sequence  $\{n_j\}$ , where  $n_j = [cj^\alpha]$ , and  $[x]$  denotes ‘‘greatest integer  $\leq x$ ’’, is harmonically divergent. For this sequence,

$$G(j) \geq \int_1^{j+1} \frac{dx}{cx^\alpha} = \frac{(j+1)^{1-\alpha} - 1}{c(1-\alpha)};$$

so by (8),

$$(9) \quad i \leq \{1+c(1-\alpha)b^a(1+d \log b)\}^{1/(1-\alpha)} - 1.$$

Thus, for example, for every choice of  $b' \leq 10$  and  $0 \leq t < b$ , there is an integer  $n < 4.3 \times 10^{14}$  (approx.), such that the representation of  $[n b'^t]$  to base  $b'$  contains digit  $t$  at least 6 times.

Similar conclusions apply to the sequences  $\{[c \log j]\}$  and  $\{[cj \log j]\}$ , where  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

Let  $P$  denote the sequence of prime numbers  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ . Let  $P_{\alpha\beta}$  denote the subsequence  $\{P_{\alpha+h\beta} : h = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , for given integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . It is well known (e.g. [2]) that  $P_{\alpha\beta}$  is harmonically divergent, therefore Theorem 2 applies to  $P_{\alpha\beta}$ . To approximate to the bounds (7) and (8), let  $a(n) = 1$  when  $n \in P_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $a(n) = 0$  otherwise; let  $A(n) = a(1) + a(2) + \dots + a(n)$ ; then  $A(n)$  equals the number of primes in  $P_{\alpha\beta}$  which do not exceed  $n$ . Then for  $P_{\alpha\beta}$ ,

$$H(2^k) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \frac{a(j)}{j} = \frac{A(2^k)}{2^k} + \sum_1^{2^k-1} A(j) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+1}\right)$$

by Abel’s transformation

$$\geq \sum_{q=2}^k \sum_{j=2^{q-1}}^{2^q-1} A(j) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+1}\right)$$

$$> \sum_{q=2}^k A(2^{q-1}) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2^{q-1}} - \frac{1}{2^q}\right)$$

since  $A(j)$  is non-decreasing

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_2^k A(2^{q-1})/2^{q-1}.$$

From the Prime Number Theorem,  $A(n)$  is given asymptotically by

$$(10) \quad A(n) \sim \frac{n}{\beta \log n} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here the symbol  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1.$$

It will be convenient also to use the expressions “ $f(n)$  is asymptotically less than  $g(n)$ ” or “ $g(n)$  is asymptotically greater than  $f(n)$ ” to mean

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) \leq 1.$$

If this holds, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $f(n) < (1+\varepsilon)g(n)$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large;  $g(n)$  may thus also be termed an “asymptotic upper bound to  $f(n)$ ”, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now from (10), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(11) \quad \frac{A(n)}{n} > \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\beta \log n} \quad \text{for all } n > n(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_2^k A(2^{q-1})/2^{q-1} > \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2\beta} \sum_2^k \frac{1}{\log 2^{q-1}} + B,$$

where the constant  $B$  represents the error arising from those terms in the summation to which inequality (11) does not apply; since the number of such terms depends on  $\varepsilon$ , but not on  $k$ ,  $B$  does not depend on  $k$ .

Now if  $k = \lceil \log n / \log 2 \rceil$ , then, for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} H(n) \geq H(2^k) &> B + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2\beta \log 2} \sum_2^k \frac{1}{q-1} \\ &> B + \frac{(1-\varepsilon) \log \lceil \log n / \log 2 \rceil}{2\beta \log 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L(n) = \{ \log \lceil \log n / \log 2 \rceil \} / \{ 2\beta \log 2 \}.$$

Then, from (12), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(n)/H(n) \leq 1/(1-\varepsilon).$$

Consequently,  $H(n)$  is an asymptotically greater than  $L(n)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, from (7), an asymptotic upper bound  $\bar{n}$  for  $n_i$ , as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , is given by

$$(13) \quad 2\beta \log 2 \cdot (b^d(1+d \log b)) = \log (\log \bar{n}/\log 2).$$

Let  $P_{\alpha\beta}^*$  denote the set of prime numbers obtained by selecting arbitrarily exactly one prime from each subset

$$\{\phi_{\alpha+h\beta}, \phi_{\alpha+h\beta+1}, \dots, \phi_{\alpha+(h+1)\beta-1}\},$$

where  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $P_{\alpha\beta}^*$  is also harmonically divergent, and the same asymptotic estimates, including (13), apply to  $P_{\alpha\beta}^*$  as to  $P_{\alpha\beta}$ .

Similar results apply also to primes in arithmetic progression. Let  $y$  and  $z$  be relatively prime integers. Let  $Q$  denote the set of all primes  $p \equiv z \pmod{y}$ . Then LeVeque [3] shows that the number of primes in  $Q$  which do not exceed  $n$  is asymptotically

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{\phi(y)} \int_2^n \frac{du}{\log u} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\phi(y)$  is Euler's function.

A similar discussion to that for  $P_{\alpha\beta}$  then shows that  $Q$  is harmonically divergent, and the asymptotic bounds (12) and (13) apply also to  $Q$ , with  $\beta = \phi(y)$ .

As a numerical illustration of (13), set  $b = 10$  and  $d = 100$ . Then for any base  $\leq 10$ , there exists a prime  $p$  whose representation contains a given digit at least 100 times; and an upper bound  $\bar{n}$  to  $p$  is asymptotically estimated by

$$\log_{10} \log_{10} \bar{n} = 1.4 \times 10^{102}.$$

### Acknowledgement

My thanks are due to the referee for some improvements in the presentation of this paper.

### References

- [1] Apostol, T. M., *Mathematical Analysis*. (Addison-Wesley, 1957).
- [2] Landau, E., *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, Vol. I.
- [3] LeVeque, W. J., *Topics in Number Theory*, Vol. II. (Addison-Wesley, 1956).

University of Melbourne