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Summary

In a representative sample of the UK population we found that common mental disorders (as a group and in ICD–10 diagnostic categories) and subthreshold psychiatric symptoms at baseline were both independently associated with new-onset functional disability and significant days lost from work at 18-month follow-up. Subthreshold symptoms contributed to almost half the aggregate burden of functional disability and over 32 million days lost from work in the year preceding the study. Leaving these symptoms unaccounted for in surveys may lead to gross underestimation of disability related to psychiatric morbidity.
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The association between psychiatric morbidity and subsequent disability may have been underestimated1–3 because disability related to subthreshold symptoms is not included in calculations. Previous longitudinal studies on this subject have concentrated mainly on depression and its subthreshold presentations,1,3 and cross-sectional studies cannot ascertain the direction of causality. Furthermore, disability related to anxiety-based disorders and mixed anxiety/depression is sparsely documented.4 We studied the relative contribution of subthreshold psychotic symptoms and common mental disorders5 at baseline as predictors of new-onset functional disability and days lost from work at 18 months follow-up in the UK population.

Method

We used data from the longitudinal subset of the 2000 UK Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (details available elsewhere).6 Briefly, 8580 adults representative of the UK population participated in face-to-face interviews at baseline (T1) in 2000. A representative subsample (n = 2406) was followed up 18 months later (T2). Ethical approval was granted by the Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee in England.

Psychiatric morbidity was assessed using the revised Clinical Interview Schedule (CIS–R).7 A CIS–R score of ≥12 indicates the presence of a common mental disorder and algorithms allow identification of ICD–10 diagnoses of depression, anxiety-based disorders (phobias, generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder and obsessive–compulsive disorder) and mixed anxiety/depression. We defined three main exposure groups: no common mental disorders (CIS–R score <6 and no ICD–10 diagnosis); subthreshold psychiatric symptoms (CIS–R score 6–11 and no ICD–10 diagnosis); and common mental disorders (CIS–R score ≥12 or an ICD–10 diagnosis).

Functional disability was studied using seven domains of activities of daily living8–10 including personal care, using transport, medical care, household activities, practical activities, dealing with paperwork and managing money (see online supplement). Those employed were asked to report the number of days they had been off sick from work in the past year. We estimated mean days lost from work in each group of psychiatric morbidity. We also added the number of days reported lost in the past year by respondents in each category of psychiatric morbidity and extrapolated them to the UK population using weights.

For regression analyses we studied two outcomes. First, new-onset functional disability (defined as report of new activities of daily living difficulties in any domain at T2) in a cohort of people with no activities of daily living difficulties at T1 (n = 1573). Second, 1 or more days, and >14 days lost from work in the past year in a cohort employed at both waves (n = 1317).

Logistic regression was used to estimate the association of psychiatric morbidity and the outcomes, while adjusting for potential confounders (Table 1). Analyses were conducted using svy commands in Stata I/C v.10.1 (Windows). Probability weights were used to account for the stratified sampling and non-response.9 Population-attributable-risk fractions were calculated using the alogit procedure.

Results

Among people with no functional disability at baseline (n = 1573), 15.2% had subthreshold symptoms and 11.9% a common mental disorder. In total, 60% of those with common mental disorders had mixed anxiety/depression, 28.6% had an ICD–10 anxiety-based disorder and 11.4% a depressive episode.

During follow-up, 14.8% of participants with common mental disorders at baseline developed a new functional disability, compared with 12.6% of those with subthreshold symptoms and 7.7% of those with no common mental disorder (Fig. DS1). A graded relationship was also observed in mean days lost from work; those with no common mental disorders at baseline missed 4.1 days (s.d. = 1.9), those with subthreshold symptoms 7.6 days (s.d. = 2.5) and those with a common mental disorder 13.2 days (s.d. = 4.0). An estimated 148.3 million days were lost from work in the year preceding T2 when extrapolating results to the UK population. These comprised: no common mental disorders 70.3 million days (95% CI 37.3–103.0), subthreshold symptoms 32.4 million days (95% CI 21.6–43.2), mixed anxiety/depression 25.3 million days (95% CI 16.0–34.5), ICD–10 anxiety-based disorders 10.9 million days (95% CI 3.3–18.5) and ICD–10 depression 9.4 million days (95% CI 1.1–17.7).

Individuals with baseline subthreshold symptoms or common mental disorders were both twice as likely to report a new-onset functional disability at T2 compared with those with no common mental disorders (Table 1). A non-linear relationship was observed between subthreshold symptoms and work days lost. There was no association between subthreshold symptoms and single-day work absences (adjusted odds ratio (OR) = 1.1, 95% CI 0.8–1.7) but these individuals were twice more likely to report absences lasting over 14 days (Table 1). Common mental disorders, by contrast, were associated with over a twofold increase in odds for both these outcomes (adjusted OR for 1 day lost 2.2, 95% CI 1.5–3.1; for 14-days lost OR = 2.9, 95%
CI 1.6–5.2.) Population attributable-risk fractions for subthreshold symptoms explained a much greater proportion of new-onset functional disability (11.1%) than ICD–10 depression (3.0%) or anxiety-based disorders (5.3%).

**Discussion**

We found that both subthreshold symptoms and common mental disorders pose a substantial risk of functional disability and absence from work, even after accounting for potential confounders. Almost half the aggregate burden of new-onset functional disability in the population as a result of psychiatric morbidity could be attributed to subthreshold symptoms. Almost two-thirds of the future disability attributable to psychiatric symptoms in the population may be missed if analyses are restricted to individuals with anxiety and depressive disorders.

Our results add to previous findings that disability rises in increments with increasing psychiatric symptom load,1,3 not just for depression but for the entire spectrum of common mental disorders. We found that the largest proportion of disability in the common mental disorders group was contributed by mixed anxiety/depression that is itself often considered a subthreshold category.4 We highlight that the aggregate costs of psychiatric symptoms to society may be grossly underestimated when studying specific psychiatric diagnoses in isolation.

The use of a structured psychiatric interview, a large representative sample and prospective design are strengths of this study. Limitations include attrition in the two waves leading to a non-response using probability weights. Data collection at two time points, with little knowledge of the intervening period may underestimate. However, this should not be interpreted as if the exposure were completely removed assuming the association was causal and all confounding accounted for.

**Table 1** Relationship between baseline psychiatric morbidity and new-onset functional disability and >14 days off work at 18-month follow-up: weighted logistic regression analyses and population attributable fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Onset of functional disability at T2 (in cohort with no functional disability at T1, n = 1573)</th>
<th>&gt;14 days off work in past year at T2 (in cohort employed at both waves, n = 1317)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Adjusted OR* (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No common mental disorders</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subthreshold symptoms</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1–2.7)*</td>
<td>2.2 (1.3–3.6)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common mental disorders</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3–3.3)**</td>
<td>2.5 (1.5–4.3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed anxiety/depression</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0–3.0)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.1–4.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD–10 anxiety-based disorder</td>
<td>2.7 (1.5–4.8)**</td>
<td>2.9 (1.5–6.5)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD–10 depression</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3–6.6)*</td>
<td>3.3 (1.3–8.1)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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a. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals derived by models adjusted for age, gender, marital status, ethnicity, social class, employment status, highest educational qualification, area type, tenure of housing, size of primary support group, life events at T1 and between T1 and T2, current smoking, past year illicit drug use, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification and Treatment (AUDIT) score,5 baseline psychiatric treatment (medication or psychotherapy) and self-reported physical complaints.

b. Population attributable-risk fractions (PAFs) % derived from the adjusted unweighted logistic regression models. The PAFs denote the proportion of an outcome in the population that would be prevented if the exposure were completely removed assuming the association was causal and all confounding accounted for.

c. Aggregated PAFs
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