Short Communication

An assessment of carnivore relative abundance and density in the eastern rainforests of Madagascar using remotely-triggered camera traps

BRIAN GERBER, SARAH M. KARPANTY, CHARLES CRAWFORD MARY KOTSCHWAR and JOHNNY RANDRIANANTENAINA

Abstract Despite major efforts to understand and conserve Madagascar's unique biodiversity, relatively little is known about the island's carnivore populations. We therefore deployed 43 camera-trap stations in Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar during June-August 2007 to evaluate the efficacy of this method for studying Malagasy carnivores and to estimate the relative abundance and density of carnivores in the eastern rainforest. A total of 755 cameratrap nights provided 1,605 photographs of four endemic carnivore species (fossa Cryptoprocta ferox, Malagasy civet Fossa fossana, ring-tailed mongoose Galidia elegans and broad-striped mongoose Galidictus fasciata), the exotic Indian civet Viverricula indica and the domestic dog Canis familiaris. We identified 38 individual F. fossana and 10 individual C. ferox. We estimated density using both capturerecapture analyses, with a buffer of full mean-maximumdistance-moved, and a spatially-explicit maximum-likelihood method (F. fossana: 3.03 and 2.23 km⁻², respectively; C. ferox: 0.15 and 0.17 km⁻², respectively). Our estimated densities of C. ferox in rainforest are lower than published estimates for conspecifics in the western dry forests. Within Ranomafana National Park species richness of native carnivores did not vary among trail systems located in secondary, selectively-logged and undisturbed forest. These results provide the first assessment of carnivore population parameters using camera-traps in the eastern rainforests of Madagascar.

Keywords Camera-trapping, carnivore, *Cryptoprocta ferox*, *Fossa fossana*, *Galidia elegans*, *Galidictis fasciata*, Madagascar, rainforest

Considerable conservation interest is being paid to Madagascar's unique biodiversity as the island's forests shrink, fragment and degrade (Dufils, 2003). Because

Received 22 December 2008. Revision requested 12 February 2009. Accepted 8 April 2009. of the difficulty of detecting rare or elusive carnivores (Karanth et al., 2004) the majority of ecological surveys conducted in Madagascar exclude or inadequately assess carnivore abundance. Seven of eight species of Malagasy carnivores are categorized on the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2009; Endangered: giant-striped mongoose *Galidictis grandidieri*; Vulnerable: fossa *Cryptoprocta ferox*, narrow-striped mongoose *Mungotictis decemlineata*, brown-tailed mongoose *Salanoia concolor*; Near Threatened: Malagasy small-toothed civet *Eupleres goudotii*, Malagasy civet *Fossa fossana*, broad-striped mongoose *Galidictis fasciata*). Despite the declining status of most of these species, only a few short-term assessments of Malagasy carnivores have been completed in the eastern rainforests (Dunham, 1998; Kerridge et al., 2003).

Camera-trapping is a non-invasive tool used to study carnivores (Karanth et al., 2004). Despite the potential utility of this tool for rapid biological inventories in Madagascar, to our knowledge no camera-trapping studies of carnivores have been conducted there. Our objectives were to evaluate the efficacy of this method for studying Malagasy carnivores and estimate relative abundance and density of carnivores in the eastern rainforest of Ranomafana National Park.

The 43,500 ha, mountainous Ranomafana National Park (Fig. 1) lies at altitudes of 400-1,374 m. Climate is subtropical with a mean annual rainfall of 2,300–4,000 mm. Our survey was during the austral winter, which is characterized by the lowest temperatures of the year (11–17°C) and lowest mean monthly rainfall (90 mm; Karpanty, 2006).

We placed 43 camera-trap stations over a total area of 33.5 km² along three trail systems within the Park (Fig. 1): 23 camera-stations from 6 June to 3 August 2007 in the Vohiparara and Talatakely trail systems, which include secondary and selectively-logged rainforest, and 20 stations from 5 August to 27 August 2007 in the Valohoaka–Vatoarana trail system, which includes a mixture of undisturbed and selectively-logged rainforest. We located stations opportunistically along research trails, with a mean distance of 494 ± SD 294 m between neighbouring stations. Each station consisted of two independently-operating DeerCam DC300 cameras (Non-Typical Inc., Park Falls, USA) mounted on opposite sides of the trail, facing each other. We placed cameras 0.3 m above the ground and set them to be active for 24 h day⁻¹ with a 30-second delay

BRIAN GERBER, SARAH M. KARPANTY (Corresponding author), CHARLES CRAWFORD and MARY KOTSCHWAR Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 24061-0321, USA. E-mail karpanty@vt.edu

JOHNNY RANDRIANANTENAINA Centre ValBio, Ranomafana, Ifanadiana, Madagascar.



FIG. 1 The locations of 43 camera-stations along three trail systems in Ranomafana National Park in the Fianarantsoa province in south-east Madagascar (see inset for location). The fast-flowing Namorana River and the Route National 25 highway (which run adjacent to each other and are represented by only one line type) separate the Vohiparara trail system from the Talatakely and Valohoaka–Vatoranana trail systems.

between consecutive photographs. To increase the probability of photo-capture we baited all stations equally with 0.5 kg of chicken meat. We replaced film, batteries and bait, if necessary, every 7 days.

We calculated trap success (capture events/trap nights), which is widely used as a measure of relative abundance in camera-trapping studies for species in which individuals cannot be identified (Kelly, 2008), for each carnivore species. To estimate population size we used closed population capture–recapture analyses for those carnivore species that were identifiable individually. The importance of correcting raw counts by incorporating sampling detection is recognized as essential to accurate estimation of abundance (Nichols, 1992). Thus we evaluated capture histories using seven models, in which different variables affect the detection process: null, individual heterogeneity, time, behaviour (trap-happy vs trap-shy), and mixed combinations (Otis et al., 1978).

We calculated density in two ways: (1) traditional capture–recapture analyses using either software *MARK* (White & Burnham, 1999) or *CAPTURE* (Otis et al., 1978) to model the detection process, with camera-trap stations buffered by full mean-maximum-distance-moved among

multiple captures in the survey (MMDM) and ½ MMDM (Dillon & Kelly, 2008) to estimate effective survey area, and (2) a spatially-explicit maximum-likelihood (SEML) method using software *DENSITY* (Efford et al., 2004). Survey periods and areas were grouped together to produce an adequate sample for capture–recapture analyses (Di Bitetti et al., 2006).

A total of 755 camera-trap nights provided 1,605 photographs of four endemic carnivore species (*C. ferox*, *F. fossana*, ring-tailed mongoose *Galidia elegans*, *G. fasciata*), the exotic Indian civet *Viverricula indica*, and the domestic dog *Canis familiaris* (Table 1). *E. goudotii* was the only native carnivore species previously observed within Ranomafana National Park by other researchers (P. Wright, pers. comm.) but was not detected in this study. Of the native carnivores *F. fossana* and *G. elegans* had the highest relative abundances (Table 1).

Using *F. fossana*'s pelage markings (spot size, shape and spacing), we individually identified 83% of capture events and constructed individual capture histories for this species. We identified 62% of capture events for *C. ferox* using slight pelage wear-and-tear patterns. We consider the *C. ferox* density estimate to be a conservative minimum estimate because some of the 38% of capture events in which

TABLE 1	Summary sta	itistics of carniv	ore camera-t	rapping on	the Talata	kely, Vohipa	irara and	Valohoaka-	Vatoranana	trail system	1s in the
rainfores	sts of Ranoma	afana National	Park, Madag	ascar (Fig.	1), during	June-Augus	st 2007.				

Species	Trap success ¹	Total capture events ²	No. of unique individuals captured	No. of recaptures of unique individuals ³
Malagasy civet Fossa fossana	45.30	342	38	146
Ring-tailed mongoose Galidia elegans	18.94	143		
Fossa Cryptoprocta ferox	8.34	63	10	14
Domestic dog Canis familiaris	3.18	24		
Broad-striped mongoose Galidictis fasciata	1.06	8		
Indian civet Viverricula indica	0.40	3		

¹A measure of relative abundance for all 43 camera-trap stations calculated as (total capture events / 755 trap nights) * 100. Number of trap nights was defined as the total number of complete 24-hour periods during which at least one of the two cameras at a station was functioning. ²All photographs taken within a 30-minute period were considered one capture event (Di Bitetti et al., 2006)

³The total number of sampling occasions on which identified individuals were detected after first capture. To obtain a minimum detection probability of 0.10

for capture-recapture analyses (Otis et al., 1978) sampling occasions were calculated as 1-day periods for *F. fossana* and 3-day periods for *C. ferox*. We found no evidence of violation of the closed population assumption (*C. ferox*: $\chi^2 = 7.62$, P = 0.37; *F. fossana*: $\chi^2 = 12.80$, P = 0.31; Stanley & Burnham, 1999).

we could not identify individuals could be additional animals, which would increase the density estimate for this species.

We found that the capture-recapture history of the individually-identified *F. fossana* was best explained by incorporation of capture variation among individuals (heterogeneity effect) and an effect of baiting (behaviour effect) into the model (Table 2). Our calculated density of *F. fossana* was similar using the SEML method and the full MMDM buffered survey (Table 3).

The low number of identified individuals of *C. ferox* (Table 1) prohibited an effective use of *MARK* and therefore we used *CAPTURE*, which selected the null model as the most parsimonious (criterion = 1.0) and individual heterogeneity secondly (criterion = 0.88). We used the latter to calculate abundance as it is more biologically realistic and robust to violations (Boulanger & Krebs, 1996). As with *F. fossana*, we found that *C. ferox* density estimates were similar using an effective survey area buffered by full MMDM and the SEML method (Table 3). Our minimum density estimates of *C. ferox* (Table 3) are lower than estimates of the species in western deciduous dry forest (0.26 km⁻²; Hawkins & Racey, 2005).

Each of the four native carnivores (*F. fossana*, *G. elegans*, *C. ferox*, *G. fasciata*) photographed were found in all three trail systems. This suggests that the variation in disturbance history across our study area, from selectively-logged to undisturbed forests, did not alter carnivore species richness. Two individuals each of *C. ferox* and *F. fossana* were detected moving from Talatakely to the Valohoaka–Vatoarana trail systems but such movement was not detected between Vohiparara and Talatakely. This suggests that the Namorana River and/or national highway (RN25) may act as barriers to carnivore movement between the northern and southern parts of the Park (Fig. 1).

Two exotic carnivore species, *V. indica* and *C. familiaris*, were detected. *V. indica* was only observed at two cameratrap stations, within 500 m of the highway. *C. familiaris* was detected at 16 of the 43 stations in Talatakely and Vohiparara. Subsequent observations have also confirmed the presence of dogs on the Valohoaka-Vatoarana trail system (B. Gerber, pers. obs.).

This study provides the first density estimates for carnivores in the eastern rainforests of Madagascar and

TABLE 2 Candidate models in Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) model selection procedure used to best-fit capture-recapture histories of *F. fossana* from 43 camera-trap stations on the Talatakely, Vohiparara and Valohoaka–Vatoranana trail systems (Fig. 1) during June–August 2007.

Model ¹	K ²	AIC _c ³	$\angle 1$ AIC _c ⁴	w_i^5	Model likelihood ⁶	Model deviance
M(heterogeneity + behaviour)	5	438.59	0	0.99	1	360.69
M(heterogeneity)	4	449.69	11.10	0.004	0.004	375.86
M(time + heterogeneity)	20	476.05	37.45	0	0	333.78
M(behaviour)	3	477.25	38.65	0	0	405.44
M(null)	2	484.95	46.30	0	0	417.17
M(time + behaviour)	19	489.65	51.06	0	0	384.63
M(time)	18	491.83	53.23	0	0	391.05

¹Capture histories evaluated by modelling the detection process, after Otis et al. (1978)

²Number of parameters per model

³AIC with small sample bias adjustment (Burnham & Anderson, 1998)

⁴Difference between a model's AIC_c and the best-fitting model

⁵Percentage of model weight attributed to each model

⁶Strength of evidence of each model relative to other candidate models

TABLE 3 Abundance and density estimates for *F. fossana* and *C. ferox* using two types of mean-maximum-distance-moved buffer values ($\frac{1}{2}$ MMDM and MMDM) and their associated effective survey areas, and a maximum-likelihood spatially-explicit model (SEML; Efford et al., 2004) using data from 43 camera-trap stations on the Talatakely, Vohiparara and Valohoaka–Vatoranana trail systems (Fig. 1) during June–August 2007.

	F. fossana			C. ferox			
	1/2 MMDM	MMDM	SEML	½ MMDM	MMDM	SEML	
Buffer value (m) ¹	175.76	351.51		979.92	1,959.83		
Effective survey area $(km^2)^2$	3.34	13.36		47.93	87.28		
Abundance estimate $(SE)^3$	40.50 (3.22)	40.50 (3.22)		13 (2.36)	13 (2.36)		
Mean density, km ⁻²	12.11	3.03	2.23	0.27	0.15	0.17	
(95% confidence limits) ⁴	(9.54–14.70)	(2.49-3.57)	(1.52–2.94)	(0.16-0.39)	(0.09–0.21)	(0.08-0.35)	

¹Calculated assuming a circular buffer around each camera-station (Dillon & Kelly, 2008)

²The buffers around each camera-station dissolved together give the survey area of the entire study grid

³*F. fossana* model-averaged estimate using *MARK* (White & Burnham, 1999), and *C. ferox* estimate based on the Jackknife-M(heterogeneity) of *CAPTURE* (Otis et al., 1978)

⁴Calculated by dividing the abundance estimate by the effective survey area, with variance calculated following Dillon & Kelly (2008). SEML estimates derived from *DENSITY* (Efford et al., 2004; for *F. fossana* based on hazard rate M_{th} , and for *C. ferox* based on half-normal M(null)).

suggests that the density of *C. ferox* in Ranomafana National Park is lower than that of conspecifics in the western dry forests. We found that camera-trapping is an efficient, non-invasive tool to quantify relative abundance of Malagasy carnivores and density of the two largest species, *F. fossana* and *C. ferox*. We have now begun a larger-scale study to address the question of how carnivore density varies with forest disturbance in the larger rainforest complex in south-east Madagascar.

Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by Virginia Tech. We thank the Government of Madagascar, the Association Nationale pour la Gestion des Aires Protégées, the Direction des Eaux et Forêts, and CAFF/CORE for permission to conduct this research. We were greatly assisted by ICTE/MICET, Centre ValBio, and Amelaid Houmadi. Comments from two anonymous reviewers greatly improved this article.

References

- BOULANGER, J.G. & KREBS, C.J. (1996) Robustness of capture– recapture estimators to sample biases in a cyclic snowshoe hare population. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 33, 530–542.
- BURNHAM, K.P. & ANDERSON, D.R. (1998) Model Selection and Inference. Springer, New York, USA.
- DI BITETTI, M.S., PAVIOLO, A. & DE ANGELO, C. (2006) Density, habitat use and activity patterns of ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*) in the Atlantic Forest of Misiones, Argentina. *Journal of Zoology*, 270, 153–163.
- DILLON, A. & KELLY, M.J. (2008) Ocelot *Leopardus pardalis* in Belize: the impact of trap spacing and distance moved on density estimates. *Oryx*, 41, 469–477.
- DUFILS, J.M. (2003) Forest ecology. In *The Natural History of Madagascar* (eds S.M. Goodman & J.P. Benstead), pp. 88–96.
 The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA.
- DUNHAM, A.E. (1998) Notes on the behaviour of the ring-tailed mongoose, *Galidia elegans*, at Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar. *Small Carnivore Conservation*, 19, 21–24.

- EFFORD, M.G., DAWSON, D.K. & ROBBINS, C.S. (2004) DENSITY: software for analysing capture-recapture data from passive detector arrays. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 27, 217–228.
- HAWKINS, C.E. & RACEY, P.A. (2005) Low population density of a tropical forest carnivore, *Cryptoprocta ferox*: implications for protected area management. *Oryx*, 39, 35-43.
- IUCN (2009) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species v. 2009.1. Http:// www.iucnredlist.org [accessed 18 September 2009].
- KARANTH, K.U., NICHOLS, J.D. & KUMAR, S. (2004) Photographic sampling of elusive mammals in tropical forests. In Sampling Rare or Elusive Species (ed. W. Thompson), pp. 229–247. Island Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- KARPANTY, S.M (2006) Direct and indirect impacts of raptor predation on lemurs in south-eastern Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology*, 27, 239–261.
- KELLY, M.J. (2008) Design, evaluate, refine: camera trap studies for elusive species. Animal Conservation, 11, 182–184.
- KERRIDGE, F.J., RALISOAMALALA, R.C., GOODMAN, S.M. & PASNICK, S.D. (2003) IN Natural History of Madagascar (eds S.M. Goodman & J.P. Benstead), pp. 1363–1365. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA.
- NICHOLS, J.D. (1992) Capture-recapture models. *Bioscience*, 42, 94–102.
- OTIS, D.L., BURNHAM, K.P., WHITE, G.C. & ANDERSON, D.R. (1978) Statistical inference from capture data on closed animal populations. *Wildlife Monographs*, 62, 1–135.
- STANLEY, T.R. & BURNHAM, K.P. (1999) A closure test for timespecific capture-recapture data. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 6, 197–209.
- WHITE, G.C. & BURNHAM, K.P. (1999) Program MARK: survival estimation from populations of marked animals. *Bird Study*, 46 Supplement, 120–138.

Biographical sketches

BRIAN GERBER has worked since 1999 in field studies of primates and predators. SARAH KARPANTY has worked in Madagascar since 1997 on predator-prey ecology in fragmented landscapes. CHARLES CRAWFORD, now pursuing graduate studies in predator ecology, and JOHNNY RANDRIANANTENAINA, a research assistant at Centre ValBio, conducted the majority of the fieldwork reported here. MARY KOTSCHWAR is currently studying the behavioural responses of lemurs to predation risk.