RINGS WITH QUASI-CONTINUOUS RIGHT IDEALS

S. K. JAIN, S. R. LÓPEZ-PERMOUTH and S. RAZA SYED

Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, USA

(Received 21 May, 1997)

Abstract. Rings in which each right ideal is quasi-continuous (right π -rings) are shown to be a direct sum of semisimple artinian square full ring and a right square free ring. Among other results it is also shown that (i) a nonlocal right continuous indecomposable right π -ring is either simple artinian or a ring of matrices of a certain type, and (ii) an indecomposable non-local right continuous ring is both a right and a left π -ring if and only if it is a right q-ring. In particular, a non local indecomposable right q-ring is a left q-ring.

0. Introduction. Rings for which every right ideal is quasi-injective (known as right q-rings) have been studied by several authors (c.f. [4], [5], [6], [7]). The purpose of our paper is to extend this line of research by studying rings in which every right ideal is quasi-continuous (right π -rings). In Section 2 we show that a π -ring is a direct sum of a semisimple artinian square full ring and a square free ring.

In Section 3 we study right continuous right π -rings. We show that a non-local, right continuous, indecomposable right π -ring R is either simple artinian or a ring of matrices of a certain type (Theorem 3.8). We show that an indecomposable, non-local, right continuous ring R is both a right and a left π -ring if and only if R is a right q-ring (Theorem 3.13). In particular, under these hypotheses, R is a right q-ring if and only if it is a left q-ring.

1. Definitions and preliminaries. Throughout the paper R will be a ring with identity and all R-modules will be unital right R-modules, unless otherwise stated. For modules M, N, the notations $N \subset M$ and $N \subset M$ respectively serve to denote that N is an essential submodule of M and that N is a direct summand of M. By Z(M), Soc(M) we denote the singular submodule and socle of M, respectively. J(R) is the Jacobson Radical of R. \hat{M} (or E(M)) stands for the injective hull of M. Hom_R(M, N) stands for the set of R-homomorphisms from M to N. End_R(M) is the set of R-endomorphisms of M. If $N \subset M$, a *closure* of N in M is a maximal essential extension on M. Given right R-modules M and N, M is said to be N-injective iff for any $K \subset N$, every $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(K, M)$ is the restriction of some $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$.</sub>

For a module M we consider the following conditions.

- (C_1) For every submodule N of M there exists a summand L of M with $N \subset L$.
- (C_2) If a submodule N of M is isomorphic to a summand of M then N itself is a summand of M.
- (C₃) If A and B are summands of M with $A \cap B = 0$ the $A \oplus B$ is a summand of M.

A module M is called (*quasi-)continuous* if it satisfies (C_1) and (C_2) ((C_1) and (C_3)). A module M is called a CS (or *extending*) module if it satisfies (C_1) . Equivalently, M is a CS module if every closed submodule of M is a direct summand of M.

It is well known that a module M is quasi-continuous if and only if $eM \subset M$ for every idempotent $e \in \text{End}(\hat{M})$ if and only if every decomposition $E(M) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$ induces $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M \cap E_i)$ [10, 2.8].

DEFINITION 1.1. A ring R is said to be a right $(f)\pi$ -ring if every (finitely generated) right ideal in R is quasi-continuous.

REMARK 1.2. A right uniform ring is a right $(f)\pi$ -ring.

The following Lemma is a particular case of [9, Proposition 2.6.]. This may already be known but we have not found it anywhere in the literature.

LEMMA 1.3. A right R-module M is quasi-continuous if and only if M satisfies condition (C_1) and, whenever $M = A \oplus B$, A and B are mutually injective.

Proof. The 'only if' part is well known (see Lemma 1.7 below). For completeness, we include here the proof of the other implication.

Let $\hat{M} = E_1 \oplus E_2$. We want to show that $M = A \oplus B$ where $A = E_1 \cap M$ and $B = E_2 \cap M$. Since A is closed in M and M satisfies (C_1) , $M = A \oplus X$ for some $X \subset M$. Let π_A and π_X be the corresponding projection maps. Then $B \cong \pi_X(B) \subset X$. Define $\alpha : \pi_X(B) \to A$ by $\alpha(\pi_X(b)) = \pi_A(b)$. The map α is well-defined since $\pi_X : B \to \pi_X(B)$ is an isomorphism. But A is X-injective, so α extends to $\beta : X \to A$. Let $X^* = \{x + \beta(x) \mid x \in X\}$. Then $M = A \oplus X^*$. Also $B \subset X^*$ and B is closed. This implies that $B = X^*$ and, consequently, $M = A \oplus B$. \Box

Lemma 1.3 has the following immediate consequence, an intrinsic characterization of $(f)\pi$ -rings:

THEOREM 1.4. A right ring R is a right $(f)\pi$ -ring if and only if every (finitely generated) right ideal of R satisfies (C_1) and, whenever A and B are right ideals of R with $A \cap B = 0$, A and B are mutually injective.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 1.3.

We list below some well known results that will be used frequently.

Theorem 1.4 may be strengthened by observing that in order to check if a ring R is right $(f)\pi$, it suffices to concentrate on the essential right ideals.

THEOREM 1.5. For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is a right $(f)\pi$ -ring,
- (2) every essential (finitely generated) right ideal of R is quasi-continuous,
- (3) every essential (finitely generated) right ideal satisfies (C_1) and, whenever A and B are right ideals such that $A \oplus B \subset R$, A and B are mutually injective.

168

Proof. It follows from the above characterizations of $(f)\pi$ -rings since, when R satisfies (C_1) , every (finitely generated) right ideal is a direct summand of a (finitely generated) essential right ideal. \Box

LEMMA 1.6. Let M and N be two right R-modules. Then M is N-injective iff for any $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(N, \hat{M})$, $\text{Im}\alpha \subset M$.

Proof. See [10, 1.13].

LEMMA 1.7. If $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ then M is quasi-continuous iff each M_i is quasi-continuous and M_i is M_j -injective for all $i \neq j$.

Proof. See [10, 2.14].

LEMMA 1.8. A right quasi-continuous ring R is right continuous iff J(R) = Z(R)and R/J(R) is a regular ring.

Proof. See [10, 3.15].

LEMMA 1.9. In a right quasi-continuous ring the idempotents modulo Z(R) can be lifted.

Proof. See [10, 3.7].

A right module M is called *local* if M contains a unique maximal submodule. A ring R is called *local ring* if the right R-module R_R is local. Let e be an idempotent in R. Then e is called *primitive* if the right ideal eR is indecomposable. Furthermore, e is called *local* if the ring End_R(eR) is local or equivalently if eJ is the unique maximal submodule of eR [8, 21.18].

LEMMA 1.10. A primitive idempotent in a right continuous ring is local.

Proof. Let *e* be a primitive idempotent in a continuous ring *R*. Then *eRe* is a continuous ring [10, 3.8] and contains no nontrivial idempotents [8, 21.8]. By Lemmas 1.7 and 1.8, *eRe/eJe* is a division ring. It follows that *eJe* is the maximal right ideal in *eRe* and, therefore, *e* is local [8, 21.9]. \Box

Local rings have nontrivial idempotents. We see next that the converse also holds when the ring is continuous.

LEMMA 1.11. A right continuous ring R is local iff it contains no nontrivial idempotents.

Proof. Let R be a right continuous ring with no nontrivial idempotents. Then R/J(R) is a regular ring with no nontrivial idempotents. Hence R/J(R) is a division ring. It follows that R is a local ring. The converse is trivial. \Box

Two modules M and N are said to be *orthogonal* if no submodule of M is isomorphic to a submodule of N. Let M, X be arbitrary right R-modules and N a submodule of M. Then M is said to be a *square* if $M \cong X^2$. The N is called a *square*

root in M if N^2 is embeddable in M. M is called square free if M contains no square roots. M is called square full if every submodule of M contains a square root in M.

2. Rings with quasi-continuous right ideals. Right (f)q-rings may be characterized as those right self-injective rings for which every (finitely generated) essential right ideal is a two sided ideal [7]. For right $(f)\pi$ -rings one obtains the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. A ring R is a right $(f)\pi$ -ring if and only if R is quasi-continuous and every (finitely generated) essential right ideal is a left S-module, where S is the subring of R generated by its idempotent elements.

Proof. From Theorem 1.5, in order to check to see if R is an $(f)\pi$ -ring, it suffices to check that every (finitely generated) essential right ideal in R is quasi-continuous. We'll show here that a (finitely generated) essential right ideal I of a quasi-continuous ring R is quasi-continuous if and only if it is a left S-module. Our result will then follow. Let R be a quasi-continuous ring. The subring T generated by all the idempotents of the ring $End_R(\hat{R})$ can then be viewed as the subring S generated by all the idempotents of the ring R. Let I be a (finitely generated) essential right ideal of R. Then, I = TI = SI if and only if I is quasi-continuous.

LEMMA 2.2. Let A, B be right ideals in a right $f\pi$ -ring R with $A \cap B = 0$ and $A \cong B$. Then

- (a) every finitely generated right ideal in A or B is injective. Moreover, if R is a right π -ring then A and B are semisimple and injective, and
- (b) the right ideals A and B are nonsingular.

Proof. For the first part (a) we can assume that A and B themselves are finitely generated. By the quasi-continuity of R, there exists an idempotent $e^2 = e \in R$ such that $A \subset eR \subset R$. The fact that $B \cap eR = 0$ implies that B is eR-injective. And $A \cong B \Rightarrow A$ is eR-injective. But A is also (1 - e)R-injective, therefore A is R-injective. For the second part of (a), assume that R is a π -ring and $0 \neq X \subset A$ then $X \cong \alpha(X)$ where $\alpha : A \to B$ is a given isomorphism. By a similar argument as above X is injective. Thus, A is semisimple and so is B. Let us now consider (b). Let x be an element of Z(A). Since xR is injective, there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that eR = xR < Z(A). Since Z(R) contains no nonzero idempotents, it follows that x = 0. \Box

LEMMA 2.3. Let A, B be right ideals in a ring R with $A \cap B = 0$. Let $\alpha : A \to B$ be a nonzero homomorphism.

- (a) If R is a right π -ring then the image of α is semisimple.
- (b) If R is a right $f\pi$ -ring and B is uniform then the image of α is simple.
- (c) Let *R* be a right $f\pi$ -ring with a non-trivial primitive idempotent *e* such that $eR(1-e) \neq 0$. Then *eR* contains a simple right ideal.

Proof. (a) Let $L \subset B$. Since $L \oplus A$ is quasi-continuous, and α may be viewed as $\alpha : A \to \hat{L}$, Im $\alpha \subset L$. It follows that Im $\alpha \subset \text{Soc}(B)$.

(b) Let *a* and *x* be a nonzero elements in *A* and *B* respectively. Then $\alpha : A \to B \subset \hat{B} = x\hat{R}$ implies $\alpha(aR) \subset xR$ since $aR \oplus xR$ is quasi-continuous. It follows that $\text{Im}\alpha \subset xR$. Then $0 \neq \text{Im}\alpha \subset \text{Soc}(B)$, a simple right *R*-module.

(c) Since eR quasi-continuous and indecomposable, eR is uniform. Thus, the result follows from (b). \Box

Recall that a *homogeneous component* of an *R*-module is a complete sum of mutually isomorphic simple submodules.

LEMMA 2.4. For a right π -ring R, we have the following.

- (1) Let A, B be independent right ideals of R with B an epimorphic image of A. Then B is cyclic.
- (2) Let $\{A\} \cup \{B_i : i \in I\}$ be an independent family of right ideals in R with $\bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i$ an epimorphic image of A. Then the index set I is finite.

Proof. Let α be a homomorphism from A onto B. There exists an idempotent e in R such that eR is a closure of A in R. As R is a π -ring, α extends to an epimorphism from eR onto B. Hence B is cyclic and the proof of (1) follows. The proof of (2) is immediate from (1). \Box

THEOREM 2.5. A right π -ring R has only finitely many nonsimple homogeneous components and each one of them is injective.

Proof. Let $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ be the family of homogeneous components of a right π -ring R. For each $i \in I$, let S_i and T_i be minimal right ideals such that $H_i = [S_i] = [T_i]$ and $S_i \cap T_i = 0$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{I \in i} S_i \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i$. By Lemma 2.4(2), $|I| < \infty$. Hence R has only finitely many non-simple homogeneous components. Now let H be a non-simple homogeneous component of R. If H is not finitely generated then there exist independent submodules A, B of H such that $A \cong H \cong B$. By Lemma 2.2, A, B are injective. But then H is finitely generated, a contradiction. So, H is finitely generated. \Box

THEOREM 2.6. A right π -ring is a direct sum of a square full semisimple artinian ring and a right square free ring.

Proof. Let R be a right π -ring and H the direct sum of all square full homogeneous components of R. By Lemma 2.3, each simple submodule of H is injective, and by Theorem 2.5, H is injective. Let H = eR for some idempotent $e \in R$. Since eR and (1 - e)R are orthogonal, it follows that (1 - e)Re = 0 = eR(1 - e). Hence the decomposition $R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R$ is a ring decomposition. Now, suppose (1 - e)R contains a square. Then there exist right ideals A, B in (1 - e)R with $A \cap B = 0$ and $A \cong B$. By Lemma 2.3, A, B are semisimple. It follows that (1 - e)R contains a square full homogeneous component, a contradiction. Hence, (1 - e)R is square free and we get the desired decomposition. \Box

COROLLARY 2.7. An indecomposable ring R containing a square is a right π -ring iff R is simple Artinian.

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let R be a right π -ring. Suppose $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an independent family of right ideals in R. If for each $i \in I$ there exists a right ideal B_i in R that is a homomorphic image of A_i with $A_i \cap B_i = 0$ then I is finite.

Proof. Suppose *I* is infinite. In view of Theorem 2.6 we can assume that *R* is square free. By Lemma 2.3 we can assume the B_i are simple. Since *R* is a π -ring, any homomorphism from A_i onto B_i can be extended to a homomorphism from e_iR to B_i , where e_iR is a closure of A_i in *R* and e_i is an idempotent in *R*. As *R* is square free, using the projectivity of e_iR one can assume that B_i are independent. Pick an A_{i_1} . By Lemma 2.4, images of the A_i are contained in A_{i_1} for only finitely many *i*. Pick an A_{i_2} whose image is not contained in A_{i_1} . Again by Lemma 2.4, images of the A_i are contained in A_{i_2} there exists an A_{i_3} whose image is not contained in A_{i_2} . Clearly this process is inductive.

Hence there exists an infinite subset $I' \subset I$ such that $(\bigoplus_{i \in I'} A_i) \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I'} B_i) = 0$. Now for each $i \in I'$, the homomorphisms from A_i onto B_i induce an epimomorphism from $\bigoplus_{i \in I'} A_i$ onto $\bigoplus_{i \in I'} B_i$. By Lemma 2.4, I' is finite, a contradiction. Hence the proof follows. \Box

3. Continuous $(f)\pi$ -rings. In this section we will study the $(f)\pi$ -rings that are continuous. Since, by Lemma 1.9, a continuous ring is local if and only if it contains no non-trivial idempotents, a continuous, local $(f)\pi$ -ring is uniform. Conversely, all right uniform rings are π -rings (Remark 1.2.). Consequently, from now on, we shall only consider non-local rings. We completely characterize indecomposable, non-local, right π -rings that are right continuous. We prove that such rings are either simple artinian or a certain type of rings of matrices.

LEMMA 3.1. Let R be a right continuous right $f\pi$ -ring, e a primitive idempotent in R and $\alpha : eR \rightarrow R$ a nonzero homomorphism with $\text{Im}\alpha \cap eR = 0$. Then

(a) Im α is simple;

(b) $eR(1-e) \neq 0$.

In particular, for a right continuous, right $f\pi$ -ring R and primitive idempotent $e \in R$, if $(1-e)Re \neq 0$ then $eR(1-e) \neq 0$.

Proof. (a). Let $\alpha : eR \to R$. By Lemma 1.8, eR is local and therefore $\alpha(eR)$ is local. It follows that $\alpha(eR)$ is indecomposable and therefore uniform $(\alpha(e)R)$, being finitely generated, is quasi-continuous). Thus, by Lemma 2.3(b), Im α is simple.

(b). Let $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \subset fR$. Then, $fR \cap eR = 0$ and, therefore, $R = (fR \oplus eR) \oplus L$ for some $L \subset R$, since R is quasi-continuous. Thus, $(1-e)R \cong \frac{R}{eR} \cong fR \oplus L$ and, therefore, A is embedded in (1-e)R. Hence we can assume that $\alpha : eR \to (1-e)R$.

Assume eR(1 - e) = 0. By Lemma 1.8, eRe = eR is local ring with its unique maximal ideal eJ where J = J(R). If eJ = 0, eR is simple right *R*-module and $\alpha(eR) \cong eR$. The inverse of α from eR into $\alpha(eR)$ extends to some nonzero homomorphism from (1 - e)R to eR, proving our claim. Let x be a nonzero element in eJ. Since eRe is local there exists an eRe-epimorphism $\beta : xeR \rightarrow \frac{eR}{eJ}$. Furthermore, since eR = eRe, β is an *R*-homomorphism as well.

Since Im α is simple (by (a)), $\frac{eR}{eJ} \cong \text{Im}\alpha \subset (1-e)R$. Let γ be a nonzero homomorphism from $\frac{eR}{eJ}$ to (1-e)R. Then $\gamma\beta$ is a nonzero *R*-homomorphism from xeR to (1-e)R. Since *R* is quasi-continuous, $\gamma\beta$ extends to a nonzero *R*-homomorphism, δ say, from eR to (1-e)R. But $xeR \subset eJ = \text{Ker}\delta$ (by (a)). This implies that $0 = \delta(xeR) = \gamma\beta(xeR) = \text{Im}\alpha$, a contradiction since $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus $eR(1-e) \neq 0$ and the proof follows. \Box

LEMMA 3.2. Let R be a continuous square free $f\pi$ -ring with a primitive idempotent e such that $eR(1 - e) \neq 0$. Then eRe is a division ring and eR(1 - e) is the only proper submodule of eR.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 S = Soc(eR) is non-zero. Since eR is continuous, S is simple. By Lemma 2.3, we get $eR(1-e) \subseteq S$. Now let $0 \neq s \in S$ and $0 \neq \alpha : (1 - e)R \rightarrow eR$. Clearly, by Lemma 2.3, $\text{Im}\alpha = S$. If $se \neq 0$ then S = seR is a homomorphic image of eR under some $\beta: R \to sR$ given by $\beta(ex) = sex$. By the projectivity of eR there exists a homomorphism $\gamma : eR \to (1-e)R$ so that $\alpha \gamma = \beta$. By Lemma 3.1, Imy is simple. It follows that $Imy \cong S$, a contradiction since R is square free. Hence se = 0 and $s \in eR(1 - e)$. Consequently, S = eR(1 - e). Now, let J = J(R). Then eJe is the Jacobson radical of eRe. Since R is continuous J(R) = Z(R) and therefore JS = 0. Hence (eJe)S = (eJ)(eS) = eJS = 0. Thus, eJe[eR(1-e)] = 0, and so $eJeR = eJeRe \subseteq eJe$. Hence eJe is an *R*-submodule of *eR*. As S = eR(1 - e) it follows that $(eJe) \cap S = 0$. But eR is uniform, so eJe = 0. Thus *eRe* is a division ring. Now let $I \subseteq eR$. Then $S \subseteq I$ (since *eR* is uniform). It follows that $S \subseteq I(1-e) \subseteq eR(1-e) = S$. Hence I(1-e) = S. Furthermore, since Ie is an eRe-submodule of eRe, it follows that either Ie = 0 or Ie = eRe. If Ie = 0 then I = I(1 - e) = S. If Ie = eRe then, since $I = Ie \oplus I(1 - e)$, it follows that $I = eRe \oplus eR(1 - e) = eR$. Hence S is the only proper submodule of eR. \Box

THEOREM 3.3. Let R be an indecomposable, non-local, right π -ring. If R is right continuous then R has essential socle.

Proof. If *R* contains a square then, by Corollary 2.7, *R* is simple artinian and we are done. Assume *R* is square free. Since *R* is non-local and indecomposable it contains a nontrivial idempotent *f* and either fR(1-f) or (1-f)Rf is nonzero (by Lemma 1.9). Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, *R* has nonzero socle. Let $e^2 = e \in R$ with $Soc(R) \subset eR$. Suppose $e \neq 1$. For any non-zero idempotent $g \in (1-e)R$, since $Soc(gR) = 0, (1-g)Rg \neq 0$ and *g* is not primitive (Lemmas 2.3, 3.1). Indeed, for any $\alpha : gR \rightarrow (1-g)R$, Im α is semisimple. So $a : gR \rightarrow eR$. Furthermore, for any two non-zero orthogonal idempotents $g_1, g_2 \in (1-e)R$ and non-zero $\alpha_i : g_iR \rightarrow eR$, (i = 1, 2), one gets that $Im\alpha_1 \cap Im\alpha_2 = 0$, for, otherwise, there exists a minimal right ideal $S \subset eR$ such that g_1R and g_2R map onto *S*. But then by the projectivity of g_1R there exists a non-zero $\phi : g_1R \rightarrow g_2R$. By Lemma 2.3, $Im\phi$ is semisimple, a contradiction.

Let $1 - e = f_1 + g_1$, a sum of orthogonal non-zero idempotents and $0 \neq \alpha_1 : g_1 R \rightarrow eR$. Write $f_1 = f_2 + g_2$, a sum of non-zero orthogonal idempotents and $0 \neq \alpha_2 : g_2 R \rightarrow eR$. Continue like this writing $f_i = f_{i+1} + g_{i+1}$ and considering $0 \neq \alpha_{i+1} : g_{i+1}R \rightarrow eR$. Then the sum of $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_i$ is direct and there exists an epimorphism $\alpha : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i R \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\alpha_i$. This is a contradiction in view of Lemma 2.4. Therefore e = 1, proving that $\operatorname{Soc}(R) \subset R$. \Box

LEMMA 3.4. Let R be a continuous ring. Suppose e is a nonzero idempotent of R. If, for $a, b \in R$, aR, bR are two non-isomorphic minimal right ideals of R that are homomorphic images of eR, then there exists a nonzero idempotent f in $eR \cap a^{\perp}$ such that $f \notin b^{\perp}$, where x^{\perp} is the right of x in R. *Proof.* As aR and bR are non-isomorphic, there exists a nonzero element x in $eR \cap a^{\perp}$ but not in $eR \cap b^{\perp}$. Let $\overline{R} = R/J(R)$. Then $\overline{eRe} = eRe/eJe$ is regular [10, 3.11]. Hence there exists $\overline{y} \in \overline{eRe}$ such that \overline{xy} is a nonzero idempotent in \overline{eRe} . Thus there exists a nonzero idempotent f in eRe such that $f - xy \in eJe$ (Lemmas 1.8, 1.9). Thus $f \in eR \cap a^{\perp}$. Now, if $f \in b^{\perp}$, then $xy \in b^{\perp}$. But, as $x - xyx \in b^{\perp}$, it follows that $x \in b^{\perp}$, a contradiction. Hence, $f \notin b^{\perp}$. \Box

THEOREM 3.5. Let R be a continuous π -ring. For any two independent right ideals A and B in R, A has only finitely many simple images in B.

Proof. Let *I* be an infinite index set such that for each $i \in I$, S_i is a simple image of *A* in *B*. For $e^2 = e \in R$, let eR be a closure of *A* in *R*. As *R* is a π -ring, each S_i is an image of eR. Let $S_i = a_1R$ for some $a_i \in R$. For $i \neq j$, $i, j \in I$, there exists, by Lemma 3.4, a nonzero idempotent f in $eR \cap a_i^{\perp}$ such that f is not in a_j^{\perp} . One of fR or (e - f)R maps onto infinitely many a_kR , $k \in I$. Denote the one that maps onto infinitely many a_kR' by g_1R , and the other one by f_1R .

Now $eR = f_1R \oplus g_1R$. Since $f \notin a_j^{\perp}$ and e - f is not in a_i^{\perp} , it follows that f_1R has a nonzero simple image in *B*. As *R* is quasi-continuous and $f_1R \cap B = 0$, $(1 - f_1)Rf_1 \neq 0$. Now, since g_1R has infinitely many simple images in *B*, repeating the same process we get idempotents f_2 and h_2 in g_1R such that $(1 - f_2)Rf_2 \neq 0$ and h_2R has infinitely many simple images in *B*. Now $eR = (f_1R \oplus f_2R) \oplus h_2R$. Continuing this process, we get an infinite family $\{f_n : n \in N\}$ of orthogonal idempotents in *R* such that $(1 - f_n)Rf_n \neq 0$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.8. Hence the index set *I* is finite. \Box

THEOREM 3.6. A continuous, indecomposable, non-local π -ring R has finitely generated essential socle.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.6, we can assume that *R* is square free. By Theorem 3.3, $Soc(R) \subset' R$. Suppose Soc(R) is not finitely generated. Let $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ be the infinite family of minimal right ideals in *R*. As *R* is square free, this family is independent. For each $i \in I$, let $e_i R$ be a closure of S_i , where $e_i^2 = e_i \in R$. By Proposition 2.8, there are only finitely many simple S_{i_1}, \ldots, S_{i_n} in *R* such that $(1 - e_{i_k})Re_{i_k} \neq 0$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. We can pick an idempotent $e \in R$ such that both eR and (1 - e)R contain infinitely many minimal right ideals of *R*.

By Theorem 3.5, both eR and (1 - e)R have only finitely many simple images in each other. Of these simple images, consider only those that are not S_{i_k} for k = 1, ..., n. Now, take the closures fR and gR of these simple images of eR and (1 - e)R in (1 - e)R and eR respectively, where $f^2 = f \in (1 - e)R$ and $g^2 = g \in eR$. Since R is quasi-continuous, there exist primitive orthogonal idempotents $f_1, ..., f_1$, $g_1 ..., g_m$ such that $fR = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l f_i R$ and $gR = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m g_i R$. Then fR and gR do not map outside themselves. Now there exist idempotents $f' \in (1 - e)R$ and $g' \in eR$ such that $R = (gR \oplus g'R) \oplus (fR \oplus f'R) = (gR \oplus f'R) \oplus (fR \oplus g'R)$. Since R is a π -ring and any nonzero image of (1 - e)R in eR lie inside gR, any nonzero image of f'R in eRmust lie inside gR. If there is a nonzero homomorphism from f'R into fR, composing with a projection map we'll get a nonzero homomorphism from f'R onto a simple right module S in fR. But every simple in fR is an image of eR. As eR is projective, Swould be isomorphic to a simple in f'R. As R is square free, this is a contradiction. Hence there is no nonzero homomorphism from $gR \oplus f'R$ into $fR \oplus g'R$. Symmetrically, there is no nonzero homomorphism from $fR \oplus g'R$ into $gR \oplus f'R$. But, as R is indecomposable and f'R and g'R have infinitely many minimal right ideals, this is a contradiction. Thus, R must have finitely generated essential socle. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let R be an indecomposable, right continuous, right square free ring. Then R is a right $f\pi$ -ring with finite uniform right dimension if and only if R is a right artinian right π -ring.

Proof. Let R be an $f\pi$ -ring with finite uniform dimension. Then it contains an independent family of uniform right ideals U_1, \ldots, U_n with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n U_i \subset' R$. As R is quasi-continuous, there exist nonzero idempotents e_1, \ldots, e_n in R such that $U_i \subset' e_i R$ and $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i R$. Since each U_i is uniform it follows that each $e_i R$ is primitive. As R is indecomposable and continuous it follows, by Lemma 3.1 that $e_i R(1 - e_i) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2, each $e_i R$ is artinian. Hence R is artinian. Now, since R is an $f\pi$ -ring, it follows that R is a π -ring.

Conversely, assume that *R* is a right artinian π -ring. If *R* is local, then it is uniform (Lemma 1.11) and, therefore, has finite uniform dimension. If *R* is non-local, then it has finite uniform dimension by Theorem 3.6. Hence the proof follows. \Box

The right handed version of Theorem 3 in [4] states that a ring R is a non-local indecomposable right q-ring containing no minimal injective right ideals if and only if R is isomorphic to a ring of $n \times n$ matrices of the form

$$M_n(D, V) = \begin{pmatrix} D & V & & & \\ D & V & & & \\ & D & V & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ V & & & & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with *D* a division ring, *V* a null algebra over *D* with dim_{*D*}*V* = dim*V*_{*D*} = 1 and $n \ge 2$. We will consider next a larger family of rings. Let $n \ge 2$ be a natural number. For $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, let D_i be a division ring. For $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$, let $D_i V_{i,i+1}$ be a bimodule and let $D_n V_{n1}_{D_1}$ be a bimodule. For convenience, we will consider here, when dealing with the subscripts, addition modulo *n* on the set $\{1, ..., n\}$ rather than on $\{0, ..., n-1\}$ as is customary. We do this since the rows and columns of matrices are usually labeled by the first set and not the second. So, in particular, n + 1 = 1 and therefore it suffices to say that $D_i V_{i,i+1}_{D_{i+1}}$ is a bimodule for i = 1, ..., n. By $M = M_n(D_1, ..., D_n; V_{12}, ..., V_{n-1,n}, V_{n1})$ we denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices with (i, i) entry from $D_1, (i, i + 1)$ entry from $V_{i,i+1}(i = 1 ...n)$, and all other entries zero. It is straight forward to see that *M* is a ring under the usual matrix addition and multiplication if one assumes that $V_{i,i+1}V_{i+1,i+2} = 0$ for i = 1, ..., n.

In the following theorem we show that, under certain conditions, right π -rings are precisely those rings of the form

$$M_n(D_1,\ldots,D_n;V_{12},\ldots,V_{n-1,n},V_{n1}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & V_{12} & & \\ & D_2 & V_{23} & & \\ & & D_3 & V_{34} & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & V_{n-1,n} \\ V_{n1} & & & & & D_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for which $\dim(V_{i,i+1_{D_{i+1}}}) = 1$.

176

THEOREM 3.8. Let R be an indecomposable, non-local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is right continuous and a right π -ring.
- (2) Every right ideal in R is right continuous.
- (3) Either R is simple artinian or R is right continuous, square free and there exist orthogonal primitive idempotents $e_1, \ldots e_n$ in R such that $e_i R e_j \neq 0$ if and only if either i = j or $j 1 \pmod{n}$, each $e_i R$ has length two and $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i R$.
- (4) R is either simple artinian or isomorphic to a ring of the form

$$M = M_n(D_1, \ldots, D_n; V_{12}, \ldots, V_{n-1,n}, V_{n1})$$

for some natural number n and with entries such that $\dim(V_{i,i+1_{D_{i+1}}}) = 1$.

- (5) *R* is right continuous and every right ideal in *R* containing the Soc(R) is twosided.
- (6) *R* is right continuous and every essential right ideal in *R* is two-sided.

Proof. The implications (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (5) \Rightarrow (6) are trivial, and (6) \Rightarrow (1) following from Proposition 2.1. It remains only to prove the following implications: $(1) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Suppose (1) holds. If R contains a square then R is simple artinian, by Corollary 2.7. Suppose R is square free. By Theorem 3.6, R has finitely generated nonzero essential socle. Let $S_1, \ldots S_n$ be the minimal right ideals in R. Let $I = \{1, ..., n\}$. For each $i \in I$, let $S_i \subset e_i R$, where e_i is a primitive idempotent in R. Clearly, $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R$. As R is indecomposable, $e_i R(1 - e_i) \neq 0$ for each $i \in I$ (Lemma 3.1). Hence there exists some $j \neq i, j \in I$ such that $e_i Re_i \neq 0$. As R is square free and $e_i R$ is projective, $e_i R e_k = 0$ for all $k \neq i, j, k \in I$. Since R is indecomposable, there must exist some $k \in I$, $k \neq i$ (unless n = 2) such that $e_i Re_k \neq 0$. Hence, there exists a permutation ϕ on $I = \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $e_i Re_{\phi(i)} \neq 0$ and $\phi(i) \neq i$ for all $i \in I$. Write $\phi = \phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \phi_k$, a composition of disjoint cycles. Since R is indecomposable, k = 1 and ϕ is a cycle. Renumbering if necessary, we can write $\phi^i(1) = i + 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $\phi^n(1) = 1$. Therefore, for each $i \in I$, we may consider the sequence of homomorphisms $\alpha_{i+1}: e_{i+1}R \to e_iR$ as follows: $e_n R \to e_{n-1} R \to \ldots \to e_2 R \to e_1 R \to e_n R$. Now, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, Im $\alpha_{i+1} = S_i$ is simple. Moreover, for each $i < j, i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, e_i Re_i \neq 0$ iff i = j or $i = j - 1 \pmod{n}$. By Lemma 3.2, each $e_i R$ has length two. Hence we have proved (1) \Rightarrow (3). Now, assume (3) holds. If R is not simple artinian then, by (3), R is right continuous and there exist orthogonal primitive idempotents $e_1, \ldots e_n$ in R such that $e_i Re_i \neq 0$ if and only if either i = j or $i = j \pmod{n}$, each $e_i R$ has length two and $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R$. By Lemma 3.2, $e_i R e_i$ is a division ring for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. By Lemma 3.2, $S_i = e_i R e_{i+1}$. Thus S_i can be viewed as a left vector space over $e_i R e_i$ and a right vector space over $e_{i+1}Re_{i+1}$. Denote each division ring e_iRe_i by D_i and each vector space $e_i Re_{i+1}$ by $V_{i,i+1}$. We will show that $\dim(V_{i,i+1_{D_{i+1}}}) = 1$. Let e_{ii} be the unit matrix in M whose only nonzero entry is the (i, i) entry and equals 1. Then $e_{ii}M = (0 \dots 0 D_i V_{i,i+1} \dots 0) \cong e_i R$. It is easy to check that the proper M-submodules of $e_{ii}M$ are precisely $(0 \dots 0 W_{i,i+1} 0 \dots 0)$ where $W_{i,i+1}$ is a right D_{i+1} -subspace of $V_{i,i+1}$. Therefore, it is clear that the $e_{ii}M$ has no non-trivial summands. Since $e_{ii}M$, being isomorphic to e_iR , is quasi-continuous it follows that it is uniform as a right M-module. Thus, $V_{i,i+1}$ is uniform as a right D_{i+1} -module. Hence,

 $\dim(V_{i,i+1_{D_{i+1}}}) = 1$. Finally by defining $V_{i,i+1}V_{i+1,i+2} = 0$, $i \in I$, we get the following matrix representation of R:

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R \cong \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & V_{12} & & \\ & D_2 & V_{23} & & \\ & & D_3 & V_{34} & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & V_{n-1,n} \\ V_{n1} & & & & D_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= M_n(D_1, \dots D_n; V_{12}, \dots, V_{n-1,n}, V_{n1}).$$

This proves (4).

Now, assume (4) holds. If *R* is simple artinian then (5) holds trivially. Assume *R* is square free and isomorphic to the matrix ring *M*. It is easy to check that the only proper *M*-submodules of $e_{ii}M$ are $(0 \dots 0 W_{i,i+1} 0 \dots 0)$, where $W_{i,i+1}$ is a right D_{i+1} -subspace of $V_{i,i+1}$. As dim $(V_{i,i+1}_{D_{i+1}}) = 1$, it is clear that $e_{ii}M$ is uniform. It is also clear that $e_{ii}M$ is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules. It follows that each $e_{ii}M$ is continuous. It is easy to check that $Soc(e_{ii}M) = (0 \dots 0 V_{i,i+1} 0 \dots 0)$. Therefore, as *M* is square free, there is no nonzero homomorphism from a proper right ideal of $e_{ii}M$ to a right ideal of $e_{ij}M$ for $i \neq j$. Hence, for $i \neq j$, $e_{ii}M$ is $e_{ij}M$ -injective. Hence *M* is right continuous.

Now, let *a*, *m* be two nonzero elements of *M*. For i = 1, ..., n, there exist d_i , $\Delta_i \in D_i$ and $v_{i,i+1}$, $w_{i,i+1} \in V_{i,i+1}$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & v_{12} & & & \\ & d_2 & v_{23} & & \\ & & d_3 & v_{34} & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & v_{n-1,n} \\ v_{n1} & & & & d_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } m = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & w_{12} & & & \\ & \Delta_2 & w_{23} & & & \\ & & \Delta_3 & w_{34} & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & v_{n-1,n} \\ w_{n1} & & & & \Delta_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $i = 1 \dots n - 1$, define $\delta_i = \Delta_i^{-1} d_i \Delta_i$, if $\Delta_i \neq 0$, and $\delta_i = 0$ otherwise. Define $u_{i,i+1} = \Delta_i^{-1} d_i w_{i,i+1}$ if $\Delta_i \neq 0$ and $u_{i,i+1} = 0$ otherwise. Define $s_i = v_{i,i+1} \Delta_{i+1} - w_{i,i+1} \delta_{i+1}$ if $\Delta_i \neq 0$ and $s_i = d_i w_{i,i+1} + v_{i,i+1} \Delta_{i+1} - w_{i,i+1} \delta_{i+1}$ if $\Delta_i = 0$. For i = n, define $u_{n1} = \Delta_n^{-1} d_n w_{n1}$ if $\Delta_n \neq 0$ and $s_n = v_{n1} \Delta_1 - w_{n1} \delta_1$ if $\Delta_n \neq 0$, and $s_n = v_{n1} \Delta_1 + d_n w_{n1} - w_{n1} \delta_1$ if $\Delta_n = 0$.

It is straightforward to verify that ma = s + am', where

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_1 & & & \\ & 0 & s_2 & & \\ & & 0 & s_3 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & s_{n-1} \\ s_n & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } m' = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & u_{12} & & & \\ & \delta_2 & u_{23} & & & \\ & & \delta_3 & u_{34} & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & u_{n-1,n} \\ u_{n1} & & & & & \delta_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, as $\text{Soc}(e_{ii}M) = (0 \dots 0 V_{i,i+1} \dots 0)$, Soc(M) consists of all matrices in M with zero diagonal. Thus, $ma \in \text{Soc}(M) + aM$. Hence every right ideal of M containing the Soc(M) is two-sided, proving (5).

Now, suppose (1) holds. Let *I* be a right ideal of *R* isomorphic to a summand *eR* of *R* where $e^2 = e \in R$. If *e* is primitive then, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, *eR* has only one proper right ideal S = Soc(eR). Since *eR* is indecomposable, *I* is indecomposable. Let *fR* be a closure of *I* in *R*, where $f^2 = f \in R$. Then *fR* is indecomposable and again, by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, *fR* has only one proper right ideal T = Soc(fR). As *eR* is not simple, *I* is not simple. Thus, I = fR. Now suppose *e* is not primitive. As *R* is quasi-continuous and has finite uniform dimension (Theorem 3.6), we can write $eR = f_1R \oplus \ldots \oplus f_kR$, where the f_i are primitive idempotents. There exist submodules I_i of I, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that $I = I_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus I_k$ and $I_i \cong f_iR$. By the above arguments, each *I*, is a summand of *R*. Since *R* is quasi-continuous, it follows that $I \subset \oplus R$. Hence *R* is continuous. Thus, (2) holds. \Box

EXAMPLE 3.9. In the above Theorem, the left dimension of $V_{i,i+1}$ over D_i is not necessarily 1, as the following example shows.

Let *F* be any field, F(x) the field of rational functions over *F* on the variable *x*. Let V = F(x) be the F(x)-bimodule with left action of F(x) on *V* given by $f(x) \cdot g(x) = f(x^2)g(x)$ and the right action given by multiplication in F(x). Consider the ring

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} F(x) & V & 0\\ 0 & F(x) & V\\ V & 0 & F(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $V^2 = 0$. This ring satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 3.6 but $\dim_{F(x)}V = 2$. \Box

Let

$$M' = M'_n(D_1, \dots, D_n; V_{21}, \dots, V_{n,n-1}, V_{1n}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & \cdots & V_{1n} \\ V_{21} & D_2 & \cdots & \\ & V_{32} & D_3 & \cdots & \\ & & V_{43} & \cdots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & V_{n,n-1} & D_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where for i = 1...n, D_i is a division ring and $V_{i+1,i}$ is a $D_{i+1} - D_i$ bimodule. Notice that, as we did before, when dealing with subscripts we are considering addition modulo n on the set $\{1, ..., n\}$ rather than on $\{0, ..., n-1\}$.

It is straightforward to see that M' is a ring under the usual matrix addition and multiplication if we assume that $V_{i+1,i}V_{i,i-1} = 0$ for $i = 1 \dots n$.

Certainly, there is a symmetric, left-handed version of Theorem 3.8 to characterize left continuous indecomposable left π rings in terms of rings of the form $M' = M'_n(D_1, \ldots, D_n; V_{21}, \ldots, V_{n,n-1}, V_{1n})$, as follows.

THEOREM 3.10. Let R be an indecomposable, non-local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is left continuous and a left π -ring;
- (2) R is either simple artinian or isomorphic to a ring of the form

$$M' = M'_n(D_1, \ldots, D_n; V_{21}, \ldots, V_{n,n-1}, V_{1n})$$

for some natural number n and with entries such that $\dim_{D_{i+1}}V_{i+1,i} = 1$.

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 3.8. \Box

However, it is important to point out that one can also characterize right continuous, indecomposable, non-local rings in terms of rings of the form M', as follows.

THEOREM 3.11. Let R be a right continuous, indecomposable, non-local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is a right π -ring
- (2) either *R* is simple artinian or *R* is square free and there exist orthogonal primitive idempotents f_1, \ldots, f_n in *R* such that $f_i R f_j \neq 0$ if and only if either i = jor $i = j + 1 \pmod{n}$, each $f_i R$ has length two and $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i R$;
- (3) either *R* is simple artinian or *R* is square free and isomorphic to a ring of the form

$$M' = M_n(D_1, \dots, D_n, V_{21}, \dots; V_{n,n-1}, V_{1n}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & & V_{1n} \\ V_{21} & D_2 & & & \\ & V_{32} & D_3 & & \\ & & V_{43} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & V_{n,n-1} & D_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for some natural number n and dim $(V_{i+1,i_D}) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose (1) holds. Assume *R* is not simple artinian. By Theorem 3.8, *R* is right continuous, square free and there exist orthogonal primitive idempotents $e_1, \ldots e_n$ in *R* such that $e_i Re_j \neq 0$ if and only if either i = j or $i = j - 1 \pmod{n}$, each $e_i R$ has length two and $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i R$. Define $f_i = e_{n-i+1}$. Then $f_i Rf_j = e_{n-i+1}Re_{n-j+1} \neq 0$ if and only if either n - i + 1 = n - j + 1 or $n - i + 1 = n - j + 1 - 1 \pmod{n}$ if and only if either i = j or $i = j + 1 \pmod{n}$. Hence the sequence $e_n R \rightarrow e_{n-1} R \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow e_2 R \rightarrow e_1 R \rightarrow e_n R$ is the same as the sequence $f_1 R \rightarrow f_2 R \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow f_n R \rightarrow f_1 R$. This gives rise to the matrix representation M' of the ring R. A proof similar to the proof of Theorem 3.8 will prove the equivalence of all the statements in Theorem 3.11.

COROLLARY 3.12. If R is indecomposable are non-local, then the condition of being a right q-ring is equivalent to being a left q-ring.

Proof. A right handed version of [4, Theorem 3] states that an indecomposable, non-local right q-ring is either simple artinian or a ring of the form

$$M_n(D, V) = \begin{pmatrix} D & V & & \\ D & V & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ V & & & \ddots & V \\ V & & & & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where D is a division ring and V is a null algebra over D with $\dim_{D} V = 1 = \dim(V_D)$. Following the proof of Theorem 3.11, it is easy to check that an indecomposable, non-local, right q-ring R is either simple artinian or a ring of the form

$$M'_{n}(D, V) = \begin{pmatrix} D & & & V \\ V & D & & & \\ & V & D & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & V & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with D and V as above. By [4, Theorem 3], $M'_n(D, V)$ is a left q-ring.

If the ring *R* in Theorem 3.8 is both a left and right π -ring, then both the left and right dimensions of $V_{i,i+1}$, over D_i and D_{i+1} respectively, are equal to one and *R* is a (two-sided) *q*-ring, as the following Theorem shows:

THEOREM 3.13. Let *R* be a right continuous, indecomposable, non-local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *R* is a right and left π -ring;
- (2) *R* is a left quasi-continuous right π -ring;
- (3) R is either simple artinian or isomorphic to a ring of the form

 $M = M_n(D_1, \ldots, D_n; V_{12}, \ldots, V_{n-1,n}, V_{n1}),$

for some natural number *n* and with entries such that $\dim(V_{i,i+1_{D_{i+1}}}) = 1 = \dim(D_i, V_{i,i+1});$

- (4) R is a right q-ring;
- (5) *R* is a right and a left q-ring.

Proof. Clearly (1) implies (2). Now suppose (2) holds. Then *R* is a right π -ring. By Theorem 3.8(4), *R* is either simple artinian or of the form $M_n(D_1, \ldots, D_n; V_{12}, \ldots, V_{n-1,n}, V_{n1})$. If *R* is simple artinian then (3) holds trivially. Otherwise, every

left summand
$$Re_i \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ V_{i+1,i} \\ D_i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, where e_i is the unit matrix e_{ii} , has as its only

180

submodules
$$Re_i$$
 and 'columns' of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ W_{i-1,i} \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $W_{i-1,i}$ is a D_{i-1} -sub-

space of $V_{i-1,i}$. Therefore, Re_i is indecomposable and, since it is also left quasi-continuous, the dimension of $V_{i-1,i}$ as left D_{i-1} -space is 1, proving (3). Now, suppose (3) holds. Let $0 \neq x \in V_{i,i+1}$. Then $V_{i,i+1} = xD_{i+1} = D_ix$. The assignment $d \rightarrow d'$ if and only if dx = xd' defines a ring isomorphism between D_i and D_{i+1} . Hence the D'_i are all isomorphic and we can view them as a division ring D. It is now easy to check that the V'_i are all isomorphic as well. Hence R is a right q-ring [4, Theorem 3], proving (4). Now (4) \Rightarrow (5) is clear by Corollary 3.12, (5) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. \Box

REFERENCES

1. C. F. Faith. Algebra I: Rings and categories of modules (Springer-Verlag, 1974).

2. K. Goodearl, Von Neumann regular rings (Pitman Publishing Limited, London, 1979).

3. K. Goodearl, Nonsingular rings and modules (Marcel Decker, New York, 1976).

4. Ivanov, Non-local rings whose ideals are all quasi-injective, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **45** (1972), 45–52.

5. Ivanov, Non-local rings whose ideals are all quasi-injective, Addendum, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **12** (1975), 159–160.

6. G. Ivanov, On a generalization of injective von-Neumann rings, to appear.

7. S. K. Jain, S. Mohamed and S. Singh, Rings in which every right ideal is quasiinjective, *Pacific J. Math.* 31 (1969), 73–79.

8. T. Y. Lam, A first course in noncommutative rings (Springer-Verlag, 1991).

9. S. R. López-Permouth, K. Oshiro and S. T. Rizvi, On the relative (quasi-) continuity of modules, *Comm. Algebra*, to appear.

10. B. J. Müller and S. Mohamed, *Continuous and discrete modules* (Cambridge University Press, 1990).