

ON AN EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR AN ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC EQUATION INVOLVING VARIABLE EXPONENTS

MIHAI MIHĂILESCU

*Department of Mathematics, Central European University, 1051 Budapest, Hungary;
Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, 200585 Craiova, Romania
e-mail: mmihales@yahoo.com*

and GHEORGHE MOROȘANU

*Department of Mathematics, Central European University, 1051 Budapest, Hungary
e-mail: Morosanug@ceu.hu*

(Received 25 March 2009; revised 29 October 2009; accepted 21 February 2010)

Abstract. We study the eigenvalue problem $-\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i} (|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u) = \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, λ is a positive real number, and p_1, \dots, p_N, q are continuous functions satisfying the following conditions: $2 \leq p_i(x) < N$, $1 < q(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$; there exist $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $j \neq k$, such that $p_j \equiv q$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, q is independent of x_j and $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} q < \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_k$. The main result of this paper establishes the existence of two positive constants λ_0 and λ_1 with $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$ such that every $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$ is an eigenvalue, while no $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ can be an eigenvalue of the above problem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35D05, 35J60, 35J70, 58E05.

1. Introduction. The goal of this paper is to study the existence of solutions of the following anisotropic eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i} (|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u) = \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$) is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, λ is a positive number, and p_i, q are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $2 \leq p_i(x) < N$ and $q(x) > 1$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Our study is motivated by some recent advances on the eigenvalue problems for anisotropic operators involving variable exponent growth conditions obtained in [19]. Considering different cases regarding the variable exponents $p_i(x)$ and $q(x)$ involved in equation (1), the authors of [19] found certain interesting results that will be briefly presented in what follows:

- In the case when $\max\{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} p_1, \dots, \max_{\bar{\Omega}} p_N\} < \min_{\bar{\Omega}} q$ and q has a subcritical growth, a mountain pass argument can be applied in order to show that any $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) (see [19, Theorem 2]).
- In the case when $\min_{\bar{\Omega}} q < \min\{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_1, \dots, \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_N\}$ and q has a subcritical growth, using Ekeland's variational principle, one can prove the existence of a

continuous family of eigenvalues lying in a neighbourhood of the origin (see [19, Theorem 4]).

- In the case when $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} q < \min\{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_1, \dots, \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_N\}$ it can be proved that the energy functional associated with problem (1) has a non-trivial (global) minimum point for any positive λ large enough and, consequently, any positive λ large enough is an eigenvalue of problem (1) (see [19, Theorem 3]). Obviously, in this case the above result can also be applied and, thus, in this situation there exist two positive constants λ^* and λ^{**} such that every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \cup (\lambda^{**}, \infty)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) (see [19, Corollary 1]).

Our paper supplements the above results on problem (1) by considering a new case, when there exist $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $j \neq k$ such that p_j is independent of x_j ,

$$p_j(x) = q(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{\bar{\Omega}} q < \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_k.$$

In this situation it will be proved that small values of λ cannot be eigenvalues of problem (1) while every λ large enough is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

On the other hand, we point out that our study extends to the case of anisotropic equations the results obtained in [22] and generalizes some other existing results on eigenvalue problems involving variable exponent growth conditions [11, 12, 20, 21, 23]. Finally, we note that equations of type (1) are models for various phenomena which arise from the study of electrorheological fluids (see [7, 14, 20, 29, 30]), image processing (see [6]), or the theory of elasticity (see [35]).

2. Abstract framework. In this section we recall some definitions and basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . We will also introduce an adequate functional space where problems of type (1) can be studied. Such a space will be called an anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space and it can be characterized as a functional space of Sobolev’s type in which different space directions have different roles.

Set $C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \{h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x) > 1\}$. For $h \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ we define

$$h^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} h(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} h(x).$$

For $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$, we introduce *the variable exponent Lebesgue space*

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u : u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\},$$

endowed with the so-called *Luxemburg norm*

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is a separable and reflexive Banach space. If $|\Omega| < \infty$ and p_1, p_2 are variable exponents in $C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $p_1 \leq p_2$ in Ω , then the embedding $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Let $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ be the conjugate space of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, obtained by conjugating the exponent pointwise, that is, $1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1$. For every $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in$

$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the following Hölder type inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)} \tag{2}$$

is valid.

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces is played by the $p(\cdot)$ -modular of the $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p(\cdot)} : L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx.$$

If $u_n, u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ then the following implications hold

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}, \tag{3}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}, \tag{4}$$

$$\|u_n - u\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

since $p^+ < \infty$.

Next, we define $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_0^1(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

We point out that the above norm is equivalent with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

provided that $p(x) \geq 2$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ (see [18]). Hence $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a separable, reflexive Banach space. Note that if $s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $s(x) < p^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, where $p^*(x) = Np(x)/[N - p(x)]$ if $p(x) < N$ and $p^*(x) = \infty$ if $p(x) \geq N$, then the embedding $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is compact. For proofs, details and further results on variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces we refer to Musielak’s book [24] and the papers of Kováčik and Rákosník [17], Edmunds et al. [8–10], Samko and Vakulov [31], while for applications of such kind of spaces to the study of partial differential equations we refer to [1–7, 15, 19–23, 26, 29, 30, 35].

Finally, we introduce a natural generalization of the variable exponent Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ that will enable us to study problem (1) with sufficient accuracy. For this purpose, let us denote by $\vec{p} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ the vectorial function $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$. We define $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, the *anisotropic variable exponents Sobolev space*, as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{p_i(\cdot)}.$$

As it was pointed out in [19], $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space.

We also note that in the case when p_i are all constant functions, the resulting anisotropic Sobolev space is denoted by $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$, where \vec{p} is the constant vector (p_1, \dots, p_N) . The theory of such spaces was developed in [13, 25, 27, 33, 34].

On the other hand, in order to facilitate the manipulation of the space $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ we introduce $\vec{P}_+, \vec{P}_- \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as

$$\vec{P}_+ = (p_1^+, \dots, p_N^+), \quad \vec{P}_- = (p_1^-, \dots, p_N^-)$$

and $P_+, P_-, P_- \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as

$$P_+ = \max\{p_1^+, \dots, p_N^+\}, \quad P_- = \max\{p_1^-, \dots, p_N^-\}, \quad P_- = \min\{p_1^-, \dots, p_N^-\}.$$

Throughout this paper we assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i^-} > 1, \tag{6}$$

and define $P_-^* \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $P_{-, \infty} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$P_-^* = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1/p_i^- - 1}, \quad P_{-, \infty} = \max\{P_-, P_-^*\}.$$

We recall that if $s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies $1 < s(x) < P_{-, \infty}$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then the embedding $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is compact (see [19, Theorem 1]).

3. The main result. We say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an *eigenvalue* of problem (1) if there exists $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} \varphi - \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u \varphi \right\} dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ an eigenvalue of problem (1) the function u from the above definition will be called a *weak solution* of problem (1) corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

In this paper our basic assumptions on the functions p_i, q involved in equation (1) will be the following:

- (A1) Assume that there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $q(x) = q(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N)$ (i.e. q is independent of x_j) and $p_j(x) = q(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.
- (A2) Assume that there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ($k \neq j$ with j given in (A1)) such that

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} q(x) < \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p_k(x).$$

Define the Rayleigh type quotients λ_0 and λ_1 associated with problem (1) by

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_i u|^{p_i(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx}, \quad \lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i(x)} |\partial_i u|^{p_i(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx}.$$

The main result of this paper is given by the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Assume that conditions (A1) and (A2) are fulfilled. Then $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$ and every $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1), while no $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ can be an eigenvalue of problem (1).*

REMARK 1. At this stage, we are not able to say whether $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1$ or $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1$. In the latter case, an interesting question concerns the existence of eigenvalues of problem (1) in the interval $[\lambda_0, \lambda_1]$. We propose to the reader the study of these open problems.

REMARK 2. The result of Theorem 1 also supplements some earlier *classical* results on eigenvalue problems. For instance, in the case when in equation (1) we consider $p_i(x) = q(x) = 2$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, a basic result in the elementary theory of partial differential equations asserts that the spectrum of the negative Laplace operator (in $H_0^1(\Omega)$) is *discrete* (if Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary). This celebrated result goes back to the Riesz–Fredholm theory of self-adjoint and compact operators on Banach spaces. Furthermore, in the case when in equation (1) we have $p_i(x) = q(x) = p$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, with $p > 1$ a given constant, then the operator involved in the equation is similar with the p -Laplace operator, i.e. $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$. In this case the Lusternik–Schnirelman theory asserts that the spectrum of the negative p -Laplace operator contains at least an unbounded sequence of positive eigenvalues, say $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \leq \dots$. Unfortunately, to our best knowledge, nothing is known in general about the possible existence of other eigenvalues in (μ_1, ∞) . However, it is known (see [4]) that μ_1 is an isolated point of the spectrum (actually, μ_1 is given by the infimum of the Rayleigh quotient which defines λ_1 above).

We point out that in the two cases presented above the two Rayleigh quotients, which define λ_1 and λ_0 , are equal and consequently, in these two cases, we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0$. Clearly, that fact is a consequence of the homogeneity of the equations in these two particular cases. The loss of homogeneity in the case emphasized in Theorem 1 will lead to a *continuous* spectrum for problem (1).

4. An auxiliary result. A key result in proving Theorem 1 is given by the following proposition which extends the result of relation (11) in [13]. The proof of this result is inspired by the proof of relation (11) in [13].

PROPOSITION 1. *Assume that condition (A1) is fulfilled. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(a_j, q^+)$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_j} u|^{q(x)} dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

Proof. First, we recall the definition of the *width* of the domain Ω in a direction. Consider that $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ is the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^N . We say that Ω has *width* $a_i > 0$ in the e_i direction if

$$\sup_{x,y \in \Omega} (x - y, e_i) = a_i.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\Omega \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \quad 0 < x_j \leq a_j\}.$$

For each $u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ we put

$$v(x) = u(x)\partial_{x_j}u(x).$$

Next, we extend u and v on the whole \mathbb{R}^N by setting 0 outside $\text{supp}(u)$ and $\text{supp}(v)$. For each $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ let us denote $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. In order to emphasize the j th component of x we will write $x = (x_j, x')$.

With the above notation we have $q(x) = q(x')$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{|u(a_j, x')|^{q(x')} - |u(0, x')|^{q(x')}}{q(x')} = \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v(t, x') dt \\ &= \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt - \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^-(t, x') dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $v^\pm(t, x') = \max\{0, \pm v(t, x')\}$.

On the other hand, the following equality holds true

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} |v(t, x')| dt &= \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^-(t, x') dt. \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply

$$\int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} |v(t, x')| dt.$$

Using the last relation and some elementary estimates we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_j, x')|^{q(x')} &= q(x') \int_0^{x_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v(t, x') dt \\ &\leq q(x') \int_0^{x_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt \\ &\leq q(x') \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt \\ &= \frac{q(x')}{2} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-1} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')| dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j \in (0, a_j)$. Now, using Young’s inequality, we deduce that

$$|u(t, x')|^{q(x')-1} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')| \leq \frac{q(x') - 1}{q(x')} \varepsilon^{\frac{q(x')}{q(x')-1}} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} + \frac{1}{q(x') \varepsilon^{q(x')}} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')},$$

for all $(t, x') \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

The last two relations yield

$$|u(x_j, x')|^{q(x')} \leq \frac{q(x') - 1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{q(x')}{q(x')-1}} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{q(x')}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt,$$

for all $x_j \in (0, a_j)$, all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. Integrating the above inequality with respect to $x_j \in (0, a_j)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt &\leq a_j \frac{q(x') - 1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{q(x')}{q(x')-1}} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{a_j}{2\varepsilon^{q(x')}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. Next, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we find

$$\left[1 - a_j \frac{q^+ - 1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{q^+}{q^+-1}} \right] \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt \leq \frac{a_j}{2\varepsilon^{q^+}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt,$$

for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Obviously, there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, small enough, such that

$$\alpha := 1 - a_j \frac{q^+ - 1}{2} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{q^+}{q^+-1}} > 0.$$

Thus, we find

$$\int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt \leq \frac{a_j}{2\alpha \varepsilon_0^{q^+}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt.$$

Finally, letting $C = \frac{a_j}{2\alpha \varepsilon_0^{q^+}}$ and integrating the last inequality with respect to $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we conclude

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_j} u|^{q(x)} dx,$$

for every $u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$.

The proof of Proposition 1 is complete. □

5. Proof of the main result. From now on E denotes the anisotropic variable exponent Orlicz–Sobolev space $W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Define the functionals $J, I, J_1, I_1 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

by

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i(x)} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} dx, \quad I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx,$$

$$J_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} dx, \quad I_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx.$$

Standard arguments imply that $J, I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ and their Fréchet derivatives are given by

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} v dx, \quad \langle I'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)-2} uv dx,$$

for all $u, v \in E$.

- First, we note that by Proposition 1 we can easily infer that

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J_1(u)}{I_1(u)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)} > 0.$$

- Second, we point out that no $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ can be an eigenvalue of problem (1). Indeed, assuming by contradiction that there exists $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ an eigenvalue of problem (1) it follows that there exists a $w_\lambda \in E \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\langle J'(w_\lambda), v \rangle = \lambda \langle I'(w_\lambda), v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in E.$$

Thus, for $v = w_\lambda$ we find

$$\langle J'(w_\lambda), w_\lambda \rangle = \lambda \langle I'(w_\lambda), w_\lambda \rangle,$$

that is,

$$J_1(w_\lambda) = \lambda I_1(w_\lambda).$$

The fact that $w_\lambda \in E \setminus \{0\}$ assures that $I_1(w_\lambda) > 0$. Since $\lambda < \lambda_0$, the above information yields

$$J_1(w_\lambda) \geq \lambda_0 I_1(w_\lambda) > \lambda I_1(w_\lambda) = J_1(w_\lambda).$$

Clearly, the above inequalities lead to a contradiction. Consequently, no $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ can be an eigenvalue of problem (1).

- Third, we will prove that every $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1). In order to do that, we need the following auxiliary result.

LEMMA 1.

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)} = \infty.$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that the conclusion of Lemma 1 does not hold true. Then there exists an $M > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a $u_n \in E$ with

$\|u_n\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} > n$ and

$$\frac{J(u_n)}{I(u_n)} \leq M. \tag{7}$$

While $\|u_n\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\{|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}\}_n$ (with k given by condition (A2)) is either bounded or unbounded.

On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^-} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^+} dx, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Next, using relation (11) in [13] we find that there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^-} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^+} dx \leq c_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_k} u|^{q^-} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_k} u|^{q^+} dx \right), \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Since by condition (A2) we have $q^+ < p_k^- \leq P_-^+ \leq P_{-\infty}$ we deduce that $L^{p_k(\cdot)}$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q^+}(\Omega)$. The above pieces of information lead to the existence of a positive constant c_2 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} \leq c_2 [|\partial_{x_k} u|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^+} + |\partial_{x_k} u|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^-}], \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{8}$$

If $\{|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}\}_n$ is bounded then by inequality (8) we have that $\{J(u_n)\}_n$ is also bounded while by relation (19) in [19] we have that

$$J(u_n) \geq c_3 \|u_n\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}^{P_-^+} - c_4, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where c_3 and c_4 are two positive constants. Consequently, in this case we obtain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(u_n)}{I(u_n)} = \infty$ which contradicts (7).

Now, we assume that $|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, on a subsequence of u_n denoted again u_n . We can assume that $|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)} > 1$ for all n . Using relations (3) and (8) we find

$$\frac{J(u_n)}{I(u_n)} \geq \frac{c_5 \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_k} u_n|^{p_k(x)} dx}{c_2 [|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^+} + |\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^-}]} \geq \frac{c_5 |\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{p_k^-}}{c_2 [|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^+} + |\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^-}]} \quad \forall u \in E, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where c_5 is a positive constant. Since by condition (A2) we have $p_k^- > q^+$ the above inequalities show that $J(u_n)/I(u_n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts again (7).

Therefore, the conclusion of Lemma 1 is valid. □

Now, we are prepared to show that every $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

Let $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$ be arbitrary but fixed. Define $T_\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T_\lambda(u) = J(u) - \lambda I(u).$$

Clearly, $T_\lambda \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\langle T'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \langle J'(u), v \rangle - \lambda \langle I'(u), v \rangle, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Thus, λ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) if and only if there exists $u_\lambda \in E \setminus \{0\}$ a critical point of T_λ .

By Lemma 1 we get that T_λ is coercive, i.e. $\lim_{\|u\|_{\vec{p}(x)} \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(u) = \infty$. On the other hand, similar arguments as those used in the proof of [20, Lemma 3.4] show that the functional T_λ is weakly lower semi-continuous. These two facts enable us to apply [32, Theorem 1.2] in order to prove that there exists $u_\lambda \in E$ a global minimum point of T_λ and thus, a critical point of T_λ . In order to conclude that λ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) it is enough to show that u_λ is not trivial. Indeed, since $\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)}$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$ it follows that there exists $v_\lambda \in E$ such that

$$J(v_\lambda) < \lambda I(v_\lambda),$$

or

$$T_\lambda(v_\lambda) < 0.$$

Thus,

$$\inf_E T_\lambda < 0$$

and we conclude that u_λ is a non-trivial critical point of T_λ , that is λ is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

- Finally, we note that by the above arguments we can infer that $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank the referee for some useful comments and suggestions that lead to an improved version of the paper. The first author has been supported by Grant CNCSIS PNII-79/2007 ‘Degenerate and Singular Nonlinear Processes’.

REFERENCES

1. E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for a class of functionals with nonstandard growth, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **156** (2001), 121–140.
2. E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Gradient estimates for the $p(x)$ -Laplacian system, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **584** (2005), 117–148.
3. C. O. Alves and M. A. Souto, Existence of solutions for a class of problems in \mathbb{R}^N involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian, in *Contributions to nonlinear analysis, a tribute to D.G. de Figueiredo on the occasion of his 70th birthday* (Cazenave T., Costa D., Lopes O., Manàsevich R., Rabinowitz R., Ruf R. and Tomei C., Editors), Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol. **66** (Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 2006), 17–32.
4. A. Anane, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du p -laplacien avec poids, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I* **305** (1987), 725–728.
5. J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems on a bounded domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **306** (2005), 604–618.
6. Y. Chen, S. Levine and M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image processing, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66** (2006), 1383–1406.
7. L. Diening, Theoretical and numerical results for electrorheological fluids, PhD thesis (University of Fribourg, Germany, 2002).
8. D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang and A. Nekvinda, On $L^{p(x)}$ norms, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **455** (1999), 219–225.
9. D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, Density of smooth functions in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **437** (1992), 229–236.

10. D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, *Studia Math.* **143** (2000), 267–293.
11. X. Fan, Remarks on eigenvalue problems involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **352** (2009), 85–98.
12. X. Fan, Q. Zhang and D. Zhao, Eigenvalues of $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **302** (2005), 306–317.
13. I. Fragalà, F. Gazzola and B. Kawohl, Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **21** (2004), 715–734.
14. T. C. Halsey, Electrorheological fluids, *Science* **258** (1992), 761–766.
15. P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Koskenoja and S. Varonen, The Dirichlet energy integral and variable exponent Sobolev spaces with zero boundary values, *Potential Anal.* **25** (2006), 79–94.
16. P. Hästö, On the density of continuous functions in variable exponent Sobolev spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **23** (2007), 74–82.
17. O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$, *Czech. Math. J.* **41** (1991), 592–618.
18. M. Mihăilescu and G. Moroşanu, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for an anisotropic elliptic problem involving variable exponent growth conditions, *Appl. Anal.* **89** (2010), 257–271.
19. M. Mihăilescu, P. Pucci and V. Rădulescu, Eigenvalue problems for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponent, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2008), 687–698.
20. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **462** (2006), 2625–2641.
21. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 2929–2937.
22. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, Continuous spectrum for a class of nonhomogeneous differential operators, *Manuscr. Math.* **125** (2008), 157–167.
23. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, Spectrum in an unbounded interval for a class of nonhomogeneous differential operators, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40** (6) (2008), 972–984.
24. J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **1034** (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
25. S. M. Nikol'skii, On imbedding, continuation and approximation theorems for differentiable functions of several variables, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **16** (1961), 55–104.
26. C. Pfeiffer, C. Mavroidis, Y. Bar-Cohen and B. Dolgin, Electrorheological fluid based force feedback device, in *Proc. 1999 SPIE Telemanipulator and Telepresence Technologies VI Conf.*, vol. 3840 (Boston, MA, 1999), pp. 88–99.
27. J. Rákosník, Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces I, *Beitr. Anal.* **13** (1979), 55–68.
28. J. Rákosník, Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces II, *Beitr. Anal.* **15** (1981), 127–140.
29. K. R. Rajagopal and M. Ruzicka, Mathematical modelling of electrorheological fluids, *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.* **13** (2001), 59–78.
30. M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological fluids: Modeling and mathematical theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002).
31. S. Samko and B. Vakulov, Weighted Sobolev theorem with variable exponent for spatial and spherical potential operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **310** (2005), 229–246.
32. M. Struwe, *Variational methods: Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems* (Springer, Heidelberg, 1996).
33. M. Troisi, Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi, *Ricerche Mat.* **18** (1969), 3–24.
34. L. Ven' tuan, On embedding theorems for spaces of functions with partial derivatives of various degree of summability, *Vestn. Leningr. Univ.* **16** (1961), 23–37.
35. V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR Izv.* **29** (1987), 33–66.