Outcome of Twin Pregnancies Complicated by a Neural Tube Defect
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Twin pregnancies discordant for neural tube defects (NTD) is a management dilemma. Risks of preterm delivery from polyhydramnios must be balanced with the risks of selective termination (ST) of the anomalous fetus. We investigated the prevalence of twin pregnancies discordant for NTD and the rate of pregnancy complications in our institution over a 10-year period. Cases were obtained by searching the hospital ultrasound database and findings were confirmed by expert review of ultrasound images. Outcomes of ST and expectant management were assessed. Each unaffected co-twin was assigned to three consecutive twin pregnancy controls matched by chorionicity and maternal age. Primary outcome was birth before 34 weeks’ gestation. Secondary outcomes were small for gestational age, mode of delivery, neonatal unit admission, and neonatal death. In total, 13 pregnancies were identified as potential cases. Of these, 11 were included in the analysis: 9 dichorionic diamniotic and 2 monochorionic diamniotic twins. Seven cases had ST and four were managed expectantly. We found 100% (4/4) of expectantly managed pregnancies delivered <34 weeks compared with 14% (1/7) of the ST group ($p = .015$). Polyhydramnios complicated three expectantly managed pregnancies and one pregnancy in the ST group. The birthweight SD score of all unaffected co-twins was $\geq -2$. The case–control analysis showed a higher rate of polyhydramnios in twin pregnancies discordant for NTD compared with controls, but little evidence for differences between groups in delivery rates <34 weeks, birthweight, neonatal unit admission, or neonatal death. ST warrants serious consideration to avoid potential complications to the unaffected co-twin.
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Expectant management of polyhydramnios has been associated with preterm delivery rates of up to 100% (Leeker & Beinder, 2004), exposing the unaffected co-twin to higher rates of perinatal morbidity and mortality (Nassar et al., 2000). Selective termination (ST) of the affected twin is an option offered to parents to minimize risks to the pregnancy and the unaffected co-twin. The technique used is determined by chorionicity. Intracardiac potassium chloride or lignocaine injection is preferred for dichorionic (DC) twins, while cord occlusion methods are preferred for MC twins. ST carries a risk of pregnancy loss that is dependent on the gestation when the procedure is performed, the technique used, and the experience of the operator (Evans et al., 1994; Liptitz et al., 1995).

In DC twins, ST is associated with a cumulative loss rate of 5% (Bigelow et al., 2014). The gestational age at the time of ST is associated with both pregnancy loss rates and preterm delivery rates (Dural et al., 2017), and it is recommended the procedure is performed prior to 18 weeks’ gestation (Bigelow et al., 2014).

In MC twins, the risk of pregnancy loss following cord occlusion techniques is higher and the procedures are rarely performed prior to the second trimester. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Gaerty et al. (2015) has analyzed the perinatal outcomes of MC pregnancies who undergo either radiofrequency ablation or bipolar cord occlusion for ST, and found that neither method was superior to the other. It has also been noted that ST of the presenting MC twin has a higher rate of delivery <34 weeks’ gestation, regardless of the method used (Yinon et al., 2015).

To date, there are no large series assessing twin pregnancies discordant for NTD. The current study aimed, first, to compare two different management options (ST vs. expectant management) in twin pregnancies discordant for NTD and the associated pregnancy and neonatal outcomes; and, second, to contrast outcomes between twin pregnancies discordant for NTD (cases) and twin pregnancies with no NTD (controls) to determine the overall burden of twin pregnancies with discordant NTD relative to twin pregnancies with no NTD.

**Patients and Methods**

This is a retrospective cohort study of all twin pregnancies affected by a NTD at the Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne (RWH) over a 10-year period. Cases were obtained from a search of the hospital’s ultrasound Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS) database. All the cases of multiple pregnancy discordant for a NTD were included. Each case was reviewed by an experienced obstetrician with ultrasound expertise to confirm the findings.

Monochorionic diamniotic (MCDA) and dichorionic diamniotic (DCDA) cases only were included. Monochorionic monoamniotic (MCMA) cases were not included as outcomes for these women and their fetuses are complex and largely determined by the risks of monoamnionicity (Shub & Walker, 2015). Each eligible case was matched with three controls who were selected chronologically from the three subsequent multiple pregnancy ultrasounds with the same chorionicity and maternal age (within 5 years). Multiple pregnancies with other major fetal anomalies were excluded from the control group. In addition, the controls were required to have a normal first trimester ultrasound scan result (between 11 weeks and 13.9 weeks). Controls found to have any fetal anomaly or a nuchal translucency (NT) above the 99th percentile (>3.5 mm) were excluded. Further control exclusion criteria included women with significant chronic medical conditions, such as diabetes or hypertension, or those in whom the pregnancy outcome data were unavailable for review. Background and outcome data were collected for the mother and both twins for cases and controls.

The primary outcome was birth before 34 weeks’ gestation. The secondary outcomes were small for gestational age (birthweight < -2 SD relative to Australian twin birthweight percentiles; Li et al., 2015), mode of delivery, admission to a neonatal unit (either the neonatal intensive care unit or the specialcare nursery), or neonatal death.

Data were analyzed using Stata version 14.2. First, we were interested in outcomes confined within the twin pregnancies discordant for NTD between those treated with ST and those treated expectantly where differences between groups were contrasted by chi-square (or Fisher’s exact test), or t tests (or Mann-Whitney U Test), as appropriate. Second, twin pregnancies discordant for NTD were compared with those with no NTD, using generalized estimating equations (GEEs) with robust (sandwich) estimates of standard errors to allow for clustering of the three controls for each case. Mean differences with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or odds ratios (ORs) were calculated from the regression coefficients and their standard errors. For neonatal outcomes, infant characteristics were compared between non-affected cases and their corresponding controls, matched for birth order.

Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) approval was obtained for a retrospective anonymized audit project that met the criteria for quality assurance activities outlined in the National Health and Medical Research Council guideline ‘Ethical Considerations in Quality Assurance and Evaluation Activities’ (National Health & Medical Research Council, 2014).

**Results**

Between 2004 and 2014, there were over 1,800 twin pregnancies managed at our hospital. Thirteen were complicated by a discordant NTD: eight with anencephaly, three with anencephalocele, and two with spina bifida. Nine of the 13 pregnancies were DCDA, three were MCDA, and one was MCMA. The mean gestation at diagnosis was
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FIGURE 1
MRI of twin with encephalocele.

13.7 weeks (SD 1.0) for anencephaly, 18.1 weeks (SD 0.4) for spina bifida, and 20.4 weeks (SD 3.2) for encephalocele. Figure 1 shows an ultrasound image of a twin pregnancy discordant for encephalocele. Among cases with a NTD, six affected fetuses and three unaffected co-twins had diagnostic genetic testing and all were found to have a normal karyotype.

The MCMA pregnancy had ST for anencephaly at 15.1 weeks and subsequently underwent a misoprostol induction following demise of the co-twin at 15.9 weeks; this case was excluded from further analysis. In one MCDA twin pregnancy, parents elected to have a termination of the whole pregnancy at 16 weeks’ gestation; this case was also excluded.

Pregnancies Discordant for NTD
Of the remaining 11 affected twin pregnancies, the affected fetus had ST in seven cases (64%), and four were managed expectantly (36%). The seven cases in which ST was performed comprised three for anencephaly, two for encephalocele, and two for spina bifida. One of the seven cases delivered at 19 weeks following ST of the affected presenting anencephalic twin at 14 weeks’ gestation; although liveborn, the infant died quickly after birth. The remaining six pregnancies where ST was performed resulted in a live term (≥37 weeks’ gestation) delivery.

The four cases managed expectantly included three pregnancies with an anencephalic fetus and one with an encephalocele. Two women in this expectant management group, both DCDA pregnancies, required tocolysis for preterm labor at 28 weeks’ and 29 weeks’ gestation, respectively. The first woman delivered at 29 weeks’ gestation and the unaffected co-twin was diagnosed with a right grade 1 intraventricular hemorrhage at 7 days of age. The baby was also treated for hyaline membrane disease, a right pneumothorax, and jaundice and was discharged home at 53 days of age. The second woman developed pre-eclampsia and delivered at 30 weeks’ gestation. The unaffected co-twin was diagnosed with a large patent ductus arteriosus, which was treated with indomethacin. The baby also had hyaline membrane disease and jaundice and was discharged home at 46 days of age.

The two remaining MCDA pregnancies managed expectantly delivered at 31 weeks’ and 32 weeks’ gestation, respectively, and both unaffected co-twins had adverse outcomes. One co-twin was diagnosed with sepsis and widespread intraventricular hemorrhage, resulting in a neonatal death at 10 days of age. The other co-twin was diagnosed with periventricular leukomalacia following birth.

Polyhydramnios complicated one (14%) case following ST and three (75%) cases in the expectant management group (OR 0.06; 95% CI [0.003, 1.23]; Fisher’s exact test; \(p = .09\)).

The mean gestation at delivery in the ST group was 35.5 weeks (SD 7.1) compared with 31.0 weeks (SD 1.5) in the expectant management group, a non-significant difference (mean difference 4.5 weeks; 95% CI [-3.7, 12.9 weeks], \(p = .25\)). Rates of delivery <34 weeks’ gestation were 14% (1/7) of those who underwent ST compared with 100% (4/4) of expectantly managed pregnancies (Fisher’s exact test; \(p = .015\)).

Delivery was by cesarean section in 8 of the 11 cases. Four unaffected twins required admission to the neonatal unit, primarily due to prematurity; all were in the expectant management group (Fisher’s exact test; \(p = .003\)). Two liveborn infants with anencephaly had birthweight SD scores < -2, whereas the birthweight SD scores of all unaffected co-twins were ≥ -2.

Case–Control Analysis
When comparing outcomes of the cases (discordant for NTD) with the controls (not discordant for NTD), there were few differences in demographic or antenatal variables, although more of the cases were smokers and more had polyhydramnios (Table 1). The mode of delivery was similar between the groups with a majority of women undergoing a cesarean section.

The infant outcomes comparing the unaffected case twins with their matched controls are shown in Table 2. There were no substantial differences in sex, mean gestational age, birthweight, or birthweight SD score between the groups; no twins in either group had birthweight SD scores < -2. Although more cases delivered < 34 weeks than controls, the evidence for a difference was weak after accounting for the lack of independence between controls in the analyses. The groups had similar rates of admission
TABLE 1
Demographic and Pregnancy Data for Cases and Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cases (n = 11)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 33)</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age: mean (SD)</td>
<td>32.6 (6.4)</td>
<td>32.7 (5.7)</td>
<td>−0.1 [−0.9, 0.8]† p = .89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking: n (%)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>10 (30)</td>
<td>0.54 [0.13, 2.20] p = .39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1 (0, 1)</td>
<td>0 (0, 1)</td>
<td>z = 1.43* p = .23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART: n (%)</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>21 (63)</td>
<td>0.25 [0.06, 1.09]† p = .06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorionicity DCDA: n (%)</td>
<td>9 (62)</td>
<td>27 (82)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorionicity MCDA: n (%)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>6 (18)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal corticosteroids: n (%)</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
<td>1.63 [0.35, 7.48]† p = .53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorioamnionitis: n (%)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>3.44 [0.33, 36.3]† p = .30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTTS: n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyhydramnios: n (%)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>18.3 [1.30, 257]† p = .031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean delivery: n (%)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>21 (64)</td>
<td>1.52 [0.59, 3.95]† p = .39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ART = assisted reproductive technology; TTTS = twin–twin transfusion syndrome; DCDA = dichorionic diamniotic; MCDA = monochorionic diamniotic; NA = not applicable. †Mann–Whitney U test; *median (25th–75th centiles); †n = 2 intubated and treated with exogenous surfactant; ‡mean difference (95% confidence interval [CI]); ‡meandifference(95%confidenceinterval[CI]); Primary or secondary outcomes in bold type.

TABLE 2
Infant Data for Non-affected Cases and Matched Control Twins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cases (n = 11)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 33)</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: n (%)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>20 (61)</td>
<td>0.54 [0.13, 2.20] p = .39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth (w): mean (SD)</td>
<td>33.9 (6.0)</td>
<td>35.8 (3.6)</td>
<td>−1.9 [−5.7, 1.95]† p = .32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery &lt;34 weeks: n (%)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>6.04 [0.85, 42.7]† p = .071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liveborn: n (%)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>32 (97)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight (g): mean (SD)</td>
<td>2,384 (1,198)</td>
<td>2,502 (645)</td>
<td>−1.185 [−836, 598]‡ p = .75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight SD score: mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.94 (1.16) n = 10</td>
<td>0.20 (0.86) n = 32</td>
<td>0.75 [−0.16, 1.66]‡ p = .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar score at 5 minutes</td>
<td>9 (8, 9)</td>
<td>9 (9, 9)</td>
<td>z = 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU admission: n (%)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>4/32 (12)</td>
<td>4.08 [0.71, 23.3] p = .11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN admission: n (%)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>15/32 (47)</td>
<td>0.65 [0.17, 2.47] p = .53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory distress: n (%)</td>
<td>3 (27)¹</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>1.64 [0.37, 7.19] p = .51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal CPAP only: n (%)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>4/32 (12)</td>
<td>2.66 [0.47, 15.0] p = .27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast feeding at discharge: n (%)</td>
<td>7/10 (70)</td>
<td>25/32 (78)</td>
<td>0.66 [0.15, 3.01] p = .70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NND: n (%)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: w = weeks; SD = standard deviation; NICU = neonatal intensive care unit; SCN = special care nursery; NND = neonatal death; NA = not applicable. †Mann–Whitney U test; ¹median (25th–75th centiles); †n = 2 intubated and treated with exogenous surfactant; ‡mean difference (95% CI); ‡meandifference(95%confidenceinterval[CI]). Primary or secondary outcomes in bold type.

to the neonatal intensive care unit or special care nursery, respiratory distress, and use of respiratory support, and most in both groups were being breastfed on discharge home. The indication for admission to the nursery in the majority of infants was for prematurity; however, one term infant was admitted with hypoglycaemia at 37 weeks’ gestation. The only two neonatal deaths occurred among the cases, as described above.

Discussion

The main finding in our study comparing management options with twins discordant for NTD was that expectant management resulted in more births <34 weeks, with consequently more infants requiring admission to the nursery than did ST. The main finding from the case–control analysis was that twin pregnancies discordant for NTD were more likely to develop polyhydramnios, with a trend for more to deliver <34 weeks than twin pregnancies with no NTD.

It has long been recognized that twin pregnancies with a single anomalous fetus have poorer outcomes compared with normal twin pregnancies (Sun et al., 2009). The optimal management of twin pregnancies discordant for NTD is complicated due to the scarcity of evidence in the literature and small sample sizes, and hence a lack of power to detect important differences between groups. A limitation of our study was that it is also small; however, ST resulted in some clinical benefits compared with expectant management. Currently, parents have the option of terminating the entire pregnancy, ST of the anomalous fetus, or expectant management involving monitoring for polyhydramnios and consideration of amnioreduction if required.

ST has previously been shown to prolong gestation at delivery for the unaffected co-twin and this directly correlates with a higher birthweight and better perinatal outcomes (Gul et al., 2005). It does, however, carry a risk of pregnancy loss of up to 5% in DC twins (Bigelow et al., 2014) and up to 11% in MC twins (Gaerty et al., 2015), consistent
with the rate of neonatal deaths in the unaffected co-twin in our study. The risk of FDU of the unaffected co-twin is reported to be greatest in the two weeks post-procedure (Rossi & D'Addario, 2009). These findings must be taken into consideration when counselling parents about management options. ST in MC twins is a viable option and a meta-analysis by Rossi and D'Addario (2009) showed that outcomes were better for MC twins undergoing ST after 18 weeks, which is contrary to findings for ST in DC twins. Unfortunately, ST does not reduce perinatal mortality overall (Lust et al., 2008).

Considering the risks associated with ST (including miscarriage, infection, and prelabor preterm rupture of the membranes), as well as the findings of some studies that there is no increased risk of preterm delivery in twin pregnancies discordant for fetal anomalies (Chang et al., 2004; Linskens et al., 2011), it is important to consider expectant management options. Close antenatal monitoring with serial ultrasound examinations for the early diagnosis of polyhydramnios is one option. Although some will develop polyhydramnios, approximately 65% of these cases can be managed expectantly, and amnioreduction or ST can be considered for the remainder of cases (Vandecruys et al., 2006).

When comparing the rates of delivery <34 weeks for the NTD cases (independent of management type) and the control group, 45% of all cases were delivered <34 weeks' gestation compared with only 12% of controls, but the small sample size reduced the strength of the evidence for a difference between groups. The preterm birth rates in our study overall reflect the known higher rates of preterm delivery seen with normal twin gestations (Goldenberg et al., 1996; Petit et al., 2011).

With respect to neonatal outcomes, the unaffected co-twin is no more likely to be small for gestational age or require admission to NICU or SCN than matched controls. MC pregnancies have added complexities for management, and it is well described that neurological injury and preterm delivery in MC twins are the most common cause of neonatal morbidity. Death of an affected twin in utero also places the unaffected co-twin at a significant risk (Evans et al., 1994). Both of the MC co-twins in our study suffered poor neonatal outcomes.

Cesarean delivery rates were no different for cases and controls, and this supports the findings of Nassar et al. (2000), who assessed discordant major structural anomalies in twin pregnancies, including NTD. Interestingly, half of the affected twin pregnancies undergoing cesarean section for delivery was for the indication of malpresentation; however, this was likely gestation dependent.

The current study offers insight into the management dilemmas seen with twin pregnancies complicated by NTD. The main strength of the current study is that it is the first case-control analysis of twin pregnancies discordant for an NTD. The major limitation was the small number of cases available for analysis due to the rarity of the condition. The current study may therefore be underpowered to detect clinically important differences. Firm recommendations for management cannot be made without larger randomized controlled trials comparing management options.

Conclusions

Our study has confirmed that polyhydramnios and preterm delivery may complicate twin pregnancies affected with an NTD, but they are not universal. While ST is not without risk, it warrants serious consideration to avoid the potential complications to the unaffected co-twin.
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