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In this note, we show that the totally geodesic sphere, Clifford torus and Cartan hypersurface are the only compact minimal hypersurfaces in $S^4(1)$ with constant scalar curvature if the Ricci curvature is not less than $-1$.

Let $M$ be a compact minimal hypersurface in a unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$. It is well-known that if $S \leq n$, $M$ is a totally geodesic hypersurface or Clifford torus, where $S$ is the squared norm of the second fundamental form (see Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [3]). Yau [8] also characterised the totally geodesic hypersurface and Clifford torus by the sectional curvature which is not less than 0. When $n = 3$, the author and Jiang [2] proved that if the Ricci curvature is not less than $1/2$, then $M$ is totally geodesic. Doi [5] proved that if the Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(M) \geq 0$ and the scalar curvature is constant, then $M$ is a totally geodesic hypersurface or Clifford torus. On the other hand, we also know that the following Cartan hypersurface has constant scalar curvature and its Ricci curvature is not less than $-1$:

$$2x_5^3 + 3(x_1^2 + x_2^2)x_5 - 6(x_3^2 + x_4^2)x_5 + 3\sqrt{3}(x_1^2 - x_2^2)x_4 + 6\sqrt{3}x_1x_2x_3 = 2,$$

where $(x_1, \ldots, x_5)$ is the natural coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Hence, the known examples of 3-dimensional compact minimal hypersurfaces in the unit sphere $S^4(1)$ with constant scalar curvature are only the totally geodesic sphere $S^3(1)$, Clifford torus and Cartan hypersurface above. Their Ricci curvatures are not less than $-1$. A natural problem is that if $M$ is a compact minimal hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant scalar curvature and its Ricci curvature is not less than $-1$, then is it one of the above minimal hypersurfaces?

In this note, we give an affirmative answer for the above problem, that is, we prove the following:
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THEOREM. Let \( M \) be a 3-dimensional compact minimal hypersurface in a unit sphere \( S^4(1) \) with constant scalar curvature. If the Ricci curvature of \( M \) is not less than \(-1\), then \( M \) is totally geodesic, or a Clifford torus or a Cartan hypersurface.

PROOF: For any point \( p \) in \( M \), we choose a local orthonormal frame field such that \( h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij} \), where \( h_{ij} \) is the component of the second fundamental form. We consider function \( f = \sum \lambda_i^2 \) which does not depend on the choice of the local orthonormal frame field. If \( f \) is constant, then \( M \) has constant principal curvatures since \( M \) is minimal and has constant scalar curvature. Hence \( M \) is an isoparametric hypersurface. According to the classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces due to Cartan when \( n = 3 \), we know that Theorem is true. Next we will prove that \( f \) must be constant.

(a) If there exists a point \( p \) in \( M \) such that \( f(p) = 0 \), we have, at point \( p \),

(1) \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0 \)

(2) \( \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = S \),

(3) \( \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = 0 \)

where \( S \) is the squared norm of the second fundamental form. According to the Gaussian equation, we get that \( S \) is constant since \( M \) has constant scalar curvature. From (1), (2) and (3), we have \( \lambda_1 = -\sqrt{S/2} \), \( \lambda_2 = 0 \) and \( \lambda_3 = \sqrt{S/2} \) at point \( p \). From the Gaussian equation and the assumptions of the Theorem, we obtain

\[ R_{ii} = 2 - \lambda_i^2 \geq -1, \]

where \( R_{ii} \) is the component of the Ricci curvature tensor. Hence, at point \( p \), \( R_{11} = 2 - S/2 \geq -1 \). Thus \( S \leq 6 \). Since \( S \) is constant, we know that \( S = 0 \), 3 or 6 on \( M \) from the result in [1]. Then [4, Corollary 1] due to de Almeida and Brito implies that \( M \) is isoparametric. Therefore the Theorem is valid in this case.

(b) If \( f(p) \neq 0 \) for any point \( p \) in \( M \), without loss of generality, we assume \( f < 0 \). Because \( M \) is compact, we know that there exists a point \( p \) in \( M \) such that \( f(p) = \max f < 0 \). Therefore, at point \( p \),

\[ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0 \]

\[ \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = S, \]

\[ \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = \max f. \]

From the following Lemma, we know that \( f \) is constant or \( \lambda_1 \), \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are distinct at point \( p \).

**Lemma.** (See Okumura [6]) Let \( a_1 \), \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) be real numbers satisfying \( \sum a_i = 0 \) and \( \sum a_i^2 = k^2 \) for \( k > 0 \). Then

\[ \left| \sum a_i^2 \right| \leq k^2/\sqrt{6}. \]
The equality holds if and only if two of them are equal with each other.

If \( f \) is constant, then the Theorem is valid. If \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are distinct at point \( p \), from the assumptions of the Theorem and \( f(p) = \max f \), we have

\[
\sum h_{ii}^k = 0 \quad \text{for any } k, \\
\sum \lambda_i h_{ii}^k = 0 \quad \text{for any } k, \\
\sum \lambda_i^2 h_{ii}^k = 0 \quad \text{for any } k,
\]

where \( h_{ij}^k \) is the component of the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form. Therefore from (4), (5) and (6), we conclude that \( h_{ii}^k = 0 \) for any \( i \) and \( k \) since \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are distinct. A direct and simple computation yields

\[
\Delta f = 3(3 - S)f + 6 \sum \lambda_i h_{ij}^k,
\]

where \( \Delta \) is the Laplacian on \( M \). Since \( f \) obtains its maximum at point \( p \), we get

\[
(3 - S)f + 2 \sum \lambda_i h_{ij}^k \leq 0.
\]

Because \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0 \) and \( h_{ii}^k = 0 \) for any \( i \) and \( k \), we have

\[
\sum \lambda_i h_{ij}^k = (1/3) \sum (\lambda_i + \lambda_j + \lambda_k) h_{ij}^k \\
= (1/3) \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j + \lambda_k) h_{ij}^k + \sum_{i \neq k} (2\lambda_i + \lambda_k) h_{ij}^k + \sum \lambda_i h_{ii}^k \\
= 0.
\]

Hence \( (3 - S)f \leq 0 \). Thus \( S \leq 3 \) from \( f(p) < 0 \). From the result due to Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [3], we obtain that \( M \) is totally geodesic or a Clifford torus.

From (a) and (b), we complete the proof of the Theorem.

Making use of the generalised maximum principle due to Omori [7] and Yau [9] and the similar proof to the one of the Theorem, we can prove the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( M \) be a 3-dimensional complete minimal hypersurface in \( S^4(1) \) with constant scalar curvature. If the Ricci curvature of \( M \) is not less than \( -1 \), then \( S = 0, 3 \) or \( 6 \), where \( S \) is the squared norm of the second fundamental form.
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