

## A THEOREM ON THE DENSENESS OF ORBITS IN METRIC SPACES

BY

ABRAHAM BOYARSKY\* AND MANNY SCAROWSKY

ABSTRACT. Let  $\tau$  be a transformation from a compact metric space  $X$  into itself. Conditions are presented which ensure that there exists an orbit  $\{\tau^n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  which is dense in  $X$ . An example is given.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $\tau$  a mapping from  $X$  into  $X$ . If  $X$  is an interval of the real line, sufficient conditions are known [1] which ensure the existence of a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\{\tau^n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is dense in  $X$ , where  $\tau^n = \tau \circ \tau^{n-1}$  is the  $n$ th iterate of  $\tau$ . The aim of this note is to extend this result to a general compact metric space. In [2, 3, 6, 7] the existence of invariant measures is established for expanding maps. In [2, 3, 6] the underlying space is restricted to a compact, connected smooth manifold. These existence results do not say anything about the supports of the invariant measures. The existence of dense orbits in a subset  $\bar{X} \subset X$  would be helpful in locating the support of the invariant measure. In [5], conditions are presented which guarantee the existence of orbits that do not approach any periodic pattern, but in themselves they do not establish denseness even in a subset of the underlying space.

**2. Denseness of orbit.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a compact metric space, and let  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  be a finite partition of  $X$  such that each  $X_i$  is closed and compact. Let  $H = \bigcup_{i \neq j} (X_i \cap X_j)$  denote the boundary of the partition. Let  $\tau: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that  $\tau|_{X_i}$  is continuous. Define  $B = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \tau^{-n}(H)$ .

**THEOREM.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $\tau$  be as above. Assume  $B \neq X$  and (1)  $\tau|_{X_i}$  is expanding for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , i.e., there exist  $d_i > 1$  such that  $\rho(\tau(x), \tau(y)) > d_i \rho(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X_i$ .

(2) for each pair  $(i, j)$ ,  $i, j \in (1, \dots, n)$ , there exists an integer  $n_{ij} \ni \tau^{n_{ij}}(X_i) \supset X_j$

(3) for each  $i$  there exist integers  $n_i, l_i \in (1, \dots, n) \ni \tau(X_i) = \bigcup_{j=l_i}^{n_i} X_j$ . Then there is a point  $x \in X$  such that the orbit  $\{\tau^n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  starting at  $x$  is dense in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Associate with each  $x \notin B$  the coding  $\langle x \rangle$  of  $x$  as follows:

$$\langle x \rangle = . i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots$$

---

Received by the editors March 2, 1979 and in revised form August 8, 1979.

\* The research of this author was supported by a grant from the National Research Council of Canada: Grant No. A-9072.

where  $x \in X_{i_1}$ ,  $\tau(x) \in X_{i_2}$ ,  $\tau^2(x) \in X_{i_3}, \dots$ . This coding is well-defined since we cannot have  $\tau^i(x) \in X_k \cap X_l$ . Further, we claim:

- (i) if two codings are equal, i.e.,  $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$ , then  $x = y$ , and
- (ii) if  $.i_1i_2i_3\dots$  is a coding and  $\tau$  satisfies  $\tau(X_{i_j}) \supset X_{i_{j+1}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  (we refer to such a coding as allowable), then there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that  $\langle x \rangle = .i_1i_2i_3\dots$ .

To prove (i), we note that our hypotheses implies  $\rho(\tau^n(x), \tau^n(y)) \geq d^n \rho(x, y)$ , where  $d = \min(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ . Since  $d > 1$  and  $\rho$  is bounded on  $X \times X$ , this gives a contradiction unless  $x = y$ .

To prove (ii), we define

$$J_k = \{x : x \in X_{i_1}, \tau(x) \in X_{i_2}, \dots, \tau^{k-1}(x) \in X_{i_k}\}$$

We note that  $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a collection of compact sets with the finite intersection property. Thus  $\bigcap_{k>1} J_k$  is non-empty and by (i) has no more than one element.

We can now associate allowable codings in a 1-1 and onto fashion with  $x \in X - B$ . To prove the existence of a dense orbit, form the set of finite allowable sequences

$$\{i_k, i_k i_l, i_k i_l i_m, \dots\}$$

where, for example, the symbol  $i_k i_l$  represents all sequences  $i_k i_l$  such that  $\tau(X_{i_k}) \supset X_{i_l}$ . These are countable. Let  $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots$  be an enumeration of them. Now form the coding  $.S_1 T_1 S_2 T_2 S_3 T_3 \dots$ , where the  $T_i$  are inserted to make this an allowable sequence. This is permitted by hypothesis (2). Let the coding represent the point  $x \in X$ . Let  $y \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We want to show that there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $|\tau^n(x) - y| < \epsilon$ . Let the symbol  $S_p$  correspond to the coding of  $\{y, \tau(y), \dots, \tau^m(y)\}$ , i.e., if  $y \in X_{i_1}, \dots, \tau^m(y) \in X_{i_m}$ , then  $S_p = i_1 \dots i_m$ . We then have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tau^p(x) \in X_{i_1}, & y \in X_{i_1} \\ \tau^{p+1}(x) \in X_{i_2}, & \tau(y) \in X_{i_2} \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \tau^{p+m}(x) \in X_{i_m}, & \tau^m(y) \in X_{i_m} \end{array}$$

By the expanding property of  $\tau$ ,

$$|\tau^p(x) - y| < d^{-m} |\tau^{p+m}(x) - \tau^m(y)| < \epsilon,$$

if  $m$  is chosen sufficiently large. This proves the denseness of the orbit  $\{\tau^n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  in  $X$ .

We note that the theorem does not require  $\tau$  to be non-singular. If it is and  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional space, then  $B \neq X$  is implied by the condition  $m(H) = 0$ , where  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure.

**3. An example.** Let  $\tau: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  be defined by  $\tau(x, y) = (f(x), f(y))$ , where

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 2t & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2-2t & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let  $X_1 = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $X_2 = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ ,  $X_3 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and  $X_4 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . It is easy to show that  $\tau$  and the partition  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Hence there exists an orbit  $\{\tau^n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  which is dense in  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . The one dimensional version of this result is known [4].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Boyarsky and M. Scarowsky, *On a class of transformations which have unique absolutely continuous invariant measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **255**, (1979) 243–262.
2. M. A. Avez, *Propriétés ergodiques des endomorphismes dilatants des variétés compactes*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Serie A, t. 266, (1968) 610–612.
3. K. Krzyzewski and W. Szlenk, *On invariant measures for expanding differentiable mappings*, Studia Mathematica, T. XXXIII, (1962) 83–92.
4. J. Guckenheimer, G. Oster, and A. Jpaktchi, *The dynamics of density dependent population models*, J. Math. Biol., **4**, (1977) 101–147.
5. F. R. Marotto, *Snap-back repellers imply chaos in  $R^n$* , Jour. Math. Anal. and Appl. **63**, (1978) 199–223.
6. P. Walters, *Invariant measures and equilibrium states for some mappings which expand distances*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **236**, (1978) 121–153.
7. A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke, *On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **186**, (1973) 481–488.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SIR GEORGE WILLIAMS CAMPUS  
CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY  
MONTREAL, CANADA