Detection of East/Central/South African genotype Chikungunya virus during an outbreak in a southeastern state of Brazil

C. Lessa-Aquino¹, K. S. Trinta¹, C. P. Pestana¹, M. O. Ribeiro¹, M. V. F. Sucupira¹, M. N. Boia², P. A. Baptista¹, R. V. Cunha¹ and M. A. Medeiros¹

¹Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Bio-Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil; ²Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil and ³Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

Abstract

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is an arbovirus transmitted by Aedes mosquitoes that was first identified in Brazil in 2014. It causes a febrile illness characterised by severe arthralgia and rash [1, 2] and even though it is a self-limiting disease, it can last from days to years thus posing a significant impact in public health and serious economic burden [3].

CHIKV outbreaks have occurred in Africa, Asia, Europe, Indian and Pacific regions and was introduced into the Caribbean in 2013, from where it spread to Central and South America [1, 4]. In Brazil, the first autochthonous case was identified in 2014, in the northern state of Rondônia, caused by the Asian genotype. A week later, autochthonous East/Central/South African (ECSA) genotype cases were confirmed in Bahia, northeast region of Brazil [4].

More recently, our group had access to sera samples from a suspected CHIKV outbreak in Governador Valadares, state of Minas Gerais (Oswaldo Cruz Foundation IRB approval 1.920.256). Patients with history of arthralgia and fever were recruited during two visits (June and August 2017) to a local Arbovirus Healthcare Center. From a total of 80 patients presenting with compatible clinical manifestations, 25 reported symptoms for less than 14 days (median (IQR): 1 (1–1.75)). Those were classified as acute-phase patients and were further investigated for Chikungunya disease by ELISA (Euroimmun, Germany) and qRT-PCR (ZDC kit, Bio-Manguinhos, Brazil) according to manufacturers’ instructions.

Four patients were borderline for IgM antibodies and one of them was qRT-PCR positive. Four patients were IgM positive, all with negative qRT-PCR as IgM usually becomes detectable after the end of viraemia. Of the remaining 17 patients with negative serology, nine tested positive by qRT-PCR. None of the patients had detectable IgG and all were negative for Zika and Dengue by ZDC molecular multiplex test.

In order to determine which genotype was responsible for the outbreak, viral RNA from the 10 qRT-PCR positive samples was extracted with QIAamp RNA Viral Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and 3 μl was used to amplify a fragment of 1014 bp of E1 sequence, with previously described primers [5], in a 25 μl reaction with SuperScript™ III Platinum™ One-Step RT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Primer concentration was adjusted to 0.4 μM and cycling conditions were as follows: 55 °C – 30 m, 94 °C – 2 m, 35 cycles of 94 °C – 15 s, 57 °C – 15 s, 68 °C – 1 m and a final extension of 68 °C – 7 m. PCR products were purified with Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, USA) and sequenced with BigDye 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) using ABI 3500XL Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, USA) following manufacturer’s recommendations. CHIKV_seq_10688–10707 F: CCATACTCTCAGGCACCATC and CHIKV_seq_10688–10707 R: GATGGTGCTGAGGTATGG were used as internal primers.

Final consensus sequences were obtained with SeqManII v5:05 (DNASTAR, USA) and were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MH215572-MH215581. When compared to each other, sequences were not identical but overall identity was greater than 99%. Moreover, none of the patients had the A226 V mutation in E1 protein previously described to facilitate CHIKV transmission by Aedes albopictus mosquitoes [6].
Consensus sequences were then aligned with E1 partial sequence (genome position 10145–11158) edited from 41 reference genomes, including ECSA ($n = 12$), Asian ($n = 15$) and West African ($n = 11$) genotypes. Viral phylogenies were performed with MEGA 5.2 software [7] using the neighbour-joining method [8] and trees were constructed using the Tamura-Nei model [9]; the reliability of the branches was validated by the generation of 1000 'bootstrap' replicates.

**Fig. 1.** Phylogenetic tree of 51 Chikungunya E1 partial sequences. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches [10]. Sequences are identified by the corresponding GenBank accession number.

**Fig. 2.** Partial map of Brazil showing the geographic proximity of Feira de Santana, state of Bahia and Governador Valadares, state of Minas Gerais. Source: Google Maps. https://www.google.com.br/maps/@-17.0364381,-51.9291697,1706185m/data=!3m1!1e3 (Accessed 23 July 2018).
A phylogenetic tree, including representative sequences for all known genotypes, revealed that all 10 cases from Minas Gerais belonged to monophyletic group associated with ECSA genotype (Fig. 1). They clustered with sequences isolated in previous years in Bahia, probably due to states’ geographic proximity (Fig. 2). Indeed, a recent study [3] also showed that ECSA is the circulating genotype in the state of Sergipe, which borders Bahia to the north. As expected by Nunes and collaborators [4], ECSA is spreading from Feira de Santana, Bahia to the northeast and southeast of Brazil and even though they have predicted that both Asian and ECSA genotypes would eventually spread to the whole country, we have not detected Asian samples in Governador Valadares, Minas Gerais.
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