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#### Abstract

In this paper we study some aspects of the behaviour of $p$-lattice summing operators. We prove first that an operator $T$ from a Banach space $E$ to a Banach lattice $X$ is $p$-lattice summing if and only if its bitranspose is. Using this theorem we prove a characterization for 1-lattice summing operators defined on a $C(K)$ space by means of the representing measure, which shows that in this case 1-lattice and $\infty$-lattice summing operators coincide. We present also some results for the case $1 \leq p<\infty$ on $C(K, E)$.


1. Introduction. In this paper we present some results concerning the behaviour of $p$-lattice summing operators on spaces of continuous functions. In the first section, using the Local Reflexivity Principle and some properties of Banach lattices, we prove that an operator is $p$-lattice summing if and only if its bitranspose is $p$-lattice summing also. This is an important result related to the representation of $p$-lattice summing operators defined on spaces of continuous functions by means of a vector measure. The relation between an operator and its representing measure has been considered by many authors (see for instance [2], [3], [4], [5] and [6]).

In the second section we obtain some results in the case where the operators are defined on a $C(K)$ space (space of real continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space $K$ ) by means of the representing measure: we characterize 1 -lattice summing operators and prove that they coincide with $\infty$-lattice summing operators. For $1<p<\infty$ we show necessary conditions for an operator on $C(K)$ and $C(K, E)$ to be $p$-lattice summing. We give also some examples and partial results for operators defined on a $C(K, E)$ space (space of vector-valued continuous functions, from a compact Hausdorff space $K$ to a Banach space $E$ ).

Throughout this paper $E$ and $F$ will be Banach spaces, and $X, Y$ will be Banach lattices. We will denote by $E^{\prime}$ the topological dual of $E, B_{E}$ the closed unit ball in $E$, and $J_{E}: E \longrightarrow$ $E^{\prime \prime}$ will be the natural inclusion. We will consider only real vector spaces.

For $p \in R$, let $q=p /(p-1)$, so that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. An operator $T$ (linear and continuous) from a Banach space $E$ to a Banach lattice $X$ is $p$-lattice summing ( $p$ l. s.) if there is a constant $K>0$ such that for each finite family $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{X} \leq K \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right) \text { if } 1 \leq p<\infty \\
\left\|\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|\right\|_{X} \leq K \max \left\{\left\|x_{i}\right\|, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \text { if } p=\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right) & =\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left\langle x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, x^{\prime} \in B_{E^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right\|_{E}, a_{i} \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|^{q} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} T x_{i}, a_{i} \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|^{q} \leq 1\right\}
$$

in the form described by Krivine's calculus for 1-homogeneous continuous functions ([8]).

The smallest constant $K$ satisfying the inequalities above is denoted by $\lambda_{p}(T)$ (or $\left.\lambda_{\infty}(T)\right)$, and defines a Banach norm in the space $\Lambda_{p}(E, X)$ of $p$ l. s. operators from $E$ to $X$. Operators in $\Lambda_{\infty}(E, X)$ are called also majorizing operators ([12]).

Some general results about these classes of operators can be found in Nielsen and Szulga's papers [9], [15] (in which they compare these operators with the absolutely summing operators defined by Pietsch ([10], [11]) ), or in Schaefer's book [12].

If $T$ is an operator between two Banach lattices $X$ and $Y, T$ is called order-bounded if it maps order bounded sets of $X$ into order bounded sets of $Y . T$ is called regular if there exist positive operators $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ from $X$ to $Y$ such that $T=T_{1}-T_{2}$. If $Y$ is an order complete Banach lattice (for example the dual of a Banach lattice), regular and order bounded operators are the same for all lattices $X$, and it is possible to define the modulus of $T$ :

$$
|T|(x)=\sup \{|T z|,|z| \leq x\} \text { for any } x \geq 0 \text { in } \mathrm{X} .
$$

The class of regular operators between $X$ and $Y, L^{r}(X, Y)$, is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|T\|_{r}=\inf \left\{\left\|T_{1}+T_{2}\right\|, T_{1}, T_{2} \geq 0, T_{1}-T_{2}=T\right\}
$$

and in the case where $Y$ is an order complete Banach lattice, $L^{r}(X, Y)$ is a Banach lattice too, and $\|T\|_{r}=\||T|\|$ for all $T$ in $L^{r}(X, Y)$.

Other properties of these operators are given in references [1], and [12].
Now let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff space, $\beta_{0}(K)$ the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$, and $C(K)$ the space of real-valued continuous functions on $K$. The representation theorem shows that each operator $T: C(K) \longrightarrow F$ ( $F$ a Banach space) determines a unique vector measure $m: \beta_{0}(K) \longrightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$, such that for each $f \in C(K), T(f)=\int f d m$, with some special regularity properties. This measure is defined by $m(A)=T^{\prime \prime}(\chi(A))$, where $\chi(A)$ is the characteristic function of $A\left(A \in \beta_{0}(K)\right)$, and is called the representing measure of $T$.

And there is also a representation theorem for operators defined on a space of vector valued continuous functions: if $E$ and $F$ are Banach spaces, and $T: C(K, E) \longrightarrow F$ is an operator, there is a vector measure $m$ on $\beta_{0}(K)$, with values in $L\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that for each $f \in C(K, E), T(f)=\int f d m$. In this case the measure is defined by $m(A)(x)=T^{\prime \prime}(\chi(A) \cdot x)$ for each $x \in E$ and each Borel set $A$ in $K$, and has also some regularity properties which make it unique. $m$ is called again the representing measure of $T$.

Classical references for this theory are [2], [3] and [5].
2. Bitranspose of $p$-lattice summing operators. For the proof of the main result in this section, we use the Local Reflexivity Principle, in a version of Pietsch ([11]). And we shall use also two standard properties of Banach lattices. As a consequence of Krivine's calculus for 1-homogeneous continuous functions in Banach lattices we have the following property: let $X$ be a closed sublattice of a Banach lattice $Y$, and $J: X \longrightarrow Y$ the inclusion; for each 1-homogeneous continuous function $f: R^{n} \longrightarrow R$ and for each finite family $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$, we have that

$$
J\left(f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=f\left(J\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, J\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

because of the unicity of Krivine's calculus.
The second property is called the Fatou property: let $X^{\prime}$ be the topological dual of a Banach lattice $X$, and let $\left\{y_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an upwards directed family in $X^{\prime}$ with $y_{\alpha} \geq 0$ and $\left\|y_{\alpha}\right\| \leq M$; then there exists $y=\sup \left\{y_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $X^{\prime},\|y\|=\sup \left\{\left\|y_{\alpha}\right\|\right\}$ and $y_{\alpha}$ converges to $y$ in the weak* topology.

Classical references for the theory of Banach lattices are [8] and [12].
Theorem 1. Let $E$ be a Banach space and $T: E \longrightarrow F$ an operator. Then, given $p$, $1 \leq p<\infty, T$ is $p$ l. s. if and only if $T^{\prime \prime}: E^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is. Moreover, $\lambda_{p}(T)=\lambda_{p}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

PROOF. Using the remarks above it is obvious that if $T^{\prime \prime}: E^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is $p$ 1. s., $T$ must be $p$ l. s. too, and $\lambda_{p}(T) \leq \lambda_{p}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

So, take $x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ in $E^{\prime \prime}$. We have to find a suitable estimate for the norm $\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|$.

For each finite set $C$ in the closed unit ball $B_{q}$ of $\left(R^{n},\|\cdot\|_{q}\right)$, we define

$$
y_{C}^{\prime \prime}=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in C \cup\{0\}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\sup _{C} y_{C}^{\prime \prime}
$$

The family $\left\{y_{C}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{C}$ is an increasing net of positive vectors in $X^{\prime \prime}$, bounded in norm (by the norm of the supremum), and therefore by the Fatou property there exists $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\sup _{C} y_{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left\langle y_{C}^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ converges to $\left\langle y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for all $y^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$.

So given $\epsilon>0$ there exists $y^{\prime}$ in $X, y^{\prime} \geq 0$, with $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1$ and such that

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta}}\right\|=\left\|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq\left\langle y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle+\epsilon \leq\left\langle y_{C_{0}}^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle+2 \epsilon
$$

for some finite set $C_{0}=\left\{a^{1}, \ldots, a^{m}\right\}$ in $B_{q}$, with $0 \in C_{0}$. By [12] II.5.5. and II.4.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j} T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\sup \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j}\left\langle T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right), \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j}^{\prime}=y^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime} \geq 0\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j}\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, T^{\prime} y_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)+\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{m}^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$, with $y_{j}^{\prime} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq m$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j}^{\prime}=y^{\prime}$.
We use now the Local Reflexivity Principle: given the linear spans $G=\left[x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ in $E^{\prime \prime}$, and $H=\left[T^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, T^{\prime} y_{m}^{\prime}\right]$ in $E^{\prime}$, and given $t>0$, there is an operator $R: G \longrightarrow E$ such that $\|R\| \leq 1+t$, and $\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle R x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for every $x^{\prime}$ in $H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n([11])$.

Writing $x_{i}=R x_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, we have for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$
\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, T^{\prime} y_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{i}, T^{\prime} y_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle T x_{i}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{x^{\prime \prime}} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j}\left\langle T x_{i}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)+3 \epsilon \\
& \leq\left\langle\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j} T x_{i}, 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle+3 \epsilon \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{X}+3 \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

As by hypothesis $T$ is $p$ l. s.,

$$
\left\|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime \prime}} \leq \lambda_{p}(T) \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right)+3 \epsilon
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right) & =\sup \left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right\|_{E}, a_{i} \in R, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|^{q} \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left\|R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{E}, a_{i} \in R, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|^{q} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \leq\|R\| \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right) \leq(1+t) \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have obtained that for every $\epsilon>0$ and every $t>0$

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{X^{\prime \prime}} \leq \lambda_{p}(T) \cdot(1+t) \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right)+3 \epsilon .
$$

Thus $T^{\prime \prime}$ is $p$ l. s. Also we have obtained that $\lambda_{p}(T) \geq \lambda_{p}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and we have finished the proof.

Remarks. 1. The Local Reflexivity Principle can be used in the same way to give a direct proof of the well known result of Pietsch which asserts that for every operator $T$ between two Banach spaces $E$ and $F, T$ is absolutely $(p, r)$-summing if and only if $T^{\prime \prime}$ from $E^{\prime \prime}$ to $F^{\prime \prime}$ is: one has just to bound the expression $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ with another one of the form $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left\langle T^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\epsilon$ with $y_{i}^{\prime} \in F^{\prime},\left\|y_{i}^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1,1 \leq i \leq n([11],[13])$.
2. With the same technique we can give a direct proof of Theorem 1 in the case $p=\infty$. This case is proved in [12] using the duality between $\infty$-lattice summing operators and cone-absolutely summing operators.
3. $p$-Lattice summing operators on spaces of continuous functions. In this section we are going to study the behaviour of $p$ l. s. operators defined on $C(K)$ and $C(K, E)$ spaces. We shall denote by $S(K)$ the space of simple Borel functions on $K$, and by $B(K)$ the space of functions which are uniform limits of simple functions, with the supremum norm. $B(K)$ is a closed subspace of $C(K)^{\prime \prime}$. By considering $C(K)$ as a Banach lattice (with the pointwise order), $C(K)$ is a closed sublattice of $B(K)$, and $B(K)$ is a closed sublattice of $C(K)^{\prime \prime}$.

It is easy to see that Theorem 1 in the first section can be slightly modified in the case of operators defined on $E=C(K)$, in this form:

Theorem 2. Let $E$ be $C(K), X$ a Banach lattice and $T: E \longrightarrow X$ an operator. Then, given $1 \leq p \leq \infty, T$ is $p$ l. s. if and only if $\bar{T}=\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{B(K)}$ is $p$ l. s. too. Moreover, $\lambda_{p}(T)=\lambda_{p}(\bar{T})=\lambda_{p}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Beside this theorem, we shall use two lemmas, which are essentially known:
Lemma 1. Let E be a Banach space, $X$ be a Banach lattice and $T$ from $E$ to $X$ an operator. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $T$ is $\infty l$. $s$.
2. $T$ is $p l$. s. for every $p \geq 1$, and there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ such that $\lambda_{p}(T) \leq L$ for every $p \geq 1$.

Lemma 2. Let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff space, $X$ a Banach lattice and $T$ from $B(K)$ to $X$ an operator. If $T$ is positive, then $T$ is $\infty l$. s. The same result is true for positive operators $T: C(K) \longrightarrow X$. And it is also true for regular operators. For positive operators we have $\lambda_{\infty}(T)=\|T\|$, and for regular operators we have $\lambda_{\infty}(T) \leq\|T\|_{r}$.

Nielsen and Szulga proved in [9] that it is always true that given $E$ a Banach space and $X$ a Banach lattice,

$$
\Lambda_{\infty}(E, X) \subseteq \Lambda_{p}(E, X) \subseteq \Lambda_{2}(E, X)
$$

for any $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In the case where $E=C(K)$ we have obtained the next result, using only lattice techniques and representation theory, which is the main theorem in this section. As a consequence it holds that 1-lattice summing operators and majorizing operators on $C(K)$ coincide.

Theorem 3. Let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff space, $X$ be a Banach lattice, $T$ an operator from $C(K)$ to $X$, and $m$ the representing measure of $T$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. T is $1 \mathrm{l} . \mathrm{s}$.
2. There is a constant $L \geq 0$ such that for any finite family $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of $K$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|m\left(B_{i}\right)\right|\right\|_{X^{\prime \prime}} \leq L
$$

3. $\bar{T}=\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{B(K)}: B(K) \longrightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ is order-bounded (or regular since $X^{\prime \prime}$ is order complete).
Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 2$ ) Assume that T is 11 .s. Then $T^{\prime \prime}: C(K)^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ is also 11 . s. Let us take $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ in $\beta_{0}(K)$, pairwise disjoint. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|m\left(B_{i}\right)\right|\right\|_{X^{\prime \prime}} & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(B_{i}\right)\right)\right|\right\| \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left\langle\chi\left(B_{i}\right), \nu\right\rangle\right|, \nu \in B(K)^{\prime},\|\nu\| \leq 1\right\} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \sup \left\{\operatorname{var}(\nu), \nu \in B(K)^{\prime},\|\nu\| \leq 1\right\} \leq \lambda_{1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $L=\lambda_{\mathrm{I}}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
2) $\Rightarrow$ 3) We have now to prove that $T^{\prime \prime}$ maps order intervals of $B(K)$ into order intervals of $X^{\prime \prime}$. But any order interval of $B(K)$ is contained in a scalar multiple of the unit ball $[-\chi(K), \chi(K)]$, and so it is enough to prove that the image of this interval is order bounded in $X^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi\left(B_{i}\right)$ be a simple function, where $\left\{B_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint Borel sets of $K$, and such that $f$ belongs to $[-\chi(K), \chi(K)]$. Taken for each $i, t_{i} \in B_{i}$, we have $\left|a_{i}\right|=\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and thus

$$
|\bar{T}(f)|=\left|T^{\prime \prime}(f)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(B_{i}\right)\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(B_{i}\right)\right)\right|
$$

Consider $\Phi$ the class of all finite disjoint partitions of $K$ by sets in $\beta_{0}(K)$, ordered by inclusion, and define for each $P \in \Phi$

$$
y_{P}=\sum_{B \in P}\left|T^{\prime \prime}(\chi(B))\right| \in X^{\prime \prime}
$$

$\left\{y_{P}\right\}_{P \in \Phi}$ is an increasing net in $X^{\prime \prime}$, and $\left\|y_{P}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{B \in P}|m(B)|\right\|$, less or equal than $L$ by Hypothesis 2.

Then using the Fatou property, there exists $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\sup \left\{y_{P}, P \in \Phi\right\}$ which is the weak* limit of $\left\{y_{P}, P \in \Phi\right\}$.

Thus, there exists $y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in X^{\prime \prime}$ such that for any simple function $f$ in the unit ball $[-\chi(K), \chi(K)],\|\bar{T}(f)\| \leq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. But $\bar{T}$ is continuous, simple functions are dense in $[-\chi(K), \chi(K)]$ (in $B(K)$ ) and $\left[-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is closed in $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, so we have $\bar{T}([\chi(K), \chi(K)]) \subseteq$ [ $-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ ].

Hence, $\bar{T}$ is order-bounded.
Observe that, moreover, $\left\|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq L$.
3) $\Rightarrow$ 1) We suppose now that $T: B(K) \longrightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ is order-bounded; then $\bar{T}$ is regular since $X^{\prime \prime}$ is order complete, and by Lemma $2 \bar{T}$ is $\infty$ l. s., and also 1 1. s. Now Theorem 2 proves that $T$ is 1 l . s.

We have the equivalence of 1), 2) and 3). Now we study the norms: following the steps of the proof above

$$
\lambda_{\infty}(\bar{T}) \leq\|\bar{T}\|_{r}=\||\bar{T}|\|=\sup \{\||\bar{T}|(f)\|,\|f\| \leq 1, f \geq 0\}
$$

with $|\bar{T}|(f)=\sup \{|\bar{T}(g)|,|g| \leq f\}$. Then $\|g\| \leq\|f\| \leq 1$ and $|\bar{T}(g)| \leq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
So $|\bar{T}|(f) \leq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\|\bar{T}\|_{r} \leq\left\|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq L=\lambda_{1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}(T)$.
So that,

$$
\lambda_{\infty}(T)=\lambda_{\infty}(\bar{T}) \leq\|\bar{T}\|_{r} \leq \lambda_{1}(T) \leq \lambda_{\infty}(T),
$$

and all are the same.
As a consequence, if $E$ is an AM space, 11 . s. operators from $E$ to $X$ and $\infty 1$. s., or majorizing, operators are the same for any Banach lattice $X$. This result somehow reminds us of the result of Pietsch ([10]) which asserts that for any $C(K)$ space absolutely summing and dominated operators coincide; and, as in that case, it is not possible to extend the result to operators on spaces of vector valued continuous functions, as we shall see later.

If $X$ has the property $\mathrm{P}([12])$, i. e. there exists a continuous positive (and contractive) projection from $X^{\prime \prime}$ to $X$, any 1 l . s. operator from an AM space to $X$ is regular, and $\lambda_{1}(T)=\lambda_{\infty}(T)=\|T\|_{r}$. Lattices with this property are, for example, those which do not contain $c_{0}$.

Reasoning in a similar way as in the first part of the theorem, for $1<p<\infty$ we have:

Proposition 4. Let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff space, $X$ a Banach lattice and $T$ an operator from $C(K)$ to $X$. Let $m$ be the representing measure of $T$, and let $1<p<\infty$. If T is $p$-lattice summing, there exist a constant $L>0$ such that for every finite family of Borel sets, $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$, pairwise disjoint,

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|m\left(A_{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{X^{\prime \prime}} \leq L
$$

Another example of the particular behaviour of $C(K)$ is the following:
If $X$ and $Y$ are Banach lattices, Krivine's generalization of Grothendieck's inequality for lattices shows that for any operator $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ and any finite set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{Y} \leq K_{G} \cdot\|T\| \cdot\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{X}
$$

where $K_{G}$ is Grothendieck's constant ([8]).
So that we have readily:
PROPOSITION 5. Any operator from a $C(K)$ space to a Banach lattice is 2 l. s.
Let us show now some results for operators defined on spaces of vector valued continous functions $C(K, E)$ (space of $E$-valued continuous functions on $K$, where $E$ is a Banach space, with the supremum norm).

First of all, as it occurs in the scalar case, an operator $T$ from $C(K, E)$ to a Banach lattice $X$ is $p$ 1. s. for some $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if and only if the restriction $\bar{T}=\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{B(\beta(K), E)}$ is $p$ l. s. too, where $B(\beta(K), E)$ is the space of functions which are uniform limit of $E$-valued measurable simple functions on $K$.

We shall use also a general result on $p$ l.s. operators:

LEMMA 3. Let $E$ be a Banach space, $X$ be a Banach lattice, and $T$ an operator from $E$ to $X$. If there exists a dense subspace $F$ of $E$ such that the restriction $\left.T\right|_{F}$ is $p l$. s., then Tispl.s. too.

PROOF. Let $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}$ be in $E$, and let $K=\omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right)$. We choose for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq$ $n, z_{i} \in F$ such that

$$
\left\|z_{i}-x_{i}\right\| \leq \frac{K}{(1+\|T\|) 2^{i}}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| & \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}-T z_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|+\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T z_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}-T z_{i}\right|\right\|+\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T z_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T x_{i}-T z_{i}\right\|+\lambda_{p}\left(\left.T\right|_{F}\right) \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(z_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq K+\lambda_{p}\left(\left.T\right|_{F}\right) \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(z_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for any $x^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}$, let $\hat{x}^{\prime}$ be an extension to $E$ with the same norm. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|<z_{i}, x^{\prime}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|<z_{i}, \hat{x}^{\prime}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|<z_{i}-x_{i}, \hat{x}^{\prime}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|<x_{i}, \hat{x}^{\prime}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|<z_{i}-x_{i}, \hat{x}^{\prime}>\right|+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|<x_{i}, \hat{x}^{\prime}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{K}{1+\|T\|}+K \leq 2 K \leq 2 \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And thus,

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| \leq\left[1+2 \lambda_{p}\left(\left.T\right|_{F}\right)\right] \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

so $T$ is $p$ 1.s.
Now, we can prove the following theorem, which gives sufficient conditions on the representing measure of $T$ to be $p$ l. s.

Theorem 6. Let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff space, E be a Banach space, X a Banach lattice and $T: C(K, E) \longrightarrow X$ an operator. Let $m$ be the representing measure of $T$, and let be $1 \leq p<\infty$. Assume that

1. For every Borel subset $A$ of $K$ the operator $m(A)$ from $E$ to $X^{\prime \prime}$ is $p$-lattice summing.
2. The variation of $m$ with the norm $\lambda_{p}$ is finite. That is, there is a constant $L>0$ such that for every finite family of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ in $\beta_{0}(K)$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{p}\left(m\left(B_{i}\right)\right) \leq L
$$

Then $T$ is $p$-lattice summing.
Proof. Using the remarks above, it is enough to prove that $\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{S(\beta(K), E)}$ is $p$ 1. s. So, take $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ in $S(\beta(K), E)$. There is a finite family of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of $K, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$, and a finite family of vectors in $E,\left\{x_{i j}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$, such that

$$
f_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{i j} \chi\left(B_{j}\right), \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq k
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|T^{\prime \prime} f_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|=\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}\right), a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k} \in B_{p^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}, a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k} \in B_{p^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|T^{\prime \prime} f_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| & \leq\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|m\left(B_{j}\right) x_{i j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{p}\left(m\left(B_{j}\right)\right) \cdot \omega\left(\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i=1}^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ fixed, taken $t_{j} \in B_{j}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i=1}^{k}\right) & =\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|<x_{i j}, x^{\prime}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, x^{\prime} \in B_{E^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|<f_{i}, x^{\prime} \circ \delta_{t_{j}}>\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, x^{\prime} \in B_{E^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left(f_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|T^{\prime \prime} f_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\| \leq L \cdot \omega_{p}\left(\left(f_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k}\right)
$$

from where we deduce that $\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{S(\beta(K), E)}$ is $p$ 1. s. Then $\hat{T}=\left.T^{\prime \prime}\right|_{B(\beta(K), E)}$ and $T$ are $p$ l. s. too.

REmARKs. 1 -For $p \neq 2$, there are examples that prove that there are operators defined in $C(K)$ spaces which are not $p$ l. s. Concretely, in [9] Nielsen and Szulga, for $1<p<2$, construct operators defined on $c_{0}$, with image in $L^{1}[0,1]$, which are not $p$ 1. s.: knowing that for $1<p<2$, $\ell^{p}$ is not of p -stable type, given a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n}$ of $p$-stable independent randon variables in $[0,1]$, with the Lebesgue measure, there is a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\ell^{p}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} f_{n}(t)\right|^{p}$ diverges in a set with strictly positive measure. We can assume that $a_{n} \geq 0$ and $\left\|f_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n \in N$, so that the map

$$
\ell^{p} \longrightarrow L^{1}[0,1]:\left(b_{n}\right)_{n} \longrightarrow \sum b_{n} f_{n}
$$

is an isometry. If we define

$$
T: c_{0} \longrightarrow L^{1}[0,1]: T\left(e_{n}\right)=a_{n} f_{n}
$$

(where $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n}$ is the canonical basis of $c_{0}$ ), $T$ is not p 1 . s., since given any $m \in N$, $\omega_{p}\left(\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{m}\right) \leq 1$ but

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{m} a_{n}^{p}\left|f_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{\prime}[0,1]}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left|a_{n} f_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t
$$

diverges when $m$ tends to infinity.
As for any metrizable infinite compact space $K, C(K)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$, at least in these cases it is possible to define operators on $C(K)$ which are not $p$ l. s.

For $p>2$, in the same paper it is shown that, as a consequence of Kwapien's theorem ([Kwapien]), there are operators from $\ell^{\infty}$ to $\ell^{p}$ which are not $p$ l. s..
2-The results obtained for $C(K)$ spaces are not true in general for $C(K, E)$ spaces, when $E$ is a Banach space.

In fact, if $E$ is a Banach lattice and every positive operator from $C(K, E)$ to any Banach lattice is 21 . s., this is equivalent to saying that the identity map $I: E \longrightarrow E$ is 21 . s. So, taking $E=\ell^{p}$, with $1<p<2$, there has to be an operator $C(K, E) \longrightarrow \ell^{p}$ which is not 21 .s.

Neither is it true in general that on $C(K, E) 11$. s. operators and $\infty 1$. s. coincide: just choose a Banach space $E$, a Banach lattice $X$ and an operator $T: E \longrightarrow X$ that is 1 1. s. but not $\infty 1$. s. and extend $T$ to $C(K, E)$ by means of a continuous projection from $C(K, E)$ to E.

3-The converse of theorem 5 is not true: It is obvious that when $T$ is a $p$ l. s. operator from $C(K, E)$ to $X$, for every Borel subset $A$ of $K$ the operator $m(A)$ from $E$ to $X^{\prime \prime}$ is $p$ l. s., since $m(A)(x)=T^{\prime \prime}(\chi(A) \cdot x)$ for all $x \in E$. But $m$ may not satisfy condition 2 : consider the operator $T$ from $C\left(N^{*}, \ell^{1}\right)$ to $c_{0}\left(N^{*}\right.$ is the Alexandroff compactification of $N$ ) associated with the measure $m$ defined by $m(A)(x)=\sum_{n \in A} x_{n} e_{n} \in \ell^{\infty}$ for any $x=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\ell^{1}$ and any subset $A$ of $N$ not empty, and $m(\emptyset)=0=m(\infty) . T$ is $p$-lattice summing for every $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, since $\ell^{\infty}$ is an AM space (see [12]), but it does not satisfy condition 2 .
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