

THE SPECTRAL RESOLUTION OF SOME NON-SELFADJOINT PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

GUSTAVUS E. HUIGE

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_1 be Hilbert spaces, $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ the set of all densely defined linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}_1 , and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ its subset of bounded ones. Let T^* , $\mathcal{R}(T)$, $\mathcal{D}(T)$, $[T]$ and $\sigma(T)$ denote the adjoint, range, domain, closure and spectrum of T respectively. $R_i(z)$ will denote the resolvent $(z - T_i)^{-1}$.

In [5] the following result was obtained:

THEOREM. *Let $T_0 \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ be a spectral operator of scalar type with spectral resolution $E_0(\Delta)$ such that $\sigma(T_0)$ is contained in a finite collection of smooth curves Γ . Let $A \in L(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ satisfy the following assumptions.*

A_1 : $\mathcal{D}(B) \supset \mathcal{D}(T_0)$, $\mathcal{D}(A^*) \supset \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) = \mathcal{D}(T_0)$, $\mathcal{R}(B) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$.

A_2 : $T_1 = T_0 + AB$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ is closed.

A_3 : For $Z \notin \sigma(T_0)$, $BR_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $A^*R_0(z)^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ are analytic in z and assume boundary values as $z \rightarrow \Gamma$ in the following sense. There is a real number r and a subset \mathcal{E} of Γ , such that, for any interval Δ of Γ whose closure is disjoint from \mathcal{E} , for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$(1 + |\lambda|)^r BR_0(\lambda \pm \epsilon\eta)f \text{ and } (1 + |\lambda|)^{-r} A^*R_0(\lambda \pm \epsilon\eta)^*f$$

belong to $L_2(\Delta; \mathcal{H}_1)$ and have strong limits as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Here $\eta = \eta(\lambda)$ is a direction (i.e. a complex number of absolute value 1) non-tangential to Γ at the point λ , piece-wise constant in λ and such that in any integral over Γ , the angle between the direction of integration and $\eta(\lambda)$ is between 0 and π .

A_4 : $BR_0(z)A$ has a bounded closure $Q_0(z)$ for $z \notin \sigma(T_0)$. For all $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and almost all $\lambda \in \Gamma$, $Q_0(\lambda \pm \epsilon\eta)f$ converges strongly as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, the limit being denoted by $Q_0(\lambda \pm)f$. With Δ as in A_3 , $I - Q_0(\lambda \pm)$ is invertible for almost all $\lambda \in \Delta$ and for each Δ ,

$$\text{ess sup}_{\lambda \in \Delta} \|(I - Q_0(\lambda \pm))^{-1}\| \leq C$$

for some constant C . For $z \notin \sigma(T_0)$, $(I - Q_0(z))^{-1}$ maps $\mathcal{D}(A)$ into itself.

Then the operator T_1 has spectral resolution $E_1(\Delta)$ for any Borel set Δ of Γ whose closure is disjoint from \mathcal{E} . Moreover T_1 restricted to the subspace $E_1(\Delta)\mathcal{H}$ is similar to T_0 restricted to $E_0(\Delta)\mathcal{H}$.

Received June 14, 1974. This research was supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

Remark. In [5] \mathcal{E} was, quite unnecessarily, taken to be finite.

The purpose of this note is to apply this result to a class of non-self adjoint partial differential operators.

For the unperturbed operator we take an operator with constant coefficients. More precisely, with the usual multi-index notation, let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(R^n)$, p the polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{|i| \leq m} b_i x^i$ and define T_0 by $T_0 f(x) = p(D)f(x)$ on the domain $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}; (1 + p)\mathcal{F}f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ where $\mathcal{F}f$ (or \hat{f}) denotes the Fourier transform of f . We assume that the coefficients of p are real so that T_0 is a self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} with spectrum $\sigma(T_0) = \Gamma = \{\lambda; \lambda = p(x) \text{ for some } x \in R^n\}$ and with spectral resolution $E_0(\Delta) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{X}_{p^{-1}(\Delta)}\mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{X}_{p^{-1}(\Delta)}$ is the operator of multiplication by the characteristic function of $p^{-1}(\Delta)$, the preimage in R^n of the subset Δ of the complex plane C under p . Let $P = \sum_{|i| \leq k} a_i D^i$, where the a_i are functions of x . P can be written as a product $P = AB$ by ordering all partial derivatives up to order k in some manner and letting A be the operator from \mathcal{H}_1 , a product of $N = (1 + n + n^2 + \dots + n^k)$ copies of $L^2(R^n)$, to \mathcal{H} and B be the operator from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}_1 given by

$$A[f_1, \dots, f_N](x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(x) f_i(x)$$

$$[Bf]_i(x) = \beta_i(x) D^i f \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $\alpha_i(x)\beta_i(x) = a_i(x)$ for all x .

Taking

$$\alpha_i(x) = \min \{|a_i(x)|^{1/2}, 1\}$$

$$\beta_i(x) = \begin{cases} a_i(x) & \text{if } |a_i(x)| > 1 \\ a_i(x)|a_i(x)|^{-1/2} & \text{if } 0 < |a_i(x)| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } a_i(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *The operators A, B and T_0 satisfy conditions A_1 and A_2 if*

- (i) T_0 is elliptic
- (ii) $k < m - n/2$
- (iii) $a_i(x) \in L^1(R^n) \cap L^2(R^n)$.

Proof. Theorem 4.5 of [9], Chapter 6 is applicable. In fact P and B are T_0 -compact and since $\alpha_i \in L^2(R^n) \cap L^\infty(R^n)$, A is bounded.

It is clear that, for $z \notin \sigma(T_0)$, $BR_0(z)$ and $A^*R_0(z)^*$ belong to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and that $BR_0(z)A = Q_0(z)$ is closed and bounded, in fact compact. All these operators are analytic in z for $z \notin \sigma(T_0)$ and $(I - Q_0(z))^{-1}$ exists if and only if $z \notin \sigma(T_1)$.

Assumptions A_3 and A_4 concern the behaviour of these operators near the spectrum Γ which is on the real line.

The operator $R_0(\lambda)$ may, for $\lambda \notin \Gamma$, be written

$$R_0(\lambda)f(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{(\lambda - p(y))^{-1}\mathcal{F}f(y)\} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} e^{ixy}(\lambda - p(y))^{-1}f(y)dy.$$

Each component of $BR_0(\lambda)$ is of the form

$$[BR_0(\lambda)]_{jf}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \beta_j(x)y^j e^{ixy}(\lambda - p(y))^{-1}\hat{f}(y)dy,$$

those of $A^*R_0(\lambda)^*$ are

$$[A^*R_0(\lambda)^*]_{jf}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \overline{\alpha_j(x)}e^{ixy}(\overline{\lambda - p(y)})^{-1}\hat{f}(y)dy,$$

and each entry of the matrix $BR_0(\lambda)A$ is given by

$$[BR_0(\lambda)A]_{j\hat{q}}f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \beta_j(x)y^j e^{ixy}(\lambda - p(y))^{-1}\widehat{\alpha_{\hat{q}}f}(y)dy.$$

Clearly, all of these operators are bounded and analytic in λ for $\lambda \notin \Gamma$ but exhibit a singularity of the Cauchy type for $\lambda \in \Gamma$.

LEMMA 2. *Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the set of points of Γ where the change of variables $y \in R^n \rightarrow (p, \omega) \in \Gamma \times S^n$ given by $p = p(y)$, $\omega = |y|^{-1}y$, is singular. Suppose $k \leq m/2$ and $m \geq 2n$, then the operators $BR_0(z)$, $A^*R_0(z)^*$ and $Q_0(z)$ may be continued onto either side of any interval Δ whose closure is distinct from \mathcal{E}_1 as bounded operators in the sense of assumptions A_3 and A_4 with $r = -n/m$.*

Proof. The change of variables $y \rightarrow (p, \omega)$ has Jacobian J given by $J = |y|^n |\text{grad } p \cdot y|^{-1}$ such that $dy = J dpd\omega$. In general J will be singular for certain values of p (e.g. if p is homogeneous elliptic only $p = 0$) and near ∞ , $J = O(|p|^{(n-m)/m})$. If f is restricted to $E_0(\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{H}$, f has support in $p^{-1}(\Delta)$ and we may make the change of variable in the integrals so we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} [BR_0(\lambda)]_{jf}(x) &= \beta_j(x) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{B_j(x, p)}{\lambda - p} dp \\ \overline{[A^*R_0(\lambda)^*]_{jf}(x)} &= \alpha_j(x) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{A_j(x, p)}{\lambda - p} dp \\ [Q_0(\lambda)]_{j\hat{q}}f(x) &= \beta_j(x) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{Q_{j\hat{q}}(x, p)}{\lambda - p} dp \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_j(x, p) &= \int_{S^n} y^j e^{ixy} \hat{f}(y) J d\omega \\ \overline{A_j(x, p)} &= \int_{S^n} e^{ixy} \hat{f}(y) J d\omega \\ Q_{j\hat{q}}(x, p) &= \int_{S^n} y^j e^{ixy} \widehat{\alpha_{\hat{q}}f}(y) J d\omega \end{aligned}$$

It then is obvious that $BR_0(\lambda)$, $A^*R_0(\lambda)^*$ and $Q_0(\lambda)$ may be continued onto either side of Γ as bounded operators whose norms are square integrable with respect to λ over any finite interval of Γ . Over the entire interval Γ (which includes ∞) we have the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} |B_j(x, p)|^2 |p|^\alpha dp &= \int_{\Gamma} \left| \int_{S^n} y^j e^{ixy} f(y) J d\omega \right|^2 |p|^\alpha dp \\ &\leq K \int_{\Gamma} \int_{S^n} (1 + |y|)^{2|j|} |f|^2 |J|^2 |p|^\alpha d\omega dp \\ &\leq K \int_{R^n} (1 + |y|)^{2|j| + \alpha m + n - m} |f(y)|^2 dy \\ &\leq K \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

provided we choose $\alpha = -n/m$ and $2|j| \leq m$. By a well-known result of Hardy and Littlewood [8, Exercise 7.7.10, p. 432] it follows that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{B_j(x, p)}{\lambda - p} dp \right|^2 |\lambda|^{-n/m} d\lambda \leq K \|f\|^2.$$

Multiplying by $|\beta_j(x)|^2$, integrating with respect to x and using Fubini's theorem we obtain that:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \int_{E^n} |[BR_0(\lambda)]_j f(x)|^2 dx |\lambda|^{-n/m} d\lambda \leq K \|\beta_j\|^2 \|f\|^2.$$

Similarly we obtain, noting the absence of the terms y^j , that, if $m \geq 2n$,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \int_{E^n} |[A^*R_0^*(\lambda)]_j f(x)|^2 dx |\lambda|^{+n/m} d\lambda \leq K \|\alpha_j\|^2 \|f\|^2.$$

If f is not restricted to $E_0(\Delta)\mathcal{H}$, we write $f = f_1 + f_2$ where $f_1 \in E_0(\Delta^1)\mathcal{H}$ and $f_2 \in E_0(\Gamma - \Delta^1)\mathcal{H}$ where $\Delta^1 \supset \Delta$ and the closure of Δ^1 does not intersect \mathcal{E}_1 . The integrals involving f_1 are handled as above, the ones involving f_2 are analytic in λ and $\lambda \rightarrow \Delta$ since for $x \in p^{-1}(\Gamma - \Delta^1)$, $\lambda - p(x)$ is bounded away from zero.

LEMMA 3. Suppose that in addition to the assumptions of Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$(1 + |x|)^2 \cdot a_t(x) \in L^1(R^n)$$

and that the point spectrum of T_1 has at most a finite number of limit points on Γ . Then there exists a closed, bounded subset \mathcal{E}_2 of Γ of Lebesgue measure zero such that $Q_0(z)$ satisfies assumption A_4 for any interval Δ whose closure is disjoint from \mathcal{E}_2 .

Proof. $Q_0(z)$ is compact analytic for $\lambda \notin \Gamma$, thus $(I - Q_0(z))^{-1}$, if it exists anywhere at all, exists everywhere for $\lambda \in \Gamma$ except perhaps at an isolated set of points with no accumulation point outside Γ . T_1 is not self adjoint in general

and the singularities of $(I - Q_0(z))^{-1}$ outside Γ make up the point spectrum of T_1 outside Γ . To restrict the singularities on Γ itself we make use of the additional assumptions. The entries of $Q_0(z)$ are of the form:

$$\int \beta(x) \frac{Q(x, p)}{z - p} dp = \beta(x)G(x, z).$$

If $(1 + |x|)^2 a_i(x) \in L^1(R^n)$, $(1 + |x|)\alpha(x) \in L^2(R^n)$ and $D\hat{\alpha}$ exists in $L^2(R^n)$ so that $Q(x, p)$ is differentiable and $Q_0(z)$ and its continuity near Γ can be estimated in terms of Holder-norms.

$\|Q_0(\lambda)\|$ is obtained in terms of $\sup_x |G(x, \lambda)| \|\beta\|$ and $\|Q_0(\lambda_1) - Q_0(\lambda_2)\|$ in terms of $\sup_x |G(x, \lambda_1) - G(x, \lambda_2)| \|\beta\|$. Letting, for $\theta > 0$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$,

$$|f|_{\theta, \mu} = \sup_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \Gamma \\ |p_2 - p_1| < 1}} (1 + |p_1|)^\theta \left\{ |f(p_1)| + \frac{|f(p_1) - f(p_2)|}{|p_1 - p_2|^\mu} \right\},$$

it is well-known (e.g. [11, Lemma 2.2]) that

$$|G(x, \cdot)|_{\theta, \mu'} \leq K|Q(x, \cdot)|_{\theta, \mu}$$

where $\mu' = \mu$ if $\mu < 1$ and $\mu' < \mu$ if $\mu = 1$.

In our case,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(x, p)| &= \left| \int_{S^n} y^j e^{ixy} \widehat{\alpha f}(y) Jd\omega \right| \\ &\leq \text{vol } S^n (1 + |p|)^{k/m} (1 + |p|)^{(n-m)/m} |\widehat{\alpha f}| \\ &\leq K \|\alpha\| \|f\| (1 + |p|)^{(n+k-m)/m}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $|p_1 - p_2| < 1$

$$|Q(x, p_1) - Q(x, p_2)| \leq K(\|\alpha\| + \|D\hat{\alpha}\|) \|f\| (1 + |p_1|)^{(n+k-m)/m} |p_1 - p_2|.$$

Thus $|Q(x, \cdot)|_{\theta, \mu}$ is finite for any $0 < \theta < (m - k - n)/m$ and $\mu < 1$.

It follows that $G(x, \lambda)$ and thus $Q_0(\lambda)$ can be extended continuously onto Γ (with in general different values on opposite sides). In fact $Q_0(\lambda)$ is continuous in the uniform topology so that, also for $\lambda \in \Gamma$, $Q_0(\lambda)$ is a compact operator. Furthermore, $\|Q_0(\lambda)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ on Γ as well as off Γ so that $(I - Q_0(\lambda))^{-1}$ exists for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large.

Except near the limit points on Γ of the point spectrum of T_1 outside Γ , Lemma 6.2 of [6] applies and the conclusion of Lemma 3 follows.

Our results are collected in the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let T_0 be the elliptic differential operator in $L^2(R^n)$ given by*

$$T_0 f = p(D)f, \mathcal{D}(T_0) = \{f \in L^2(R^n) : (1 + p)\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(R)\}$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial of order m with real coefficients. Let P be the operator

$$Pf(x) = \sum_{|i| \leq k} a_i(x) D^i f(x).$$

Suppose

- (i) $k < m/2$, $m \geq 2n$
- (ii) $a_i(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(1 + |x|)^2 a_i(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- (iii) $\text{grad } p(y) \cdot y \neq 0$ except perhaps for a discrete set of values of p .

Then there exists a bounded closed subset \mathcal{E} of the real axis of Lebesgue measure zero such that $T_1 = T_0 + P$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ has a spectral resolution $E_1(\Delta)$ for any Borel set Δ whose closure is disjoint from \mathcal{E} , provided that the point-spectrum of T_1 has at most a discrete set of limit points. In fact T_1 restricted to $E_1(\Delta) L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is similar to T_0 restricted to $E_0(\Delta) L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remarks. 1. The restrictions on the dimension n of the underlying Euclidian space and the order of the differential operator appear somewhat artificial but seem unavoidable in the arguments presented. Restrictions of a similar nature may be found in [4] or [7]. On the other hand, no such limitation appears e.g. in [3], [10] or [11]. Results there pertain mostly to perturbations of the Laplacian, self-adjoint perturbations or those whose coefficients have compact support.

2. If we assume that the coefficients a_i decay exponentially at ∞ , it follows by arguments similar to those in [7] that outside of a neighborhood of the points where $\text{grad } p \cdot y = 0$, $Q_0(\lambda)$ may be analytically continued across Γ so that there are only a finite number of singularities.

3. The result may be slightly extended to nonelliptic operators. The conditions on $p(x)$ and the perturbation P are then given as in [9], chapter 5 to insure T_0 -compactness. It would seem that the result may be further extended to $p(x)$ nonreal and Γ a curve in the complex plane, but the appropriate analogue of the Hardy-Littlewood result on the singular integrals is not clear.

REFERENCES

1. E. Balslev, *The singular spectrum of elliptic differential operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Math. Scand. 19 (1966), 193–210.
2. V. G. Deic, *A local stationary method in the theory of scattering for a pair of spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 12 (1971), 648–652.
3. Ch. Goldstein, *Perturbations of non-selfadjoint operators I*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 37 (1970), 268–296.
4. P. C. Greiner, *Eigenfunction expansions and scattering theory for perturbed elliptic partial differential operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964), 517–521.
5. G. E. Huige, *Perturbation theory of some spectral operators*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 24 (1971), 741–757.
6. S. T. Kuroda, *An abstract stationary approach to perturbation of continuous spectra and scattering theory*, J. Analyse Math. 20 (1967), 57–117.
7. R. M. Martirosjan, *On the spectra of some non-selfadjoint operators*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 53 (1966), 81–107.
8. G. O. Okikiolu, *Aspects of the theory of bounded integral operators in L^p -spaces* (Academic Press, London-New York, 1971).
9. M. Schechter, *Spectra of partial differential operators* (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1971).

10. I. V. Stankevic, *On the theory of perturbation of a continuous spectrum*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 719–722.
11. T. Ushijima, *Spectral theory of the perturbed homogeneous elliptic operator with real constant coefficients*, Sci. Papers. College of General Education, University of Tokyo, 16 (1966), 27–42.

*The University of New Brunswick,
Saint John, New Brunswick*