Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 16 (3), 1973

A CONVERSE PROBLEM IN MATRIX DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BY

WARREN E. SHREVE(1)

Suppose X and Y are $n \times n$ matrix solutions of the $n \times n$ matrix differential equation

(1)
$$X'' + P(t)X = 0 \qquad \text{on } J$$

such that

$$XX^* + YY^* = I \qquad \text{on } J$$

where J is some interval. Here P(t) is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and 0 and I respectively the $n \times n$ zero and identity matrices. Also X^* and tr X, denote, respectively, the transpose and the trace of X.

In the scalar case it is well known (1) and (2) together imply $p(t) \equiv k$, a non-negative constant. See [1] and the references therein. The lower case letters used above indicate scalars which are 1×1 matrices.

Now in the case that n > 1, we can no longer be sure that $P(t) \equiv K$ a constant matrix, but we can obtain a result which in the scalar case imlies $p(t) \equiv k$. To see that $P(t) \equiv K$ may fail when (1) and (2) are true, we consider the following example. Let

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\cos 4t & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin 4t \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}\cos 4t + \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}}\sin 4t & \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}}\cos 4t - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}\sin 4t \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \cos t + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \sin t & \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \cos t + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \sin t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} \cos t & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} \sin t \end{pmatrix}$$

Further, let

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{6} & 12 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Received by the editors December 20, 1971 and, in revised form, January 17, 1972.

(1) This research has been supported by NSF Institutional Grant for Science GU-3615.

401

and, note that

$$e^{Bt} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(e^{Bt})^* = e^{B*t} = e^{-Bt}$. The following may be easily, but tediously, verified.

$$UU^* + VV^* = I.$$

Next we note that U and V are solutions of $U'' - 2BU' + (C - B^*B)U = 0$, a constant coefficient matrix differential equation. Defining $X = e^{-Bt}U$ and $Y = e^{-Bt}V$ we obtain that X and Y are solutions to X'' + P(t)X = 0 where $P(t) = e^{-Bt}Ce^{Bt}$ is a nonconstant matrix. Looking at $XX^* + YY^*$, we see that

$$XX^* + YY^* = e^{Bt}UU^*(e^{Bt})^* + e^{Bt}VV^*(e^{Bt})^*$$

= $e^{Bt}(UU^* + VV^*)e^{-Bt} = e^{Bt}Ie^{-Bt} = I.$

Hence (1) and (2) are satisfied but $P(t) \equiv K$ fails in this case where n=2. It is easy to see that this system can be incorporated into a higher dimensional system thus preventing the conclusion that $P(t) \equiv K$ for n>2 also.

We are now ready to state and prove a theorem true for all $n \ge 1$.

THEOREM. Let X and Y be solutions of the matrix differential equation (1) where P(t) is a symmetric matrix. Suppose that (2) holds. Then P(t) is positive semi-definite and tr $P(t) \equiv k$ a constant. Further $P(t)=e^{-Bt}Ce^{Bt}$ where C is symmetric, and B is skew-symmetric.

COROLLARY. If x and y are solutions to the scalar differential equation x'' + p(t)x=0and if $x^2+y^2=1$, then $p(t) \equiv k \ge 0$.

Proof of Theorem. Differentiating (2) we have

(3)
$$(X'X^* + Y'Y^*) + (XX'^* + YY'^*) = 0.$$

Let $B = X'X^* + Y'Y^*$, then (3) becomes

$$B+B^*=0.$$

Thus B is a skew-symmetric matrix, and, since B is differentiable, B' is a skewsymmetric matrix also. On the other hand, $B' = X'X'^* + Y'Y'^* - P(XX^* + YY^*) = X'X'^* + Y'Y'^* - P$ which is symmetric. Thus B'=0, since the only simultaneously symmetric and skew-symmetric matrix is the zero matrix. So, B is constant. From B'=0 we obtain

(5)
$$X'X'^* + Y'Y'^* - P = 0$$

Thus P is differentiable and positive semi-definite.

The third differentiation gives us

$$P' = -(BP+PB^*) = PB-BP$$

[September

402

Taking the trace in (6) we obtain

$$(\operatorname{tr} P)' = \operatorname{tr}(P') = \operatorname{tr}(PB - BP) = \operatorname{tr}(PB) - \operatorname{tr}(BP) = 0.$$

Thus tr $P \equiv k$.

Since P satisfies (6), we know that $P(t)=e^{-Bt}Ce^{Bt}$ where C is constant. But B is skew-symmetric, and, thus, C is symmetric since P(t) is.

REMARK. An obvious specialization of the proof of the theorem yields for the corollary an easy and straight forward proof different from any found in [1] or its reference [6].

Reference

1. D. E. Seminar, Some elementary converse problems in ordinary differential equations, Canad. Math. Bulletin, 11 (1968), 703-716.

North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota