H. MorikawaNagoya Math. J.Vol. 63, (1976), 163-171

## A NOTE ON HOLOMORPHIC MATRIC AUTOMORPHIC FACTORS WITH RESPECT TO A LATTICE IN A COMPLEX VECTOR SPACE

## Dedicated to the memory of Taira Honda

## HISASI MORIKAWA

1. A holomorphic  $n \times n$ -matric automorphic factor with respect to a lattice L in  $C^g$  means a system of holomorphic  $n \times n$ -matrices  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) \mid \alpha \in L\}$  such that

(1) 
$$\det \mu_{a}(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{everywhere on } \mathbf{C}^{g},$$

(2) 
$$\mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\beta}(z) \qquad (\alpha,\beta\in L).$$

This is nothing else than the condition of a group action of L on  $C^g \times C^n$ ;

$$(z, u) \longrightarrow (z + \alpha, \mu_{\alpha}(z)u) \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$
.

The quotient  $E_{\mu} = C^g \times C^n/L$  by this group action of L is a holomorphic vector bundle of rank n over the complex torus  $C^g/L$ . Holomorphic vector bundles over the complex torus  $C^g/L$  are always constructed by this way, since holomorphic vector bundles over  $C^g$  are trivial.

Denoting

$$\omega_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1} d\mu_{\alpha}(z) \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$

we get a system of  $n \times n$ -matric connections satisfying

$$d\omega_a(z) + \omega_a(z) \wedge \omega_a(z) = 0 ,$$

$$(4) \omega_{\alpha+\beta}(z) = \omega_{\alpha}(z) + \mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}\omega_{\beta}(z+\alpha)\mu_{\alpha}(z) (\alpha,\beta\in L).$$

In the present short note we shall characterize matric automorphic factors  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) \mid \alpha \in L\}$  such that

i) the associated vector bundle  $E_{\mu}$  is simple and ii)

Received February 27, 1976.

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z + \beta)\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}$$
  $(\alpha, \beta \in L)$ 

are constant matrices.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) \mid \alpha \in L\}$  be a holomorphic  $n \times n$ -matric automorphic factor with respect to a lattice L in  $C^{g}$ , and let  $\omega_{\alpha}(z)$  be the integrable connections given by

$$\omega_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1} d\mu_{\alpha}(z) \qquad (\alpha \in L).$$

Then following three conditions are equivalent each other,

- (5)  $\omega_a(z) = \sum A_{a\ell} dz_\ell$  with constant matrices  $A_{a\ell}$   $(\alpha \in L; 1 \le \ell \le g)$ .
- (6)  $\mu_{a}(z) = \mu_{a}(0) \exp \left\{ \sum A_{a\ell} z_{\ell} \right\}$  with constant matrices  $A_{a\ell}$  satisfying  $[A_{a\ell}, A_{ah}] = 0$   $(\alpha \in L; 1 \leq \ell, h \leq g)$ ,
- (7)  $\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}$   $(\alpha, \beta \in L)$  are constant matrices.

*Proof.* If we assume (5), we have  $\omega_{\alpha}(z) \wedge \omega_{\alpha}(z) = 0$  and thus  $[A_{\alpha\ell}, A_{\alpha\hbar}] = 0$   $(\alpha, \beta \in L; 1 \leq \ell, h \leq g)$ . By virtue of this commutativity, putting  $\beta_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp \{\sum_{i} A_{\alpha\ell} z_{i}\}$ , we have

$$ilde{\mu}_{lpha}(z)^{-1}d ilde{\mu}_{lpha}(z)=\omega_{lpha}(z)=\mu_{lpha}(z)^{-1}d\mu_{lpha}(z) \qquad (lpha\in L)$$
 .

Since  $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(0) = \mu_{\alpha}(0)$ , we have  $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z)$  ( $\alpha \in L$ ). If we assume (6), then

$$\mu_{\mathbf{a}}(z + \beta)\mu_{\mathbf{a}}(z)^{-1} = \mu_{\mathbf{a}}(0) \exp{\{\sum A_{\mathbf{a}\ell}\beta_{\ell}\}\mu_{\mathbf{a}}(0)^{-1}}$$
  $(\alpha, \beta \in L)$ 

are constant matrices. Let us show (7) from (5). From the equation  $d(\mu_a(z+\beta)\mu_a(z)^{-1})=0$  it follows

$$\omega_{\alpha}(z+\beta) - \omega_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)^{-1}d\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta) - \mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}d\mu_{\alpha}(z)$$
  
=  $\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)^{-1}d(\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1})\mu_{\alpha}(z) = 0$ ,

and thus  $\omega_{\alpha}(z + \beta) = \omega_{\alpha}(z)$   $(\alpha, \beta \in L)$ . By virtue of compactness of  $C^{q}/L$   $\omega_{\alpha}(z)$  can be written

$$\omega_{\scriptscriptstylelpha}(z) = \sum A_{\scriptscriptstylelpha\ell} dz_{\scriptscriptstyle\ell}$$

with constant matrices.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(0) \mid \alpha \in L\}$ ,  $\{A_{\alpha,\ell} \mid \alpha \in L, 1 \leq \ell \leq g\}$  be two systems of constant  $n \times n$ -matrices such that  $\det \mu_{\alpha}(0) \neq 0$ ,  $[A_{\alpha\ell}, A_{\alpha\hbar}] = 0$   $(\alpha \in L; 1 \leq \ell, h \leq g)$ . Then  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp \{\sum A_{\alpha\ell} z_{\ell}\} \mid \alpha \in L\}$  is a holomorphic  $n \times n$ -matric automorphic factor with respect to L, if and only if

$$[A_{\alpha\ell}, A_{\beta h}] = 0 \qquad (\alpha, \beta \in L; 1 \le \ell, h \le g),$$

$$(9) A_{\alpha+\beta,\ell} = \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\beta}\mu_{\beta}(0) + A_{\beta\ell} (\alpha,\beta\in L; 1\leq \ell\leq g),$$

(10) 
$$[\mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0), A_{\beta h}] = 0 \qquad (\alpha, \beta \in L; 1 \le \ell, h \le g) .$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{\mu_a(z) = \mu_a(0) \exp(\sum A_{ai}z_i)\}$  is an automorphic factor with respect to L. From the relations

$$\mu_{-a}(z+lpha)\mu_{a}(z)=I\;, \qquad \omega_{a}(z)=\sum A_{a\ell}dz_{\ell}\;, \ \omega_{a+\delta}(z)=\mu_{a}(z)^{-1}\omega_{\delta}(z+lpha)\mu_{a}(z)+\omega_{a}(z)$$

it follows

$$\begin{split} \omega_{-\alpha+\beta}(0) &= \omega_{-\alpha+\beta}(z+\alpha) = \mu_{-\alpha}(z+\alpha)^{-1}\omega_{\beta}(z)\mu_{-\alpha}(z+\alpha) + \omega_{-\alpha}(z+\alpha) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(z)\omega_{\beta}(z)\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1} + \omega_{-\alpha}(z+\alpha) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(z)\omega_{\beta}(0)\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1} + \omega_{-\alpha}(0) = \mu_{\alpha}(0)\omega_{\beta}(0)\mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1} + \omega_{-\alpha}(0) \;, \end{split}$$

and thus

$$\mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}\mu_{\alpha}(z)\omega_{\beta}(0) = \omega_{\beta}(0)\mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}\mu_{\alpha}(z)$$
  $(\alpha, \beta \in L)$ .

Comparing the coefficients of  $z_{\ell}dz_{h}$  in the both sides of

$$\exp\left\{\sum A_{a\ell}z_{\ell}\right\}\sum A_{eta h}dz_{h}=\sum A_{eta h}dz_{h}\exp\left\{\sum A_{a\ell}z_{\ell}\right\}$$

we have  $[A_{\alpha\ell},A_{\beta\hbar}]=0$   $(\alpha,\beta\in L;1\leq\ell,\,h\leq g)$ . From the relation  $\mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z)=\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\beta}(z)$  we have

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z) &= \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha+\beta\ell}z_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha\ell}\beta_{\ell}\right\}\mu_{\beta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha+\beta\ell}z_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\beta}(z) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha\ell}(z_{\ell}+\beta_{\ell})\right\}\mu_{\beta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\beta\ell}z_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha\ell}\beta_{\ell}\right\}\mu_{\beta}(0) \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0)z_{\ell}\right\} \exp\left\{\sum A_{\beta\ell}z_{\ell}\right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence, comparing the coefficients of  $z_{\ell}$  and  $z_{\ell}z_h$  in the both sides of

$$\exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha+\beta\ell}z_\ell\right\} = \exp\left\{\sum \mu_\beta(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_\beta(0)z_\ell\right\}\exp\left\{\sum A_{\beta\ell}z_\ell\right\}$$
 ,

respectively, we have

$$\begin{split} A_{\alpha+\beta\ell} &= \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha\ell} \mu_{\beta}(0) \, + \, A_{\beta\ell} \; , \\ A_{\alpha+\beta\ell} A_{\alpha+\beta\hbar} &= \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha\ell} \mu_{\beta}(0) \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha\hbar} \mu_{\beta}(0) \\ &\quad + \, \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha\ell} \mu_{\beta}(0) A_{\beta\hbar} \, + \, \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha\hbar} \mu_{\beta}(0) A_{\beta\ell} \, + \, A_{\beta\ell} A_{\beta\hbar} \end{split}$$

and thus

$$A_{\beta \ell} u_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha h} \mu_{\beta}(0) = \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1} A_{\alpha h} \mu_{\beta}(0) A_{\beta \ell}$$
.

Namely  $[A_{\beta\ell}, \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha h}\mu_{\beta}(0)] = 0$   $(\alpha, \beta \in L; 1 \leq \ell, h \leq g)$ . Conversely if we assume (8), (9), (10), then putting

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp \left\{ \sum A_{\alpha \ell} z_{\ell} \right\} \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$
,

we have

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\alpha}(z + \beta)\mu_{\beta}(z) &= \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp \left\{ \sum A_{\alpha\ell}(z_{\ell} + \beta_{\ell})\mu_{\beta}(0) \right\} \exp \left\{ \sum A_{\beta\ell}z_{\ell} \right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp \left\{ \sum A_{\alpha\ell}\beta_{\ell} \right\}\mu_{\beta}(0) \exp \left\{ \sum \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0)z_{\ell} \right\} \exp \left\{ \sum A_{\beta\ell}z_{\ell} \right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0)\mu_{\beta}(0) \exp \left\{ \sum (\mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0) + A_{\beta\ell})z_{\ell} \right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(0) \exp \left\{ A_{\alpha+\beta\ell}z_{\ell} \right\} = \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z) \; . \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 1.

(11) 
$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}\mu_{\beta}(z)^{-1}\mu_{\alpha}(z)\mu_{\beta}(z) \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum \left(A_{\alpha+\beta\ell} - A_{\beta\ell}\right)\alpha_{\ell} - \sum \left(A_{\alpha+\beta\ell} - A_{\alpha\ell}\right)\beta_{\ell}\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in L) .$$

*Proof.* From the relation  $\mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z)=\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\beta}(z)=\mu_{\beta}(z+\alpha)\mu_{\alpha}(z)$  it follows

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\alpha}(z)\mu_{\beta}(z) &= \mu_{\alpha}(0)u_{\beta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum \left(\mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0) + A_{\beta\ell}\right)z_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0)\mu_{\beta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha+\beta\ell}z_{\ell}\right\}, \\ \mu_{\beta}(z)\mu_{\alpha}(z) &= \mu_{\beta}(0)\mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum \left(\mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}A_{\beta\ell}\mu_{\alpha}(0) + A_{\alpha\ell}\right)z_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \mu_{\beta}(0)\mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum A_{\alpha+\beta\ell}z_{\ell}\right\}, \\ \mu_{\alpha}(0)\mu_{\beta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0)\beta_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(0) = \mu_{\beta}(0)\mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum \mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}A_{\beta\ell}\mu_{\alpha}(0)\alpha_{\ell}\right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}\mu_{\beta}(z)^{-1}\mu_{\alpha}(z)\mu_{\beta}(z) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}\mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}\mu_{\alpha}(0)\mu_{\beta}(0) \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum \mu_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}A_{\beta\ell}\mu_{\alpha}(0)\alpha_{\ell} - \sum \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0)\beta_{\ell}\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum \left(A_{\alpha+\beta\ell} - A_{\beta\ell}\right)\alpha_{\ell} - \sum \left(A_{\alpha+\beta\ell} - A_{\alpha\ell}\right)\beta_{\ell}\right\}. \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 2. The matric group generated by  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) | \alpha \in L\}$  is a metabelian group whose derived group is a group of constant matrices.

This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.

**2.** A holomorphic vector bundle is called to be simple, if its endomorphisms are scaler multiplications. The vector bundle associated with  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) \mid \alpha \in L\}$  is simple, if and only if scaler matrices are only holomorphic matrices B(z) such that

$$B(z + \alpha)\mu_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z)B(z) \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$
.

Characterizing a simple vector bundle  $E_{\mu}$  such that

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}d\mu_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{g} A_{\alpha\ell}dz_{\ell} \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$

with constant matrices  $A_{\alpha\ell}$ , we need the following Clifford theorem,

CLIFFORD THEOREM. Let G be a group and H be a normal subgroup of G. Let V be a vector space of finite dimension over a field which is a simple G-module. Then there exists a vector subspace W which is simple H-module and elements  $g_{11}, \dots, g_{1m}, \dots, g_{71}, \dots, g_{7m}$  in G such that

$$V = (g_{11}W \oplus \cdots \oplus g_{1m}W) \oplus \cdots \oplus (g_{r1}W \oplus \cdots \oplus g_{rm}W)$$

and  $g_{ii}W$  and  $g_{jk}W$  are equivalent H-modules if and only if i=j.

*Proof.* Let W be a vector subspace which is a simple H-module. Since H is normal in G, the images gW  $(g \in G)$  are simple H-modules, and V is a sum of gW  $(g \in G)$ . Hence there exist elements  $g'_1, \dots, g'_p$  of G such that

$$V = q'_1 W \oplus \cdots \oplus q'_n W$$
.

Let  $\{g_{11}, \dots, g_{1m}\}$  be the largest subset in  $\{g'_1, \dots, g'_p\}$  such that  $g_1, W$   $(1 \le \ell \le m)$  are equivalent to W. Then V is a direct sum of the images of  $g_{11}W \oplus \dots \oplus g_{1m}W$  by elements of G. This completes the proof of Clifford theorem.

LEMMA 1. Let G be a transitive abelian permutation group acting on  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Then |G| = r.

*Proof.* Let N be the stabilizer of letter 1. Then |G/N| = r and G acts on G/N. Two permutation groups  $(G, \{1, 2, \dots, r\})$  and (G, G/N) are isomorphic as permutation groups. Since G is abelian, N must be a normal subgroup, and thus any element of N leaves invariant every letter in  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . This shows |G| = |G/N| = r.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) \mid \alpha \in L\}$  be a holomorphic  $n \times n$ -matric automorphic factor with respect to a lattice L in  $C^g$  such that i)

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}d\mu_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{g} A_{\alpha\ell}dz_{\ell} \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$

with constant matrices  $A_{\alpha\ell}$ , ii) the associated vector bundle  $E_{\mu}$  is simple. Then there exist a sublattice M of L and a line bundle  $\mathscr L$  over  $C^g/M$  such that [L:M]=n and  $E_{\mu}$  is the direct image of  $\mathscr L$  with respect to the natural isogeny  $C^g/M \to C^g/L$ .

*Proof.* We use the following notations:

- Λ: the commutative matric algebra generated by  $A_{\alpha,\ell}$  ( $\alpha \in L$ ;  $1 \le \ell \le g$ ) and identity matrix over C,
- G: the group generated by  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(0), \exp\{\sum A_{\alpha t}\beta_{t}\}, (\alpha, \beta \in L)\}$  and  $GL(n, C) \cap \Lambda$ ,
- $G_0$ : the group generated by  $\{\exp\{\sum A_{\alpha\ell}\beta_\ell\}\ (\alpha,\beta\in L) \text{ and } GL(n,C)\cap \Lambda,$

$$\begin{split} G_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= \{g \in G \,|\, g^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} A_{\alpha \ell} g = A_{\alpha \ell} \ (\alpha \in L \,;\, 1 \leq \ell \leq g)\} \\ L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= \{\beta \in L \,|\, \mu_{\delta}(0)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} A_{\alpha \ell} \mu_{\delta}(0) = A_{\alpha \ell} \ (\alpha \in L \,;\, 1 \leq \ell \leq g)\} \;. \end{split}$$

By virtue of (8), (9) and (11)  $G_0$  is an abelian normal subgroup of G such that  $G/G_0$  is abelian, and  $G_1$  is a normal subgroup containing  $G_0$ . Hence  $G/G_1$  is abelian. If a constant matrix B satisfies

$$B\mu_{\alpha}(0) = \mu_{\alpha}(0)B$$
,  $BA_{\alpha\ell} = A_{\alpha\ell}B$   $(\alpha \in L, 1 < \ell \leq g)$ ,

then  $B\mu_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(z)B$  ( $\alpha \in L$ ), because from Proposition 1

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\alpha}(0) \exp\left\{\sum_{\ell} A_{\alpha\ell} z_{\ell}\right\} \qquad (\alpha \in L)$$
.

Since  $E_{\mu}$  is simple, such a matrix B must be a scaler matrix. This means that G is an irreducible  $n \times n$ -matric group. Let V be the vector space of dimension non which G acts. Applying Clifford theorem to the pair  $(G, G_0)$ , we get a system of inequivalent representations  $\chi, \dots, \chi_n$  of degree one of the abelian group  $G_0$  such that the irreducible G-module V decomposed into a direct sum of  $G_0$ -modules

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$$

with properties that

$$\dim V_i = \frac{n}{r} (1 \le i \le r) ,$$

$$g_0 v_i = \chi_i(g_0) v_i$$
  $g_0 \in G_0, v_i \in V_i$ .

since  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  are inequivalent each other, the subspaces  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  are also  $G_1$ -modules. Moreover, since  $G_0$  is normal in G, G acts on the set  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  as follows

$$gV_i = V_i \sigma(g) \qquad (g \in G)$$
 ,

and the kernel of  $\sigma$  is  $G_1$ . Since V is an irreducible G-module and  $G/G_1$  is abelian, by virtue of Lemma 1 we have  $|G/G_1| = |\sigma(G)| = r$ . Next we shall show that  $V_i$   $(1 \le i \le g)$  are irreducible  $G_1$ -modules. We assume for a moment that at least one of  $G_1$ -modules of  $\{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$  is reducible, then dimension of the linear hull of  $G_1$  over C is less than

$$r\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^2 = \frac{n^2}{r} .$$

Hence the dimension of the linear hull of G is less than  $r \cdot n^2/r = n^2$ . This contradicts with the irreducibility of G-module V. Hence  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  are irreducible  $G_1$ -modules. From equations

$$A_{\alpha+\beta,\ell} = u_{\alpha}(0)^{-1}A_{\beta\ell}\mu_{\alpha}(0) + A_{\alpha\ell} = \mu_{\beta}(0)^{-1}A_{\alpha\ell}\mu_{\beta}(0) + A_{\beta\ell}$$

we observe that

$$\mu_{eta}(0)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} A_{lpha \ell} \mu_{eta}(0) = A_{lpha \ell} \qquad (lpha \in L, \, 1 \leq \ell \leq g)$$
 ,

if and oly if

$$\mu_{lpha}(0)^{-1}A_{eta\ell}\mu_{lpha}(0)=A_{eta\ell} \qquad (lpha\in L,\ 1\leq\ell\leq g)$$
 .

This means that  $L_1 = \{\beta | A_{\beta \ell} \ (1 \leq \ell \leq g) \text{ are scaler matrices} \}$ . This means that there exist a constant matrix F and an irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $G_1$  such that

where  $g_i=\mu_{\alpha_i}(0)$   $(1\leq i\leq r)$  and  $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_r\}$  is a system of representatives of  $G/G_1$  in G such that  $1^{\sigma(\mu_{\alpha_i}(0))}=i$   $(1\leq i\leq r)$ . Since  $\rho(g_0)$   $(g_0\in G_0)$  are scaler  $n/r\times n/r$ -matrices, the set  $\{\rho(\mu_{\beta}(0))\,|\,\beta\in L_1\}$  is an irreducible set. In the previous note [1] we proved that a holomorphic  $k\times k$ -auto-

morphic factor  $\{\nu_{\beta}(z) \mid \beta \in N\}$  with respect to a lattice N is written

$$\nu_{\beta}(z) = \nu_{\beta}(0)\xi_{\beta}(z) \qquad (\beta \in N)$$

with scaler functions  $\xi_{\beta}(z)$  and  $\{\nu_{\beta}(0) \mid \beta \in N\}$  is an irreducible set of  $k \times k$ -matrices, then there exist a sublattice  $N_0$  such that  $[N:N_0]=k$  and  $\nu_{\gamma}(z)$   $(\gamma \in N_0)$  are scaler matrices. Hence putting

$$egin{aligned} 
u_{eta}(0) &= 
ho(\mu_{eta}(0)) \;, \qquad A_{eta \ell} &= a_{eta \ell} I_n \;, \ 
u_{eta}(z) &= 
u_{eta}(0) \exp\left\{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \sum_{} a_{eta \ell} z_{\ell}
ight\} & (eta \in L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) \;, \end{aligned}$$

we find a sublattice M such that

$$[L:M] = [L:L_1][L_1:M] = r \cdot \frac{n}{r} = n$$

and  $\nu_r(z)$   $(\gamma \in M)$  are scaler matrices. Since  $\rho(\mu_r(0))$   $(\gamma \in M)$  are scaler matrices,  $\rho(\mu_{\alpha_i}(0)^{-1}\mu_r(0)\mu_{\alpha_i}(0))$   $(\gamma \in M; 1 \le i \le r)$  are also scaler matrices. This means that there exists holomorphic scaler automorphic factors  $\{\eta_r^{(1)}(z)\}, \dots, \{\eta_r^{(n)}(z)\}$  with respect to the lattice M such that

and [L:M]=n. Let  $\mathscr{L}^{(1)},\cdots,\mathscr{L}^{(n)}$  be line bundles over M corresponding to  $\{\eta_{\tau}^{(1)}(z),\cdots,\{\eta_{\tau}^{(n)}(z)\}$ , respectively. Then we have isomorphisms of vector bundles

$$\varphi^*(E_\mu) \sim \mathscr{L}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{L}^{\scriptscriptstyle (n)},$$

$$\varphi_* \varphi^*(E_\mu) \sim \varphi_*(\mathscr{L}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_*(\mathscr{L}^{\scriptscriptstyle (n)}),$$

where  $\varphi^*(E_\mu)$  is the reciprocal image of  $E_\mu$  and  $\varphi_*(\mathscr{L})$  is the direct image of  $\mathscr{L}$  with respect to  $\varphi$ . Hence, if we put

$$u_{eta}(0) = 
ho(\mu_{eta}(0))$$
 ,  $A_{eta \ell} = a_{eta \ell} I_n$  ,  $u_{eta}(z) = 
u_{eta}(0) \exp\left\{\sum a_{eta \ell} z_{\ell}\right\}$  ,

by virtue of the above result, we find a sublattice M such that  $[L:M]=[L:L_1][L_1:M]=r\cdot n/r=n$  and  $\nu_r(z)$   $(\gamma\in M)$  are scaler  $n/r\times n/r-m$  matrices. Since  $\rho(\mu_r(0))$   $(\gamma\in M)$  are scaler matrices,  $\rho(\mu_{\alpha_i}(0)^{-1}\mu_r(0)\mu_{\alpha_i}(0))$   $(\gamma\in M;1\leq i\leq r)$  are also scaler matrices. This means that there exists holomorphic scaler automorphic factors  $\{\eta_r^{(1)}(z)\,|\,\gamma\in M\},\,\cdots,\,\{\eta_r^{(r)}(z)\,|\,\gamma\in M\}$  such that

$$F^{-1}\mu_{r}(z)F = egin{pmatrix} \eta_{r}^{(1)}(z)I_{n/r} & 0 \ & \ddots \ 0 & \eta_{r}^{(r)}(z)I_{n/r} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (\gamma \in M) \,\,.$$

Let  $\mathscr{L}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathscr{L}^{(r)}$  be the line bundles over  $C^q/M$  associated to  $\{\eta_r^{(1)}(z) | \gamma \in M\}$ ,  $\dots, \{\eta_r^{(r)}(z) | \gamma \in M\}$ , respectively. Then we have an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$\varphi_*\varphi^*(E_{\mu}) \sim (\varphi\varphi_*(\mathscr{L}^{(1)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi\varphi_*(\mathscr{L}^{(1)}))$$

$$\oplus \cdots \oplus (\varphi\varphi_*(\mathscr{L}^{(n)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi\varphi_*(\mathscr{L}^{(n)}))$$

where  $\varphi^*(E_\mu)$  is the inverse image of  $E_\mu$  and  $\varphi_*\varphi^*(E_\mu)$  is the direct image of  $\varphi^*(E_\mu)$ . Since  $E_\mu$  is simple and rank  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{L}_*^{(i)}) = \operatorname{rank} E_\mu$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ ,  $E_\mu$  must be isomorphic to one of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{L}^{(i)})$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z) | \alpha \in L\}$  be a holomorphic  $n \times n$ -automorphic factor with respect to a lattice L in  $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ , such that i) the associated vector bundle  $E_{\mu}$  is simple and ii)  $\mu_{\alpha}(z+\beta)\mu_{\alpha}(z)^{-1}$   $(\alpha,\beta \in L)$  are constant matrices. Then there exist a sublattice M of L and a line bundle  $\mathscr{L}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{g}/M$  such that  $E_{\mu}$  is isomorphic to the direct image of  $\mathscr{L}$  with respect to the natural isogeny  $\mathbb{C}^{g}/M \to \mathbb{C}^{g}/N$ .

*Proof.* This an immediate consequence from Theorem 1 and Proposition 1.

## REFERENCES

[1] H. Morikawa, A note on holomorphic vector bundles over complex tori, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 41 (1970), 101-106.

Department of Mathematics Nagoya University