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#### Abstract

The spectral function $\theta(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp ^{\left(-\lambda_{n} t\right)}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are the eigenvalues of the two-dimensional Laplacian, is studied for a variety of domains. The dependence of $\theta(t)$ on the connectivity of a domain and the impedance boundary conditions is analysed. Particular attention is given to a doubly-connected region together with the impedance boundary conditions on its boundaries.


## 1. Introduction

The underlying problem is to deduce the precise shape of a membrane from the complete knowledge of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ for the Laplace operator $\Delta=\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}$ $+\partial^{2} / \partial y^{2}$ in the $x y$-plane.

Let $D \subseteq R^{2}$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial D$. Consider the impedance problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta+\lambda) u=0 \quad \text { in } D, \quad\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}+\gamma\right) u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial n$ denotes differentiation along the inward pointing normal to $\partial D, \gamma$ is a positive constant and $u \in C^{2}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$. Denote its eigenvalues, counted according to multiplicity, by

$$
0<\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \lambda_{3} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_{n} \leqslant \cdots \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

[^0]The problem of determining the geometry of $D$ (simply connected) and the impedance $\gamma$ has been discussed recently in [6] from the asymptotic behaviour of the spectral function $\theta(t)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\exp ^{(-\Delta t)}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\lambda_{n} t\right)$ for small positive $t$. Problem (1.1) has been investigated in [3], [5], [9] in the following special cases:

## Case 1. $\gamma=0$ (Neumann Problem)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t) \sim \frac{\text { area } D}{4 \pi t}+\frac{\text { lenglh } \partial \bar{D}}{8(\pi t)^{1 / 2}}+a_{0}+\frac{7 t^{1 / 2}}{256 \pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{\partial D}(k(\sigma))^{2} d \sigma+O(t) \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ (Dirichlet Problem)
$\theta(t) \sim \frac{\text { area } D}{4 \pi t}-\frac{\text { length } \partial D}{8(\pi t)^{1 / 2}}+a_{0}+\frac{t^{1 / 2}}{256 \pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{\partial D}(k(\sigma))^{2} d \sigma+O(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$,
where $k(\sigma)$ is the curvature of the boundary $\partial D$. The constant term $a_{0}$ has geometric significance, e.g. if $D$ is smooth and convex, then $a_{0}=1 / 6$ and if $D$ is permitted to have a finite number " $h$ " of smooth convex holes, then $a_{0}=$ $(1-h) / 6$.

The object of this paper is to discuss the following problem: let

$$
D=\{(r, \theta): a \leqslant r \leqslant b, 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi\}
$$

be a circular annulus. Suppose that the eigenvalues $0<\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \lambda_{3} \leqslant \cdots$ are given for the impedance problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta+\lambda) u=0 \quad \text { in } D, \quad\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\gamma_{1} u\right)_{r=a}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\gamma_{2} u\right)_{r=b}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are positive constants. The basic problem is that of determining the geometry of the circular annulus $D$ as well as the impedances $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ from the asymptotic behaviour of $\theta(t)$ for small positive $t$.

Problem (1.4) has been investigated in [7] in the following special cases:
Case 1. $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t) \sim \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}(a+b)}{4 t^{1 / 2}}+O\left(t^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $\gamma_{1}=0, \gamma_{2} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t) \sim \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}(a-b)}{4 t^{1 / 2}}+O\left(t^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 3. $\gamma_{1} \rightarrow \infty, \gamma_{2}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t) \sim \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}(b-a)}{4 t^{1 / 2}}+O\left(t^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 4. $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t) \sim \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}-\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}(a+b)}{4 t^{1 / 2}}+O\left(t^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A restricted form of the results (1.8) and (1.5) has been obtained recently in [1, 2].

With reference to (1.2), (1.3), an examination of the results (1.5)-(1.8) shows that the coefficient of $(4 \pi t)^{-1}$ determines the area of the annulus $D$ and the coefficient of $(\pi t)^{-1 / 2} / 8$ determines the total length of its boundary. We note that the constant term $a_{0}$ is zero because our domain has only one hole (i.e., $h=1$ ).

## 2. Formulation of the mathematical problem

Following the method of Kac [3] and following closely the procedure of Section 2 in [7], it is easy to show that the spectral function $\theta(t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t)=\iint_{D} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} ; t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)$ is the Green's function for the heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the impedance boundary conditions of (1.4) and the initial condition $G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right) \rightarrow \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, where $\delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ is the Dirac delta function located at the source point $x=x^{\prime}$. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)=G_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)+\chi\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)=(4 \pi t)^{-1} \exp \left\{-\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 4 t\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the "fundamental solution" of the heat equation (2.2), while $\chi\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)$ is a "regular solution" chosen in such a way that $G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)$ satisfies the impedance boundary conditions of (1.4).

On setting $x=x^{\prime}$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t)=\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+K(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t)=\iint_{D} \chi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} ; t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem now is to determine the asymptotic expansion of $K(t)$ for small positive $t$. In what follows we shall use Laplace transforms with respect to " $t$ ", and use $s^{2}$ as the Laplace transform parameter; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; s^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2} t} G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of the Laplace transform to the heat equation (2.2) shows that $\bar{G}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; s^{2}\right)$ satisfies the membrane equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta-s^{2}\right) \bar{G}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; s^{2}\right)=-\delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } D \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the impedance boundary conditions of (1.4). The asymptotic expansion of $K(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$ may then be deduced directly from the asymptotic expansion of $\bar{K}\left(s^{2}\right)$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}\left(s^{2}\right)=\int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} \int_{r=a}^{b} r \bar{\chi}\left(r, \theta, r, \theta ; s^{2}\right) d r d \theta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Construction of Green's function

It is well known that the membrane equation (2.8) has the fundamental solution

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{G}_{0}\left(r, \theta, r^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime} ; s^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} K_{0}\left(s\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{m}\left(s r^{\prime}\right) K_{m}(s r) \cos \left[m\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{0}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and of zero order, (see, for example [8]).

On solving the membrane equation (2.8) we deduce that if $r^{\prime} \leqslant r \leqslant b$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{G}\left(r, \theta, r^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime} ; s^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} K_{m}(s r) I_{m}\left(s r^{\prime}\right)+A_{m} K_{m}(s r)+B_{m} I_{m}(s r)\right\} \cos \left[m\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $r^{\prime} \geqslant r \geqslant a$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{G}\left(r, \theta, r^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime} ; s^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} K_{m}\left(s r^{\prime}\right) I_{m}(s r)+A_{m} K_{m}(s r)+B_{m} I_{m}(s r)\right\} \cos \left[m\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{m}$ and $B_{m}$ are constants to be determined.
Consequently, it is straightforward to show that at $r^{\prime}=r$ and $\theta^{\prime}=\theta$ the equation (2.8) has the regular solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\chi}\left(r, \theta, r, \theta ; s^{2}\right)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi R_{m}}\left\{\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right]\right. \\
& \times\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} I_{m}(s b)\right] K_{m}^{2}(s r) \\
& -2\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right] \\
& \times\left[s K_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} K_{m}(s b)\right] I_{m}(s r) K_{m}(s r) \\
& +\left[s K_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} K_{m}(s a)\right] \\
& \left.\times\left[s K_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} K_{m}(s b)\right] I_{m}^{2}(s r)\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m}=\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right]\left[s K_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} K_{m}(s b)\right] \\
&-\left[s K_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} K_{m}(s a)\right]\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} I_{m}(s b)\right] \neq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If we insert (3.4) into (2.9) and integrate, we find after some reduction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}\left(s^{2}\right)=\frac{a^{2}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}(m ; s)-\frac{b^{2}}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{2}(m ; s), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(m ; s)= & \left(1+\frac{m^{2}}{s^{2} a^{2}}\right)\left\{I_{m}(s a) K_{m}(s a)+\frac{I_{m}(s a)}{a\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right]}\right\} \\
& -I_{m}^{\prime}(s a) K_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\frac{\gamma_{1} I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)}{s a\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right]} \\
& +\left[\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{s^{2}}-\left(1+\frac{m^{2}}{s^{2} a^{2}}\right)\right] \frac{\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} I_{m}(s b)\right]}{a^{2} R_{m}\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right]} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}(m ; s)= & \left(1+\frac{\dot{m}^{2}}{s^{2} b^{2}}\right)\left\{I_{m}(s b) K_{m}(s b)-\frac{I_{m}(s b)}{b\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} I_{m}(s b)\right]}\right\} \\
& -I_{m}^{\prime}(s b) K_{m}^{\prime}(s b)-\frac{\gamma_{2} I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)}{s b\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} I_{m}(s b)\right]} \\
& +\left[\frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}}{s^{2}}-\left(1+\frac{m^{2}}{s^{2} b^{2}}\right)\right] \frac{\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s a)+\gamma_{1} I_{m}(s a)\right]}{b^{2} R_{m}\left[s I_{m}^{\prime}(s b)+\gamma_{2} I_{m}(s b)\right]} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

As $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \rightarrow \infty$, we recover (2.1.3) and (2.1.4) of [7]. The series (3.6) is slowly convergent for $s \rightarrow \infty$ and it is therefore expedient to apply a Watson transformation [9] to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}\left(s^{2}\right) \sim a^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}(\nu ; s) d \nu-b^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{2}(\nu ; s) d \nu \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows that the functions $f_{1}(\nu ; s)$ and $f_{2}(\nu ; s)$ may be expressed in terms of the asymptotic expansions of the modified Bessel functions and their derivatives due to Olver [4]; these expansions for $s \rightarrow \infty$ are uniformly valid in $\nu$ for $|\arg \nu|<\pi / 2$.

## 4. Construction of $\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)$ for our impedance problem

In this section, we look at the following cases:

Case 1. $\left(0<\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \ll 1\right)$
In this case we deduce after some reduction that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\nu ; s) \sim \frac{\left(\nu^{2}+s^{2} a^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{s^{2} a^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{1, n}(\tau)}{\nu^{n}} \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty  \tag{4.1}\\
& f_{2}(\nu ; s) \sim \frac{\left(\nu^{2}+s^{2} b^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{s^{2} b^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{2, n}(\eta)}{\nu^{n}} \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau=\nu /\left(\nu^{2}+s^{2} a^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \eta=\nu /\left(\nu^{2}+s^{2} b^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and for $n=0,1,2,3$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1,0}=0, \quad A_{1,1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau-\tau^{3}\right), \quad A_{1,2}=\tau^{2}\left(\gamma_{1} a-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\tau^{4}\left(\gamma_{1} a-\frac{3}{2}\right)-\tau^{6}, \\
A_{1,3}=\tau^{3}\left(\frac{3}{8}-\gamma_{1} a+\gamma_{1}^{2} a^{2}\right)+\tau^{5}\left(-\frac{23}{8}+3 \gamma_{1} a-\gamma_{1}^{2} a^{2}\right)+\tau^{7}\left(\frac{41}{8}-2 \gamma_{1} a\right)-\frac{21}{8} \tau^{9},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{2,0}=0, \quad A_{2,1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta-\eta^{3}\right), \quad A_{2,2}=\eta^{2}\left(\gamma_{2} b-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\eta^{4}\left(\gamma_{2} b-\frac{3}{2}\right)-\eta^{6}, \\
A_{2,3}=-\eta^{3}\left(\frac{3}{8}-\gamma_{2} b+\gamma_{2}^{2} b^{2}\right)-\eta^{5}\left(-\frac{23}{8}+3 \gamma_{2} b-\gamma_{2}^{2} b^{2}\right)-\eta^{7}\left(\frac{41}{8}-2 \gamma_{2} b\right)+\frac{21}{8} \eta^{9} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If the asymptotic expansions (4.1) and (4.2) are now integrated, we deduce that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}\left(s^{2}\right) \sim \frac{\pi(a+b)}{4 s}-\frac{\left(\gamma_{2} b-\gamma_{1} a\right)}{s^{2}} \\
&+\left\{7\left(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}\right)-32\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)+64\left(\gamma_{1}^{2} a+\gamma_{2}^{2} b\right)\right\} \frac{\pi}{256 s^{3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{4}}\right) \\
& \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

On inverting Laplace transforms and using (2.5) we have the spectral formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(t) \sim & \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}(a+b)}{4 t^{1 / 2}}-\left(\gamma_{2} b-\gamma_{1} a\right) \\
& +\left\{7\left(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}\right)-32\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)+64\left(\gamma_{1}^{2} a+\gamma_{2}^{2} b\right)\right\} \frac{(\pi t)^{1 / 2}}{128}+O(t) \\
& \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly the following asymptotic spectral formulae may be derived:

Case 2. $\left(0<\gamma_{1} \ll 1, \gamma_{2} \gg 1\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(t) \sim \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}\left[a-\left(b+\gamma_{2}^{-1}\right)\right]}{4 t^{1 / 2}}-\gamma_{1} a \\
&+\left\{\frac{7}{a}+\frac{1}{b}-32 \gamma_{1}+64 \gamma_{1}^{2} a-\frac{\gamma_{2}^{-1}}{b^{2}}\right\} \frac{(\pi t)^{1 / 2}}{128}+O(t) \\
& \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3. $\left(\gamma_{1} \gg 1,0<\gamma_{2} \ll 1\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(t) \sim \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}\left[b-\left(a+\gamma_{1}^{-1}\right)\right]}{4 t^{1 / 2}}-\gamma_{2} b \\
&+\left\{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{7}{b}-32 \gamma_{2}+64 \gamma_{2}^{2} b-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{-1}}{a^{2}}\right\} \frac{(\pi t)^{1 / 2}}{128}+O(t) \\
& \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This derives from Case 2 with the interchanges $a \leftrightarrow b$ and $\gamma_{1} \leftrightarrow \gamma_{2}$ in the terms other than the first.

Case 4. $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \gg 1\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(t) \sim & \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{4 t}-\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}\left[\left(a+\gamma_{1}^{-1}\right)+\left(b+\gamma_{2}^{-1}\right)\right]}{4 t^{1 / 2}} \\
& +\left\{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{-1}}{a^{2}}-\frac{\gamma_{2}^{-1}}{b^{2}}\right\} \frac{(\pi t)^{1 / 2}}{128}+O(t) \\
& \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that
(4.4) agrees with (1.5) if $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0$;
(4.5) agrees with (1.6) if $\gamma_{1}=0$ and $\gamma_{2} \rightarrow \infty$;
(4.6) agrees with (1.7) if $\gamma_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma_{2}=0$;
(4.7) agrees with (1.8) if $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2} \rightarrow \infty$.

The asymptotic expansions (4.4)-(4.7) may be interpreted as:
(i) $D$ is a circular annulus and we have the impedance boundary conditions of (1.4) on both boundaries of $D$ with large/small impedances $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ as indicated in the specifications of the four respective cases.
(ii) For the first three terms, $D$ is a bounded domain of area $\pi\left(b^{2}-a^{2}\right)$.

In Case 1, it has $h=\left[1+6\left(\gamma_{2} b-\gamma_{1} a\right)\right]$ holes, a boundary of length $2 \pi(a+b)$ together with Neumann conditions on the boundaries, provided $h$ is an integer.

In Case 2, it has $h=\left(1+6 \gamma_{1} a\right)$ holes, a part of the boundary of length $2 \pi a$ with Neumann conditions and the other part of length $2 \pi\left(b+\gamma_{2}^{-1}\right)$ together with Dirichlet conditions, provided $h$ is an integer.

In Case 4, it has only one hole ( $h=1$ ), a boundary of length $2 \pi\left\{\left(a+\gamma_{1}^{-1}\right)+\right.$ ( $b+\gamma_{2}^{-1}$ ) \} together with Dirichlet conditions on the boundaries.
(iii) The fourth and further terms in (4.4)-(4.7), as yet undetermined, would require different interpretations.
(iv) If it is known that the domain $D$ is a circular annulus, then both the coefficients of $t^{-1 / 2}$ and that of $t^{1 / 2}$ in (4.7) may be solved to determine $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$.
(v) If, in the formula (4.4), $\gamma_{1} / \gamma_{2}=b / a$ then the first three terms agree with the annulus with Neumann conditions. Also, if further $\gamma_{1} a=\frac{1}{2}=\gamma_{2} b$, then the first four terms agree with the annulus with Neumann conditions (i.e. with the case obtained by setting $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0$ in (4.4)).
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